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Abstract—Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) is a zinc-dependent peptidase responsible for converting angiotensin I into the vasoconstrictor angiotensin II. However, ACE is a relatively nonspecific peptidase that is capable of cleaving a wide range of substrates. Because of this, ACE and its peptide substrates and products affect many physiologic processes, including blood pressure control, hematopoiesis, reproduction, renal development, renal function, and the immune response. The defining feature of ACE is that it is composed of two homologous and independently catalytic domains, the result of an ancient gene duplication, and ACE-like genes are widely distributed in nature. The two ACE catalytic domains contribute to the wide substrate diversity of ACE and, by extension, the physiologic impact of the enzyme. Several studies suggest that the two catalytic domains have different biologic functions. Recently, the X-ray crystal structure of ACE has elucidated some of the structural differences between the two ACE domains. This is important now that ACE domain-specific inhibitors have been synthesized and characterized. Once widely available, these reagents will undoubtedly be powerful tools for probing the physiologic actions of each ACE domain. In turn, this knowledge should allow clinicians to envision new therapies for diseases not currently treated with ACE inhibitors.

I. Introduction

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) is known as peptidyl-dipeptidase A. It is also known as kininase II, CD143, or EC 3.5.15.1 (http://www.chem.qmul.ac.uk/iubmb/enzyme/EC3/4/15/1.html; http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P12821). Mostly, however, it is known as the enzyme that produces the vasoconstrictor angiotensin II. Although the cleavage of angiotensin I to the eight–amino acid peptide angiotensin II is certainly a central action of ACE, to go no farther in understanding this enzyme is to miss something essential: ACE and its peptide substrates and products affect many physiologic processes in addition to blood pressure control. These include hematopoiesis, reproduction, renal development, renal function, and the immune response. The diversity of ACE function is the central theme of this review.

A literature search for “angiotensin-converting enzyme” returns more than 46,000 articles, with more than 10,000 classified as reviews. Several are excellent
II. Renin Versus Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

At first glance, the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) is simple. Two enzymes, renin and ACE, act sequentially to produce angiotensin II. However, these enzymes are very different, akin to the dimorphism among peacocks. Renin is the more colorful enzyme. An aspartyl protease, renin cleaves only the single-substrate angiotensinogen at only a single position, releasing the amino-terminal peptide angiotensin I (Inagami, 1981). Renin specialization is further evidenced by its extremely limited tissue distribution: active renin is made in the kidney by granular cells in the wall of the afferent arteriole at the base of the glomerulus in the juxtaglomerular apparatus (Kurtz, 2011). This location is perfectly positioned to sense and respond to changes in renal arteriolar blood pressure and fluid flow within the nephron. Simply put, the business of renin is blood pressure regulation. The expression of active renin is tightly regulated, quite variable, and inversely related to blood pressure. In addition, the physiology of its regulated expression demonstrates complex biochemical feedback systems working to maintain blood pressure homeostasis. As beautiful as is the biology of renin, its highly specialized catalytic activity, localization, and physiologic regulation affect blood pressure—and really nothing else.

Compare this with ACE, a zinc-dependent dicarboxypeptidase that is expressed in high amounts by the vascular endothelium and the lung, renal proximal tubular epithelium, ciliated intestinal epithelium, and developing male germ cells (Ng and Vane, 1967; Cushman and Cheung, 1971; Bruneval et al., 1986; Skidgel and Erdös, 1993). It is expressed when monocytes differentiate into macrophages, and when dendritic cells become immunologically activated (Friedland et al., 1978; Shen et al., 2011). It is made by the choroid plexus and in several areas of the brain (Defendini et al., 1982; Defendini et al., 1983; Strittmatter et al., 1984). In fact, polymerase chain reaction analysis identified significant ACE mRNA expression in all 72 human tissues studied (Harmer et al., 2002).

ACE is much more promiscuous in substrate specificity than renin. Although this is discussed in detail later, reported ACE substrates are as small as tripeptides and as large as 42 amino acids (Skidgel and Erdös, 1987). Some substrates, such as angiotensin I and bradykinin, directly affect blood pressure, whereas others substrates, such as the peptide acetyl Ser-Asp-Lys-Pro (AcSDKP), do not (Liao et al., 2010). Although renin regulation is physiologically critical, the significance of regulated ACE expression in vivo is much less clear and certainly less physiologically important. ACE expression by the vascular endothelium is affected by a wide variety of stimuli, particularly when studied in vitro using cultured endothelial cells. Such cells increase ACE expression at confluence, in response to steroids, thyroid hormone, intracellular calcium, intracellular cAMP, ACE inhibitors, and several other stimuli (Del Vecchio and Smith, 1981; Forslund et al., 1982; Fyhrquist et al., 1983; Krulewitz et al., 1984; Krulewitz and Fanburg, 1986; Shai et al., 1992). Serum ACE levels are elevated in hyperthyroidism, but this does not appear to affect blood pressure (Nakamura et al., 1982). In fact, genetic experiments in both mice and computer modeling suggest that ACE regulation in vivo has very little effect on resting blood pressure. Targeted recombination has been used to create mice with one, two, three, or four copies of the ACE gene (Krege et al., 1997). Plasma ACE levels in mice varied from 62% of normal (one ACE gene) to 213% of normal (four ACE genes). Yet this study showed that systemic blood pressure was not significantly affected by ACE gene copy number and the related changes in ACE expression. Other mouse genetic models in which ACE was aberrantly expressed by hepatocytes and not by the vascular endothelium also showed normal basal blood pressures (Cole et al., 2002, 2003). Finally, a complex computer simulation was used to model the blood pressure effects of changes in the concentration of RAS components, including ACE (Smithies et al., 2000). Similar to the mouse models, the authors concluded that changes in ACE expression have little effect on blood pressure due to renin-mediated compensation of angiotensin I levels. Only when ACE inhibition is nearly complete (more than 90%) is maximum renin/angiotensin I compensation reached. Then, further inhibition of ACE results in a decrease in angiotensin II levels and reduced blood pressure.

In summarizing a comparison between renin and ACE, it is best to consider renin as highly specialized for the regulated control of blood pressure. ACE contributes to blood pressure; the effect of ACE inhibitors, for which more than 168 million prescriptions were written in the United States in 2010, provides proof of this truth (report by the IMS Institute for Health Economics, 2011). Yet ACE is not a bad thing or the bad thing. It is an important and necessary enzyme.
for Healthcare Informatics - The Use of Medicines in the United States: Review of 2010). However, the concept of ACE as only participating in hemodynamic processes is incorrect, and impedes expanding the use of available ACE inhibitors or developing new ACE domain-specific inhibitors to treat disease.

III. The Discovery and Physical Properties of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

A. Discovery

“I have long believed that most important scientific discoveries are in large part accidental.” Leonard T. Skeggs, Jr. (Skeggs, 1993).

