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Abstract — The hedgehog (Hh)/glioma-associated oncogene (GLI) signaling network is among the most important and fascinating signal transduction systems that provide critical functions in the regulation of many developmental and physiological processes. The coordinated spatiotemporal interplay of the Hh ligands and other growth factors is necessary for the stringent control of the behavior of diverse types of tissue-resident stem/progenitor cells and their progenies. The activation of the Hh cascade might promote the tissue regeneration and repair after severe injury in numerous organs, insulin production in pancreatic β-cells, and neovascularization. Consequently, the stimulation of the Hh pathway constitutes a potential therapeutic strategy to treat diverse human disorders, including severe tissue injuries; diabetes mellitus; and brain, skin, and cardiovascular disorders. In countervailing balance, a deregulation of the Hh signaling network might lead to major tissue disorders and the development of a wide variety of aggressive and metastatic cancers. The target gene products induced through the persistent Hh activation can contribute to the self-renewal, survival, migration, and metastasis of cancer stem/progenitor cells and their progenies. Moreover, the pivotal role mediated through the Hh/GLI cascade during cancer progression also implicates the cooperation with other oncogenic products, such as mutated K-RAS and complex cross-talk with different growth factor pathways, including tyrosine kinase receptors, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), Wnt/β-catenin, and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) receptors. Therefore, the molecular targeting of distinct deregulated gene products, including Hh and EGFR signaling components and other signaling elements that are frequently deregulated in highly tumorigenic cancer-initiating cells and their progenies, might constitute a potential therapeutic strategy to eradicate the total cancer cell mass. Of clinical interest is that these multitargeted approaches offer great promise as adjuvant treatments for improving the current anthrional therapies, radiotherapies, and/or chemotherapies against locally advanced and metastatic cancers, thereby preventing disease relapse and the death of patients with cancer.

I. Introduction

The hedgehog (Hh)/glioma-associated oncogene (GLI) developmental cascade is a highly evolutionarily conserved signaling pathway that serves critical functions in the regulation of the normal cell-fate specification, tissue polarity and patterning, and organogenesis during embryogenesis as well as the maintenance of the tissue homeostasis and repair after severe injuries in postnatal and adult life (Bak et al., 2003; McMahon et al., 2003; Cohen, 2003; Palma et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006a; Nielsen et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Amankulor et al., 2009; Vaillant and Monard, 2009; Yauch et al., 2009). In particular, sonic hedgehog (SHH)/patched receptor 1 (PTCH1)/smoothed (SMO) coreceptor/GLI transcription factors are recognized as key players that provide a pivotal role in the stringent regulation of important cellular responses. The Hh signaling pathway, in conjunction with other developmental cascades, such as EGFR/EGFR and Wnt/β-catenin, regulate the self-renewal ability versus differentiation; survival; intercellular and cell-matrix adhesion; and migration of diverse types of embryonic, fetal, and tissue-resident adult stem/progenitor cells and their progenies (Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Palma and Ruiz i Altaba, 2004; Palma et al., 2005; Katoh and Katoh, 2006; Liu et al., 2006; Sicklick et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Amankulor et al., 2009; Rittié et al., 2009). Conversely, the genetic abnormalities that belong to the Hh/GLI signaling pathway might result in an aberrant cell growth, differentiation, and migration concomitant with major tissue homeostatic imbalance and severe disorders (Bak et al., 2003; Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Kasper et al., 2006a; Liu et al., 2006; Varjosalo and...
The disorders associated with inherited or somatic alterations in the Hh signaling network include holoprosencephaly, the embryonic defect most often seen in these disorders, in which the forebrain and the face fail to develop; congenital ataxia; microcephaly; mental retardation; brain, skin, ocular and pancreatic disorders; and pediatric and adult cancer development (Ming et al., 1998; Odent et al., 1999; Bale, 2002; Bak et al., 2003; Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Maity et al., 2005; Lau et al., 2006; Vaillant and Monard, 2009).

Numerous studies have shown that the genetic and/or epigenetic alterations leading to the enhanced expression levels and/or activities of Hh signaling elements in stem/progenitor cells commonly occur in a wide variety of human cancers during etiopathogenesis and disease progression to locally invasive and metastatic stages (Berman et al., 2003; Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Taniguchi et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Mimeault and Batra, 2008a; Mimeault et al., 2008; Tada et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Schnidar et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010; Mimeault and Batra, 2010c). Human cancer types frequently harboring a deregulation in the Hh pathway include leukemia, multiple myeloma, and brain, skin, head and neck, lung, liver, gastrointestinal, colorectal, pancreatic, prostate, mammary, ovarian, and renal carcinomas (Berman et al., 2003; Thayer et al., 2003; Kharhadkar et al., 2004; Oniscu et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2004; Sheng et al., 2004; Ohta et al., 2005; Datta and Datta, 2006; Douard et al., 2006; Mimeault et al., 2006, 2007a; Bian et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2007b; Stecca et al., 2007; Taniguchi et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Hegde et al., 2008; Tada et al., 2008; Eichenmüller et al., 2009). More importantly, accumulating lines of evidence have also revealed that the persistent activation of the Hh cascade may represent a critical step in the malignant transformation of cancer stem/progenitor cells (also designated as cancer- and metastasis-initiating cells), tumor resistance, and disease relapse (Liu et al., 2006; Bar et al., 2007; Clement et al., 2007; Ehtesham et al., 2007; Mimeault et al., 2007b, 2008; Peacock et al., 2007; Mimeault and Batra, 2008a, 2010b,c; Xu et al., 2008; Kobune et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2009). The sustained activation of the Hh signal transduction pathway might lead, in an autocrine or a paracrine manner, to the modulation of the expression levels and/or activities of numerous target gene products (Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Douard et al., 2006; Eichberger et al., 2006; Kasper et al., 2006a; Li et al., 2006; Clement et al., 2007; Feldmann et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Mimeault and Batra, 2008a; Mimeault et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Klarmann et al., 2009; Laner-Plamberger et al., 2009; Liao et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009). These signaling elements can contribute to the proliferation, survival, migration, invasion, and metastasis of cancer cells. Moreover, multiple cross-talks between the Hh cascade and other tumorigenic signaling components, including receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) such as EGFR, can cooperate during cancer initiation and progression to aggressive, invasive, and metastatic disease stages (Xie et al., 2001; Bigelow et al., 2005; Palma et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006b; Mimeault et al., 2006; Riobo et al., 2006a; Stecca et al., 2007; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009).

In this review article, the most recent advancements on the structural and functional characterization of diverse signal transduction elements of Hh signaling network and molecular mechanisms involved in their regulation are described. The physiological functions mediated through the Hh signaling pathway during embryonic development and adult life are reviewed. The frequent deregulations in the Hh signaling network associated with diverse diseases and cancer development, and potential interactive cross-talk with other developmental cascades, including EGFR, are also discussed. The results from recent studies underlining the therapeutic interest of cotargeting Hh and EGFR pathways and other oncogenic cascades for reversing treatment resistance, eradicating the cancer stem/progenitor cells and their progenies, and improving the current clinical therapies against aggressive and metastatic cancers are also reviewed.

II. The Hedgehog Signal Transduction Pathway and Regulatory Mechanisms

It is important to understand the regulation of the Hh signaling network at the molecular level in the normal adult stem/progenitor cells and their progenies as well as how it is deregulated during carcinogenesis. This knowledge will allow us to identify new drug targets and develop novel therapeutic strategies to block this tumorigenic cascade and thus improve the current cancer treatments. Many efforts made in the last few years have led to the structural and functional characterization of diverse Hh signaling components that can contribute in a cell type- and concentration-dependent manner to the signal transduction. Important information has also been obtained about complex regulatory mechanisms that modulate the Hh-induced cellular responses in normal and pathological conditions (McMahon et al., 2003; Cohen, 2003; Palma and Ruiz i Altaba, 2004; Palma et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006a; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008). In this matter, we describe the structural features and functions of Hh ligands, PTCH1 receptor, SMO coreceptor, and GLI transcription factors in mediating the Hh signal transduction and cellular responses as well as the molecular mechanisms implicated in the regulation of their functions.

A. Structures and Mechanisms of Actions of Hedgehog Ligands

The Hh gene has been first identified to control the segmentation pattern of fruit fly Drosophila melano-
identification of three Hh homologous genes in mammalian tissues encoding three different Hh proteins (Marigo et al., 1995). The Hh proteins include SHH, Indian hedgehog (IHH), and Desert hedgehog (DHH) (Marigo et al., 1995). All three mammalian Hh proteins are able to specifically bind the PTCH1 receptor and activate the Hh pathway in a time- and concentration-dependent manner (Pathi et al., 2001). The specific and redundant biological functions of Hh proteins is governed in part by their expression patterns and diverse regulatory mechanisms in a given cell type (Pathi et al., 2001). Among them, the SHH protein is the most extensively studied and characterized ligand of the Hh signaling pathway. Human SHH protein shows a similarity of 92.4% in the amino acid sequence with its murine homolog (Marigo et al., 1995). It has been shown that the SHH protein plays key roles in controlling organogenesis and morphogenesis of a variety of tissues and organs and epithelial-mesenchymal interactions during the vertebrate embryonic development as well as in the regulation of adult stem/progenitor cell behavior (Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Palma and Ruiz i Altaba, 2004; Palma et al., 2005; Katoh and Katoh, 2006; Liu et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Amankulor et al., 2009; Rittie et al., 2009).

1. Structural Organization, Processing and Secretion of the Sonic Hedgehog Ligand. The human Shh gene maps to chromosome 7 in the region 7q36 and consists of a DNA sequence of 9410 base pairs that encompass three exons (Marigo et al., 1995). Human SHH ligand is synthesized under a 462-amino acid (aa) protein precursor of approximately 45 kDa designated as preproprotein (Fig. 1) (Odent et al., 1999). The preproprotein is composed of a 23-aa signal peptide sequence, a 174-aa signaling domain, and a 265-aa autoprocessing domain endowed with an autoproteolysis activity and a cholesterol transferase activity (Fig. 1). During the post-translational processing of preproprotein in the endoplasmic reticulum, the short N-terminal hydrophobic signal peptide sequence is removed by a signal peptidase. The SHH precursor then undergoes an autocatalytic intramolecular cleavage at position 198 catalyzed by its C-terminal domain, yielding an N-terminal signaling product of approximately 19 kDa, which represents the mature and biologically active SHH form, and a C-terminal product with no known signaling function (Fig. 1) (Porter et al., 1995; Cohen, 2003; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008). During this reaction, a cholesterol moiety is covalently attached at the C-terminal residue of the cleaved N-terminal signaling fragment of SHH (Cohen, 2003; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008). Moreover, the N-terminal cysteine residue of the cleaved N-terminal signaling fragment of SHH is also modified by palmitoylation (Fig. 1) (Buglino and Resh, 2008). It has been reported that a stable attachment of a fatty acid [a palmitate molecule catalyzed by a palmitoylacyltransferase, Hh acyltransferase (Hhat)] might occur on both the SHH precursor and SHH protein along the secretory pathway (Fig. 1) (Buglino and Resh, 2008). These hydrophobic lipid modifications of mature SHH protein might promote its interaction with caveolin, tethering at the plasma membrane within caveolin- and cholesterol-enriched lipid raft microdomains, designated as caveolea, and thereby increase its local concentration and the efficiency of signal transduction (Karpen et al., 2001; Cohen, 2003; Mao et al., 2009). Hence, the mature and lipid-modified SHH protein resulting from intracellular processing may be secreted from cells into the extracellular compartment and mediated its biological effects on responsive cells (Fig. 1).

