Abstract—The drug-induced long QT syndrome is a distinct clinical entity that has evolved from an electrophysiologic curiosity to a centerpiece in drug regulation and development. This evolution reflects an increasing recognition that a rare adverse drug effect can profoundly upset the balance between benefit and risk that goes into the prescription of a drug by an individual practitioner as well as the approval of a new drug entity by a regulatory agency. This review will outline how defining the central mechanism, block of the cardiac delayed-
rectifier potassium current $I_{Kr}$, has contributed to defining risk in patients and in populations. Models for studying risk, and understanding the way in which clinical risk factors modulate cardiac repolarization at the molecular level are discussed. Finally, the role of genetic variants in modulating risk is described.

I. Introduction

The drug-induced long QT syndrome (diLQTS) describes a clinical entity in which administration of a drug produces marked prolongation of the QT interval of the electrocardiogram, associated with the development of a morphologically distinctive polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, termed torsades de pointes (TdP). Typical cases are shown in Figs. 1 to 3 and illustrate clinical features discussed further in section V: sex dependence; self-limited episodes of TdP; diLQTS developing after conversion of atrial fibrillation (AF) to normal rhythm; elevated drug concentrations as a result of impaired excretion; a stereotypical series of cycle length changes before the arrhythmia; and not only prolongation but also deformity of the QT interval, manifested as prominent “U waves.” Although the arrhythmia is frequently self-limited, degeneration to ventricular fibrillation and death can occur. The relationship between QT prolongation and risk for TdP is not straightforward, as discussed further below (section II.C). Accumulation of large series of cases of drug-induced TdP has allowed these and other clinical risk factors to be described, permitting identification of patients at especially high or especially low risk. Furthermore, identification of these clinical features has been a vital starting point in studies of underlying mechanisms.

II. History

The 1950s and 1960s saw the initial clinical descriptions of the congenital long QT syndromes, of drug-induced arrhythmias, and of the distinctive arrhythmia TdP. By the end of the 1960s, the potential link among these entities was beginning to be recognized, and initial hypotheses about underlying mechanisms were formulated. This section outlines these initial findings, which set the stage for our current understanding of how ion channel dysfunction arising from diverse perturbations from electrolyte abnormalities to variants in ion channel genes modifies risk.

A. Congenital Long QT Syndromes

The uncommon congenital syndromes of QT prolongation (cLQTS) associated with a high risk of sudden death were first described in the 1950s and 1960s (Jervell and Lange-Nielsen, 1957; Romano et al., 1963; Ward, 1964).

---

1 Abbreviations: AF, atrial fibrillation; APD, action potential duration; AV, atrioventricular; BVR, beat-to-beat variability of repolarization; CAVB, complete atrioventricular block; cLQTS, congenital syndromes of QT prolongation; diLQTS, drug-induced long QT syndrome; EAD, early afterdepolarization; ECG, electrocardiogram; LQTS, long QT syndrome; M cell, cell in the midmyocardium; SCD, sudden cardiac death; TdP, torsades de pointes; TDR, transmural dispersion of repolarization.

---

Fig. 1. Continuous recording from a patient who had recently begun receiving sotalol. During AF, there is irregularity of ventricular response, creating frequent short-long-short cycles but there is minimal change in QT intervals (top). After electrical cardioversion, the QT interval increased dramatically to 0.64 s (middle), and an episode of torsades de pointes is triggered (bottom). [Reprinted from Darbar D, Kimbrough J, Jawaid A, McCray R, Ritchie MD, and Roden DM (2008) Persistent atrial fibrillation is associated with reduced risk of torsades de pointes in patients with drug-induced long QT syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol 51:836–842. Copyright © 2008 Elsevier, Inc. Used with permission.]
Mutations in 13 genes are now recognized as causes of the cLQTS (Curran et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1995a; Schulze-Bahr et al., 1997; Abbott et al., 1999; Plaster et al., 2001; Mohler et al., 2003; Splawski et al., 2004; Vatta et al., 2006) (Medeiros-Domingo et al., 2007; Ueda et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010) (Table 1). Six of these encode a voltage-gated ion channel, a pore-forming protein that allows passage of specific ions across the cardiac cell membrane as a function of voltage and time during the cardiac cycle, and the remaining seven encode proteins that modulate ion channel function. The identification of these disease genes has led, in turn, to a vastly improved understanding of the role of individual ion currents in control of the cardiac action potential and thus the QT interval on the surface electrocardiogram (ECG) (Fig. 4). It is noteworthy that virtually all drugs that produce diLQTS block one important repolarizing current, $I_{Kr}$ (encoded by KCNH2, formerly termed HERG, the disease gene for type 2 cLQTS), and this finding, in turn, has had important implications for drug development and approval (Roden et al., 1988; Sanguinetti and Jurkiewicz, 1990; Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Haverkamp et al., 2000; Fenichel et al., 2004).

B. First Drug Associations

The first drug to be clearly associated with QT interval prolongation and TdP was quinidine, an extract of the cinchona bark. The drug was originally developed as an antimalarial (Frey, 1918), a purpose for which it continues to be used. Indeed, even in the initial use of the drug for a range of infectious diseases (malaria, typhoid fever, scarlet fever) in the 19th century, sudden deaths were reported (Levy, 1922). The drug began to be used for conversion of AF to normal rhythm in the early 20th century by the Dutch cardiologist Wenckebach (1923). In a summary of the first 460 cases reported in the literature, Levy (1922) identified five cases of abrupt syncope or sudden death, just over 1%. He presented a case of a woman with AF treated with quinidine for 6 days, after which normal rhythm was observed; shortly thereafter, the patient developed abrupt loss of consciousness and seizure-like activity; there seems little

Fig. 2. An example of drug-induced long QT syndrome. A common feature is a pause (often after an ectopic beat) with deranged repolarization in the following cycle. A 12-lead ECG recorded from a 79-year-old patient with advanced heart disease who had recently begun taking dofetilide. The abnormal QT interval is followed by a pause (star) and then four beats of polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (torsades de pointes). Sustained torsades de pointes then occurs after another pause.

Fig. 3. Rhythm recording from a 70-year-old woman with renal dysfunction recently treated with sotalol for AF. She converted to sinus rhythm several minutes before this recording. It shows a typical episode of torsades de pointes with a four-beat run of polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, a pause, and a sinus beat with a long and deformed QT interval (arrow), interrupted by another episode of TdP. This pattern of onset with a short cycle followed by a long one followed by a short one is typical of drug-induced torsades de pointes. Risk factors in this case included female sex, the administration of sotalol in a patient with renal failure (causing increased drug levels), and recent conversion from AF.
doubt that this represents a case of TdP occurring after conversion from AF to sinus rhythm, as illustrated in Fig. 1 and discussed further below (section V.D).

C. Torsades de Pointes

The actual arrhythmia responsible for quinidine syncope was not documented until the advent of online monitoring in the 1960s. In 1964, Selzer and Wray (1964) identified “paroxysmal ventricular fibrillation” in eight patients with quinidine syncope; a review of the published tracings shows typical episodes of what we would now call quinidine-associated marked QT prolongation and TdP, although no specific mention of the QT interval was made at the time (Selzer and Wray, 1964).

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Ion Channel/Protein</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Frequency in Genotype + LQTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LQT1</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>11p15</td>
<td>I$_{Ks}$</td>
<td>KCNQ1</td>
<td>40–55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT2</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>7q35-q36</td>
<td>I$_{Kr}$</td>
<td>KCNH2</td>
<td>35–45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT3</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>3p21</td>
<td>I$_{Na}$</td>
<td>SCN5A</td>
<td>2–8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT4</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>4q25-q27</td>
<td>Ankyrin B</td>
<td>ANK2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT5</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>21q22</td>
<td>I$_{Ks}$</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT6</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>21q22</td>
<td>I$_{Kr}$</td>
<td>KCNE2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT7</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>17q23</td>
<td>I$_{Kr}$</td>
<td>KCNJ2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT8</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>12p13</td>
<td>I$_{Ca-L}$</td>
<td>CACNA1C</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT9</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>3p25</td>
<td>Caveolin-3</td>
<td>CAV3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT10</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>11q23</td>
<td>NaV$_{1.5}$ β4</td>
<td>SCN4B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT11</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>7q21-q22</td>
<td>Yotiao</td>
<td>AKAP9</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT12</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>20q11</td>
<td>A1-syntrophin</td>
<td>SNTA1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQT13</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>11q23-24</td>
<td>I$<em>{K</em>{ACh}}$</td>
<td>KCNJ5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JLN1</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>11p15</td>
<td>I$_{Ks}$</td>
<td>KCNQ1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JLN2</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>21q22</td>
<td>I$_{Ks}$</td>
<td>KCNE1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; LQT, long QT syndrome; JLN, Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome.