ACE was discovered in the mid-1950s, and was the last of the traditional components of the RAS to be identified. In fact, ACE was found more than 50 years after the identification of renin by physiologist Robert Tigerstedt working in Stockholm with a medical student, Per Gustav Bergman (Tigerstedt and Bergman, 1898). Understanding how these proteins were discovered gives insight into why ACE and the RAS are associated with blood pressure control. In November 1896, Tigerstedt began a series of experiments in which a cold-water extract of rabbit kidney was injected into the jugular vein of a recipient rabbit. Within little more than a minute, the blood pressure of the recipient increased more than 40 mm Hg (Phillips and Schmidt-Ott, 1999; Hall, 2003). Over the next year, Tigerstedt showed that the active agent in the renal extract was both water- and alcohol-soluble, nondialyzable, and heat sensitive. It was found in the renal cortex and renal venous blood, but not in the renal arterial blood. The pressor effect was not mediated by a change in heart rate and was not prevented by destruction of the spinal cord or other nerves. Tigerstedt named his discovery renin.

Tigerstedt’s experiments reflected the science of this period. In 1889, the French physician/physiologist Charles-Édouard Brown-Séquard achieved notoriety by studying organ extracts, including injecting himself with an extract of testis (Marks and Maxwell, 1979). In Tigerstedt’s case, his experiments reflected his belief in an “intimate connection between some renal and cardiac diseases” (Tigerstedt and Bergman, 1898). At the end of Tigerstedt’s 1898 paper, the authors write that they did not want to propose a new hypothesis, but wanted “to draw attention to the possible importance of a blood pressure-raising substance formed in the kidneys” (Marks and Maxwell, 1979). This was not to be, as no one, including Tigerstedt, recognized the significance of his discovery. Other diseases besides heart disease were killing people. In 1900, tuberculosis killed 61,888 Americans, as compared with the 45,279 killed by circulatory system diseases (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/vsushistorical/mortstatsh_1900-1904.pdf); in these official death statistics, hypertension was not even recognized. In fact, it was not common in the United States to measure blood pressure in a medical examination until the early years of the 1900s (Kotchen, 2011). After October 1897, Tigerstedt stopped his investigation of renin. Although confirmatory work was published in 1909 by Bingel and Strauss (1909), the role of the kidney in blood pressure control was not recognized as physiologically important until 1934, when Goldblatt et al. (1934) published that clamping the renal arteries produced chronic hypertension. By then, the measurement of blood pressure was common in a medical setting, and there was some appreciation of the detrimental effects of hypertension (Kotchen, 2011).

The discovery by Goldblatt et al. (1934) that a humoral product raised blood pressure led to investigation into the nature of the pressor substance. Scientists, led by Irvine Page in Indianapolis and Eduardo Braun-Menéndez in Buenos Aires, identified renin as an enzyme and called the product of renin catalysis hypertensin (Braun-Menéndez) or angiotonin (Page) (Kohlstaedt et al., 1938; Muñoz et al., 1939; Braun-Menéndez et al., 1940; Page and Helmer, 1940). In 1958, both groups agreed to the name angiotensin (Braun-Menéndez and Page, 1958).

ACE was discovered in the mid-1950s by Leonard T. Skeggs, Jr. (Skeggs et al., 1956a; Skeggs, 1993). Skeggs and his colleagues Joseph Kahn and Norman Shumway were competing with research groups at the Cleveland Clinic and in London to purify and determine the structure of angiotensin. Skeggs was working with hog kidney as a source of renin and horse blood to provide the renin substrate. Both preparations were dialedyed exhaustively against distilled water before reaction and purification of the product (what we now call angiotensin I) by counter-current distribution. The presence of the product in the individual counter-current distribution fractions was then tested by a bioassay, the ability to raise the blood pressure of rats. This allowed Skeggs to purify angiotensin. However, following a move to a new laboratory space, the protocol suddenly resulted in a product with a new position in the counter-current distribution. The presence of the product in the individual counter-current distribution fractions was then tested by a bioassay, the ability to raise the blood pressure of rats. This allowed Skeggs to purify angiotensin. However, following a move to a new laboratory space, the protocol suddenly resulted in a product with a new position in the counter-current distribution, which was traced to the simple substitution of normal saline for water during the dialysis steps. The two products (the first produced in the absence of salt, the second in the presence of salt) were termed hypertensin I and hypertensin II, or in modern terms, angiotensin I and angiotensin II (Skeggs et al., 1954). Both peptide products were active in the rat bioassay, but further study revealed fundamental differences between the peptides (Skeggs et al., 1956b). It was immediately realized that angiotensin I was formed due to the action of renin. The second product was due to a plasma enzyme, initially termed hypertensin-converting enzyme (now ACE), that required chloride or other halides or nitrate for activation.
tripeptide substrates such as hippuryl-His-Leu, hippuryl-
characterization, and was finally solved when model
enzyme. This served as a major impediment to detailed
extensive biochemical purification and analysis of the
used to measure ACE activity were poorly suited for
detail later.

B. Purification of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

When ACE was initially identified, the bioassays
used to measure ACE activity were poorly suited for
extensive biochemical purification and analysis of the
enzyme. This served as a major impediment to detailed
categorization, and was finally solved when model
tripeptide substrates such as hippuryl-His-Leu, hippuryl-
Gly-Gly, and benzylxycarbonyl (Z)-Phe-His-Leu were
developed (Cushman and Cheung, 1969; Piquilloud
et al., 1970; Yang et al., 1970). These and similar
assays were used to purify ACE first from pig lung
(Dorer et al., 1972; Nakajima et al., 1973). By 1980,
ACE had been purified from the lung of pig, rabbit, dog,
and cow, and from the sera of rabbit and humans
(Soffer, 1981). These studies indicated that ACE
composed approximately 0.1% of the total protein of
lungen and 0.0017% of total serum protein. Although
ACE was initially purified using columns exploiting
different physicochemical properties, the de-
velopment of affinity columns, in which ACE inhibitors
were used as the affinity ligand, greatly simplified
purification protocols. Such columns provided up to
100,000-fold single-pass purification (Pantoliano et al.,
1984; Bull et al., 1985a; Lanzillo et al., 1985; Bernstein
et al., 1988b). Purified ACE is a single polypeptide of
around 150–180 kDa (Corvol et al., 2004). Recent
purification of porcine-lung ACE reported a molecular
mass of 170 kDa by SDS-PAGE and 175 kDa by
matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass
spectrometry (Chen et al., 2010). Using this last figure
and the known amino acid sequence of porcine ACE,
approximately 16% of the mass spectrometry—
determined molecular weight is due to glycosylation
Other estimates of glycosylation indicate approximately
22% of the molecular weight (Baudin et al., 1997). ACE
isolated from the brain is slightly smaller in size,
probably due to differences in glycosylation (Hooper
and Turner, 1987; Williams et al., 1991). A smaller isozyme
of ACE is found in testis and is discussed in detail later.