2. Autocrine and Paracrine Mechanisms of Actions of Hedgehog Ligands. The secreted SHH ligand can act, in autocrine and paracrine manners, under the form of monomers and/or oligomers on producing cells and responsive cells localized near or at a distant localization of the secreting cells (Fig. 1). In fact, the secreted SHH protein and other Hh ligands, IHH and DHH, can diffuse and act as morphogens by forming a concentration gradient for short- and long-range actions (Fig. 1) (Cohen, 2003; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Vyas et al., 2008). In this regard, the results from a recent study have also indicated that the full-length unprocessed SHH protein can traffic to the plasma membrane and thereby participate in a localized manner to certain short-range effects (Tokunts et al., 2010). More specifically, the paracrine signals mediated through the Hh cascade require the release of the membrane-tethered Hh ligands from producing cells and their transport to the surrounding-responsive cells or more distant cells, including the stromal cells (Fig. 1) (Cohen, 2003; Vyas et al., 2008; Dierker et al., 2009a,b). This diffusion process, which is accomplished through the formation of large nanoscale oligomers by ligand molecules, might be modulated through different molecular mechanisms. In particular, the release of Hh ligand oligomers from producing cells may be promoted via their interaction with a 12-pass transmembrane protein known as dispatched, and cell-surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans (Fig. 1) (Cohen, 2003; Dierker et al., 2009a,b). The formation of large Hh ligand oligomers may permit their release into the extracellular compartment and transport via the lipoprotein carriers over a long distance, where they can act in a paracrine fashion on surrounding cells (Fig. 1) (Vyas et al., 2008; Dierker et al., 2009a,b). Conversely, a negative regulatory feedback loop might also be induced through the enhanced expression of an endogenous Hh inhibitor, hedgehog-interacting protein (HHIP), found at the plasma membrane, that can interact with a high affinity with the three Hh ligands (Chuang and McMahon, 1999; Cohen, 2003; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Bosanac et al., 2009). This molecular event would im-
pede the binding of Hh ligands to the PTCH1 receptor and inhibit the signal transduction (Fig. 1).

**B. Hedgehog Signal Transduction**

A simplified view of the stimulation of the Hh signaling network implicates the binding of a secreted Hh protein, including SHH, IHH, or DHH ligand to its cognate 12-pass transmembrane PTCH1 or PTCH2 receptor on responsive cells (Fig. 1) (Stone et al., 1996; Carpenter et al., 1998; Fuse et al., 1999; Kalderon, 2000; Taipale et al., 2002; Cohen, 2003). The PTCH1, which is the better characterized receptor of Hh ligands, displayed 54% sequence homology with PTCH2 protein. Despite the fact that all three Hh ligands can bind both PTCH1 and PTCH2, the specific functions of these receptors depend in part of their expression pattern (Carpenter et al., 1998; Cohen, 2003). In general, the binding of a Hh ligand to PTCH1 relieves the repressive effect induced by this receptor on the activity of its signaling partner, a seven-pass transmembrane coreceptor, SMO protein (Kalderon, 2000; Taipale et al., 2002; Cohen, 2003; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008). The stimulation of the SMO signaling transduction element results in the activation of cytoplasmic GLIs and their translocation to the nucleus, where they participate with other transcription factors in the stringent regulation of the expression of numerous Hh target gene products (Fig. 1) (Cohen, 2003; Kasper et al., 2006a; Kasai et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Jia et al., 2009; Yue et al., 2009).

Although work has been done to characterize the different signaling elements of the canonical Hh cascade, the molecular events and signaling molecules involved in the repressive effect induced through the PTCH1
receptor on SMO activity in the absence of the Hh ligand and stimulation of the SMO protein in the presence of the Hh ligand remain not precisely established. Different models of the molecular mechanisms of Hh signal transduction have been proposed to explain the responsive effect induced by PTCH1 in the absence of Hh ligand on SMO activity and the activation of SMO coreceptor after the formation of Hh ligand-PTCH1 complexes (Fig. 2) (Taipale et al., 2002; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Rohatgi and Scott, 2007). In general, it has been proposed that the binding of the Hh ligand, including SHH protein, to PTCH1 might result in a SMO conformational change from inactive to active state (Taipale et al., 2002; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Rohatgi and Scott, 2007). More specifically, the formation of the Hh ligand-PTCH1 receptor complexes might indirectly stimulate the SMO activity, possibly through the induction of membrane changes, activation of intracellular positive modulators, and/or stimulation of an endogenous SMO agonist (Fig. 2a and b) (Rosenbaum and W公元man, 2002; Taipale et al., 2002; Bijlsma et al., 2006; Corcoran and Scott, 2006; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Rohatgi and Scott, 2007; Rohatgi et al., 2007).

In view of the fact that the PTCH1 receptor contains a sterol-sensing domain and shows a structural homology with diverse family members of membrane transporters, such as Niemann-Pick C1 protein and bacterial proton-driven transmembrane molecular transporters, it has been proposed that the PTCH1 receptor can act as a transmembrane transporter of small molecules (Davies et al., 2000; Strutt et al., 2001; Taipale et al., 2002; Corcoran and Scott, 2006; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006). Then, the PTCH1 transporter, bound by Hh ligand, could pump the endogenous molecules, such as cholesterol derivatives, including oxysterols, out of cells (Fig. 2b). The binding of Hh ligand to PTCH1, however, could lead to the intracellular accumulation of endogenous molecules, including oxysterols, that, in turn, can positively modulate the SMO activity (Fig. 2b) (Corcoran and Scott, 2006; Dwyer et al., 2007).

Consistent with these models, it has been observed that the activating mutations in the SMO protein or inactivating mutations in the PTCH1 receptor might lead to the adoption of a constitutively active conformation by the SMO protein (Fig. 2, c and d) (Johnson et al., 1996; Raffel et al., 1997; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006). Moreover, it has been observed that the sterol synthesis inhibitors reduced SHH induced-target gene transcription and blocked SHH pathway-dependent proliferation of medulloblastoma cells (Corcoran and Scott, 2006). The inhibitory effect induced by the sterol inhibitors, however, could be reversed by a treatment of medulloblastoma cells with exogenous cholesterol or specific oxysterols (Corcoran and Scott, 2006). In addition, different SMO full agonists (such as the synthetic chloro-benzothiophene-containing SMO agonist termed SAG) and antagonists (including a plant-derived steroidal alkaloid, cyclopamine) have been shown to specifically interact with the heptahelical bundle of the SMO protein, and thereby modulate its activity and cellular response (Fig. 2e and f) (Chen et al., 2002; Frank-Kamenetsky et al., 2002; Corcoran and Scott, 2006; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006). It is noteworthy that recent accumulating lines of experimental evidence have also indicated that the primary cilium found in Hh responsive cells might play a critical role in the activation of Hh signal transduction in certain normal and cancer cell types.

1. Roles of the Primary Cilium in the Hedgehog Signal Transduction Mechanism. Recent studies have revealed that an extracellular projection found at the cell surface, designated as primary cilium, which is a microtubule-based organelle, constitutes a key specialized structure that is required to concentrate the Hh signaling components and trigger the SMO-mediated canonical pathway in certain types of SHH-responsive cells (Fig. 3) (Rosenbaum and W公元man, 2002; Corbit et al., 2005; Haycraft et al., 2005; Rohatgi and Scott, 2007; Rohatgi et al., 2007; Han et al., 2008; Spassky et al., 2008; Bailey et al., 2009; Han et al., 2009; Veland et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2009). More specifically, it has been shown that the PTCH1 receptor unbound by the SHH ligand is localized at the base of the primary cilium and can prevent the SMO ciliary localization (Fig. 3) (Rohatgi et al., 2007). Moreover, all three full-length GLI proteins as well as the negative regulator of GLI activities, suppressor of fused (SUFU) are also colocalized at the distal tip of cilium in the absence of the Hh ligand (Fig. 3) (Haycraft et al., 2005; Rohatgi et al., 2007). The binding of secreted SHH protein to the PTCH1 receptor found at the primary cilium, however, might result in a decreased number of PTCH1 molecules in the primary cilium because of its re-localization at the cell surface out of the ciliary structure, its internalization in intracellular vesicles, and/or degradation (Rohatgi et al., 2007). Hence, the exclusion of PTCH1 molecules from the ciliary structure may allow SMO molecules to translocate to the plasma membrane in the primary cilium, and thereby lead to the activation of downstream GLI transcriptional factors and Hh target gene expression (Fig. 3) (Corbit et al., 2005; Rohatgi et al., 2007).

Although the molecular mechanisms by which the formation of Hh ligand-PTCH1 complexes results in an increase of SMO levels into the primary cilium are not precisely established, it has been reported that the C-terminal sequence motif of the SMO protein, which is constituted of hydrophobic and basic residues, is required for its transport to the primary cilium and activation in certain types of cultured cells (Corbit et al., 2005). In support with this, the occurrence of mutations in this C-terminal domain of SMO protein has been observed to prevent its ciliary translocation and subsequent GLI activation (Corbit et al., 2005). Moreover, it has been observed that the SMO full agonist, SAG, or small regulatory molecules, including oxysterols, can...
Fig. 2. Proposed models of the molecular mechanisms involved in the regulation of ligand-dependent and independent-SMO activation and modulation by the pharmacological agents. a, the binding of SHH protein to the PTCH1 transmembrane receptor might lead to either membrane changes or activation of an endogenous SMO agonist. These molecular events, in turn, may result in the adoption of an active conformation by the SMO transmembrane protein and the stimulation of SMO-mediated cellular response. b, in the absence of SHH ligand, the PTCH1 receptor can act as a transporter and pump the endogenous cholesterol derivatives, such as oxysterols, out of the cells. The binding of the SHH protein to PTCH1 receptor, however, might inhibit the efflux of cholesterol derivatives such as oxysterols, and thereby promote the adoption of an active conformation by the SMO protein and SMO-induced cellular response. The occurrence of activating mutations in the SMO oncoprotein (c) or inactivating mutations in the PTCH1 tumor suppressor protein (d) might result in the adoption of an active conformation by SMO protein in the absence of SHH ligand and a sustained induction of a cellular response. In the same way, the exposure of cells to a pharmacological agent acting as a SMO agonist (e) also can induce the adoption of an active conformation by the SMO protein and a cellular response. In contrast, the exposure of cells to a chemical compound acting as a SMO antagonist (f), such as cyclopamine, KAAD-cyclopamine, IPI-269609, or GDC-0449, can inhibit the SHH protein-induced SMO activation and cellular response.
induce the SMO translocation to the primary cilium and activate the Hh signaling cascade (Dwyer et al., 2007; Rohatgi et al., 2007). Hence, the endogenous molecules, such as oxysterols (which are cholesterol derivatives), might represent important factors that indirectly regulate the Hh signaling transduction by modulating the ciliary translocation and activation of the SMO protein. Therefore, the use of specific pharmacological agents that are able to interfere with the sterol synthesis constitutes then a potential therapeutic approach to modulate the Hh pathway in pathophysiological conditions, including cancers (Corcoran and Scott, 2006).

Consistent with the critical role of primary cilium in the Hh signal transduction, it has been reported that the conditional ablation of primary cilium on the surface of granule cell progenitors in mice disrupted SHH-mediated expansion of granule cell precursors (GCPs) and cerebellar development (Han et al., 2008; Spassky et al., 2008). Moreover, the mutations in intraflagellar transport (IFT) proteins, which are essential for the primary cilium assembly, formation, and maintenance, also resulted in major defects in mouse neural tube patterning and reduced the expression of the Hh downstream genes GLI-1 and PTCH1 reminiscent of deregulated Hh signaling (Huangfu et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2005a). The loss of IFT proteins was also associated with alterations in the proteolytic processing of the GLI3 protein that abrogated its repressor function in mice (Haycraft et al., 2005). The SMO antagonist cyclopamine has also been shown to inhibit the translocation of the SMO protein from the intracellular compartment to the primary cilium, and thereby prevent the biological effects induced by downstream effectors, GLI proteins (Corbit et al., 2005). Hence, the relocalization of the SMO protein in appropriate subcellular compartments, such as the primary cilium, might be a determinant factor that governs the dynamic process of Hh pathway activation and GLI induced-target gene expression in certain Hh-responsive cells. In particular, the localization of the SMO protein in primary cilium may be an important factor that contributes to the aberrant activation of the Hh cascade in certain cancer cell types.