**Fig. 4.** The relationship between ionic currents and the duration of cardiac repolarization recorded from the ECG and the myocardial action potential. Top, idealized ECG recording aligned with a schematized ventricular myocyte action potential. A balance between inward (red) and outward (black) currents controls repolarization, and a relative increase of outward over inward currents drives repolarization to completion. The action potential is initiated by inward sodium current (phase 0) and proceeds through early (phases 1 and 2) and late (phase 3) stages of repolarization. Increases in net inward current prolong repolarization. The "plateau" phases (2 and 3) are vulnerable to minor increases in net inward current, which can initiate early afterdepolarizations that are one likely cause of **torsades de pointes** LQTS. [Adapted from Anderson ME, Darbar D and Kannelker P (2007) Genetics of arrhythmias, in *Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine* (Topol EJ ed), Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA. Copyright © 2007 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Used with permission.]
As discussed further below, antiarrhythmic drugs with QT interval prolonging potential carry a 1 to 3% risk of TdP over 1 to 2 years of exposure (Soyka et al., 1990; Hohnloser et al., 1995; Torp-Pedersen et al., 1999), with the exception of amiodarone.

diLQTS also occurs with drugs not prescribed for cardiovascular indications, and the incidence seems orders of magnitude lower. The first such “noncardiovascular” drugs to be implicated in QT prolongation and TdP (now obvious from published reports) were antipsychotic agents, specifically thioridazine (Hollister and Kosek, 1965; Giles and Modlin, 1968). Since the 1960s, diLQTS has been reported with dozens of marketed noncardiovascular drugs in many therapeutic categories (Table 2; an up-to-date list is maintained at http://www.torsades.org). Although the idea that noncardiovascular drugs could also prolong the QT interval and trigger TdP or even sudden death was recognized during the 1970s and 1980s, it remained an electrocardiographic curiosity until the initial report of terfenadine-associated TdP in the late 1980s (Monahan et al., 1990). Terfenadine was so widely used that it was being considered for over-the-counter status at the time, so the recognition of this rare serious adverse event was an initiator for the regulatory and drug development issues discussed below.

D. Initial Mechanistic Insights

The French cardiologist Dessertenne (1966) coined the term “torsades de pointes” in his description of a polymorphic ventricular tachycardia with a slowly undulating electrical axis that he had observed in an elderly woman with advanced atrioventricular block. By 1970, Dessertenne and colleagues (Motté et al., 1970) provided a summary of their view of TdP, the main points of which remain valid: the arrhythmia is often self-limited but can degenerate to true ventricular fibrillation; the heart rate before the development of an event is often slow; and multiple etiologies have been recognized, including atrioventricular block, hypokalemia, and “intolerance” to quinidine. They noted the existence of a congenital syndrome of QT prolongation and speculated that TdP was also responsible for syncope in that entity. They described the salutary effect of normalizing the serum potassium and of increasing the heart rate by administration of isoproterenol or placement of a pacemaker, maneuvers that remain standard therapy for diLQTS to this day. They noted that many patients developing TdP were also taking digitalis glycosides but were uncertain of the potential role of digitalis toxicity to the arrhythmia. Contemporary studies suggest that intracellular calcium overload, the key effect of excess digitalis, can increase the risk for diLQTS (Burashnikov and Antzelevitch, 1998; Wu et al., 1999).

Two key clinical features of diLQTS are hypokalemia and slow underlying heart rates. Accordingly, investigators have sought to characterize the effects of known QT-prolonging agents on cardiac tissues under these conditions. One of the earliest reports identified early afterdepolarizations (EADs) in dog Purkinje fibers exposed to high concentrations of sotalol and paced slowly (Strauss et al., 1970). A similar finding was reported with the procainamide metabolite N-acetylprocainamide (Dangman and Hoffman, 1981), and clinical trials of NAPA identified QT prolongation and diLQTS as a risk (Olsansk et al., 1982; Chou et al., 1984). In the mid-1980s, bradycardia-dependent EADs were reported with quinidine exposure in canine Purkinje fibers, and this was potentiated when extracellular potassium was lowered (Rodan and Hoffman, 1985). Thus, an initial hypothesis was that bradycardia and hypokalemia lead to marked action potential prolongation and EADs in conduction tissue and that these serve as initiators of TdP, as discussed further below.

III. Molecular Mechanisms

The molecular mechanisms underlying the diLQTS have been greatly informed by studies of the cLQTS, in which mutations resulting in abnormal cardiac ion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drugs well-recognized to cause torsades de pointes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A listing of drugs and the strength of their association with torsades de pointes is maintained at <a href="http://www.torsades.org">http://www.torsades.org</a>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antiarhythmics
- Amiodarone
- Disopyramide
- Dofetilide
- Ibutilide
- Procanamide
- Quinidine
- Sotalol

Antibiotics
- Chloroquine
- Clarithromycin
- Erythromycin
- Halofantrine
- Pentamidine
- Sparfloxacin
- Antipsychotics
- Chlorpromazine
- Haloperidol
- Mesoridazine
- Pimozide
- Thoridazine

Antinauseants
- Domperidone
- Droperidol

Antineoplastic
- Arsenic trioxide

Calcium channel blockers
- Bepridil
- Lidoflazine

Antihistamines
- Terfenadine
- Astemizole

* Not available in the United States.
channel function result in the phenotype of QT prolongation and risk for sudden death due to TdP. Furthermore, a number of model systems have been helpful in elucidating underlying mechanisms, including cellular, tissue, whole-organ, and whole-animal models (Table 3).

A. Congenital Long QT Syndrome Informing the More Common Drug-Induced Long QT Syndrome

The precise genetic basis in cases of the cLQTS was first established in the 1990s with the identification of causative mutations in genes that encode proteins that form cardiac ion channels. Contemporary genetic testing identifies mutations in more than 80% of affected patients, and the two most common forms (responsible for 85–90% of genotype-positive cases) result from loss-of-function mutations in KCNQ1 (LQT1) and KCNH2 (LQT2) (Curran et al., 1995; Wang et al., 1996). These genes encode the α subunits of the major repolarizing potassium channels, which underlie the currents IKs and IKr. Mutations in genes that encode function-modifying or β subunits, KCNE1 (LQT5) and KCNE2 (LQT6), also reduce IKs and IKr, and result in cLQTS (Splawski et al., 1997; Abbott et al., 1999). Mutations in the β subunit KCNE3 have been identified in isolated patients with LQTS, and this may represent another form (Ohno et al., 2009). For KCNE2 and KCNE3, the evidence for causation in the congenital syndrome is weak, because only isolated patients and not families have been reported. Another nonion channel gene implicated in cLQTS is AKAP9, which encodes the protein kinase A adaptor protein yotiao and probably reduces IKs (Chen et al., 2007). Mutations in these genes can result in “loss of function” by several mechanisms, including altered expression of channel proteins, expression of nonfunctional or dysfunctional proteins, or expression of proteins that can function normally but are not processed to the cell membrane normally (altered trafficking) (Furutani et al., 1999).

The other major form of the congenital syndrome (10–15% of genotype-positive cases) is LQT3, in which patients carry mutations in SCN5A, the gene that encodes the α subunit of the cardiac sodium channel and that underlies INa, the cardiac sodium current (Wang et al., 1995b). The mutations generally result in defective fast inactivation and thus augmented sodium current late during the action potential when the channel would ordinarily have fully inactivated (Fig. 4). In addition, mutations in genes encoding proteins that interact with the cardiac sodium have also been reported in cLQTS. A mutation in the sodium channel β-subunit SCN4B has been reported in a single patient with cLQTS (Medeiros-Domingo et al., 2007). Mutations in nonion channel genes CAV3 and SNTA1 have been reported in patients with a LQT3-like phenotype, and indeed these mutations seem to alter sodium current in a similar fashion as described above (Vatta et al., 2006; Ueda et al., 2008).

Mutations in other ion channel genes, KCNJ2 and CACNA1C, have been associated with QT prolongation and TdP, as well as significant extracardiac phenotypes (Plaster et al., 2001; Splawski et al., 2004). LQT4 has been linked to mutations in ANK2, which encodes a structural protein that, when mutated, results in altered localization and expression of ion channels (Mohler et al., 2003). Patients with ANK2 mutations do not uniformly have prolonged QT intervals, and it has been suggested that LQT4 be renamed “sick sinus syndrome associated with bradycardia” or “ankyrin-B syndrome” (Mohler et al., 2007).

B. Mechanisms of QT Prolongation in the Drug-Induced Long QT Syndrome

As summarized above, cLQTS may result from mutations that disrupt any number of ion currents, including IKr, IKs, and INa. In contrast, the mechanism by which drugs cause acquired LQTS is almost always block of the rapid component of the delayed rectifier potassium current, IKr (Roden and Viswanathan, 2005). This KCNH2 channel is blocked by drugs with diverse structures en-

### Table 3
**Models to study the potential for drug-induced torsades de pointes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Initial Descriptions by</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block of heterologously expressed SCN5A current</td>
<td>Snyders and Chaudhary, 1996</td>
<td>Dose-response relationship for potential arrhythmogenic effects can be defined; high throughput is feasible; relatively inexpensive</td>
<td>No relationship to arrhythmias; effects on other currents (e.g., sodium or L-type calcium) not taken into account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block of IKr in cardiomyocytes</td>
<td>Sanguni et al. and Jurkiewicz, 1990</td>
<td>Dose-response relationship for potential arrhythmogenic effects can be defined.</td>
<td>No relationship to arrhythmias; effects on other currents (e.g., sodium or L-type calcium) not taken into account; myocyte preparation may not be uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential prolongation in canine (or rabbit) Purkinje fibers</td>
<td>Dangman and Hoffman, 1981; Roden and Hoffman, 1983</td>
<td>Arrhythmogenic EADs can be elicited</td>
<td>Canine repolarization may differ from that in humans; drug effects may be greater in isolated fibers than in whole heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfused canine left ventricular “wedge” preparation</td>
<td>Strauss et al., 1970; Antzelevitch et al., 1991 Yan et al., 1998</td>
<td>Enables mechanistic studies of the arrhythmia; allows evaluation of multiple cell types to the arrhythmia</td>
<td>Complex, low throughput; role of M cells is disputed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated perfused female rabbit hearts</td>
<td>Hondeghem and Hoffmann, 2003</td>
<td>Enables mechanistic studies of the arrhythmia; allows evaluation of multiple cell types to the arrhythmia</td>
<td>Complex, low throughput, although automated methods have been developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthetized methoxamine-treated rabbits</td>
<td>Carlsson et al., 1990</td>
<td>Simple whole-heart model; methoxamine required although role uncertain</td>
<td>Complex, low throughput</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs with long-term atrioventricular block</td>
<td>Chézalviel-Guilbert et al., 1995; Vos et al., 1998</td>
<td>Enables mechanistic studies of the arrhythmia; studies of mechanisms over time in the whole heart</td>
<td>Complex, low throughput</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
compromising many different drug classes, including antiarrhythmics, antipsychotics, antibiotics, and antihistamines (Table 2). Despite the important roles of other potassium (and sodium) channels in the cLQTS, these channels are far less susceptible to block by drugs.