The preparation of anti-ACE antibodies, the event-
ual availability of radiolabeled ACE inhibitors, and
the simplified ACE assay facilitated the study of ACE
and led to several important conclusions (Oshima
et al., 1974; Das and Soffer; 1976). ACE was identified
on the luminal surface of endothelial cells and in
organisms such as the lung and retina that have heavy
vascularization (Ryan et al., 1975; Caldwell et al.,
1976; Skidgel and Erdös, 1993). The enzyme was found
bound to cell membranes by its C-terminal amino acid
sequence (Hooper et al., 1987). ACE expression is
reported to be higher in the cultured endothelium from
arterial sources than from cultured venous endothelial
cells (Johnson and Erdös, 1977). ACE is present in high
concentrations in epithelial cells with brush borders,
including proximal tubules of the kidney, gut, choroid
plexus, and placenta (Skidgel and Erdös, 1993).
Although most ACE is associated with tissues, soluble
ACE is also found in virtually all body fluids, including
serum, urine, cerebrospinal fluid, seminal fluid, and
amniotic fluid (Das et al., 1977; El-Dorry et al., 1983;
Schweisfurth and Schiöberg-Schiegnitz, 1984; Yasui
et al., 1984; Hooper, 1991). As is discussed later,
soluble ACE is derived by the enzymatic cleavage of
tissue-bound ACE. There are also anomalies of express-
ion. For example, human and other species of kidney
are described as having high levels of ACE, whereas
rat kidney seems to have particularly low renal ACE
(Cushman and Cheung, 1971; Skidgel and Erdös,
1993). Similarly, guinea pig plasma is described as
quite high in ACE, whereas dog plasma is very low
(Yang et al., 1971; Erdös, 1975).

In humans, plasma ACE levels are described as
stable in any individual (Dux et al., 1984). Levels are
higher in men than in women (Bénéteau-Burnat et al.,
1990; Tiret et al., 1992). Children 4–18 years old have
higher levels than adults (Bénéteau-Burnat et al.,
1990).

C. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Substrates

Since its discovery, ACE was thought to be a metal-
dependent peptidase because enzymatic activity was
virtually totally inhibited by EDTA (Skeggs et al.,
1956a; Bünning and Riordan, 1983). The purified
enzyme was shown to contain zinc by atomic absorp-
tion spectroscopy, and it was estimated (incorrectly)
that each molecule of ACE contained only one metal atom (Das and Soffer, 1975; Bünning and Riordan, 1985). It is now known that each molecule of ACE contains two zinc atoms (Ehlers and Riordan, 1991).

ACE plays a role in many different physiological processes, in part because the enzyme is promiscuous. At least in vitro, it can hydrolyze a wide range of substrates (Skidgel et al., 1984; Skidgel and Erdös, 1985; Skidgel and Erdös, 1987). ACE acts as a C-terminal dipeptidase for angiotensin I, bradykinin, and other small peptide hormones, including neuropeptides, substance P, enkephalins, N-formyl-Met-Leu-Phe, acetyl-Ser-Asp-Lys-Pro (AcSDKP) and angiotensin 1-7. ACE acts as a C-terminal dipeptidase for angiotensin I, bradykinin, and other small peptide hormones, including neuropeptides, substance P, enkephalins, N-formyl-Met-Leu-Phe, acetyl-Ser-Asp-Lys-Pro (AcSDKP) and angiotensin 1-7. ACE can cleave a C-terminal tripeptide from des-Arg⁸-bradykinin, and it can cleave substrates in which the carboxyl terminus is amidated, including the release of either a dipeptide or a tripeptide from the C-terminus of substance P and a tripeptide from GnRH (previously referred to as LH-RH). In vitro, ACE cleaves a C-terminal dipeptide from the amidated peptide cholecystokinin-8 (Dubreuil et al., 1989). ACE can even cleave an N-terminal tripeptide from GnRH, perhaps because the amino-terminal pyroglutamic acid of GnRH is not charged. When an GnRH derivative containing a free N-terminal glutamic acid was tested as a substrate, N-terminal hydrolysis was much reduced (Skidgel and Erdös, 1987). We emphasize that the studies referenced here are the result of in vitro analysis of ACE catalytic activity. At present, in vivo evidence for ACE activity has been established for angiotensin I, bradykinin, and the tetrapeptide AcSDKP (Azizi et al., 1996, 1997). For example, studies in mice treated with an ACE inhibitor or in mice genetically lacking ACE expression show that ACE is responsible for at least 90% of angiotensin I conversion to angiotensin II in the blood, kidney, heart, lung, and brain (Campbell et al., 2004). The studies also showed that blood bradykinin (1–9) levels were increased 6.4- to 8.4-fold in these animals, with the authors concluding that ACE plays a significant role in bradykinin metabolism in blood and, to a lesser extent, in the kidney and heart. Other studies have suggested that increased levels of bradykinin or substance P are responsible for the dry cough associated with ACE inhibitors (Dicpinigaitis, 2006). In mice, increased bradykinin has been shown to induce cardiac mast cell degranulation, releasing chymase (mast cell protease 4), which can produce angiotensin II locally (Wei et al., 2010).

Although ACE is best known for cleaving small peptides, there have been reports of ACE cleavage of the insulin β chain (Igic et al., 1972). Also, several studies have now identified ACE as capable of cleaving the amyloid peptides (Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42) implicated in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease (Hu et al., 2001; Hemming and Selkoe, 2005; Oba et al., 2005). ACE was found to have both carboxypeptidic and endopeptidic activity against Aβ peptides, as determined by mass spectrometry (Sun et al., 2008; Zou et al., 2009). Although these publications leave little doubt that, under in vitro conditions, ACE cleaves large Aβ peptides, smaller amyloid peptide fragments, such as Aβ4-15, are hydrolyzed far more rapidly (Sun et al., 2008). In fact, ACE-mediated cleavage of full-length Aβ1-40 was slow and required long incubations of ACE with the Aβ peptide. Further, analysis of the brains of ACE knockout mice found that the lack of ACE does not significantly raise steady-state Aβ levels (Eckman et al., 2006). Although all of these findings indicate that ACE is not the major peptidase responsible for Aβ cleavage in vivo, there is some genetic evidence, discussed later, suggesting that ACE levels may influence the risk of Alzheimer's disease.

D. Discovery of Testis Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

In 1971, a study of ACE enzyme activity in rat tissues demonstrated that epididymis and testes had the highest specific activity (units per milligram of protein) of all the tissues measured (Cushman and Cheung, 1971). ACE activity in the testes increased between 40 and 50 days after birth, when mature sperm are first present. This increase in ACE activity was not observed in hypophysectomized rats. The ACE in the testes was found to be immunologically related to the enzyme made by the lung and other somatic tissues, but the testis enzyme, with a molecular mass of 90–110 kDa, was approximately half the size of pulmonary ACE (El-Dorry et al., 1982a). Because of this, the testis ACE isozyme is often termed testis ACE (or germinal ACE), in distinction from the isozyme made by all other tissues, which is called somatic ACE (Howard et al., 1990; Nadaud et al., 1992). Testis ACE is expressed by postmeiotic male germ cells; high-level expression of the protein is found in round and elongated spermatids at step 10 and beyond (Langford et al., 1993).