It has also been observed that high levels of SMO and GLI2 and low levels of PTCH are detected in the primary cilium of PANC-1 and CFPAC-1 pancreatic cancer cells, whereas the nuclear level of the GLI3R repressor form is low, suggesting that an autonomous activation of Hh cascade might be prevalent in these cancer cells (Nielsen et al., 2008). Moreover, it has also been observed that the occurrence of activating mutations in the SMO protein might promote its translocation to the ciliary structure and GLI activation in the absence of the Hh ligand (Corbit et al., 2005). The SMO antagonist cyclopamine has also been shown to inhibit the translocation of the SMO protein from the intracellular compartment to the primary cilium, and thereby prevent the biological effects induced by downstream effectors, GLI proteins (Corbit et al., 2005). Hence, the relocalization of the SMO protein in appropriate subcellular compartments, such as the primary cilium, might be a determinant factor that governs the dynamic process of Hh pathway activation and GLI induced-target gene expression in certain Hh-responsive cells. In particular, the localization of the SMO protein in primary cilium may be an important factor that contributes to the aberrant activation of the Hh cascade in certain cancer cell types.

2. Functions of Glioma-Associated Oncogene Transcription Factors in Modulating Hedgehog Target Gene Expression. The GLI family comprises three nuclear zing-finger transcription factors, GLI1, GLI2, and GLI3, that contain conserved C2-H2 zing finger domains and can specifically interact with the DNA sequences encompassing a GACCAACCA motif found in target gene promoters (Kinzler et al., 1987; Kinzler and Vogelstein, 1990; Ruppert et al., 1990; Matise and Joyner, 1999;
The GLI proteins can cooperate with distinct nuclear cofactors in the regulation of the expression levels of Hh target gene products. More particularly, the full-length GLI proteins can act as transcriptional activators and induce the expression of target genes, whereas the N-terminal fragment of GLI proteins, generated after processing and intracellular proteolytic cleavage, can act as a transcriptional repressor (Figs. 3 and 4) (Matise and Joyner, 1999; Sasaki et al., 1999; Cohen, 2003; Kasper et al., 2006a; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Tsanev et al., 2009). Because the full-length GLI1 transcription factor does not contain a repressor domain, it consequently acts as a strong transcriptional activator (Matise and Joyner, 1999; Kasper et al., 2006a; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007). Although GLI2 and GLI3 proteins contain both activator and repressor domains, GLI2 has been observed to act principally as a transcriptional activator, whereas GLI3 acts mainly as a repressor of the target gene expression (Matise and Joyner, 1999; Sasaki et al., 1999; Cohen, 2003; Kasper et al., 2006a; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Tsanev et al., 2009). The cellular processing of full-length 190-kDa GLI3 protein involves its phosphorylation by protein kinase A followed by an intracellular proteolytic cleavage that generates an 83-kDa N-terminal fragment of GLI3 that acts as a potent transcriptional repressor, GLI3R (Fig. 4) (Wang and Li, 2006). It has been observed that the processing of full-length GLI3 protein into its repressor form is promoted in the absence or at low levels of the SHH protein (Fig. 3) (Wang et al., 2000; Litingtung et al., 2002; Huangfu et al., 2003). Hence, the balance between the cellular levels of GLI3R repressor form versus GLI1 and GLI2 transactivators determines the final outcome on Hh target gene expression in a given cell type.

In general, the Hh ligand-dependent activation of the Hh cascade leads to the inhibition of SUFU protein by SMO and the nuclear translocation of GLI1 and/or GLI2 transcriptional activators that, in turn, up-regulate the expression levels of numerous Hh target genes in a cell-type and context-dependent manner (Fig. 3) (Kinzler et al., 1987; Kinzler and Vogelstein, 1990; Ruppert et al., 1990; Cohen, 2003; Kasper et al., 2006a; Rahnama et al., 2006; Yue et al., 2009). The Hh target gene products include GLI1 as well as PTCH1 and HHIP, which constitute the positive and negative feedback mechanisms involved in the regulation of Hh cascade, respectively (Rahnama et al., 2006). Other up-regulated Hh gene products also comprise bone morphogenetic protein-1, cyclins D1 and D2, JUN transcription factor, and polycomb ring finger oncogene, BMI-1, that can act by down-regulating p16INK4A and forkhead box M1 transcription factor, which in turn can contribute to the up-regulation of c-Myc and BMI-1 expression (Douard et al., 2006; Eichberger et al., 2006; Kasper et al., 2006a; Li et al., 2006; Clement et al., 2007; Feldmann et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Laner-Plamberger et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009). Moreover, the Hh activation also results in an up-regulated expression of Wingless ligands (Wnts), Notch ligand JAG2, interleukin-1 receptor type 2, snail, antiapoptotic factors such as Bcl-2, ATP-binding cassette (ABC) multidrug transporters, and CXC chemokine receptor 4 (Eichberger et al., 2006; Kasper et al., 2006a; Clement et al., 2007; Sims-Mourtada et al., 2007; Katoh and Katoh, 2010). The regulation of the Hh cascade is also influenced by diverse external and internal stimuli and interactive cross-talk with other signaling pathways initiated by diverse growth factors.

**C. Regulatory Mechanisms of the Hedgehog Ligand Expression, Glioma-Associated Oncogene Activities and Hedgehog Ligand-Dependent and -Independent Activation of the Hedgehog Pathway**

The stimulation of different growth factor and cytokine pathways and genetic and epigenetic alterations during embryonic development; tissue regeneration; and repair after severe injury, chronic inflammation, and cancer development may activate different intracellular signaling elements (Hingorani et al., 2005; Koga et al., 2008). These signaling components include nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), phosphatidylinositol 3’ kinase (PI3K)/

**Fig. 4. Schematic representation of structural features of human GLI3 protein and molecular events associated with its processing into a transcriptional repressor. The scheme shows the positions of the zinc finger DNA-binding domain (ZF), the six potential sites of the phosphorylation by protein kinase A (PKA) (asterisks) identified by mutagenesis analyses, and the intracellular cleavage site of the full-length GLI3 protein. The processing of the full-length 190-kDa GLI3 protein, which implicates its phosphorylation by PKA followed by its intracellular proteolytic cleavage, yielding an N-terminal fragment of GLI3, is also illustrated. The cleaved N-terminal fragment of GLI3 of approximately 83 kDa (GLI3R) can act as a transcriptional repressor and inhibit the Hh target gene expression.**
Akt and/or K-RAS that can contribute to increase the cellular expression level of Hh ligands, including SHH protein, GLI activities and Hh signaling activation (Fig. 5) (Schmidt-Ullrich et al., 2006; Amankulor et al., 2009; Kasperczyk et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2010). More specifically, it has been shown that EGF can up-regulate SHH protein expression and secretion through the activation of PI3K/Akt signaling components in gastric parietal cells and thereby stimulate gastric acid secretion (Stepan et al., 2005). Moreover, the activation of macrophages caused by severe tissue injury and inflammation might result in the production of diverse pro-inflammatory cytokines that, in turn, can up-regulate SHH transcriptional expression (Amankulor et al., 2009). As a matter of fact, it has been shown that the activation of NF-κB might occur after inflammatory stimuli (such as tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-1α, and lipopolysaccharide) and during cancer progression (Nakashima et al., 2006; Schmidt-Ullrich et al., 2006; Kasperczyk et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2010). Thereby, the activated NF-κB can specifically interact with human SHH promoter, up-regulate the SHH expression level in a variety of normal and malignant cells, and contribute to their proliferation and survival in vitro and in animal models in vivo (Nakashima et al., 2006; Schmidt-Ullrich et al., 2006; Kasperczyk et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2010). A hypomethylation status of the SHH region promoter has also been associated with an up-regulation of its transcriptional expression during breast cancer progression suggesting a potential epigenetic regulation of the SHH expression under specific physiological and pathological conditions (Yakushiji et al., 2007; Cui et al., 2010).

Among other potential regulators of the SHH expression, the overexpression of the p63 protein, which is a homolog of the p53 tumor suppressor protein and is known to provide a regulatory role in the maintenance of epithelial stem cells and tumorigenesis, has been shown to interact with the SHH promoter and up-regulate its expression (Fig. 5) (Caserta et al., 2006). It has also been noticed that the transactivation of the SHH gene by p63 protein could be inhibited by p14ARF tumor suppressor protein (Caserta et al., 2006). Moreover, the orphan nuclear receptor RORα has been shown to be able to interact with the SHH promoter and to promote the recruitment of other coactivators, including β-catenin and p300 (Gold et al., 2003). Thereby, these nuclear factors can cooperate to up-regulate the SHH expression in GCPs and contribute to their proliferation during cerebellar development (Gold et al., 2003). The activation of estrogen/estrogen receptor-α axis has also been reported to up-regulate the SHH expression and proliferation of breast cancer cells (Koga et al., 2008).

In addition, a growing body of experimental evidence has revealed that different signaling elements might negatively or positively modulate the expression level, stability, and activity of GLI proteins and influence the cellular responses mediated through the canonical Hh cascade (Cohen, 2003; Haycraft et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006a; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008). These regulatory mechanisms include changes at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels, subcellular localization, phosphorylation status, and stability versus degradation of GLI proteins. Among the important negative regulators of the Hh pathway, SUFU protein can bind, stabilize, and retain the three GLI proteins in the cytoplasm in the absence of Hh ligands and thereby prevent their activation and nuclear translocation (Kogerman et al., 1999; Kasper et al., 2006a; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2007). It has consistently been shown that the inactivation of SUFU by mutations, gene targeting, or small interference RNA (siRNA) is sufficient to up-regulate the GLI-induced Hh gene expression in normal or cancer cells (Taylor et al., 2002; Varjosalo et al., 2006). Moreover, the activation of the SHH signaling cascade also might promote the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of SUFU molecules in normal and cancer cells and thereby contribute to the Hh ligand-mediated cell growth (Yue et al., 2009). The negative regulation of GLI proteins is also

---

**Fig. 5.** Cellular events and signaling elements involved in the regulation of SHH expression, GLI activation, and mediation of the Hh activation-induced cellular response. The increase of the SHH expression, which might be induced during tissue regeneration in homeostatic conditions, and after an adaptive response to tissue injury, ischemia and hypoxia, chronic inflammation, and cancer progression, is indicated. The potential cellular signaling elements involved in the regulation of the SHH expression are also indicated. These intracellular signaling components include NF-κB, PI3K/Akt, and KRAS, which might be induced through the stimulation of different growth factor and cytokine signaling pathways in normal and cancer cells. The possibility of SHH-dependent and -independent activation of GLI1 and GLI2 transcriptional activators by different growth factor pathways is also indicated. In addition, the potential biological effects induced through stimulation of GLI protein-induced Hh target gene expression in normal and cancer cells are also indicated. ER-α, estrogen receptor-α; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; RORα, retinoid-related orphan receptor-α; NFκB, nuclear factor-κB; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor-α.
regulated by protein kinase A and glycogen synthase kinase 3b (GSK3b) that can phosphorylate, destabilize, and inactivate GLI proteins (Mizuarai et al., 2009).