Drugs block the KCNH2 channel from its inner mouth and two structural features unique to this channel probably explain why it is particularly susceptible to block (Mitcheson et al., 2000). First, the presence of two polar amino acids (Thr623 and Ser624) in the pore region and two aromatic amino acids (Tyr652 and Phe656) with side chains oriented toward the large central cavity of the pore region provide high-affinity binding sites for a wide range of compounds. Introducing mutations at these sites reduces binding affinity of multiple drugs. The amino acids Thr623 and Ser624 are highly conserved across voltage-dependent potassium channels and thus would not explain why KCNH2 is principally susceptible to drug block. In contrast, Tyr652 and Phe656 are not conserved—most potassium channels have an Ile and a Val in homologous positions. The presence of aromatic side chains in these positions seems to accommodate multiple interactions explaining the surprising chemical diversity of KCNH2 blockers. Second, most potassium channels contain two proline residues in the helix that forms part of the pore, restricting access to the drug binding site. The absence of these two prolines in KCNH2 is thought to allow the pore to accommodate bulky channel blockers. Mutation of these residues to the Pro-Val-Pro seen in other potassium channels results in reduced drug binding (Fernandez et al., 2004).

Although these structural peculiarities explain why KCNH2 channels are more prone to direct block by a wide array of drugs, additional mechanisms have been described that can contribute to QT prolongation by drug, although their contribution to the syndrome in patients remains uncertain. Disruption of KCNH2 protein trafficking to the cell surface by a drug was first demonstrated with the antineoplastic agent arsenic trioxide (Ficker et al., 2004). Subsequently, pentamidine, another drug known to cause diLQTS (Table 2), was found to block I(KR) but only at concentrations several hundred-fold higher than therapeutic levels (Cordes et al., 2005). With prolonged exposure to pentamidine at therapeutic levels, KCNH2 channel protein trafficking is disrupted resulting in reduced cell surface expression of otherwise functional channels (Kurychev et al., 2005). The cholesterol-lowering drug probucol was also found to disrupt trafficking, but, in this case, without direct channel block (Guo et al., 2007). Fluoxetine and norfluoxetine have also been shown to block KCNH2 channels and disrupt trafficking. It is noteworthy that mutation of the Phe656 to alanine or cysteine reduced channel block by drug (as expected) but did not alter fluoxetine-mediated disruption of channel protein trafficking. These findings suggest that disruption of protein trafficking is mediated by drug binding to a different site on the channel or to a different protein in the secretory pathway (Rajamani et al., 2006). This loss of function by disruption of protein trafficking, rather than by production of channels that reach the cell membrane but do not conduct current, has been recognized as a relatively common mechanism in cLQTS caused by KCNH2 mutations (Anderson et al., 2006).

The finding of reduced protein trafficking as a common mechanism in some instances of both congenital and diLQTS raises the possibility of pharmacologic “rescue” of misprocessed channels, which function normally when in the cell membrane. Certain agents have the ability to restore cell surface expression of misprocessed channels. Ironically, agents that rescue misprocessed channels generally also result in channel block, with few exceptions (Rajamani et al., 2002). Pharmacologic “rescue” may also have deleterious effects, and has been demonstrated as a mechanism resulting in a diLQTS phenotype. The SCN5A mutation L1825F studied in a heterologous expression system results in augmented late sodium current, typical of congenital LQT3 (Liu et al., 2005a). The individual with the mutation had a normal QT interval at baseline, but developed QT prolongation and TdP with cisapride. Follow-up studies revealed that the mutant sodium channel protein did not reach the cell surface, thus no abnormal phenotype was evident at baseline. Cisapride administration resulted in the LQT phenotype by “rescue” of the abnormal SCN5A protein, in addition to I(KR) block.

Yet another example of a novel mechanism underlying diLQTS is found in antiprotozoal agents containing antimony, which do not seem to affect I(KR), but increase calcium currents, resulting in a prolonged QT (Kurychev et al., 2006). In addition, enhanced sodium current has been reported with a single drug that delays sodium channel inactivation, with QT prolongation and TdP (Kuhlkamp et al., 2003). These examples underscore the complexity of the mechanisms underlying drug-induced QT prolongation.

C. Mechanisms of Proarrhythmia in the Setting of QT Prolongation

The development of TdP is inevitably accompanied by QT interval prolongation. Sometimes, this is evident in each heartbeat, and sometimes it occurs only in the beat or two before the arrhythmia, often at the end of a short-long-short set of cycle length changes described in section V.B (see Figs. 1–3). Therefore, mechanisms are required to link long QT intervals—or long action potential durations at the level of single cells—and susceptibility to this arrhythmia. Because excessive QT prolongation is associated with increased risk for TdP, the identification of I(Kr) block as a common mechanism underlying drug-induced QT prolongation offers an attractive prospect of a simple method to identify agents with
risk. Unfortunately, screening drugs purely for $I_{Kr}$ block is confounded by the lack of a straightforward relationship among $I_{Ks}$ block, degree of QT prolongation, and risk for TdP. For example, the antiarrhythmic agent verapamil blocks $I_{Kr}$ but does not prolong the QT interval and is not associated with TdP (Yang et al., 2001), probably reflecting its potent block of L-type calcium channels. Likewise, ranolazine is an $I_{Kr}$ blocker but in fact prevents experimental TdP despite prolongation of the action potential; this is thought to be because the drug also blocks sodium current during the plateau of the action potential (Wu et al., 2006). Thus, $I_{Kr}$ block alone is not sufficient to result in TdP.

Further, clinical studies make it clear that QT prolongation alone is insufficient to generate TdP. The antiarrhythmic drug amiodarone and the anesthetic agent sodium pentobarbital both result in QT prolongation, but TdP caused by amiodarone is exceedingly rare (Lazzara, 1989), and sodium pentobarbital probably reduces the risk of TdP (Shimizu et al., 1999). In addition, some drugs may cause polymorphic ventricular tachycardia without QT prolongation, which, by definition, are not labeled TdP, but the proarrhythmic mechanisms may be similar and the distinction between these incidents and diLQTS is not always clear (Shah and Hondeghem, 2005).

In experimental settings, prolongation of repolarization in Purkinje fibers or in some myocardial cells can lead to the development of EADs, oscillations in the membrane potential during repolarization (Fig. 5). EADs represent inward current and are thought to result from reopening of either L-type calcium channels or sodium channels or from current generated via augmented sodium-calcium exchange, and they may result in ectopic beats if the amplitude of the EAD reaches a critical threshold and occurs in a large enough region of the heart (January and Riddle, 1989). Triggered upstrokes from EADs in tissue in which repolarization is sufficiently disturbed is the likely initiating mechanism for TdP. Thus, drug-induced proarrhythmia results directly from $I_{Kr}$ block, which enables activation or re-activation of inward currents underlying EADs. This partly explains why verapamil rarely causes TdP; even though it is a potent $I_{Kr}$ blocker (Zhang et al., 1999), it also reduces EADs by blocking inward calcium current (Shimizu et al., 1995). Furthermore, verapamil shortens QT interval, reduces EADs, and lowers the incidence of TdP in a model of acquired LQTS by a combination of $I_{Ks}$ and $I_{Kr}$ block (Aiba et al., 2005).

Studies in multicellular preparations such as the whole heart or the wedge described below have described mechanisms responsible for maintenance of the arrhythmia after its initiation. Studies in the wedge have emphasized the role of heterogeneity of action potential durations as a mechanism contributing to maintenance of the arrhythmia by promoting re-entrant excitation (Antzelevitch, 2008).

D. Reduced Repolarization Reserve

A unifying framework to understand diLQTS is the concept of “reduced repolarization reserve” (Roden, 1998, 2008; Roden and Yang, 2005) (Fig. 6). This concept suggests that multiple often-redundant mechanisms maintain normal repolarization, so minor alterations in function may not be obvious at baseline. Thus, for example, a minor reduction in repolarizing current (e.g., as a result of a genetic lesion resulting in a small reduction in an important repolarizing ion channel) may be without consequence because other mechanisms come into play to maintain a near-normal QT interval. However, such reduced reserve may become obvious when further stressors to repolarization, such as drug challenge, slow heart rates, or hypokalemia are superimposed. This framework is a specific example of the more general concept that complex biologic systems rarely break down because of single lesions (genetic or acquired).