E. Structure of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

ACE was first cloned by Corvol and colleagues in 1988 from human endothelial cells and by Bernstein and colleagues in 1989 from mouse kidney (Bernstein et al., 1988a; Soubrier et al., 1988; Bernstein et al., 1989). Both groups isolated cDNA based on probes derived from a partial amino acid sequence. Human ACE is synthesized as a 1306–amino acid polypeptide; the mature enzyme contains 1277 residues after cleavage of a hydrophobic amino acid leader sequence. Human ACE has a predicted molecular mass of 146.6 kDa. Mouse ACE is synthesized as a 1312–amino acid peptide and then processed to contain 1278 amino acids (predicted molecular mass of 147.4 kDa). Human and mouse ACE are highly homologous, with 1088 of the 1312 amino acids being identical (83%), whereas another 60 are conserved substitutions.
A surprise derived from cloning and from a subsequent study was that ACE has two internal areas of amino acid homology, each about 612 amino acids. These are now termed the ACE N- and C-domains. For human ACE, the two domains are about 60% homologous in both DNA and amino acid sequences. Higher homology (89%) is observed in a 40–amino acid “core” region containing residues comprising part of the catalytic site of the enzyme (Soubrier et al., 1988). For mouse ACE, the structure is similar, with predicted amino acids 47–610 (the N-domain) aligning with amino acids 650–1208 (the C-domain). In each domain, 300 amino acids are identical and an additional 47 are conserved substitutions (Bernstein et al., 1989). Each of the two catalytic domains contains the zinc-coordinating amino acid motif His-Glu-XX-His, which is a structural feature of many zinc peptidases (Spyroulias et al., 2004). The exact motif in ACE is His-Glu-Met-Gly-His (HEMGH), with the two histidines coordinating zinc. A third zinc-coordinating amino acid residue is glutamate, which is present on the C-terminal side of the HEMGH motif, and is found in its own characteristic motif EXIXD. Originally, the importance of these residues for binding zinc was deduced by comparison with the sequence of thermolysin, but their functional roles have been substantiated by site-directed mutagenesis and ultimately by X-ray crystallography (Wei et al., 1991a; Anthony et al., 2012). As a function of its amino acid sequence, ACE is classified as a member of the gruzincin family (i.e., thermolysin-like peptidases) (Hooper, 1994). The MEROPS database classification is clan MA, subclan MA(E), family M2, and peptidase XM02-001 (Rawlings et al., 2008). Expression of each ACE domain in Chinese hamster ovary cells and the creation of mice with ACE genetic mutations has shown that each domain binds zinc and is independently catalytic (Wei et al., 1991a; Fuchs et al., 2004, 2008).

Our understanding of ACE structure is further enhanced by 1) the availability of ACE sequence from several species; 2) the three-dimensional crystal structure of the N-domain of ACE, the C-domain, and the enzyme ACE2, which is a C-domain homolog of ACE; and 3) the three-dimensional electron microscopic reconstruction of porcine ACE followed by the fitting of these data to the human atomic models (Natesh et al., 2003; Towler et al., 2004; Corradi et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2010). These studies allow the representation of mature human ACE, as shown in Fig. 1 (Danilov et al., 2011). The N-domain of the molecule begins with Leu1 and extends to Pro601 (Acharya et al., 2003). Within this region, H\textsuperscript{363}EMGH\textsuperscript{365} and Glu389 coordinate zinc binding. The interdomain linker is about 11 amino acids from Pro602 to Asp612, followed by the C-terminal domain, which comprises Leu613 to about Pro1193 (the last amino acid seen in the crystal structure of the C domain) (Natesh et al., 2003; Corradi et al., 2006). In this domain, H\textsuperscript{369}EMGH\textsuperscript{363} and Glu987 coordinate zinc. The C-domain is followed by a stalk from approximately Gln1194 to Arg1227, a hydrophobic transmembrane domain from Val1228 to Ser1248, and an intracellular C-terminal tail from Gln1249 to Ser1277 at the end of the molecule. Limited proteolysis of ACE with endoproteinase Asp-N will cleave between the Thr615–Asp616 and the Leu1219–Asp1220 peptide bonds to generate the two catalytic domains in active form that can be separated by a lisinopril affinity column (Sturrock et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2010). Several groups have suggested that in somatic ACE, the catalytic activity of the C-domain is negatively regulated by the presence of the N-domain (Binevski et al., 2003; Woodman et al., 2005).

X-ray and electron microscopic studies provide a detailed picture of the deep substrate-binding clefts suspected by earlier biochemical studies (Pantoliano et al., 1984; Bernstein et al., 1990). In this model of
ACE, the interdomain linker keeps the two domains separate by about 2.0–2.5 nm and is somewhat different from other models positing contact between the N- and C-domains (Corradi et al., 2006; Naperova et al., 2008). Recent analyses, using both X-ray crystallographic data and monoclonal antibody–mediated epitope mapping and blocking studies, have indicated that membrane-bound ACE may form dimers by association of two N-terminal domains (Kost OA et al., 2003; Danilov et al., 2011).

F. Shedding of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

Although ACE activity was detected in plasma as early as 1954, the purification of serum ACE led to the suggestion that it was derived from sloughing of endothelial ACE into the bloodstream (Skeggs et al., 1954; Das et al., 1977). Biochemical solubilization of ACE fragments from membranes and subsequent amino acid sequencing led to the conclusion that ACE is anchored to the cell membrane at its C terminus, and is released by a hydrolase inhibitable by EDTA (Hooper et al., 1987). Insight into this process came from a DNA sequence derived from the cloning of somatic and testis ACE, which suggested that both isoforms were bound to cells by a C-terminal hydrophobic transmembrane region followed by a small intracellular C-terminal tail. Confirmation of this was provided by Wei et al. (1991a) and Ehlers et al. (1991), who introduced a stop codon before the putative transmembrane domain, and demonstrated that this resulted in secreted enzyme. Further work again implicated a proteolytic enzyme in the natural release of ACE from cell membranes (Wei et al., 1991b).

Several groups have focused on the enzymatic process that releases ACE from the cell membrane. The cleavage site was first identified in a study of rabbit testis ACE, in which the amino acid sequence of the purified membrane “tail” remaining after ACE shedding was determined. This, combined with the C-terminal amino acid sequence of shed ACE, established a cleavage site between Arg663 and Ser664 of the testis isozyme (Ramchandran et al., 1994). A variety of biochemical studies, including mass spectroscopic analysis, established that human and porcine ACE were cleaved between Arg1203 and Ser1204, essentially identical to the cleavage site determined in rabbit (Fig. 1) (Woodman et al., 2000). Amino acid substitutions or even small deletions at the cleavage site did not markedly affect the cleavage rate, indicating that the precise sequences in the stalk region are not critical for secretion (Sadhuhan et al., 1998). Ehlers et al. (1996) have further characterized the minimal structural requirements of the stalk region as requiring about 11 amino acids. Corvol et al. (2004) have reviewed the structural requirements of the stalk region.

Although the work of Sadhukhan et al. (1998) discussed earlier indicates that the amino acid sequence of the stalk at the cleavage site is not critical, the identification of families with genetic changes in ACE leading to increased ACE shedding does indicate that stalk sequence can affect the rate of shedding. Specifically, the identification of eight Dutch families with serum ACE levels averaging 5 times normal appeared to be the result of autosomal dominant transmission (Eyries et al., 2001; Kramers et al., 2001). Genetic sequencing in three individuals showed a C to T point mutation in the ACE gene resulting in a P1199L amino acid substitution. In vitro analysis confirmed enhanced ACE shedding. Hydrophobic cluster analysis was used to argue that this point mutation changed the configuration of the stalk region, increasing the accessibility of this region to enzymatic cleavage. Despite the increased serum ACE, renin levels and blood pressures in the eight families were normal.