On the other hand, the positive regulatory mechanisms might be induced in the presence or absence of the Hh ligand. As mentioned previously, the activation of canonical Hh cascade might lead to a feedback loop in which the nuclear GLI2 or GLI3 transactivators can directly interact with the GLI1 promoters and up-regulate its expression (Dai et al., 1999; Cohen, 2003; Ikram et al., 2004; Haycraft et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006a; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008). Likewise, the increase of GLI1 expression level may result in an up-regulation of the GLI2 level through an indirect mechanism that does not involve the transactivation of the GLI2 promoter by the GLI1 protein (Regl et al., 2002). In this regard, the results from recent studies have also revealed that the SUFU function and/or GLI expression, stability, and/or transcriptional activity in normal and cancer cells may be positively modulated via the persistent stimulation of different growth factor cascades. These signaling pathways include EGFR, Wnt/β-catenin, and the TGF-β1/TGF-βR system, which can cooperate with the canonical Hh ligand-induced signaling to activate GLI proteins and Hh target gene expression (Figs. 5 and 6) (Xie et al., 2001; Bigelow et al., 2005; Palma et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006b; Riobo et al., 2006a; Dennenler et al., 2007, 2009; Stecca et al., 2007; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009). For instance, it has been shown that TGF-β protein can up-regulate GLI1 and GLI2 expression and thereby contribute to the acquisition of a more malignant behavior by cancer cells (Dennenler et al., 2007, 2009). More specifically, the activation of TGF-β/TGF-βRI-ALK5 system might result in the nuclear translocation of Smad3-Smad4 complexes that directly interact with the GLI2 promoter and promote the recruitment of β-catenin. Then, these nuclear factors can cooperate to up-regulate the GLI2 expression, which in turn can induce the transactivation of Hh target genes, including GLI1 (Fig. 6) (Dennenler et al., 2009). Hence, the integration of these diverse mechanisms of negative and positive regulation of the Hh cascade determines the biological effect induced in a given cell type.

**FIG. 6.** Scheme showing the signaling elements and frequent deregulations in the Hh signaling network and potential cross-talk with the EGFR signaling pathway involved in the malignant behavior of cancer cells. The molecular events associated with the cellular processing of the SHH precursor into a biologically active form via autocatalytic cleavage and lipid modifications are illustrated. Autocrine and paracrine stimulation of the cancer cells by the monomeric and multimeric SHH molecules is also illustrated. The repressive effect of SUFU on the GLI activity is shown. Moreover, the frequent deregulations, including overexpression of the SHH ligand; inactivating mutations in HHIP, PTCH, or SUFU; or activating mutations in SMO coreceptor, which may contribute to cancer development, are also indicated. The potential stimulatory effect induced by the activation of EGFR pathway and oncogenic mutations in K-RAS<sup>mut</sup> and B-RAF<sup>mut</sup> on the GLI transcriptional activity is indicated. The target gene products induced through the activation of Hh and EGFR signaling pathways are also described. Moreover, the stimulatory effect induced through the activation of TGF-β/TGF-βR/Smad3-Smad4 and Wnt/β-catenin on the GLI2 expression is illustrated. In addition, the potential inhibitory effect induced by diverse pharmacological agents, such as a mAb directed against SHH ligand, EGF, EGFR, and Wnt, selective inhibitors of SMO (cyclopamine, KAAD-cyclopamine, IPI-269609, GDC-0449), EGFR tyrosine kinase activity (gefitinib and erlotinib), TGF-β type 1 activin receptor-like kinase, and ALK5 (SB431542) are also indicated. COX2, cyclooxygenase 2; CXCR4, CXC chemokine receptor 4; FOXM1, forkhead box M1 transcription factor; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
III. Critical Functions of the Hedgehog Signaling Pathway during Embryonic and Postnatal Development and Adult Life and Their Therapeutic Implications

In mammals, the interplay of diverse growth factor pathways, including Hh/GLI, EGF/EGFR, Wnt/β-catenin, and TGF-β/TGF-β receptors, is involved in the stringent control of the tissue patterning and organogenesis during embryogenesis and fetal development as well as the tissue homeostasis and repair after severe injuries and inflammation in the postnatal period or adulthood (Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Palma and Ruiz i Altaba, 2004; Palma et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Amankulor et al., 2009). Among them, Hh proteins play critical roles by acting as potent morphogens, mitogens, and survival factors for a variety of cell types (including pluripotent embryonic stem/progenitor cells and multipotent tissue-resident adult stem/progenitor cells) in a time- and concentration-dependent manner (Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Palma and Ruiz i Altaba, 2004; Palma et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2008; Varjosalo and Taipale, 2008; Amankulor et al., 2009). Despite the fact that complex molecular mechanisms are involved in the regulation of the Hh signaling network in normal physiological conditions, the inactivation or hyperactivation of the Hh cascade might lead to severe congenital diseases and hyperproliferative disorders in postnatal life, including cancer development (Ming et al., 1998; Odent et al., 1999; Bale, 2002; Bak et al., 2003; Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Maity et al., 2005; Vaillant and Monard, 2009).

Numerous loss- and gain-function studies of Hh signaling elements carried out with transgenic mice, animal models of diseases and cell cultures have provided important insights into the critical roles of Hh pathway during embryonic and postnatal development and along life span in adulthood. Analyses of SHH-null mice have particularly indicated that numerous defects occur in diverse structures during embryonic development and result in a rapid perinatal lethality of SHH mutants compared with wild-type mice (Chiang et al., 1996; Lu et al., 2000; Ishibashi and McMahon, 2002; Rallu et al., 2002). These defects consist of the absence of distal limb structures and the spinal column; ventral cell types within the neural tube; reduced size of dorsoventral structures of telencephalon and diencephalon; abnormalities in skin development, including hair follicle morphogenesis; and cyclopia, which refers to the presence of a single eye in the center of the face. In contrast, the conditional null alleles of the SHH or SMO genes resulted in only minor brain patterning abnormalities, whereas the number of neural progenitors in both the postnatal subventricular zone and the dentate gyrus of hippocampus was dramatically reduced and was associated with a marked increase in programmed cell death (Machold et al., 2003).

In addition, the gain-of-function approaches, in vitro and in vivo up-regulation, or exogenous application of the SHH protein have also given complementary information about SHH functions in the tissue patterning and the early and later stages of neurogenesis during embryogenesis until postnatal development and adult brain maturity (Gaiano et al., 1999; Lu et al., 2000; Charytoniuk et al., 2002b; Machold et al., 2003; Vaillant and Monard, 2009). Specifically, it has been observed that an up-regulation of SHH expression in mice promoted the proliferation of GCPs and oligodendrocyte specification in telencephalon and resulted in an enhanced number of differentiated oligodendrocytes in the embryonic and postnatal cerebellar region (Lu et al., 2000; Nery et al., 2001; Machold et al., 2003). In same way, the loss-of-function mutations in PTCH tumor suppressor gene or activating mutations in SMO oncogene also led to phenotypic changes as observed for mice overexpressing SHH protein (Hahn et al., 1999, 2000). It has been observed that SHH target genes are aberrantly activated in heterozygous PTCH(−/+), and these mice have a higher tendency to develop nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS), and a variety of cancers including cerebellar and skin tumors compared with wild-type mice (Goodrich et al., 1997). In fact, the NBCCS, also known as Gorlin syndrome or basal cell nevus syndrome, is an autosomal-dominant disorder associated with inherited inactivating mutations in the PTCH receptor. Patients with NBCCS exhibit a variety of developmental defects accompanied by a predisposition to develop a variety of postnatal disorders and cancer types, such as basal cell carcinomas (BCCs), medulloblastoma, ovarian dermoid and fibroma, meningioma, fibrosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and cardiac fibroma (Hahn et al., 1996, 1999; Goodrich et al., 1997; Zurawel et al., 2000). Hence, these observations suggest that the SHH cascade supplies important roles for patterning of a variety tissues during embryonic development and maintenance of cellular functions and organ integrity after the birth, and more particularly in the embryonic and postnatal development of brain. Therefore, the alterations in the Hh cascade might cause severe human disorders and cancers in numerous tissues and organs.

A. Functions of the Hedgehog Cascade in Adult Tissues and Their Therapeutic Implications

The analyses of the expression patterns of human Hh proteins have revealed that SHH, IHH, and DHH are differently expressed in different adult tissues and organs, in homeostatic conditions, and during tissue regeneration and repair after severe injury (Pathi et al., 2001; Bak et al., 2003; Nielsen et al., 2004; Sicklick et al., 2005, 2006; Spicer et al., 2009; Vaillant and Monard, 2009). Consequently, they can contribute to the mediation of specific functions dependent of their expression...
levels in a given cell type. Among them, the SHH protein is required for the regulation of multiple key cellular events in a wide range of adult tissue and organ types including bone marrow (BM), central nervous system and peripheral nerves, cardiovascular system, and epithelial tissues such as skin, lung, liver, gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, prostate, breast, and ovary (McMahon et al., 2003; Nielsen et al., 2004; Paladini et al., 2005;Katoh and Katoh, 2006; Sicklick et al., 2006; Vaillant and Monard, 2009). It has been shown that Hh proteins can promote the proliferation of diverse multipotent tissue-resident adult stem/progenitor cells, including hematopoietic, neural, skin, cochlear, gastrointestinal, hepatic, pancreatic, and mammary stem/progenitor cells, and thereby participate in cell replenishment and tissue regeneration and repair after severe injuries (Bhardwaj et al., 2001; Machold et al., 2003; McMahon et al., 2003; Nielsen et al., 2004; Ahn and Joyner, 2005; Paladini et al., 2005; Sicklick et al., 2005; Katoh and Katoh, 2006; Lau et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2006; Sicklick et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2007; Mimeault and Batra, 2008c). Hence, the SHH protein plays important roles in the replenishment of cells that are lost during tissue turnover and injuries. For instance, the activation of the SHH pathway is required for the maintenance of hair follicle stem/progenitor cells found in bulge areas. The SHH protein can cooperate with EGF in controlling the follicular growth and cycling, including the transition from the resting phase (telogen) to the growth phase (anagen), and participate in the skin regeneration after injury (Paladini et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006b; Rittie et al., 2009). The stimulation of the SHH pathway might also contribute to the long-term repopulating of epidermal progenitors after severe wounding (Levy et al., 2007). Therefore, the activation of Hh cascade by topical application of exogenous SHH protein or its synthetic Hh agonist might represent a potential therapeutic strategy to treat diverse skin disorder associated with a decreased proliferation of epidermal and epithelial cells and hair cycle defects (Paladini et al., 2005).

On the other hand, the analyses of the expression levels of Hh signaling components in mice, rat, and bovine ovaries have also revealed that IHH and DHH RNAs were detected in granulosa cells (Spicer et al., 2009). In contrast, PTCH1 and SMO transcripts were detected in thecal-interstitial cells of small versus large follicles of cattle and PTCH1 and GLI expression levels enhanced after ligand stimulation, suggesting a paracrine mechanism of the Hh system in follicular development (Spicer et al., 2009). Furthermore, the activation of the Hh signaling pathway was also associated with an enhanced proliferation and steroidogenesis, including androgen production in mammalian ovarian cells (Spicer et al., 2009). In this matter, we are reporting in a more detailed manner the specific functions provided by the Hh proteins in other adult tissues, including the maintenance of the adult pancreas, BM, brain, and cardiovascular system and their therapeutic implications.

1. Functions of Hedgehog Proteins in the Pancreas and Their Therapeutic Implications. The Hh proteins provide important functions in the regulation of pancreatic morphogenesis during embryonic development as well as the ductal epithelial cell regeneration and maintenance of the pancreatic β-cell mass and regulation of insulin production in adult pancreas (Thomas et al., 2000; Kim and Hebrok, 2001; Lau et al., 2006; Mimeault and Batra, 2008a; Parkin and Ingham, 2008). In particular, IHH and DHH, SMO, and PTCH1 are expressed in adult pancreatic islets of Langerhans, and the activation of Hh cascade in adult pancreatic β-cells may result in a transcriptional activation of islet duodenum homeobox-1, IDX-1, also designated as PDX-1, that in turn, can interact with the insulin promoter and up-regulate its expression (Thomas et al., 2000; Kim and Hebrok, 2001). Hence, the stimulation of the Hh cascade may represent a potential therapeutic strategy to up-regulate the IDX-1-induced insulin expression and maintain normal glucose homeostasis, and thereby treat diverse disorders, including diabetes mellitus. In counterbalance, the enhanced expression of SHH and IHH in pancreatic ductal epithelial cells in the exocrine compartment, which can be induced through the NF-κB activation during inflammation, however, might result in development of chronic pancreatitis and cancer (Kayed et al., 2003, 2004; Lau et al., 2006; Nakashima et al., 2006; Morton et al., 2007; Mimeault and Batra, 2008a; Parkin and Ingham, 2008). These observations support, then, the therapeutic interest of targeting the NF-κB or SHH pathway to treat inflammatory disorders of the pancreas such as pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer.