The specific nature of repolarization reserve and how it might be reduced has been investigated, and a leading candidate mechanism seems to be loss of $I_{Ks}$ function. This makes intuitive sense, because reduction in $I_{Ks}$ might be without consequence as long as a robust $I_{Kr}$ is present; in this situation, however, $I_{Kr}$ block may result in catastrophic failure to maintain normal repolarization and thus TdP. Human ventricular myocytes display resistance to action prolongation with $I_{Kr}$ block when $I_{Ks}$ is stimulated (Jost et al., 2005) (Fig. 7). In a simulation study, Silva and Rudy (2005) suggested that $I_{Ks}$ gating properties were ideally suited to meet such a reserve function. One especially intriguing observation is that exposure to some QT-prolonging drugs can down-regulate $I_{Ks}$ by stimulating a microRNA (Xiao et al., 2008); this in turn suggests that repolarization reserve may be dynamic and itself regulated by drug exposures. Finally, genomic approaches discussed below implicate $I_{Ks}$ alleles in risk for drug-induced TdP.
IV. Models of Drug-Induced Long QT Syndrome

A number of laboratories have developed model systems in which TdP mechanisms have been further explored. These include the perfused canine left ventricular "wedge" preparation (Antzelevitch et al., 1991; Yan et al., 1998); dogs in which the atrioventricular (AV) node has been destroyed to cause chronic AV block (Chezalviel-Guilbert et al., 1995; Vos et al., 1998), anesthetized methoxamine-treated rabbits (Carlsson et al., 1990), and isolated perfused female rabbit hearts (Hondeghem and Hoffmann, 2003). In each of these settings, administration of a known IKr-blocking agent can result in marked action potential prolongation, QT prolongation and deformity, and sustained polymorphic arrhythmias. Mapping studies have suggested either repetitive EADs or re-entry due to heterogeneity of action potential durations—not mutually exclusive—as the underlying mechanism for the arrhythmia (El-Sherif et al., 1999).

In the wedge preparation, Antzelevitch and coworkers (Antzelevitch and Sicouri, 1994; Yan et al., 1998; Weis- enburger et al., 2000; Antzelevitch and Fish, 2001) have identified a layer of cells in the midmyocardium, termed M cells, that have especially long action potentials and are especially prone to action potential prolongation under TdP-eliciting conditions. Others, however, have disputed the role of M cells, and even their existence, in the intact heart (Opthof et al., 2007). The studies in the AV-blocked dog have shown that immediately after AV nodal blockade is established, action potentials and QT intervals are long and then proceed to lengthen even further over time. This finding suggests that not only slow rates but also electrophysiologic " remodeling" (a chronic change in response to slow heart rates) predispose to TdP (Vos et al., 1998, 2000).

A. Studying IKr in Cellular Systems

Because virtually all drugs that prolong the QT interval are IKr blockers, it is also routine to test new drug candidates for IKr-blocking activity. Details of how this is accomplished (e.g., specific cellular models, temperature, pacing protocols, etc.) may modulate the result (Hammond and Pollard, 2005; Bowlby et al., 2008; Hancock et al., 2008). Ion channel studies in single cells are the simplest for the prediction of drug-induced torsades de pointes, and some can be performed by automated, high-throughput systems (Nattel et al., 2008). These relatively inexpensive studies are typically performed early in the drug development process, at times allowing the developer to select certain compounds from a wide array of candidates for further development. The use of cardiac myocytes for evaluation of IKr block guarantees that the channel is present with any naturally occurring subunits or other intracellular factors that may modulate channel activity. However, the use of myocytes poses technical difficulties, including the need to isolate fresh cells with each experiment and possible overlap of the current of interest with other ion currents. Further-
more, the magnitude and occasionally the gating of I_{Kr} varies among species.

Thus, noncardiac mammalian cells transfected with DNA to express human ion channels are often used for these studies because of their ease of maintenance in culture and ease of high-resistance seal formation with microelectrodes. Another advantage of these heterogeneous expression systems is the lack of interference from currents other than the one of interest, although ancillary proteins—whose expression may vary among cell types—have been reported to affect the potency or kinetics of I_{Kr} block (Panaghie and Abbott, 2006). The most frequently used cells are human embryonic kidney and Chinese hamster ovary cells. For studies of I_{Kr}, transfection with KCNH2 cDNA alone or cotransfection with cDNA encoding the ancillary KCNE2 protein can be performed. An alternative to mammalian cells occasionally used are *Xenopus laevis* oocytes injected with KCNH2 cDNA. The drug concentrations that achieve channel-blocking effects in these cells are generally much greater than in other preparations, making it difficult to integrate results obtained in *X. laevis* oocytes with the results of other studies.

Certain technical factors that pertain to the study of drug effects on I_{Kr} deserve mention. During the experiment, the cells of the preparation may slowly lose their viability, with an observed decline in all of the current amplitudes. These declines become especially critical for the study of drugs that slowly penetrate cells or that exert their effects on the intracellular side of the channel, as most I_{Kr} blockers do. One method commonly used to slow the rates of these declines is to work with the preparation at room temperature rather than physiologic temperature; however, the degree of drug block derived at room temperature may differ from that derived at physiologic temperature by almost an order of magnitude. In addition, many drugs block channels in a frequency-dependent manner, which may translate into a heart rate-dependent change in QT interval. Most I_{Kr} blockers display “reverse use-dependence” with greater block at slower heart rates; thus, it is useful to determine channel block at various frequencies (Dorian, 2000).

B. Wedge and Isolated Heart Preparations

The above methods are widely used, relatively inexpensive, single screening tests for I_{Kr} block, but the poor correlation between I_{Kr} block, degree of QT prolongation, and risk for TdP has led to more complex methods of predicting arrhythmogenic risk from drugs. Studies in Purkinje fibers (described in section II.C), the ventricular wedge preparation, and the Langendorff-perfused isolated heart, although more complicated than the single-cell studies above, offer the advantages of being able to observe action potential or QT prolongation and TdP directly in the model itself.

1. Ventricular Wedge Preparation.

The arterially perfused ventricular wedge preparation was developed in the mid-1990s using canine left ventricle to study the electrical heterogeneity of ventricular myocardium in intact tissue (Yan and Antzelevitch, 1996). In the canine ventricular wedge, three distinct cell types (epicardial, endocardial, and M cells), distinguished by their action potentials (Yan et al., 1998), have been described. M cells have the longest action potential duration and increased sensitivity to prolong the action potential in response to the slowing of the rate and upon exposure of drugs that prolong the action potential. This effect is thought to reflect either reduced I_{Kr} or enhanced inward plateau I_{Na} in M cells compared with other cell types (Liu and Antzelevitch, 1995; Zygmunt et al., 2001). Currently, dog left ventricle is the predominant wedge preparation used to study drug-induced TdP, although rabbit is also used. Wedge preparations from rabbits differ from the canine wedge, in that the entire endocardium resembles M cells in terms of electrophysiologic properties, and there is no intramural region of longest action potential (Yan et al., 2001). The experimental preparation involves cannulating a coronary artery of an excised heart and perfusing it with cardioplegic solution. Unperfused areas of the ventricle are removed, and the wedge studied in a tissue bath while arterially perfused, with pressure monitoring. A transmural pseudo-ECG signal can be recorded with extracellular electrodes placed near the epicardial and the endocardial surfaces, and action potentials are recorded simultaneously from the epicardial and endocardial regions with intracellular floating microelectrodes.

In the rabbit wedge preparation, the end of repolarization of the epicardial cells coincides with the peak of the T wave and the end of repolarization of the endocardial cells (M cells in the canine wedge) coincides with the end of the T wave on the pseudo-ECG. It has been reported that the interval from the peak to the end of T wave (Tp-Te) correlates with transmural dispersion of repolarization (TdR) (Yan et al., 1998). When QT-prolonging drugs are infused into the wedge preparation, they typically cause preferential prolongation of the action potential duration of endocardial cells or M cells, leading to increased TDR and prolongation of the Tp-Te interval on the pseudo-ECG. The Tp-Te is often “normalized” to the QT by calculating the Tp-Te/QT, to increase the sensitivity of delayed terminal repolarization. Increased TDR is postulated to contribute to the development of TdP by increasing the vulnerable window during repolarization, facilitating propagation of EADs. In addition to prolongation of QT interval and Tp-Te/QT, EADs and TdP can be directly observed in the wedge preparation, and a scoring system incorporating each of these markers has been proposed (Wang et al., 2008). Using this quantitative system, eight compounds with known ability to prolong QT or produce TdP and five with established safety were studied in a blinded fashion. Compounds with known risk of TdP showed a sig-
nificant increase in QT, Tp-Te, Tp-Te/QT ratio, and incidence of EADs and TdP compared with the negative compounds. The scores of safe drugs at concentrations up to 100 times greater than that of the free plasma concentration were very low ($\leq 0.25$), whereas drugs known to prolong the QT and cause TdP received scores ranging from 1.00 to 7.25. It should be noted that the transmural heterogeneity in the canine left ventricle is exaggerated in the traditional wedge model, compared with the intact canine heart (Voss et al., 2009).