Recently, another family with high ACE levels was reported to have a mutation in ACE exon 8, causing a Y465D substitution (Danilov et al., 2011). This mutation resulted in serum ACE levels that were 5–7 times the normal level. Several family members with the Y465D mutation presented with clinical malaise, including nausea, vomiting, and depression. It was unclear if the abnormal serum levels of ACE played a role in the clinical symptoms.

Although the Y465D mutation is in the ACE N-terminal domain, there was markedly increased shedding when an ACE cDNA bearing the mutation was expressed in cultured cells. Detailed biochemical analysis of the mutant protein using a panel of monoclonal antibodies showed significant changes in ACE conformation in both the N- and C-domains, particularly in the region of the stalk (Danilov et al., 2011). The authors speculated on a link between ACE dimer formation via the N-domain and the rate of shedding. These data are consistent with earlier studies showing that the secretion rate of somatic ACE (containing both the N- and C-domains) was about 10-fold less than that of constructs containing only the ACE C-domain, suggesting that in normal somatic ACE, the ACE N-domain has an effect on the rate of shedding (Beldent et al., 1995; Woodman et al., 2000). Interaction between the sheddase enzyme and structural motifs on the C-domain of ACE have been hypothesized (Woodman et al., 2006). In contrast, the N-domain may lack such recognition sequences. Consistent with this is the finding that an ACE construct, composed of a tandem repeat of two ACE C-domains, is shed from cells with kinetics similar to the testis ACE protein, which contains only a single C-domain (Woodman et al., 2005). Not only was the repeated C-domain construct shed, the sheddase enzyme cut both within the ACE stalk region and in the interdomain
protein bridge connecting the two C-domains. Thus, it seems that as yet, unknown structural motifs on the C-domain interact with the membrane sheddase enzyme facilitating cleavage, and that the presence of the N-domain in somatic ACE hinders the recognition and cleavage process.

Several studies have examined the nature of the ACE sheddase enzyme, although its identity has not been established with certainty. Oppong and Hooper (1993) identified the enzyme as associated with membranes and inhibited with EDTA. The sheddase is also inhibitable by hydroxamic acid–based compounds, such as batimastat, but not by several other classes of metalloprotease inhibitors (Parvathy et al., 1997). The enzyme has been suggested to be a member of the ADAM family of proteins, although probably not ADAM10 or ADAM17 (Allinson et al., 2004; Parkin et al., 2004). ADAM9 has been implicated in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated shedding of ACE from endothelial cells (English et al., 2012). There may be more than one enzyme capable of releasing ACE, as it has been suggested that testis ACE release from sperm in the caput epididymis is due to a serine protease (Thimon et al., 2005).

G. Other Causes of Increased Serum Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

In 1975, it was reported that patients with active sarcoidosis have elevated serum ACE levels, as compared with treated sarcoidosis patients or patients with resolved disease in which serum ACE was normal (Lieberman, 1975, 1976). Serial measurements of serum ACE were found to be a sensitive means of following the clinical course of sarcoidosis and predicting clinical relapse or improvement (Lieberman et al., 1983). Subsequent analysis described elevated ACE levels in a variety of granulomatous pulmonary diseases, including histoplasmosis, silicosis, and military tuberculosis (Ryder et al., 1983; Brice et al., 1995). This is due to increased ACE expression by the epithelioid cells present in sarcoid and other granuloma (Silverstein et al., 1979). These cells are monocytic in origin (Williams and Williams, 1983). ACE levels are also elevated in Gaucher’s disease, and are again thought to be a product of macrophage-like cells (Silverstein and Friedland, 1977). Although the precise biochemistry of ACE elevation is not yet known, it is thought that CD4+ T cells and a Th1 immune response are important etiologic components initiating granuloma formation in sarcoid (Grunewald and Eklund, 2007). Recently, apoE-deficient mice fed a cholate-containing high-fat diet developed lung granuloma similar to those present in sarcoidosis, including high levels of ACE expression by epithelioid cells (Samokhin et al., 2010). It is hoped that such a model may facilitate elucidation of precisely what stimulates ACE expression.

IV. Function of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

A. Production and Function of Angiotensin II

Whereas the pioneering studies of ACE were oriented toward understanding blood pressure, a more modern view recognizes many other physiologic roles for this enzyme. In part, this is due to the diversity of ACE substrates and products. However, even if we only consider a single product—angiotensin II—the physiologic effects of ACE are extraordinarily diverse. This review cannot discuss all the known actions of angiotensin II, but many of these are summarized in Table 1. Angiotensin II has effects on the kidney, the vasculature, the heart, the nervous system, metabolism, cell proliferation, and many other processes. Thus, the actions of just one ACE product demonstrate many effects of ACE.