2. Functions of the Sonic Hedgehog Protein in the Bone Marrow and Their Therapeutic Implications. Numerous external and internal stimuli are involved in the stringent control of BM-resident hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells and hematopoiesis in homeostatic conditions and after injury (Trowbridge et al., 2006; Kiuru et al., 2009; Merchant et al., 2010). In particular, it has been proposed that the activation of the Hh signaling pathway might induce the expansion of primitive BM-resident hematopoietic cells under homeostatic conditions and during acute regeneration (Trowbridge et al., 2006). Moreover, the SHH protein can cause changes in endosteal hematopoietic stem cell niche, including osteoblasts, and thereby alter early lymphoid differentiation (Kiuru et al., 2009). It has also been shown that SHH protein or oysterol, certain naturally occurring oxygenated derivatives of cholesterol, including 20(S)-hydroxycholesterol, can induce the antiapoptotic and osteogenic effects on multipotent BM-stromal cells by activating the canonical Hh pathway through SMO signaling element (Kim et al., 2007a, 2010; Amantea et al., 2008). In fact, the osteogenic effect induced through the stimulation of the Hh cascade seems to be mediated, at
least in part, via the enhanced expression of gene products associated with the Notch (HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2) and Wingless (Dkk-1) pathways (Amantia et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010). Hence, the stimulation of the Hh cascade by the SHH protein or oxysterols in BM-resident stromal cells may constitute a potential approach to induce their osteogenic differentiation and bone-forming properties. This strategy could be used to treat diverse osteopoenic disorders, such as the osteoporosis resulting from an increase of the adipocyte differentiation concomitant with a decrease of bone formation by osteoblasts occurring during chronological aging, which constitute the major causes of morbidity and mortality in elderly persons.

3. Functions of the Sonic Hedgehog Cascade in the Postnatal Developing and Adult Brain and Their Therapeutic Implications. In postnatal development and adult brain, the SHH protein has been shown to provide critical roles in neurogenesis occurring in the subventricular zone and hippocampal dentate gyrus, the zones known as the niches of adult neural stem/progenitor cells in mice and human in homeostatic conditions and after brain injuries (Pola et al., 2001; Calcutt et al., 2003; Palma and Ruiz i Altaba, 2004; Palma et al., 2005; Sicklick et al., 2005; Alvarez-Medina et al., 2009; Bambakidis et al., 2009; Gulino et al., 2009; Sims et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009a). More specifically, it has been shown that the SHH protein can display neuroprotective effects and cooperate with EGF to induce the proliferation of neural stem/progenitor cells in the subventricular zone and generate new olfactory interneurons in mice in vivo (Palma and Ruiz i Altaba, 2004; Palma et al., 2005). Furthermore, the SHH protein, which is synthesized and secreted by the Purkinje cells in the postnatal developing cerebellum and adult brain, can also promote, in a paracrine manner, the activation of the GLI-mediated canonical pathway and proliferation of GCPs (Wechsler-Reya and Scott, 1999; Charytoniuk et al., 2002a). It is noteworthy that reactive astrocytes in an injured cerebral cortex can also produce and secrete the SHH protein, which can stimulate Oligo2+ expressing progenitor cells (Amankulor et al., 2009). Hence, Oligo2+ GCPs can give rise to mature oligodendrocytes that contribute to re-myelination of injured axons. These observations support the therapeutic interest of stimulating the SHH pathway for treating diverse brain defects and injuries, neurodegenerative disorders, and cerebral cortical injuries such as multiple sclerosis (Bambakidis et al., 2009; Gulino et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009).

4. Functions of the Hedgehog Cascade in the Cardiovascular System and Their Therapeutic Implications. Numerous accumulating lines of evidence have also indicated that the activation of Hh signaling cascade may promote the neovascularization process after severe ischemic injuries (Pola et al., 2001; Kusano et al., 2005; Asai et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2007; Lavine et al., 2008; Renault et al., 2009; Sims et al., 2009). More specifically, it has been shown that the SHH protein plays a critical role in coronary development and can promote the formation of coronary vessels in the embryonic and adult heart. Moreover, it has been shown that Hh signaling molecules are expressed in human peripheral monocytes, and the SHH protein induces the migration of monocytes in blood samples from control patients, but it does not induce a chemotactic effect on monocytes from diabetic patients with coronary artery disease (Dunaeva et al., 2010). The impaired response of diabetic patients to the SHH protein has been associated with a strong transcriptional up-regulation of the PTCH1 receptor, which can negatively regulate the SMO transducer activity. In addition, the SHH protein can also contribute to the neoangiogenesis process by promoting the proliferation, migration, and vascular endothelial growth factor production via the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in BM-derived endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), which might be recruited to injured tissues (Fu et al., 2006).

It is of therapeutic interest that the exogenous administration of the SHH protein or SHH gene transfer has been shown to induce angiogenesis and accelerate the repair of ischemic brain injury, acute and chronic myocardial ischemia, and skeletal muscle ischemia in animal models in vivo (Pola et al., 2001; Kusano et al., 2005). Moreover, a strategy consisting of topically applied SHH gene therapy also accelerated the cutaneous wound healing in a diabetic mouse model in vivo, in least in part, via the stimulation of dermal fibroblasts and indirectly by enhancing the recruitment of BM-derived EPCs at damaged skin, which in turn promoted microvasculature remodeling and wound repair (Asai et al., 2006). Hence, the stimulation of the Hh signaling pathway might represent a potential strategy to promote neoangiogenesis and arteriogenesis and thereby prevent diverse cardiovascular disorders such as ischemic injury and heart failure.

Despite great clinical interest to stimulate the Hh cascade to treat diverse human disorders, it is noteworthy that additional investigations are required to confirm the therapeutic benefit of this strategy versus its detrimental effect on normal adult stem/progenitor cells, including the potential induction of their malignant transformation and cancer development.

IV. Critical Functions of the Hedgehog Signaling Pathway in the Malignant Transformation of Cancer- and Metastasis-Initiating Cells and Their Progenies

The sustained activation of the Hh signaling pathway in tissue-resident adult stem/progenitor cells and their progenies has been proposed to represent a potential event that may contribute to their malignant transformation during cancer initiation and progression (Thayer et al., 2003; Cohen, 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Karhadkar et al., 2004; Oniscu et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2004;
Ohta et al., 2005; Datta and Datta, 2006; Mimeault et al., 2006, 2007a,b, 2008; Bian et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2007b; Clement et al., 2007; Ehtesham et al., 2007; Peacock et al., 2007; Stecca et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Mimeault and Batra, 2008a; Schnidar et al., 2009). More specifically, inherited or somatic inactivating mutations in the PTCH1 or SUFU tumor suppressor gene leading to a loss-of-function and/or activating mutation in SMO oncogene that aberrantly activates Hh signal transduction may result in the development of diverse cancers (Fig. 6) (Dahmane et al., 1997; Reifenberger et al., 1998; Taylor et al., 2002; Berman et al., 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Sheng et al., 2004; Douard et al., 2006). These cancer types include BCCs and common pediatric tumors such as medulloblastoma, a highly malignant tumor derived from cerebellar granule neuron progenitor cells, and rhabdomyosarcoma, a tumor that originates in the soft tissues of the body, including the skeletal muscles, tendons, and connective tissues (Dahmane et al., 1997; Reifenberger et al., 1998; Taylor et al., 2002; Berman et al., 2003; Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2004; Beachy et al., 2004). It has also been reported that the gene encoding HHIP, an endogenous Hh inhibitor, may be transcriptionally silenced by hypermethylation and chromatin remodeling in diverse cancers, such as gastrointestinal and hepatocellular carcinomas, and thereby contribute to the persistent activation of the Hh cascade (Taniguchi et al., 2007; Tada et al., 2008; Eichenmüller et al., 2009). In addition, an up-regulation of expression levels and/or activities of Hh signaling elements, including Hh ligands, SMO coreceptor, and GLI proteins often occurs during cancer initiation, and progression to locally invasive and metastatic disease stages. The overexpression of Hh signaling elements might result in the sustained growth and enhanced invasive properties of malignant cells in multiple myeloma, melanoma, glioma, gastrointestinal tract, pancreatic, hepatic, small-cell lung, prostate, mammary, and ovarian cancers (Thayer et al., 2003; Beachy et al., 2004; Karhadkar et al., 2004; Oniscu et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2004; Sheng et al., 2004; Ohta et al., 2005; Douard et al., 2006; Mimeault et al., 2006, 2007a,b; Bar et al., 2007; Bian et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2007b; Ehtesham et al., 2007; Peacock et al., 2007; Stecca et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2008; Liao et al., 2009; Mizuarai et al., 2009; Schnidar et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010). More particularly, the reactivation of the Hh pathway and other developmental cascades, including EGFR and Wnt/β-catenin, in tissue-resident adult stem/progenitor cells during severe tissue injury, chronic inflammation, and intense stress along chronological aging might promote the cancer initiation and development (Beachy et al., 2004; Nielsen et al., 2008; Mimeault and Batra, 2009, 2010a,c; Strobel et al., 2010). Moreover, the up-regulation of Hh signaling components along the epithelial-mesenchymal transition process, might play a pivotal role in the proliferation, survival, invasion, and metastases of cancer stem/progenitor cells and their progenies at distant tissues (Mimeault and Batra, 2007, 2010a,c; Klarmann et al., 2009).

In support of the critical implication of the Hh signaling network in cancer initiation, it has been shown that PTCH knockout mice or SMOA1;Bmi(+/−) and SMOA1;Bmi(+/−) mice expressing SMO and BMI-1 spontaneously developed typical medulloblastoma arising from the expansion of the cerebellar granule neuron precursors (Michael et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; Ward et al., 2009). Furthermore, it has been shown that the activation of the Hh cascade by the SHH ligand may induce a transitory differentiation of prostate stem/progenitor cells into CD44+/p63+(−/−) hyperplasia basal cells with an intermediate phenotype (CK8/14) (Chen et al., 2006a, 2007a). This early transforming event culminated toward tumorigenesis by giving rise to CD44, PTCH1, and GLI-expressing prostate cancer cells (Chen et al., 2006a, 2007a). In the same way, it has been reported that the Hh cascade is activated in human breast CD44+/CD24−/lowLin− cancer stem cells, and the overexpression of GLI2 transcriptional activator in mammosphere-initiating cells resulted in the formation of ductal hyperplasia in a humanized nonobese diabetic-severe combined immunodeficient (NOD/SCID) mouse model in vivo (Liu et al., 2006; Tanaka et al., 2009). The overexpression of GLI1 in the mouse mammary gland also resulted in tumor development arising from the expansion of epithelial cells expressing the progenitor cell markers keratin 6 and BMI-1 (Fiaschi et al., 2009). Moreover, the activated Hh-GLI signaling pathway might regulate the expression levels of stemness genes, self-renewal ability, and survival of CD133+ glioma cancer stem cells and may contribute to sustained glioma growth and tumor cell survival in vivo (Clement et al., 2007).

In addition to the oncogenic effects induced through the activation of the Hh pathway in cancer cells, it has also been reported that Hh ligands can contribute to the pathogenesis of diverse human epithelial cancers, including pancreatic, colon, prostate, breast, and ovarian cancers by acting on the surrounding stromal cells and promoting the tumor neovascularization process (Yauch et al., 2008; Kasper et al., 2009; Olive et al., 2009; Shaw et al., 2009; Theunissen and de Sauvage, 2009; Nakamura et al., 2010; Walter et al., 2010). Moreover, different molecular cross-talk between the Hh cascade and other oncogenic signaling elements might cooperate for the tumor development and transition to invasive and metastatic disease stages.