2. Langendorff Heart Preparation. The Langendorff perfused isolated heart as a model system to evaluate drug-induced delayed repolarization and proarrhythmia offers many of the advantages of the wedge preparation and eliminates concerns regarding artificially exaggerating surrogate markers as in the wedge preparation (Gintant, 2008). Isolated hearts are perfused at a constant pressure, the His bundle is sectioned to slow heart rate and a stimulating electrode sutured on each side of the distal His bundle. Recording electrodes are placed in the left ventricular subendocardium of the septum and on the left ventricular epicardium. An automated platform (SCREENIT) using methoxamine-pretreated female rabbit hearts subject to escalating drug concentrations has been developed and can assess pseudo-ECGs and action potentials from endocardial and epicardial surfaces (Hondegem et al., 2001). This system was introduced initially to identify class III antiarrhythmic agents with use-dependence (i.e., greater action potential prolongation at faster rates) (Hondegem, 1994) and also can be used to evaluate the potential for drugs to cause TdP or to evaluate antiarrhythmic drugs in models of congenital LQTS (Milberg et al., 2005). Several additional proarrhythmic repolarization disturbances have been identified or studied in this model, including triangulation of the action potential, reverse-use dependence, instability, and dispersion of action potential duration (APD), discussed further in section III.D (Hondegem et al., 2001; Shah and Hondegem, 2005). It should be noted that the isolated rabbit heart is less dependent upon $I_{Ks}$ for repolarization than other animal models. For example, chromanol 293B, a potent $I_{Ks}$ blocker (Sun et al., 2001) causes concentration-dependent TdP in isolated guinea pig hearts but not in isolated rabbit hearts (Cheng and Incardona, 2009). Therefore, the rabbit displays greater sensitivity to $I_{Kr}$ blockers than other species, which makes it especially useful as a screening tool.

In a blinded validation study, the SCREENIT system reliably identified 14 drugs with known effects on the QT interval, correctly identifying risk in eight potentially proarrhythmic drugs but not in 6 other drugs considered safe (Hondegem and Hoffmann, 2003). In certain instances, the concentrations necessary to identify proarrhythmic risk greatly exceeded therapeutic concentrations. In another study, this system was used quantitatively to evaluate 55 compounds with varying levels of “torsadogenic” risk, demonstrating that a 30-fold margin between risk scores and the highest effective free plasma concentration would provide confidence that a new chemical entity should proceed through development, without incurring substantial risk of eliminating potentially beneficial drugs (Lawrence et al., 2006). A study comparing 82 compounds and their degree of $I_{Kr}$ block in cellular models, results from SCREENIT, and actual QT prolongation in nonrodent telemetry studies concluded that data from the isolated heart model would reduce the risk of discontinuing development of drugs that block $I_{Kr}$ but would not result in QT prolongation clinically (Dumotier et al., 2008).

C. Whole Animal Models

1. The Methoxamine-Sensitized Rabbit Model. The history behind the development of the anesthetized methoxamine-sensitized rabbit as a model for diLQTS is interesting. In the 1990s, the new $I_{Kr}$ blocker almokalant was noted to bind to melanin-pigmented structures in rats (Abrahamsson et al., 1994). Because of concerns about eye toxicity, the drug was given to conscious pigmented rabbits to determine whether the binding affinity was reversible or irreversible (Abrahamsson et al., 1994). The majority of animals died suddenly with plasma concentrations that were anticipated to be in the therapeutic range, and subsequent ECG recording showed TdP degenerating to ventricular fibrillation. Further studies in anesthetized rabbits resulted in much lower sensitivity to $I_{Kr}$ blockers; few animals experienced TdP and only at much higher doses than their unanesthetized counterparts. When pretreated with the $\alpha$-adrenoceptor blocker prazosin, animals were resistant to TdP with almokalant (Carlsson et al., 1990), and the selective $\alpha$-adrenoceptor agonist methoxamine is now used to sensitize anesthetized rabbits to TdP with $I_{Kr}$ blockers. It is still unclear exactly why either the conscious state or $\alpha$-adrenoceptor stimulation sensitizes the rabbit to TdP, and this effect is not noted in cats or guinea pigs (Carlsson et al., 1993; Carlsson, 2008).

Early work using the methoxamine-sensitized rabbit model of TdP primarily studied potential novel class III antiarrhythmic drugs. Most of these agents were found to be highly proarrhythmic in the model, demonstrating that the model has a very high sensitivity in this setting. However, because the model relies heavily on the sensitizing effect of $\alpha$-adrenoceptor sensitization, the proarrhythmic potential of $I_{Kr}$ blockers with $\alpha$-adrenoceptor blocking properties may be underestimated. As an example, quinidine resulted in significant QT prolongation but no TdP using this model, despite the known clinical risk for TdP (Farkas et al., 2002). The $\alpha$-adrenoceptor blocking activity of quinidine, as well as cisapride and some quinolone antibiotics, probably result in the lack of specificity of the model for these drugs (Nattel et al., 2008).

A poor correlation between degree of QT interval prolongation and risk for TdP has been noted in this model.
Neither the baseline QT interval nor the maximal QT response to the potent Ik, blocker dofetilide differed between rabbits susceptible to TdP and those that did not develop TdP (Carlsson, 2008). There have been few reports of alternative proarrhythmia markers in the rabbit model. An increase in QT beat-to-beat variability was noted before development of TdP in one study (Lengyel et al., 2007), but this has not been noted in other studies (Carlsson, 2008). The peak to the end of the QT interval has been noted to increase with dofetilide in methoxamine-sensitized rabbits but did not correlate with TdP (Carlsson, 2008) and did not prolong with dofetilide in phenylephrine-sensitized rabbits (Vincze et al., 2008). These measurements were performed in a single ECG lead (lead II) and are more reflective of global, not transmural dispersion of repolarization.

2. The Complete Atrioventricular Block Dog Model. The complete atrioventricular block (CAVB) dog model has been in existence for a century, but only in the last few decades has it been used as a model for proarrhythmic screening of drugs (Oros et al., 2008). After producing AV block, now most commonly performed with radiofrequency catheter ablation of the AV node, ventricular remodeling (contractile, structural, and electrical) occurs relatively rapidly. The ventricles increase in contractility, and develop hypertrophy, whereas current density of IKr and IKs are reduced, more prominently in the right ventricle. Increased calcium loading of the sarcoplasmic reticulum as a result of enhanced Na+/Ca2+ exchanger activity has also been noted (Sipido et al., 2000). This electrical remodeling process occurs by 2 weeks and remains relatively constant thereafter. In the conscious, drug-free state, these dogs undergoing long-term CAVB have a sudden death rate of approximately 10%, with polymorphic ventricular tachycardia recorded by ECG surveillance in some instances (van Opstal et al., 2001). Anesthetic agents, possibly by blocking repolarizing currents (Stadnicka et al., 2000), make the animals more susceptible to drug challenge with QT-prolonging antiarrhythmics, resulting in TdP in roughly 70% of CAVB dogs. It is noteworthy that the development of TdP or lack of inducibility is highly reproducible in this model (Vos et al., 1995); thus, individual dogs can be categorized as drug-susceptible or drug-resistant.

Similar to the rabbit model above, the degree of prolongation of the surface QT interval or monophasic action potential by drug is a poor correlate to TdP; QTc and change in monophasic action potential are unable to discriminate between drug-susceptible and drug-resistant dogs (Thomsen et al., 2007). The beat-to-beat variability of repolarization (BVR), however, seems to be a much more specific marker. By comparing the duration of repolarization of one beat with the previous beat, an index of this beat-to-beat variability, quantified and calculated as follows: short-term variability = \( \sum |D_{n+1} - D_n|/R0(2)^{0.5} \), where D is duration of repolarization and n is beat 1 to 30. BVR is increased in the CAVB dog and increases further before developing TdP with drug only in those who are susceptible. Furthermore, interventions that reduced TdP in his model (serum potassium, pacing, and a potassium channel opener) all reduced BVR but not QT interval. Drugs and/or drug doses that do not result in TdP do not prolong BVR despite QT/QTc prolongation (Thomsen et al., 2006). The anesthetized CAVB dog displays reduced repolarization reserve and seems to provide greater specificity for discriminating between drugs that increase risk for TdP and those that do not, despite prolongation of the QT interval. Although each of the various model systems discussed above has specific advantages and limitations, there are some generalizations that hold true. A major advantage of the simpler systems is relative ease and the ability to screen a large number of compounds at lower expense, potentially leading to automated, high-throughput processes. These are typically more useful early in drug development, when compounds that might pose risk (although not proven) can be abandoned. The systems of higher complexity are more time-consuming and expensive yet may provide a more thorough risk assessment as well as other more reliable indicators of risk than simple QT prolongation. These are more useful in assessing true (rather than potential) risk in compounds already released or near the end of the development process.