B. Blood Pressure

In considering ACE, it is often important to discriminate between the effects of angiotensin II and other ACE substrates and products. There are two major approaches. First, mice having null mutations in ACE can be compared with mice lacking other components of the renin-angiotensin system, such as angiotensinogen or the AT1 receptor. A second method, usable in mice and humans, is to compare the results of an ACE inhibitor to those of an angiotensin II receptor antagonist. Both of these approaches indicate that ACE-mediated production of angiotensin II is critical in blood pressure regulation. In knockout mice with a genetic mutation that eliminates angiotensinogen, renin, ACE, or all AT1 receptors (i.e., AT1A and AT1B), the blood pressure is very markedly reduced (Tanimoto et al., 1994; Kim et al., 1995; Krege et al., 1995; Niimura et al., 1995; Esther et al., 1996; Sharp et al., 1996; Tsuchida et al., 1998). For example, as measured using a tail cuff manometer, a wild-type mouse averages a systolic blood pressure of about 110 mm Hg. This is essentially unchanged in mice with only a single functional copy of the ACE gene. In contrast, an ACE-null animal has an average systolic blood pressure of approximately 73 mm Hg. An equivalent reduction in blood pressure in animals lacking angiotensinogen, renin, or ACE indicates that, at least in mice, the effect of eliminating ACE activity is a marked inability to produce angiotensin II, and that this is the key feature resulting in low blood pressure. The blood pressure in a mouse lacking both ACE and the bradykinin B2 receptor did not differ from a mouse lacking just ACE (Xiao et al., 2003). Although equivalent genetic studies in humans are not possible, a recent comparison of the benefits of ACE inhibitors versus angiotensin II receptor antagonists for treating essential hypertension showed that both classes of inhibitors had similar long-term effects on blood pressure. This meta-analysis was not able to identify consistent differential effects on death, cardiovascular...
### TABLE 1
Actions of angiotensin II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Mechanism: Intracellular Pathways Elicited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions in the kidney</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium and water retention</td>
<td>Renal microvasculature</td>
<td>Reduces GFR and renal plasma flow through the following: Affluent and efferent vasoconstriction via AT1 receptor activation (Navar et al., 1996; Arendshorst et al., 1999). Filtration coefficient reduction, probably due to constriction of mesangial cells (Blantz et al., 1978; Boylis and Brenner, 1978). Increased sensitivity of tubule-glomerular feedback mechanism via AT1 receptor activation in mesangial cells (Schnermann and Briggs, 1986; Mitchell and Navar, 1988) and increased activity of Na/H exchanger (Peti-Peterdi and Bell, 1998) and Na+/K+/2Cl- transporter (Kovács et al., 2002). Proximal tubule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth, inflammation/ fibrosis</strong></td>
<td>Whole kidney</td>
<td>Most actions are due to induction of TGF-β. Other factors include endothelin-1, MMP-2, and hypoxia (Ruster and Wolf, 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxidative stress</strong></td>
<td>Whole kidney</td>
<td>Induces assembly and activation of the NADPH oxidase complex (Sachse and Wolf, 2007). ROS activates several pathways, including MAP kinases, NF-κB, tyrosine kinases, metalloproteinases, and AP-1 (Sachse and Wolf, 2007).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflammation</strong></td>
<td>Whole kidney</td>
<td>Activation of multiple pathways, including NF-κB, MAPK cascade, Rho proteins, and ROS (Ruiz-Ortega et al., 2006a, b).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions in the vasculature</strong></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>AT1 receptor-mediated activation of G proteins, including Gq/11, G12, and G13, leads to increases in several second messengers, including intracellular calcium, IP3, ROS, and Rho kinase and ARHGEF1 factor (Guilbey et al., 2010).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth, inflammation/ fibrosis</strong></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>AT1 receptor-mediated activation of MAPKs (including p38 and ERK), JNK, and tyrosine kinases (SRC, JAK, FAK, PYK2, P130Cas), intracellular calcium increases and ROS (Toyouz et al., 1999; Savoia et al., 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vasodilation</strong></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>AT2 receptor-mediated activation of NO-GMP pathway (Savoia et al., 2011) or through bradykinin (Savoia et al., 2011). AT2 receptor activation is also associated with other antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions in the heart</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased inotropism</td>
<td>Ventricular myocardium</td>
<td>Increases inotropism indirectly by stimulating the sympathetic nervous system (Koch-Weser, 1985) and directly by intracellular calcium influx and changes of the plateau phase of the cardiac action potential (Dempsey et al., 1971).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary muscles</td>
<td>Ventricular myocardium</td>
<td>Induces release of endothelin, which activates the Na+/H+ exchanger, increases [Na+]i, and promotes the influx of Ca2+ that leads to a positive inotropic effect (Perez et al., 2003). Stretch of papillary muscles induces the release of angiotensin II (Cingolani et al., 2005).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophy</td>
<td>Whole heart</td>
<td>Several reports indicate that local AT1 receptor stimulation induces cardiac hypertrophy (Dostal and Baker, 1998). However, others have shown that local increase of angiotensin II production in the heart does not produce cardiac hypertrophy (Xiao et al., 2008), and that AT1 receptor exclusively in the kidneys is sufficient to induce hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy (Crowley et al., 2006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricle</td>
<td>Whole heart</td>
<td>Leads to diastolic dysfunction as a consequence of impaired diastolic sarcomplasmic reticulum calcium pump (SERCA2) activity via AT1 receptor (Rothermund et al., 2001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Mechanism: Intracellular Pathways Elicited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Remodeling and dysfunction           | Whole heart                    | Produces multifocal antimyosin labeling of cardiac myocytes and myocytolysis (Tan et al., 1991).
|                                      |                                | Contributes to arrhythmogenic atrial structural remodeling by MAPK activation (Li et al., 2001).
|                                      |                                | Leads to cardiac dysfunction in absence of hemodynamic overload (Domenighetti et al., 2005).
|                                      | Fibroblasts                    | Induces fibrosis at least partially mediated through TGF-β production via AT1 receptor activation (Pinto et al., 2000).
|                                      |                                | Generates proliferative stimuli for the fibroblast portion of cardiac cell population (Schelling et al., 1991).
|                                      | Cultured cardiomyocytes        | Increases mRNA and protein levels of osteopontin through AT1 receptor (Ashizawa et al., 1996).
|                                      |                                | Induces peristin expression through the activation of the Ras/p38 MAPK/CREB and the ERK1/2/TGF-β pathways (Li et al., 2011).
|                                      |                                | Induces apoptosis through AT receptor (Cigola et al., 1997).
|                                      |                                | Leads to a proinflammatory/profibrogenic phenotype and enhances reactive oxygen species production (Zhao et al., 2006).

A Modern Understanding of ACE

| Actions in the central nervous system | Blood pressure regulation     | NTS                                | Baroreceptor reflex suppression through: AT receptor activation (Lucius et al., 1998; Paul et al., 2006) and inhibition of ACE2 activity (Xia et al., 2009).
|                                      | RVLM, PVN, SFO                | Increased sensitivity of cardiac sympathetic afferents via AT1 receptor (Epstein et al., 1970; Xia et al., 2009).
|                                      | Supraoptic nuclei, PVN         | Increases vasopressin released via AT1 receptor (Quddri et al., 1993).
|                                      | MnPO, OVLT, SFO, CVOs, and limbic structures | Increases water intake and NaCl intake via AT1 receptor activation (Mathai et al., 1997; Weisinger et al., 1997). The dipsogenic action involves participation of catecholamines released from neurons (Grossman, 1962) and could be mediated by NMDA receptors (Xu et al., 1997).
|                                      |                                | Initiates chronic activation of renal sympathetic nerve shifting renal function to higher blood pressure levels (Osborn and Camara, 1997).
|                                      |                                | The combination of angiotensin II and high salt intake increases splanchnic SNA and decreased renal SNA, creating a hemodynamic environment capable of producing sustained hypertension (Osborn and Fink, 2010).
|                                      |                                | Increases fluid intake and generates polyuria and chronic hyponatremia via AT1 receptor and increased adrenal steroids (Grobe et al., 2010).