A. Cross-Talks between the Hedgehog Cascade and Other Oncogenic Signaling Elements

A growing body of evidence has indicated that the aberrant activation of the Hh pathway combined with the occurrence of other oncogenic events, including the activating mutations in oncogenes such as K-RAS or inactivation of tumor suppressor gene products (p53,
p16\textsuperscript{INK4A}, and/or phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted chromosome 10), may cooperate in the malignant transformation of diverse epithelial cells and tumor development (Thayer et al., 2003; Hingorani et al., 2005; Pasca di Magliano et al., 2006; Carrière et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2007; Morton et al., 2007; Reinisch et al., 2007; Abe et al., 2008; Kasai et al., 2008; Frappart et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009; Stecca and Ruiz I Altaba, 2009). For instance, it has been reported that the endogenous expression of mutated K-RAS (G12D) in a population of pancreatic exocrine progenitors characterized by the expression of nestin resulted in the formation of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasias in a mouse model in vivo (Carrière et al., 2007). Moreover, the activation of the SHH signaling pathway cooperated with oncogenic K-RAS to promote pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma development (Thayer et al., 2003; Pasca di Magliano et al., 2006; Ji et al., 2007; Kasai et al., 2008). More specifically, the malignant transformation of human pancreatic ductal epithelial cells induced by mutated K-RAS through the stimulation of RAP/extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (MEK)/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling elements was accompanied by an increase of the GLI transcriptional activity leading to enhanced GLI1 expression (Ji et al., 2007). It has also been reported that the oncogenic K-RAS-induced cell transformation in pancreatic epithelium may be mediated, at least in part, through an enhanced expression of SHH ligand (Thayer et al., 2003; Hingorani et al., 2005; Pasca di Magliano et al., 2006). In this regard, it is noteworthy that the oncogenic K-RAS has been observed to enhance the association of the SCL/TAL1 interrupting locus product, a cytoplasmic protein overexpressed in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, with SUFU protein in pancreatic cancer cell lines (Kasai et al., 2008). Thus, the formation of the SCL/TAL1 interrupting locus-SUFU complexes may inhibit the repressive effect induced by SUFU protein on the GLI activity and result in an up-regulation of GLI target gene expression (Kasai et al., 2008). On the other hand, it has also been shown that the stimulation of the Hh signaling cascade may activate human double minute 2 and thereby increase the p53 degradation by ubiquitination and inhibit the p53-mediated tumor suppressive effect in human breast cancer cell lines (Abe et al., 2008).

In addition, the persistent activation of RTKs such as EGFR and platelet-derived growth factor receptor \(\alpha\) as well as TGF-\(\beta\)/TGF-\(\beta\)R and Wnt/\(\beta\)-catenin also can cooperate with the canonical Hh-GLI pathway (Xie et al., 2001; Bigelow et al., 2005; Palma et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006; Maeda et al., 2006; Riobo et al., 2006; Riöbo et al., 2006; Stecca et al., 2007; Nolan-Stevaux et al., 2009; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009; Stecca and Ruiz, 2010). The integration of these signaling cascades may promote the acquisition of a more malignant behavior by cancer cells and the development of diverse aggressive cancers (Xie et al., 2001; Bigelow et al., 2005; Palma et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006b; Riobo et al., 2006a; Denu[l et al., 2007, 2009; Stecca et al., 2007; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009). The signaling cross-talk between Hh and other growth factor cascades may be mediated through different molecular mechanisms (Kasper et al., 2006b; Riobo et al., 2006a; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009). In particular, an increase of GLI1 and GLI2 transcriptional expression may be induced through the activation of TGF-\(\beta\)/TGF-\(\beta\)R/Smads and Wnt/\(\beta\)-catenin during cancer progression (Dennler et al., 2007, 2009). Moreover, the stability and activities of the GLI1 and GLI2 transcriptional effectors of the Hh pathway may be modulated through the integration of distinct intracellular transduction signals induced through RTK activation. These transforming events consist of a sustained activation of RAS/RAF/MEK/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)/MAPK, PI3K/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)/p70\textsuperscript{S6K}, and/or protein kinase C-\(\delta\) (Kasper et al., 2006b; Riobo et al., 2006; Riöbo et al., 2006; Stecca et al., 2007; Mizuurai et al., 2009; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009). In fact, the stimulation of these distinct signaling elements can cooperate with GLI proteins in the regulation of specific target gene expression, including \(\text{PTCH1}\) and \(\text{GLI1}\), in a cancer cell type-dependent manner (Kasper et al., 2006b; Riobo et al., 2006a; Stecca et al., 2007; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009). Numerous investigations have revealed that the overexpression of EGFR signaling elements frequently occurs in numerous aggressive and metastatic cancers, and can cooperate with the Hh pathway for the malignant transformation and survival of cancer cells.

### B. Cross-Talks between the Hedgehog and Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Signaling Cascades

The enhanced expression and/or activity of EGFR and its ligands, EGF, TGF-\(\alpha\), heparin-binding EGF, amphi- regulin, and epiregulin, has been associated with the development of diverse aggressive cancers, such as brain, skin, cervical, head and neck, renal, non–small-cell lung, liver, gastrointestinal, colorectal, pancreas, prostate, breast, and ovarian cancers and sarcomas (Ohsaki et al., 2000; Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001; Di Lorenzo et al., 2002; Hernes et al., 2004; Hynes and Lane, 2005; Shepherd et al., 2005; Citri and Yarden, 2006; Shah et al., 2006; Cerciello et al., 2007; Mimeault and Batra, 2007, 2008a; Mimeault et al., 2008; Yonesaka et al., 2008; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009). The EGFR signaling network can contribute to the tumor growth, invasiveness, and angiogenic process through autocrine and paracrine loops by activating diverse intracellular cascades. Among these signaling elements, there are MAPKs, PI3K/Akt, NF-\(\kappa\)B, phospholipase C\(\gamma\), and the transcriptional repressor of E-cadherin expression, snail and twist (Fig. 6) (Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001; Hynes and Lane, 2005; Angelucci et al., 2006; Citri
and Yarden, 2006; Mimeault et al., 2006, 2008; Lo et al.,

Accumulating lines of evidence have indicated that
different bidirectional cross-talk between Hh and EGFR
signaling cascades may contribute to the malignant
transformation of cancer cells. For instance, it has been
shown that the stimulation of EGFR/RAS/RAF/MEK/
ERK might lead to an activation of the GLI transcription
factor and selective transcriptional modulation of GLI
target gene expression in HaCaT keratinocytes and
BCC, gastric, and pancreatic cancer cell lines (Bigelow
et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006b; Schnidar et al., 2009;
Seto et al., 2009). More specifically, the activation of the
EGFR pathway resulted in the stimulation of transcription
factors such as activator protein 1 family member
JUN that cooperated with GLI1 and/or GLI2 activators
for triggering selective expression of target gene prod-
ucts involved in the oncogenic transformation of BCC
cell lines (Schnidar et al., 2009). Likewise, the stimula-
tion of the Hh pathway can also modulate the expression
and activities of EGFR signaling elements (Bigelow
et al., 2005; Mimeault et al., 2006; Pasca di Magliano et
al., 2006; Heo et al., 2007). For instance, it has been ob-
served that the constitutive SHH expression was asso-
ciated with an enhanced phosphorylation of EGFR in
mouse embryonic stem cells and human HaCaT keratin-
ocyes as well as an increase of collagen matrix invasion
of HaCaT keratinocytes (Bigelow et al., 2005; Heo et
al., 2007). It has also been reported that the EGFR signaling
was activated in undifferentiated tumors formed in the
GLI2-overexpressing mouse embryonic stem cells and
human HaCaT keratinocytes (Bigelow et al., 2005; Heo et
al., 2007). It has also been reported that the EGFR signaling
was activated in undifferentiated tumors formed in the
GLI2-overexpressing Pdx-Cre;CLEG2 mouse model, and
up-regulation of EGFR/Akt signaling contributed to the
proliferation and survival of pancreatic cancer cells
(Pasca di Magliano et al., 2006). The inhibition of the Hh
cascade using cyclopamine was also accompanied by a
down-regulation of EGFR expression level in prostate
and pancreatic cancer cells (Mimeault et al., 2006; Hu et
al., 2007).

Together, these observations have revealed that an
up-regulation of Hh and RTKs, including EGFR sig-
aling elements, during cancer progression and mul-
tiple cross-talks between these tumorigenic cascades
can cooperate for the sustained growth, survival, and
treatment resistance of cancer stem/progenitor cells
and their progenies. Thus, the targeting of these tum-
origenic signaling elements might constitute a po-
tential therapeutic strategy of great clinical interest
for overcoming treatment resistance and developing
novel combination therapies against aggressive, meta-
static, and recurrent cancers.

V. Targeted Therapies

The molecular targeting of different oncogenic pro-
ducts in cancer cells represents a potential strategy for
improving the current therapeutic treatments by anti-


gonadal therapies, radiotherapies, and chemothera-
pies against locally advanced, invasive, metastatic, and
recurrent cancers. Because the resistance of cancer-
and metastasis-initiating cells to current therapies can pro-
cide critical roles in tumor regrowth, metastases, and
disease relapse, the molecular targeting of these imma-
ture cells endowed with high self-renewal and aberrant
differentiation abilities constitutes a promising therape-
uc strategy to prevent disease recurrence. The poten-
tial molecular targets include Hh/GLI, EGFR family
members, Wnt/β-catenin, Notch, hyaluronan/CD44,
TGF-β/TGF-βR, and stromal cell-derived factor-1/CXC
chemokine receptor 4 signaling elements (Mimeault and
Batra, 2007, 2008a,b; Mimeault et al., 2008). Based on a growing body of experimental evidence indi-
cating that the persistent activation of Hh and/or EGFR
pathways represents a critical step in cancer progres-
sion to invasive and metastatic stages and disease re-
currence, many efforts have been made to develop spe-
cific inhibitory agents targeting these tumorigenic
cascades. We review the results from recent investiga-
tions supporting the clinical interest of targeting the Hh
and/or EGFR cascades to eradicate the total tumor cell
mass, improve current treatment, and develop new ef-
ective combination therapies against aggressive and
recurrent cancers.