D. Triangulation, Reverse Use-Dependence, Instability, Dispersion

Several proarrhythmic repolarization disturbances have been identified or studied in the isolated heart model, including triangulation of the action potential, reverse-use dependence, instability, and dispersion of APD (Shah and Hondeghem, 2005). Triangulation is defined as prolongation of the interval from 30% repolarization to 90% repolarization and can result from reduced outward current or increased inward current. Triangulation is thought to be proarrhythmic by increasing the time spent in the calcium and sodium window currents, augments depolarizing sodium/calcium exchange currents, and reduces outward current at the end of repolarization. Triangulation is expected to result in a widening and flattening of the T-wave on surface ECG, ultimately leading to notched T-waves. Reverse use-dependence is a property of most class III antiarrhythmic agents, which results in greater APD prolongation at slow heart rates, and is intrinsically proarrhythmic. Instability is another term for beat-to-beat variability of repolarization, as discussed below, and has a macroscopic counterpart in T-wave alternans. Dispersion of repolarization across macroscopic regions of the heart (including transmural, apex to base, septum to free wall, right to left ventricle, etc.) is observed normally, but when excessive, or when occurring microscopically, promotes EADs and TdP, as discussed above.

V. Relating Risk Factors to Mechanisms

The initial case series of diLQTS noted hypokalemia and AV block or other bradyarrhythmias as risk factors
for TdP. During the 1970s and 1980s, the number of case reports and series of patients with diLQTS rose, increasing description of further risk factors. These are listed in Table 4, and the mechanisms whereby they increase TdP risk are discussed below. It is noteworthy that hypokalemia or female sex also increases risk of arrhythmias in the congenital syndrome. This clinical overlap between the congenital syndrome and the severe adverse drug effect, as well as the apparently “unpredictable” nature of diLQTS, forms the basis of ongoing studies to delineate pharmacogenetic and pharmacogenomic contributors to risk.

Some risk factors are drug-specific (e.g., pharmacokinetic factors such as cytochrome P450 variants that decrease drug clearance, elevate plasma drug concentrations, and thereby increase risk of toxicity) whereas others (pharmacodynamic factors) seem more related to myocardial sensitivity across drugs. Studies of the congenital syndrome have had important implications for understanding the latter. One important finding was variable penetrance in the congenital syndrome (Priori et al., 1999); that is, there is variability in the extent to which mutation carriers display long QT intervals, syncope, and sudden death. Thus, one pharmacogenetic hypothesis is that persons displaying diLQTS represent a “forme fruste” of the congenital form of the syndrome. As discussed in further detail in section VI.B, a minority of subjects with diLQTS do in fact harbor rare nonsynonymous variants (mutations) in cLQTS disease genes and can thus be labeled as having the congenital syndrome (Donger et al., 1997; Schulze-Bahr et al., 1997; Napolitano et al., 2000; Sesti et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2002; Paulussen et al., 2004; Nishio et al., 2009). More generally, the identification of multiple ion currents that accomplish normal repolarization has raised the possibility that variability in the extent to which drug challenge prolongs QT interval reflects variability in these (possibly redundant) mechanisms; this is the concept of repolarization reserve discussed above (Rodin, 1998).

The heart rate is an important variable affecting the QT interval. As the heart rate increases QT shortens, comparison of QT intervals at different heart rates must take this into account; this is accomplished by a rate-correction (QTc) using correction formulae; the most common are as follows:

- Bazett (1920): QTc = QT/RR interval^{1/2}
- Fridericia (1920): QTc = QT/(RR interval)^{1/3}
- Framingham-Sagie (Sagie et al., 1992): QTc = QT + 154 (1 – 60/heart rate)
- Hodges (Luo et al., 2004): QTc = QT + 1.75 (heart rate – 60)
- Nomogram-Karjalainen (Karjalainen et al., 1994): QTc = QT + Nomogram correction factor

Each approach has its deficiencies; no single “correct” method for deriving the QT value has been established (Malik et al., 2002). Drugs may independently affect the QT interval and the heart rate, and the successful treat-
ment of a disease (such as infection or psychosis) may itself change the heart rate, further complicating the assessment of the QT interval at varying heart rates.

A. Female Sex

A summary of published data led Makkar et al. (1993) to identify a 2:1 to 3:1 female predominance in diLQTS. An increased risk of cardiac events is also observed in women with some forms of the cLQTS but only after childhood (Zareba et al., 2003). These clinical observations, coupled with the finding that the QT shortens after puberty in men but not women (Rautaharju et al., 1992), suggest that sex hormones modulate repolarization. Testosterone, by increasing \( I_{Kr} \) and \( I_{Kur} \), shortens QTc and has been implicated as the major factor lowering risk of TdP in men (Arya, 2005). Recent work in a prepubertal rabbit model reveals that an \( I_{Kr} \) blocker results in greater APD prolongation in men compared with women and caused EADs and ventricular tachycardia only in men (Liu et al., 2005). This finding may explain the higher risk of events in male children with cLQTS but cannot be attributed to gonadal steroid effects.

Although sex hormones play a role in QTc differences between men and women, they explain only part of the observed differences. Androgens are protective against drug-induced prolongation of repolarization, whereas estrogens seem to be proarrhythmic. Although sex differences in the density of ionic channels do exist (Liu et al., 1998), they seem to only partially explain women’s increased risk of diLQTS and TdP. This suggests that heretofore unrecognized mechanisms, such as modulation of the pharmacokinetics of \( I_{Kr} \), blockers (discussed further below), may be important in determining sex-related differences in risk of developing drug-induced prolongation of the QT interval (Hreiche et al., 2008).

B. Bradycardia

Bradycardia, as seen with CAVB, is a commonly observed risk factor for diLQTS and TdP. Although this association was first described over 40 years ago (Désertenne, 1966), remarkably little is known about the ECG predictors of this potentially lethal complication of bradyarrhythmias (Strasberg et al., 1986; Kurita et al., 1992). Indeed, the “short-long-short” series of cycles before TdP discussed below is so characteristic of diLQTS that lack of a “pause” before onset calls into question the diagnosis. Bradycardia is largely caused by a slow sinus rhythm, hypothermia, or hypothyroidism, all of which can physiologically prolong ventricular repolarization and lead to a higher risk of TdP. However, a pause provoked by extrasystoles may be more important than bradycardia per se at the initiation of TdP (Roden and Anderson, 2000).

1. The “Short-Long-Short” Series of Cycling Changes before the Initiation of an Event. The association between this stereotypical series of cycle-length changes and the initiation of TdP is probably a clue to underlying mechanisms (Kay et al., 1983; Roden et al., 1986) (Fig. 3). These cycle-length changes have two major effects that could, in theory, promote TdP: 1) there is striking deformity of the pause after the QT interval, often with the development of a large U-wave (Viskin et al., 2004; Topilski et al., 2007; Kirchhof et al., 2009), suggesting a role for afterdepolarizations discussed in section II.C, and 2) the short-long sequence maximizes the heterogeneity of repolarization times of the last sinus beat, thereby increasing the likelihood of reentrant excitation (Roden and Anderson, 2000).

C. Hypokalemia and Hypomagnesemia

Lowering of extracellular potassium decreases \( I_{Kr} \), an effect that is likely to contribute to QT interval prolongation in hypokalemic patients (Yang et al., 1997). However, this effect on \( I_{Kr} \) is unexpected because simple electrochemical considerations predict an increase in outward potassium current with lowering of extracellular potassium. Two possible mechanisms have been advanced to explain this paradoxical behavior: one is that sodium and potassium ions compete for access to extracellular binding sites on the channel, and sodium is a potent blocker of the current (Numaguchi et al., 2000). Consequently, when extracellular potassium is lowered, the inhibitory effect of sodium on \( I_{Kr} \) becomes more apparent. The second explanation involves the very rapid inactivation that \( I_{Kr} \) undergoes after opening during depolarizing pulses (Yang et al., 1997). Lowering of extracellular potassium enhances this fast inactivation, so with hypokalemia, more channels are in the inactivated state and fewer in the open configuration during depolarizing pulses. This very rapid inactivation also explains why KCNH2 channel, which generates \( I_{Kr} \), plays such a key role in repolarization (Fig. 4).

Another twist on hypokalemia as a risk factor for TdP has been the observation that drug blockade is actually enhanced at low levels of extracellular potassium (Yang and Roden, 1996; Wang et al., 1997). Thus, hypokalemia enhances TdP risk through at least two mechanisms: 1) decrease in the depolarizing current itself and 2) potentiation of drug blockade of residual current.

Hypomagnesemia increases TdP risk, possibly by modulating the L-type calcium channel function that contributes to EADs. Recognition of hypomagnesemia as a contributor to acquired QT prolongation and TdP (Kay et al., 1983; Roden, 1989) led to empiric testing of intravenous magnesium as a therapy (Tzivoni et al., 1988). Although no randomized prospective trial has been conducted, intravenous magnesium has become a first-line therapy for TdP due to diLQTS.

D. Atrial Fibrillation

The most common arrhythmia requiring drug therapy is AF, which affects 2 to 5 million Americans and continues to be a major cause of morbidity, such as heart failure and stroke (Wattigney et al., 2002). Recent ran-
domized trials in minimally symptomatic patients have argued against vigorous attempts to maintain sinus rhythm (Wyse et al., 2002). However, in such trials, patients maintaining sinus rhythm have improved outcomes, and many patients are highly symptomatic with AF. Thus, maintaining sinus rhythm with antiarrhythmic drugs remains an important goal for many patients with AF. However, many antiarrhythmic drugs block \( I_{Kr} \) as a major mechanism of action, and marked QT prolongation and TdP are the major class toxicities.