Metabolism

| Hypothalamus; increases metabolism and anorexigenic effect | ICV infusion; promotes negative energy balance | Regulates food intake and weight gain through release of anorexigenic neuropeptide Crh via AT1 receptor (Yamamoto et al., 2011).
|                                                           |                                               | Augments whole-body heat production and oxygen consumption, and reduces body adipose mass through increased sympathetic activation via increased β3-adrenergic receptor expression in brown and white adipose tissue (de Kloet et al., 2011).
|                                                           |                                               | Reduces a profound reduction in both subcutaneous and visceral adiposity (Grobe et al., 2010).
|                                                           |                                               | Leads to enhanced brown adipose tissue thermogenesis and white adipose tissue lipolysis, possibly through AT2 receptor (Watanabe et al., 1999; de Kloet et al., 2011).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Mechanism: Intracellular Pathways Elicited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nervous system development</td>
<td>Microexplant cultures of the cerebellum</td>
<td>Increases elongation of neurites and cell migration in rat neonates via AT2 receptor (Cote et al., 1999). Promotes differentiation and axonal regeneration, and inhibits proliferation of neuronal cells via AT2 receptor stimulation (Lucius et al., 1998).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optic nerve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultured neurons</td>
<td>Enhances UV radiation–induced apoptosis through AT2 receptor (Shenoy et al., 1999). After focal brain injury, can prevent damage of neurons or activate neural repair systems through AT2 receptor (Mogi et al., 2006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive system</td>
<td>Pituitary cells</td>
<td>Increases prolactin release and regulates intracellular Ca^{2+} levels (Diaz-Torga et al., 1998).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual system</td>
<td>Superior colliculus</td>
<td>Reduces the amplitude of visual evoked potentials through AT1 receptor (Merabet et al., 1997; Coude et al., 2000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior</td>
<td>Left CA1 hippocampal area</td>
<td>Facilitates learning and memory of rats (Belcheva et al., 2000). Increases the pain threshold through AT2 receptor (Georgieva and Georgiev, 1999).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sympathetic nervous system</td>
<td>Superior cervical ganglia cells, sympathetic region of the thoracic and lumbar spinal cord</td>
<td>Increases excitability and facilitates the action potential-induced release of norepinephrine (Lewis and Coote, 1993; Osborn et al., 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasympathetic nervous system</td>
<td>Preganglionic neurons</td>
<td>Inhibits release of acetylcholine via a presynaptic mechanism (Potter, 1982).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions in the digestive system</td>
<td>Digestion and water and electrolyte absorption</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Large intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>High expression of AT1 receptor in Helicobacter pylori (Hallsund et al., 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>Causes mild activation of the coagulation cascade with increases in plasma levels of thrombin-antithrombin complex and prothrombin fragment F1 + 2 (Larsson et al., 2000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebral endothelial cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation</td>
<td>Coagulation cascade proteins</td>
<td>Arterioles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Endothelial cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T lymphocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions in the clotting system</td>
<td>Platelet aggregation</td>
<td>Platelet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebral endothelial cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodynamics</td>
<td>Hemodynamics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Bradykinin

Another important substrate of ACE is bradykinin, and as discussed, bradykinin levels are elevated in the absence of ACE activity. The kinin system, similar to the RAS, is composed of multiple peptides and receptors (Leeb-Lundberg et al., 2005). The two major active kinins are bradykinin and kallidin. The latter is a decapeptide and is very rapidly converted to bradykinin by the action of aminopeptidases. Bradykinin has a short half-life of approximately 15 seconds in plasma due to the action of multiple metalloproteases (Nussberger et al., 1999; Moreau et al., 2005). Neutral endopeptidase and ACE are the two major bradykinin-degrading enzymes (Ura et al., 1994; Duncan et al., 2000). Pharmacologic inhibition of ACE increases plasma bradykinin levels in patients, but has very little or no effect on the concentration of kallidin. Kinins bind two types of receptors called B1 and B2. The B2 receptor is the predominant receptor and is constitutively expressed, whereas the B1 receptor is induced by tissue injury such as ischemia and inflammation (Madeddu et al., 2007; Maurer et al., 2011). Leeb-Lundberg et al. (2005) reviewed the pharmacology and physiology of these receptors. In blood vessels, bradykinin binds the B2 receptor and induces the production of nitric oxide (NO) and the release of prostacyclin, resulting in vasodilation and increased vascular permeability (Sharma, 2009). In the kidney, bradykinin has natriuretic effects (Sharma, 2009; Katori and Majima, 2003). Inducing bradykinin formation in hypertensive models reduces blood pressure (Martorana et al., 1990; Xiong et al., 1995; Chao et al., 1998; Spillmann et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004). Thus, besides reducing angiotensin II production, ACE inhibitors may contribute to the control of blood pressure by increasing the concentration of bradykinin. Mice that are genetically null for the B2 receptor have an increase in blood pressure on some genetic backgrounds, but this increase is much less or absent on a C57BL/6 genetic background (Trabold et al., 2002; Maestri et al., 2003).

D. Renal Development

Angiotensin II plays an important role in renal development, as shown by the phenotype of mice null for angiotensinogen, ACE, or all AT1 receptors. Such mice cannot effectively concentrate urine due to a marked expansion of the renal pelvis with a resulting underdevelopment of the renal medulla and papilla. In extreme cases, the renal medulla is virtually absent (Kim et al., 1995; Krege et al., 1995; Niimura et al., 1995; Esther et al., 1996; Tsuchida et al., 1998). The mice also show juxtaglomerular cell hypertrophy, medial thickening of small arteries and arterioles, interstitial fibrosis, and tubular dilatation (Niimura et al., 1995). These renal lesions are not present in a newborn ACE knockout mouse but begin to be seen by 16 days after birth (Erttoy and Bernstein, 2000). Renal pathology was also observed in rats treated with either an ACE inhibitor or an AT1 receptor antagonist during the first two weeks of life. Despite limited exposure to the drug, the adult animals demonstrated widening of the renal papillary space and a reduction in the ability to concentrate urine (Friberg et al., 1994; Guron et al., 1997).
Great insight into the role of angiotensin II in renal development was provided by Ichikawa and colleagues, who showed that the expansion of the renal pelvis results from a functional hydronephrosis and elevation of intrapelvic urinary pressure (Miyazaki et al., 1998; Matsusaka et al., 2002). In the absence of the angiotensin II AT1 receptor, hypoplastic development of smooth muscle along the renal pelvis and ureter occurs. Further, wild-type mice exhibit rhythmic pulsatile pressure elevations in the renal pelvis which, roughly every 2 seconds, cycle the renal pelvic pressure from about 5 to 15 mm Hg and then back down. This rhythmic contraction and the resulting peristaltic movement from the renal hilum toward the bladder was absent in mice lacking AT1 receptors. These mice showed a constant elevation of intrapelvic pressure to just under 20 mm Hg. Although an equivalent experiment has not been performed in ACE-null mice, the fact that these animals have a virtually identical phenotype strongly suggests that similar pathophysiologic mechanisms are at play.

There are several other abnormalities in the kidneys of ACE-null mice. These animals have a significantly reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and single-nephron GFR due, undoubtedly, to their low blood pressure (Hashimoto et al., 2005). Although proximal tubular fractional reabsorption was normal in the ACE-null mice, tubuloglomerular feedback was essentially absent. Interestingly, a different line of mice with one ACE-null allele and a second ACE allele targeting ACE expression to the liver also showed a marked reduction in tubuloglomerular feedback, despite a normal blood pressure, GFR, and single-nephron GFR. The authors concluded that the expression of ACE in renal tissues was an important component of tubuloglomerular feedback. Additional work has shown that ACE-mediated intrarenal generation of angiotensin II works in conjunction with adenosine to induce afferent arteriolar contraction and regulate GFR (Schnermann and Briggs, 2008).

The studies in animals have correlations in humans, where reports have shown that ACE inhibitors taken during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy are associated with intrauterine growth retardation, neonatal hypotension, renal failure, oligohydramnios, and patent ductus arteriosus (Pryde et al., 1993; Quan, 2006). The kidneys of newborns exposed to ACE inhibitors show juxtaglomerular hyperplasia, dilatation of Bowman’s space, renal tubular dilatation, and increased cortical and medullary fibrosis. Similar effects on newborns have also been observed with AT1 receptor antagonists.