A. Targeting of the Canonical Hedgehog Tumorigenic
Signaling Pathway

One of the therapeutic approaches to block the Hh
signaling cascade is the use of a specific inhibitor of the
SMO coreceptor. These chemical compounds include
the natural plant-derived steroidal alkaloid cyclopamine
and its derivatives, such as 3-keto-N-(aminomethyl-amin-
ocaproyl-dihydrocinnamoyl) cyclopamine (KAAD-cyclo-
pamine), and semisynthetic d-homo-ring analogs IPI-
269609 (Feldmann et al., 2008) and IPI-926 (Olive et
al., 2009) as well as small synthetic molecules such as
2-chloro-N-[4-chloro-3-(2-pyridinyl)phenyl]-4-(methyl-
sulfonyl)benzamide (GDC-0049; HhAntag691), a proline
derivative Cur61414 (Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006), and
N-(6-(2S,6R)-2,6-dimethylmorpholino)pyridin-3-yl-
2-methyl-4′-(trifluoromethoxy)biphenyl-3-carboxam-
ide (NVP-LDE-225) (Table 1) (Williams et al., 2003;
Romer et al., 2004; Mimeault et al., 2006; Riobo et al.,
2006a; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Bar et al., 2007;
Chen et al., 2007b; Clement et al., 2007; Lauth et al.,
2007; Peacock et al., 2007; Stecca et al., 2007; Feldmann
et al., 2008; Büttner et al., 2009; Eichenmüller et al.,
2009; Jimeno et al., 2009; Olive et al., 2009; Robarge et
al., 2009; Tremblay et al., 2009; Mimeault and Batra,
2010b; Fan et al., 2010; Stanton and Peng, 2010; Yang et
al., 2010). Moreover, small synthetic structural analogs
of the second and third intracellular loops of the SMO
proteins, such as small palmitoylated peptides as short
as 10 residues, have also been designed (Remsberg et al.,
2007). In addition, other therapeutic strategies include
the use of anti-PTCH1 or SHH monoclonal antibody
TABLE 1  
Potential inhibitory agents of hedgehog and EGFR tumorigenic signaling elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Signaling Element</th>
<th>Name of Inhibitory Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth factor signaling element</td>
<td>SHH ligand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMO coreceptor</td>
<td>Anti-SHH antibody, HHIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLI-1 or GLI-2</td>
<td>Cyclopamine, KAAD-cyclopamine, IPI-926, IPI-269609, GDC-0449, NVP-LDE-225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR (erbB1)</td>
<td>small palmitoylated peptides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR-TKI</td>
<td>siRNA, shRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt/β-catenin</td>
<td>Anti-EGFR-antibody (mAb-C225, ICM-C225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notch</td>
<td>Gefitinib, erlotinib, AG1478, EKB-569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR</td>
<td>Anti-VEGFR antibody (DC101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR/EGFR</td>
<td>ZD6474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction element</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-1</td>
<td>Vorinostat, azacitidine decitabine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2</td>
<td>Antisense-Bcl-2 (oblimersen sodium), ABT-737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnesyl transferase</td>
<td>FTI (BMS-214622, R115577)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAF</td>
<td>Sorafenib, RAF265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>PD0325901, SL 327, U012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K/Akt</td>
<td>ZSTK474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>Rapamycin, CCI-779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-κB</td>
<td>Iba inhibitor, sulfasalazine, bortezomib (PS-341), salinosporamide A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2</td>
<td>NS-398 (aspirin), etodolac, celecoxib, rofecoxib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC transporters</td>
<td>Cyclopamine, gefitinib, erlotinib</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ABT-737, 4-([(2-(4-chlorophenyl)phenyl)methyl]piperazin-1-yl)-N-[(2(R)-4-(dimethylamino)1-phenylsulfanylbutan-2-yl)amino]-3-nitrophenyl)sulfonylbenzamide; BMS-214622, (3R)-3-benzyl-1-(1H-imidazol-5-ylmethyl)-4-thiophen-2-ylsulfonyl, 3,5-dihydro-2H,1,4-benzodiazepine-7-carbonitrile; CCI-779, rapamycin 42-[3-hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpropanoate]; Compound E, (2S)-2-[3,5-difluorophenyl]acetamido-6-(1-methyl-7-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.2.0]-2,6-dodecanyl)quinolin-2-one; FTI (BMS-214622, R115577) | SHH ligand |
| SMO coreceptor | Cyclopamine, KAAD-cyclopamine, IPI-926, IPI-269609, GDC-0449, NVP-LDE-225 |
| GLI-1 or GLI-2 | small palmitoylated peptides |
| EGFR (erbB1) | siRNA, shRNA |
| EGFR-TKI | Anti-EGFR-antibody (mAb-C225, ICM-C225) |
| Wnt/β-catenin | Gefitinib, erlotinib, AG1478, EKB-569 |
| Notch | Anti-VEGFR antibody (DC101) |
| VEGFR | ZD6474 |
| Signal transduction element | |
| BMI-1 | Vorinostat, azacitidine decitabine |
| Bcl-2 | Antisense-Bcl-2 (oblimersen sodium), ABT-737 |
| Farnesyl transferase | FTI (BMS-214622, R115577) |
| RAF | Sorafenib, RAF265 |
| MEK | PD0325901, SL 327, U012 |
| PI3K/Akt | ZSTK474 |
| mTOR | Rapamycin, CCI-779 |
| NF-κB | Iba inhibitor, sulfasalazine, bortezomib (PS-341), salinosporamide A |
| COX-2 | NS-398 (aspirin), etodolac, celecoxib, rofecoxib |
| ABC transporters | Cyclopamine, gefitinib, erlotinib |

(mAb), endogenous Hh inhibitor, HHIP, small-molecule inhibitors of GLI1/GLI2 transcriptional activity, and silencing of GLI1 or GLI2 by siRNA or short hairpin RNAs (Table 1) (Karhadkar et al., 2004; Sanchez et al., 2004; Ohta et al., 2005; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Bar et al., 2007; Clement et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2007, 2008; Kim et al., 2007b; Lauth et al., 2007; Mimeault et al., 2006; Rubin and de Sauvage, 2006; Clement et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2007; Lauth et al., 2007; Peacock et al., 2007; Remsberg et al., 2007; Stecca et al., 2007; Eichenmüller et al., 2009; Jimeno et al., 2009; Kobune et al., 2009; Liao et al., 2009; Robarge et al., 2009; Tanaka et al., 2009; Tremblay et al., 2009). It has been observed that the inhibition of the SMO signaling effector with cyclopamine inhibited tumor growth, invasion, and metastases of cancer cells with stem cell-like properties in human leukemia, multiple myeloma, and glioma as well as pancreatic, breast, and liver cancers (Liu et al., 2005b, 2006; Douard et al., 2006; Bar et al., 2007; Clement et al., 2007; Feldmann et al., 2007, 2008; Peacock et al., 2007; Stecca et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2008; Eichenmüller et al., 2009; Kobune et al., 2009; Tanaka et al., 2009). In particular, it has been observed that the systemic delivery of cyclopamine or a novel, orally bioavailable small-molecule SMO inhibitor, IPI-269609, prevented the metastatic spread of pancreatic cells and reduced the number of tumor cells expressing the stem cell-like marker aldehyde dehydrogenase in the animal model in vivo (Feldmann et al., 2007, 2008). Moreover, cyclopamine treatment or GLI-1 knockdown by siRNA was also effective at eradicating the clonogenic glioma cells expressing the stem cell-like markers in vitro and inhibiting intracranial growth of glioma stem cell-derived tumors in vivo (Bar et al., 2007; Clement et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2008). In addition, recent investigations have revealed that the primary cilium might supply key functions for the activation of the SMO protein in certain types of cancer cells (Fig. 3) (Rosenbaum and Witman, 2002; Corbit et
IPI-926 also induced antiangiogenic effects on a pancre-

carcinoma that overexpress SMO coreceptor compared

to normal pancreatic fibroblasts (Walter et al., 2010).
In the same pathway, the GLI2 gene silencing by specific
short hairpin RNAs also induced the apoptosis in a
mouse BCC-like tumor cell line and markedly inhibited
the tumor vascularization in an in vivo mouse tumor
model (Ji et al., 2008).

C. Clinical Trials with the Canonical Hedgehog
Cascade Inhibitors

Among the chemical compounds acting as the specific
inhibitors of the canonical Hh pathway, only the SMO
antagonists have been tested in humans. A preliminary
study performed with the natural steroidal alkaloid cy-
oclamine, consisting of its topical application in a
cream formulation to four patients with BCC, has re-
vealed that the tumors rapidly regressed in all cases
without adverse effects, and the normal skin and put-
tative stem cells exposed to cyclopamine were preserved
(Taş and Avci, 2004). Histological and immunohisto-
chemical analyses have also indicated that the topical
cyclopamine application resulted in an inhibition of the
proliferation and induced the apoptotic death of tumor
cells (Taş and Avci, 2004). The potential teratogenic
effect of cyclopamine at high doses may limit its use.
Other potent SMO inhibitors that have also reached the
clinical trials include the orally active IPI-926 semisy-
thetic derivative of cyclopamine and different synthetic
compounds such as GDC-0449, Cur61414, and NVP-
LDE-225 (LoRusso et al., 2008; Molckovsky and Siu,
2008; Rudin et al., 2009; Von Hoff et al., 2009; Stanton
and Peng, 2010).

The selective SMO antagonist GDC-0449 is among the
more potent chemical compounds that has recently sus-
pired great interest based on promising results from a
phase I clinical trial. This small synthetic molecule was
discovered through a high-throughput screening of a
library of chemical compounds to analyze their potential
inhibitory effect on the GLI1 expression by luciferase
reporter gene assays followed by an optimization of the
pharmacological properties of the most active SMO an-
tagons by medicinal chemistry (Robarge et al., 2009).
The data from a phase I multicenter clinical trial con-
sisting of the administration of orally active GDC-0449 in patients with advanced and metastatic cancers revealed that this pharmacological agent showed antitumor activity and was well tolerated, with no grade 5 adverse events and dose-limiting toxicity, in a subset of cancer patients (LoRusso et al., 2008; Molckovsky and Siu, 2008; Von Hoff et al., 2009). More specifically, the results from this phase I clinical trial, obtained with 33 patients diagnosed with locally advanced or metastatic BCCs that were refractory to standard therapies, treated daily with oral GDC-0449 during a median time of 9.8 months, revealed that two patients showed a complete tumor response and 16 had a partial response to this treatment, whereas other patients had stable or progressive disease (Von Hoff et al., 2009). Moreover, a case report about the enrollment in a phase I clinical trial of a 26-year-old patient diagnosed with a systemic progressive disease (Von Hoff et al., 2009). Additionally, the complete tumor response and 16 had a partial response to this treatment, whereas other patients had stable or progressive disease (Von Hoff et al., 2009). Consequently, this patient was removed from the clinical trial because of disease progression and died after approximately 2 months, despite a series of subsequent therapies (Rudin et al., 2009).

Hence, these data from a phase I clinical trial suggest that the GDC-0449 might induce the antitumoral effects in a subset of patients with locally advanced or metastatic BCCs or medulloblastomas characterized by a sustained activation of the Hh signaling cascade despite the intrinsic or acquired resistance to this treatment type, which might be prevalent in certain patients may counteract the efficacy of this therapeutic strategy. Phase I and II clinical trials are also ongoing to investigate long-term antitumoral activity and safety of GDC-0449, alone or in combination with current chemotherapeutic treatments, in patients diagnosed with a variety of cancers. These cancers include localized, metastatic, or recurrent BCC, medulloblastoma, glioblastoma multiforme, and small-cell lung, pancreatic, stomach, colorectal, breast, and ovarian cancers. Thus, the results from these additional clinical trials with GDC-0449 and other specific Hh inhibitors should confirm the therapeutic benefit and safety of inhibiting the Hh signaling pathway in treating patients with a wide range of solid tumors.

D. Targeting of the Noncanonical Hedgehog Tumorigenic Signaling Pathways

Emerging lines of experimental evidence have revealed that the activation of noncanonical Hh pathways may contribute in cooperation with the canonical Hh pathways mediated through Hh ligand/PTCH1/SMO/GLIIs to the acquisition of more malignant phenotypes by cancer cells during disease progression (Lauth and Toftegaard, 2007; Lauth et al., 2007; Jenkins, 2009; Stecca and Ruiz, 2010). More particularly, it has been reported that the oncogenic EWS-FLI1 fusion protein detected in the majority of Ewing’s sarcomas, oncogenic K-RAS, and the activation of RTKs such as EGFR and platelet-derived growth factor receptor β as well as Wnt/β-catenin and the TGF-β1/TGF-βR system, can stimulate GLI expression and/or activities and Hh target gene expression during cancer development (Figs. 5 and 6) (Xie et al., 2001; Bigelow et al., 2005; Palma et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2006; Maeda et al., 2006; Röbo et al., 2006; Röbo et al., 2006; Dennler et al., 2007, 2009; Ji et al., 2007; Stecca et al., 2007; Nolan-Stevaux et al., 2009; Schnidar et al., 2009; Seto et al., 2009; Stecca and Ruiz, 2010).