Multiple lines of clinical evidence suggest that AF itself protects against TdP and that after conversion, risk is increased. One common clinical observation is that TdP often (but not always (Stamblter et al., 1996)) occurs in patients with AF after conversion to sinus rhythm (Levy, 1922; Motté et al., 1970) (Fig. 1). This may reflect the decrease in heart rate that often accompanies such conversion, but studies conducted in the late 1990s indicate that the mechanisms must be more complicated. In a small study, we examined the extent of QT prolongation by intravenous dofetilide during AF and shortly after conversion to sinus rhythm. Despite the fact that dofetilide did not change heart rate, the extent of QT prolongation was much greater in sinus rhythm than in AF (Choy et al., 1999). Indeed, more recently, we have gone on to show that QT-RR slopes are extraordinarily flat during AF (i.e., even with long pauses, the QT interval does not prolong) and steepen very sharply, to greater than normal values, shortly after conversion to sinus rhythm (Darbar et al., 2007). We therefore infer that AF itself may exert a heretofore poorly understood influence on the QT interval both during arrhythmia and shortly after its conversion to normal rhythm.

AF is associated with significant atrial remodeling that includes alterations in L-type calcium current, inward rectifier current, transient outward current, and ultrarapid delayed rectifier current (among other changes) (Nattel, 2001). The magnitude of these changes is sufficient to alter responses to antiarrhythmic drugs. However, molecular changes in the left ventricle secondary to AF are less well established. Nonetheless, there are echocardiographic data that links AF, atrial remodeling, reverse remodeling, and changes in left ventricular systolic function (Reant et al., 2005).

Although the precise mechanism by which AF reduces susceptibility to TdP is unknown, AF-associated electrophysiological and cellular remodeling may be associated with preservation of TDR that correlates with reduced susceptibility to the development of TdP among patients with diLQTS. In addition, a recent study that modeled LQTS by infusing veratridine into Langendorff preparations of rabbit hearts as described in section IV.B.2, was able to demonstrate that the risk of developing TdP could be significantly decreased through preventing prolongation in TDR by verapamil administration (Milberg et al., 2005).

E. Role of Variable Drug Concentrations in Torsades de Pointes Risk

Initial reports with quinidine noted that the adverse effect often occurred within 24 h of starting the drug, at a time when excessive accumulation of drug (or potentially active metabolites) would not be expected. Indeed, with routine plasma concentration monitoring came the frequent observations of “subtherapeutic” quinidine concentrations in patients developing TdP (Levy, 1922; Jenzer and Hagemeijer, 1976; Roden et al., 1986). Studies as early as the 1940s (Brodie and Udenfriend, 1943; Brodie et al., 1951) identified multiple quinidine metabolites, raising the possibility that variability in response to the drug might reflect variable activity or accumulation of metabolite(s). However, subsequent studies established that the multiple metabolites demonstrate less in vitro electrophysiologic activity than the parent drug (Thompson et al., 1987) and that plasma concentrations at the time of TdP were generally lower for the metabolite compared with the parent drug (Thompson et al., 1988). The lack of a relationship between plasma quinidine concentrations and TdP risk probably reflects the drug’s inhibition of multiple ion currents with a range of potencies: block of \( I_{Kr} \) at low concentrations (Yang and Roden, 1996) to prolong action potentials, block of other potassium currents at higher concentrations to prolong action potentials (Hiraoka et al., 1986; Imaizumi and Giles, 1987), and block of sodium current (in a frequency-dependent fashion) to shorten action potentials (Johnson and McKinnon, 1957; Roden et al., 1987).

By contrast, TdP developing during therapy with most other antiarrhythmic agents (sotalol, dofetilide) and noncardiovascular therapies (thioridazine, methadone) seems to be dose- or concentration-related (Reiffel and Appel, 2001; Krantz et al., 2002). Thus, conditions leading to accumulation of QT-prolonging agents in plasma are, in general, risk factors for TdP. Sotalol and dofetilide undergo renal excretion and therefore require dose reductions in patients with reduced renal function to avoid TdP (Anderson and Prystowsky, 1999; Reiffel and Appel, 2001). This concept extends to drug metabolism: thioridazine is a CYP2D6 substrate, and some data suggest that the drug accumulates in plasma in poor metabolizers with more marked QT prolongation (Llerena et al., 2000). Likewise, the QT prolonging \( S \)-enantiomer of methadone is eliminated by CYP2B6-mediated metabolism, and persons with reduction-of-function alleles in this gene may therefore be at increased risk for methadone-induced TdP (Eap et al., 2007).

F. Impact of QT Prolongation on Drug Development

It was, in fact, an interaction between drug metabolism and elimination of a drug with QT prolonging potential that began to attract regulatory and subsequently drug development activity. A case report in 1989 described a young woman who presented with typical...
drug-induced TdP during therapy with the antihista-
mine terfenadine and ketoconazole, which she had bor-
rowed from a friend to treat a vaginal infection (Monah-
han et al., 1990). This sentinel event led to a review of
cases of terfenadine-associated diLQTS and an under-
standing of underlying mechanisms. Terfenadine is a
potent I_{Kr}-blocking drug but usually undergoes nearly
complete presystemic metabolism to a noncardioactive
metabolite, fexofenadine, which retains the antihista-
mine activity (Woosley et al., 1993). Cases of terfen-
dine-induced diLQTS were usually associated with over-
dose (Davies et al., 1989) or inhibition of CYP3A4, the
enzyme responsible for terfenadine biotransformation in
the gut and liver to accomplish presystemic elimination.
The identification of a very small number of cases of
diLQTS, however, markedly upset the risk-benefit cal-
culations for the drug: the publically promulgated regu-
latory view was that the drug was prescribed for a non-
lethal indication (allergies) and that even a small risk of
a fatal adverse drug reaction was therefore unaccept-
able. Attempts by regulatory authorities (Burkhardt et
al., 1997) to make physicians and the public aware of the
dangers of drug interactions with terfenadine, including
black box warnings and educational programs, were ul-
timately unsuccessful (Cavuto et al., 1996; Thompson
and Oster, 1996; Thompson and Oster, 1996; Weisb, 2000) and when fexofenadine
was approved for therapy, terfenadine was withdrawn.

In the same period, a second antihistamine, astem-
izole, was also associated with diLQTS, especially with
drug interactions and overdose, although in this case the
major metabolite is also an I_{Kr} blocker (Snook et al.,
1988; Vorperian et al., 1996; Tsai et al., 1997; Zhou et
al., 1999). A third drug, cisapride, a gastric promotility
agent, was also relabeled and ultimately withdrawn for
very similar findings: rare cases of TdP, especially with
drug interactions and overdose (Piquette, 1999; Walker
et al., 1999). This is not a "class effect" because other
nonsedating antihistamines and gastric promotility
agents lack the potential to produce diLQTS.

In controlled clinical trials, coadministration of keto-
conazole or erythromycin with terfenadine produced
marked (>80–100 ms) increases in QT interval and U
waves (Honig et al., 1992, 1993). A small clinical trial in
the mid-1990s established that even therapeutic doses of
terfenadine alone produced small, albeit measurable,
increases in rate-corrected QT interval, on the order of 6
ms in a group of healthy volunteers (Pratt et al., 1996).
The extent of QT prolongation was increased if the ter-
fenadine dose was increased and was greater in patients
with underlying heart disease compared with healthy
volunteers. As a result of this trial, and the events sur-
rounding withdrawal of terfenadine, astemizole, and
cisapride, it is now routine to assess the extent to which
any new compound in development prolongs the QT
interval. This is accomplished by a "thorough QT" study.
The most common design assesses the QT intervals dur-
ing a four-arm trial: 1) steady state of usual drug dos-
ages; 2) steady state of higher dosages (or during meta-
bolic inhibition); 3) a drug known to produce a small QT
interval increase, most often the antibiotic moxifloxacin,
as a positive control; and 4) placebo (Shah, 2005a;
Bloomfield et al., 2008; Malik et al., 2008; Sethuraman
and Sun, 2009). Because QT is dependent on rate, QT
values are rate-corrected, generally using the Fridericia
formula. The analysis compares QT changes at each
time point with drug compared with those with placebo,
and the results are usually presented as the percentage
of subjects with delta QTc values (at maximum drug
effect) >30 and >60 ms, and the percentage with QTc
values >500 ms at any time point. The positive control is
included to ensure that the study conduct and measure-
ment protocols are consistent with other trials; moxi-
floxacin generally produces a 7- to 10-ms increase in QTc
with no changes >30 ms. Drug candidates that produce
clear QT prolongation in this paradigm will likely
progress to market only if the indication is a pressing
and compelling one for which other therapies are un-
available.

A high-potency I_{Kr}-blocking agent is unlikely to
progress during development because of the concern
that, like terfenadine, it may generate cases of TdP.
Thus, assessment of KCNH2/I_{Kr}-blocking activity is gen-
erally accomplished before first-in-man drug studies. By
contrast, the thorough QT study generally has to wait
until a dose that would be used in humans for the
anticipated indication is identified. However, as dis-
cussed above, even terfenadine produced diLQTS in a
very small number of patients. Thus, the current algo-
rithms are designed to identify drugs that might pro-
duce diLQTS in a small proportion of exposed patients.

The extent to which such rigorous testing for an ad-
verse drug effect has resulted in abandonment of poten-
tially beneficial compounds has been the subject of ex-
tensive debate (Shah, 2005a,b; Pollard et al., 2008). As
discussed above, studies in animal models have sug-
gested that TdP is not as well correlated with prolonga-
tion of the QT interval as it is with temporal instability
of the action potential (Hondeghem and Hoffmann,
2003; Hondeghem, 2006; Thomsen et al., 2006), further
throwing into question the utility of current screening
algorithms. It is noteworthy that non–I_{Kr}-blocking
mechanisms for QT prolongation discussed above are
not generally sought in current preclinical screening
algorithms.

There seems little doubt that a better understanding
of underlying mechanisms has resulted in an improved
ability to identify drugs with QT prolonging potential, to
the point that it is possible to identify even drugs that
carry very tiny risks, seen as a handful of cases of TdP in
very large numbers of exposed patients. Indeed, there
have been case reports of TdP with moxifloxacin (Altin
et al., 2007; Dale et al., 2007; Sherazi et al., 2008). Thus,
it is entirely possible that potentially useful drugs have
therefore been abandoned based on the possibility of a
severe adverse drug event occurring in literally one in a million exposed subjects, emphasizing the risk-benefit calculus inherent in prescribing any drug, and the unrealistic goal of guaranteeing no risk with drug therapy. The association between some drugs and diLQTS is incontrovertible: quinidine, sotalol, dofetilide, thioridazine, and methadone. For others, occasional case reports have been published, but the totality of the database suggests that risk is either absent or very low. Thus, for example, although there have been case reports of fluoxetine-associated TdP (Appleby et al., 1995; Lherm et al., 2000) and it is a weak \( I_{Kr} \) blocker (Buff et al., 1991; Thomas et al., 2002), the drug has been very widely used and yet the number of cases is vanishingly small. Lists of culprit drugs and a rough estimate of the risks with which each is associated are maintained at the web site http://www.torsades.org.

VI. Genetics and Genomics of Drug-Induced Long QT Syndrome

Advances in genetics and genome science have resulted in identification of both rare alleles (generally unrecognized cLQTS mutations) as well as common variants, most often in ion channel genes, that seem to increase risk for diLQTS as discussed in sections II.B and II.C. Brief reports suggest that patients displaying diLQTS in response to treatment with one QT prolonging antiarrhythmic would also develop TdP in response to exposure to other potential culprits (Lazzara, 1993). Whether this represents acquired sensitivity to the drugs (e.g., due to heart disease) or underlying genetic susceptibility remains to be determined.

A. Support for a Genetic Predisposition

Although diLQTS is unpredictable in a given patient or population, similarities to the cLQTS insinuate a genetic component to risk. Consider the spectrum of responses in a cohort of similar patients treated with a QT-prolonging drug such as sotalol for AF: a minority (group A) develops severe QT prolongation and TdP; some (group B) develop QT prolongation, resulting in discontinuation of the drug; and others (group C) safely tolerate long-term therapy. Although the clinical risk factors discussed above can be identified in many patients with diLQTS (group A), these are also present in many who safely tolerate QT-prolonging drugs (group C). Thus, despite similar substrates and environmental risk factors, these subsets of patients respond very differently to QT-prolonging drugs. If these different responses occur because the patients in group A are genetically predisposed to diLQTS, then the relatives of patients in group A and group C should also respond differently to QT-prolonging drugs. To test for a genetic component to risk for diLQTS, we administered quinidine to first-degree relatives of patients with diLQTS and to first-degree relatives of patients who safely tolerated long-term therapy with QT-prolonging drugs (Kannankeril et al., 2005). Although the QT and QTc intervals were similarly prolonged, the peak-to-end of the T-wave increased significantly only in the relatives of patients with diLQTS. This study demonstrated greater prolongation of terminal repolarization in relatives of patients with diLQTS, suggesting a genetic predisposition to drug-induced QT prolongation.

B. Rare Mutations

As discussed above, with the identification of rare mutations in ion channel genes underlying cLQTS and the investigation of large pedigrees, the phenomenon of incomplete penetrance has become apparent (Priori et al., 1999). In a given family, some persons with a LQTS mutation have unambiguously long QT intervals, whereas others with the same mutation have normal QT intervals at baseline. In some cases, these latter people, often referred to as “latent,” “silent,” or “subclinical” LQTS, experience TdP only after therapy with a QT-prolonging drug. Indeed, when cLQTS disease genes have been screened, mutations are identified in \( \sim 10\% \) of subjects with diLQTS (Yang et al., 2002; Paulussen et al., 2004).

C. Common Variants

More common polymorphisms in ion channel and other genes have been described that have minor functional effects at baseline, yet when compounded with a QT-prolonging drug may increase risk for TdP. In one study of patients treated with dofetilide, the common SCN5A polymorphism H558R was over-represented in those with TdP (37.5%) compared with those without TdP (14.4%) (Mank-Seymour et al., 2006). Other common ion channel polymorphisms that have been implicated in small studies of diLQTS include KCNH2 R1047L, KCNE1 D85N, and KCNE2 T8A and Q9E (Fitzgerald and Ackerman, 2005). As discussed above, common variants in drug metabolism pathways may also predispose to diLQTS in a drug-specific fashion: CYP2D6 variants/thioridazine and CYP2B6/methadone are two examples discussed above.

The technique of genome-wide association has been applied to study identify loci at which common variants modulate variability in normal QT interval across populations, and multiple loci have been identified (Arking et al., 2006) (Newton-Cheh et al., 2009; Pfeufer et al., 2009). The largest signal in these studies is in a chromosome 1 locus, and the nearest gene, NOS1AP, has subsequently been implicated as a modulator of action potential duration in guinea pig myocytes (Chang et al., 2008). The genome-wide association scan approach to normal QT has also implicated common variants in cLQTS disease genes (SCN5A, KCNJ2, KCNQ1, and KCNH2), other genes thought to play a role in cardiac electrophysiology (e.g., phospholamban, a regulator of intracellular calcium), and entirely new loci. One of
these includes GINS3, which has also been implicated as a modulator of heart-rate response to dofetilide challenge in zebrafish (Milan et al., 2009).

The extent to which these variants modulate drug response in human subjects is now an area of active investigation. In one study, cardiovascular deaths during treatment with dihydropyridine calcium-channel blockers were associated with NOS1AP variants (Becker et al., 2009). NOS1AP and other candidate genes have also been studied as predictors of the more general and widespread problem of sudden cardiac death (SCD). In large community cohorts [Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC), Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS)], NOS1AP variants were associated with up to 1.3-fold increased risk (per allele) (Kao et al., 2009). This finding reinforces reports (Schwartz and Wolf, 1978; deBruyne et al., 1999) that risk of SCD increases with longer QT intervals (regardless of drug therapy). Most recently, a survey of SCD victims in Portland, OR, not only confirmed the link to baseline QT but also implicated QT-prolonging drug therapy as a contributor more generally (Chugh et al., 2009). In another report, variants in SCN5A were suggested to be predictors of SCD in women (Albert et al., 2008).

Platforms to interrogate hundreds of polymorphisms in selected candidate genes or hundreds of thousands across the genome are now being deployed to analyze the problem of diLQTS. One recent report analyzed 1536 common single-nucleotide polymorphisms in 19 candidate genes, including NOS1AP, cLQTS disease genes, and other genes encoding cardiac ion channels (Kääb et al., 2008). Patients with drug-induced torsades de pointes (n = 326) were compared with patients tolerating QT-prolonging drugs and to population controls. The initial findings suggest that single-nucleotide polymorphisms in genes that encode proteins responsible for IKr are markers of risk, consistent with the reduced repolarization reserve concept discussed in section III.D. The same group has also performed a genome-wide analysis in this set and has reported that this approach identifies multiple loci associated with risk; interestingly, the highest risk loci do not include ion channel genes, suggesting that other as-yet-identified pathways contribute to risk (Kääb et al., 2009).

VII. Conclusions and Perspectives

Study of the drug-associated form of the long QT syndrome has progressed from labeling the event as “idiopathic” and hence unpredictable to an emerging complex molecular and genetic framework that indicates patients at high risk can be identified. Several key features of the studies outlined here also apply to other serious adverse drug events (Wilke et al., 2007), so studies in the field may advance our understanding of how to approach this problem more generally. These include 1) a set of drugs with which risk seems especially high, facilitating ascertainment of both case subjects and drug-exposed control subjects; 2) a common pathway to the adverse drug event, allowing cases associated with diverse drugs to be analyzed together; 3) an endpoint (in this case the QT interval) that can act as an imperfect surrogate for risk, allowing mechanistic studies to go forward; and 4) a low background rate (few other conditions cause torsades de pointes with marked QT interval prolongation); and 5) an argument that genetic background may play a role, and series of candidate genes to be studied.

A focus on drug-induced QT interval prolongation has advanced our understanding of normal physiology and of mechanisms underlying variable drug responses. These concepts have now been incorporated into the drug development process. As the discussion above makes clear, this has created a tension between those who feel excellent candidate drug molecules are being withheld from the development process because of an indefinable and possibly vanishingly small risk, and those who feel that current and new screening technologies can protect the public from the catastrophic scenario of marketing a drug with a moderate risk of unexpected and serious toxicity (Rodén, 2005). It is conceivable that with advances in personal genomics will come the ability to predict with high accuracy risk for adverse drug effects. Meanwhile, understanding the mechanisms underlying drug actions, especially toxicities such as the diLQTS, remains the best way forward to choosing among available therapies for individual patients and to developing new safe and effective treatments.
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