Hence, these oncogenic signaling elements can cooperate with the canonical Hh ligand-induced signaling cascade in certain types of cancer cells. In fact, the bidirectional signaling cross-talk between these developmental pathways can cooperate to induce the epithelial-mesenchymal transition process in cancer cells and progression to invasive, metastatic, and recurrent cancers (Mimeault and Batra, 2007, 2010c; Yoo et al., 2008; Nolan-Stevaux et al., 2009; Stecca and Ruiz, 2010). Therefore, the targeting of these growth factor cascades and/or their intracellular signaling effectors, including GLI proteins, might constitute a promising therapeutic approach, alone or in combination with the specific inhibitor of canonical Hh pathway, to eradicate the cancer-initiating cells and their progenies (Dennler et al., 2007; Mimeault and Batra, 2007; Hyman et al., 2009). In support of this, it has been observed that the oncogenic K-RAS transformation of human pancreatic ductal epithelial cells, which resulted in an increase of GLI transcriptional activity, was inhibited by siRNA targeting K-RAS or a MEK-specific inhibitor, 1,4-diamino-2,3-dicyano-1,4-bis(methylthio)butadiene (U0126) or 2’-amino-3’-methoxyflavone (PD98059) (Ji et al., 2007). In the same way, treatment of mouse pancreatic cell lines with siRNA constructs targeting K-RAS or GLI1 also inhibited expression of GLI1 and PTCH1 and induced the apoptotic death of these cancer cells in vitro (Nolan-Stevaux et al., 2009). Moreover, it has been observed that the TGF-β type I activin receptor-like kinase 5 (ALK5) inhibitor 4-(5-benzo(1,3)dioxol-5-yl-4-pyridin-2-yl-1H-imidazol-2-yl)benzamide (SB431542) completely abrogated the stimulatory effect induced by TGF-β on GLI2 expression and inhibited the growth of pancreatic cancer cells in vitro and in vivo (Dennler et al., 2007). It has also been shown that the small molecules termed 4-(2,4,5-tripyrindin-4-yl-thiophen-3-yl)pyridine (GANT58) and 2’-[(dihydro-2-(4-pyridinyl)-1,3(2H,4H)-pyrimidinediyl])bis (methylene)]bis[N,N-dimethyl]-benzenamine (GANT61), acting as inhibitors of GLI1- and GLI2-mediated Hh...
gene expression, inhibited the growth of the PANC-1 pancreatic and recurrent 22Rv1 prostate cancer cell lines in vitro and tumor growth of 22Rv1 xenografts in nude mice in vivo more potently than the SMO antagonist cycloamine (Lauth et al., 2007). On the other hand, it has been reported that the activation of GSK3b, which may contribute to the inactivation of GLI proteins, might be inhibited through the stimulation of PI3K/Akt/mTOR/p70S6K2 intracellular signaling (Yuan et al., 2007; Mizuara et al., 2009). In contrast, the silencing of p70S6K2 activated GSK3b, enhanced GLI degradation, and inhibited the viability of non–small-cell lung cancer cells (Yuan et al., 2007; Mizuara et al., 2009). These data suggest that p70S6K2 might also represent a potential therapeutic target to inhibit Hh ligand-independent activation of the Hh cascade mediated through high GLI expression levels in certain types of cancer cells. In this regard, we reviewed in a more detailed manner the therapeutic strategies consisting of targeting EGFR, alone or in combination with a Hh inhibitor, for improving the current clinical cancer therapies.

E. Targeting of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tumorigenic Signaling Pathway

Numerous preclinical and clinical studies have indicated that the selective blockade of the EGFR signaling pathway may represent a potent strategy, alone or in combination with the other conventional treatments, to counteract cancer progression and prevent disease relapse (Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001; Budiyanto et al., 2003; Chung and Saltz, 2005; Haruki et al., 2005; Hynes and Lane, 2005; Shelton et al., 2005; del Carmen et al., 2005; Angelucci et al., 2006; Citri and Yarden, 2006; Mineault et al., 2006, 2010; Cerciello et al., 2007; Tortora et al., 2007; Shaw and Prowse, 2008; Griffiero et al., 2009). Among the selective agents targeting the EGFR cascade are the anti-EGFR antibodies (mAb-C225 and ICM-C225, also designated cetuximab and erbitux, respectively), antisense oligonucleotide directed against EGFR or its ligands EGF and TGF-α, and the selective inhibitors of EGFR tyrosine kinase activity such as 4-(3'-chlooroanilino)-6,7-dimethoxy-quinazoline (AG1478), N-(3-chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-7-methoxy-6-(3-morpholin-4-ylpropoxy)quinazolin-4-amine (gefitinib; Iressa; ZD1839) N-(3-ethynylphenyl)-6,7-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)quinazolin-4-amine (erlotinib; Tarceva; OSI-774) (Table 1) (Chung and Saltz, 2005; Haruki et al., 2005; Hynes and Lane, 2005; Shelton et al., 2005; Shepherd et al., 2005; Bonner et al., 2006; Hanna et al., 2006; Khambata-Ford et al., 2007; Nogueira-Rodrigues et al., 2008; Yonesaka et al., 2008). The response of cancer patients to the blockade of the EGFR signaling cascade may be influenced by different factors, including the activating mutations in the EGFR and expression levels of EGFR ligands in cancer cells (Khambata-Ford et al., 2007; Yonesaka et al., 2008).

F. New Combination Therapies against Aggressive and Recurrent Cancers

In view of the fact that aggressive, metastatic, and recurrent cancers are typically characterized by activation of numerous oncogenic signaling elements, combination therapies may represent more effective treatments than monotherapies for improving the current therapeutic regimens and remedy the potential toxicity associated with the inclusion of high doses of individual drugs. In this regard, the molecular targeting of Hh and/or EGFR cascades has notably been shown to be a potential strategy for reversing the treatment resistance and improving the efficacy of the current antihormonal therapy, chemotherapy, and/or radiotherapy (Haruki et al., 2005; Hynes and Lane, 2005; del Carmen et al., 2005; Cerciello et al., 2007; Clement et al., 2007; Feldmann et al., 2007; Mineault and Batra, 2007 2008a; Morton et al., 2007; Tortora et al., 2007; Mineault et al., 2008, 2010; Shaw and Prowse, 2008; Jimeno et al., 2009; Kobune et al., 2009; Schnidar et al., 2009). For instance, the combined pharmacological inhibition of Hh/GLI and EGFR pathways by cycloamine and gefitinib resulted in enhanced antiproliferative and apoptotic effects on prostate and pancreatic cell lines in vitro (Mineault et al., 2006, 2010; Hu et al., 2007). Moreover, the combined use of cycloamine and gefitinib also resulted in suppression of the growth of BCC cell lines derived from mice in vitro (Schnidar et al., 2009). We have also shown...
that a combination of gefitinib and/or cyclophosphamide with current chemotherapeutic drug docetaxel or mitomycin-C led to a more massive rate of apoptotic death on diverse metastatic prostate cancer cells, including side-population (SP) and non-SP cell fractions from invasive prostate cancer cells, relative to individual drugs or two-drug combination treatments (Mimeault et al., 2007a,b, 2010). Of particular interest is that the combination of cyclophosphamide with the current chemotherapeutic drug temozolomide also induced additive and synergistic anticancerogenic effects on glioma stem cell culture cells in vitro (Clement et al., 2007). Likewise, a combination of cyclophosphamide and gemcitabine has been observed to inhibit tumor growth and metastatic spread and decrease the expression levels of stem cell-like markers, including aldehyde dehydrogenase detected by immunohistochemistry in a pancreatic cancer xenograft model in vivo (Feldmann et al., 2007; Jimeno et al., 2009). Moreover, the inhibition of Hh signaling with cyclophosphamide, HHIP, or anti-SHH mAb also induced apoptosis in CD34+ acute myeloid leukemic cells and significantly improved the cytotoxic effect induced by cytarabine on these leukemic cells (Kobune et al., 2009).

Of particular interest is that the Hh/GLI and EGFR-EGFR system may contribute to the regulation of the expression and/or cellular localization of ABC transporters in certain cancer cells, including the SP cell fraction with stem cell-like properties (Fig. 6) (Chen et al., 2006; Meyer zu Schwabedissen et al., 2006; Sims-Mourtada et al., 2007; Mimeault et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009). Therefore, the inhibition of Hh and/or EGFR cascades may represent a potential strategy for reversing multidrug resistance phenotypes mediated through ABC multidrug efflux pumps. In support of this, cyclophosphamide has been observed to reduce the expression levels of ABCG2/breast cancer resistance and ABCB1/multidrug resistance protein-1/P-glycoprotein in the metastatic and tumorigenic PC3 prostate cancer cell line and to improve the cytotoxic effects induced by different chemotherapeutic drugs on diverse cancer cell lines (Sims-Mourtada et al., 2007). The SMO antagonist GDC-0449 (HhAntag691) was also effective in inhibiting the drug efflux pump activity of ABCG2 and multidrug resistance protein-1 (Zhang et al., 2009). Likewise, it has been shown that EGFR can increase the expression of ABC transporters at the cell surface and in the SP fraction, whereas the blockade of the EGFR cascade can inhibit this effect (Takada et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2006b; Meyer zu Schwabedissen et al., 2006).

On the other hand, because the secreted ligands of Hh and EGFR cascades can act through a paracrine mode on surrounding tumor cells and stromal cells, the interference with their secretion and transport or SMO activity inhibition in host stromal cells could also constitute a potential adjuvant therapeutic strategy to counteract tumor development (Fig. 6). In this regard, it has been reported that blockade of the Hh pathway by using the SMO inhibitor IPI-926 improved tumor delivery and antitumoral efficacy of gemcitabine, at least in part, by disrupting the desmoplastic stroma in a pancreatic cancer cell model in vivo (Olive et al., 2009).

VI. Conclusions and Future Directions

Together, these recent studies have underlined the critical physiological roles played by the Hh signaling network during the developmental process and adult life in the maintenance of stem/progenitor cells and their progenies and complex molecular mechanisms involved in its regulation. Future studies, however, are necessary to more precisely delineate the signaling elements implicated in the positive and negative regulation of the transcriptional expression of Hh ligands and GLI proteins, SMO and GLI activation, and specific functions of the primary cilium in physiological and pathological conditions. In particular, it will be important to further determine the factors involved in the up-regulated expression of SHH and GLI activities and potential interactive cross-talk with other oncogenic pathways during cancer progression. The establishment of the precise molecular mechanisms of Hh signal transduction, including signaling elements involved in SMO translocation to the primary cilium and precise functions of IPT proteins in cellular responses induced in human normal and malignant cell types, is also of great interest. This additional work should help to develop novel potential pharmacological agents to modulate these cellular processes, and thereby counteract the activation of the Hh pathway and cancer development.

In addition, accumulating lines of experimental evidence have revealed that an aberrant activation of Hh/GLI and RTKs, such as the EGFR signaling cascade, frequently occur during cancer initiation and progression and these tumorigenic cascades may cooperate through multiple signaling cross-talks to the malignant transformation of cells, treatment resistance and disease relapse. Future studies are required to more precisely establish the molecular mechanisms and specific downstream signaling elements that may contribute to the cooperative or synergistic interactions of the Hh/GLIs and RTK signaling pathways, including EGFR, in cancer- and metastasis-initiating cells versus their differentiated progenies. Moreover, it is of great therapeutic interest to define drug resistance-associated molecules, including ABC transporters modulated through the inhibition of Hh and/or EGFR pathways, that could be targeted for reversing the chemoresistance of cancer- and metastasis-initiating cells. Hence, these additional investigations should lead to the identification of new potential targets to eradicate the total cancer cell mass and improve current therapies.

In view of the promising results from preclinical studies and a recent phase I clinical trial performed with specific SMO antagonists, and more particularly the
successful tumor regression observed with GDC-0449 in certain patients with cancer, the targeting of the Hh cascade seems to represent a therapeutic strategy of great clinical interest. The data obtained with a broad spectrum of patients diagnosed with different cancer types treated with a Hh inhibitor over the long-term should confirm the therapeutic benefit and safety to selectively target the Hh cascade, alone or in combination with the current conventional therapies. In this regard, the establishment of accurate screening tests of diagnosis and molecular mechanisms associated with the intrinsic and acquired resistance of cancer patients to the treatment with a Hh or EGFR inhibitor or other therapeutic targets is also of great clinical interest. These additional studies should lead to an optimization of the choice of therapeutic regimens and personalized medicine as well as the development of novel effective multitarget strategies that could be used for improving the current cancer therapies against locally invasive and metastatic cancers, which are generally associated with a high rate of disease relapse and the death of cancer patients.
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