E. Role of Testis Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

As discussed, early work identified testis as having abundant ACE (Cushman and Cheung, 1971). Although the enzyme was catalytically similar to somatic ACE (the isozyme of ACE made by somatic tissues), the molecular mass of testis ACE at about 95 kDa was substantially different from that of the somatic isozyme (El-Dorry et al., 1982a). Further, different mRNAs encoded the two isozymes, and they were regulated differently by hormones (El-Dorry et al., 1982b). Using immunologic approaches, testis ACE was identified in male germ cells, whereas somatic ACE was found in the epididymal epithelium, cells of the vas deferens, and within seminal fluid (Berg et al., 1986; Brentjens et al., 1986; Danilov et al., 1987).

Part of the mystery surrounding testis ACE was solved with the cloning of this isozyme (Ehlers et al., 1989; Kumar et al., 1989; Lattion et al., 1989; Howard et al., 1990). This showed a protein of 732 amino acids. In the human, the amino-terminal 67 amino acids (66 amino acids in the mouse) are not found in somatic ACE. The remainder of the protein (665 amino acids in the human, 666 amino acids in the mouse) is completely identical to the C-terminal sequence of somatic ACE. In other words, whereas somatic ACE is composed of two catalytic domains, testis ACE, after beginning with a unique sequence, comprises only a single catalytic domain, as well as the stalk, C-terminal transmembrane domain, and C-terminal intracytoplasmic tail, which are identical to the C-terminal portion of somatic ACE.

The mystery of this unique structure was solved when it was shown, using RNase protection and primer extension techniques, that mouse testis ACE transcription begins at the 13th exon of the ACE gene (Howard et al., 1990). This is 7.2 kilobases 3’ of the translation start site of somatic ACE in mice. Somatic tissues treat exon 13 as intronic and splice from exon 12 to exon 14. It is the male germ cell specific initiation of transcription at exon 13 that endows testis ACE with 66 amino acids of unique N-terminal sequence. After that, exon 14 and the remainder of testis ACE correspond exactly to the carboxyl half of somatic ACE. That male germ cells begin transcription at a different location from somatic tissues is due to a tissue-specific promoter located immediately 5’ of the transcription start site. This was demonstrated in two studies of transgenic mice in which Escherichia coli lacZ gene expression was placed under the control of the putative testis ACE promoter region, comprising either 682 or 91 base pairs of DNA immediately upstream from the start of testis ACE transcription (Langford et al., 1991; Howard et al., 1993). Mice transgenic for these constructs expressed β-galactosidase only within elongating spermatozoa within seminiferous tubules, a histologic pattern identical to that of testis ACE. Thus, these experiments establish that a testis-specific promoter is positioned between the somatic ACE N- and C-domains.

Detailed functional analysis of the testis ACE promoter demonstrated two important protein-binding motifs: a transcription factor II D (TFIID) binding site.
when sperm from male ACE-null mice were collected indicated by the presence of vaginal plugs. Further, animals inseminate females with normal frequency, as motility. When mated with female mice, ACE-null normal numbers of sperm and normal in vitro sperm (Krege et al., 1995; Esther et al., 1996). Such mice have mice null for ACE is not different from wild-type mice reproductive defects (Tsuchida et al., 1998). receptor have not been reported as having male mice lacking both isoforms of the angiotensin II AT1 domain of somatic ACE, suggests a unique functional correspondence of the testis isozyme to the C-terminal catalytic activity produced 22 vaginal plugs, but only one testis ACE promoter has several properties that render it useful in gene targeting. Specifically, the lack of transcriptional activity of this promoter in embryonic stem cells, but its high activity in developing male germ cells, allows the promoter to be used in targeting schemes in which testis ACE promoter–mediated expression of CRE recombinase is used to drive the self excision of a neomycin cassette (Bunting et al., 1999). Apart from developing male germ cells, no other tissue has been described as making the testis ACE isozyme. In terms of function, male mice lacking ACE are severely compromised in their ability to reproduce (Krege et al., 1995; Esther et al., 1996). In contrast, reproduction in female mice lacking ACE is normal. This defect in males was shown to be directly attributable to the lack of testis ACE when mice expressing only testis ACE were studied (Hagaman et al., 1998). Male mice with this phenotype have low blood pressure, since they lack somatic ACE, but they reproduce normally. The role of testis ACE is unique, as male fertility was not restored in ACE knockout mice made transgenic for the expression of somatic ACE in sperm (Kessler et al., 2000). In contrast, an equivalent experiment performed with testis ACE did restore normal fertility (Ramaraj et al., 1998). This nonequivalence of the two isozyms of ACE, despite the correspondence of the testis isozyme to the C-terminal domain of somatic ACE, suggests a unique functional role for the testis isozyme. In addition, analysis of several lines of transgenic mice suggests that angiotensin II is not the crucial ACE product necessary for fertility. For example, the fertility of angiotensinogen-null mice (mice unable to produce angiotensin II) was reported as 8.3 pups per litter, which is quite normal for laboratory mice (Hagaman et al., 1998). Further, mice lacking both isoforms of the angiotensin II AT1 receptor have not been reported as having male reproductive defects (Tsuchida et al., 1998).

The light microscopic histology of testes from male mice null for ACE is not different from wild-type mice (Krege et al., 1995; Esther et al., 1996). Such mice have normal numbers of sperm and normal in vitro sperm motility. When mated with female mice, ACE-null animals inseminate females with normal frequency, as indicated by the presence of vaginal plugs. Further, when sperm from male ACE-null mice were collected from the uteruses of normal females 1 hour after mating, there were no significant differences in the mean number of sperm or the viability, mobility, capacitation, or acrosome reaction as compared with a similar analysis using wild-type males (Hagaman et al., 1998). However, the sperm count in the oviducts 1 hour after mating was only about 15% the number of sperm in the oviducts when females were mated with wild-type male mice and assayed under identical conditions. Further, in vitro sperm-ovocyte binding was significantly less when using sperm from ACE-null mice as compared with sperm from wild-type mice. In contrast to ACE-null mice, mice heterozygous for testis ACE isozyme expression (i.e., mice with one wild-type allele and one ACE-null allele) produce offspring in numbers equivalent to that of wild-type mice.

A major question in understanding the role of testis ACE is whether its enzymatic activity is critical for biologic function or whether the reproductive effect is only due to the presence of the testis ACE protein, perhaps in some structural capacity within sperm. This was examined in a mouse model in which testis ACE was expressed in its normal location and quantity but in an enzymatically inactive form, due to a genetic mutation eliminating zinc-binding and catalytic activity (Fuchs et al., 2005). Despite the presence of testis ACE protein, the lack of catalytic activity severely reduced male fertility, a phenotype identical to mice lacking testis ACE protein. In terms of magnitude of effect, consider that when six wild-type males were mated with wild-type females, 19 vaginal plugs were observed that resulted in 15 litters and 153 offspring. In contrast, seven male mice lacking testis ACE catalytic activity produced 22 vaginal plugs, but only one litter and one offspring. A major defect in the in vitro binding of sperm to ovocytes was also observed in that the binding of sperm from mice lacking testis ACE activity was only 4% the number of sperm from wild-type controls. Thus, male mice lacking testis ACE activity do mate, but this is so ineffective as to be highly noncompetitive in an evolutionary sense.

Recently, there was a report describing testis ACE as having direct glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein-releasing activity (Kondoh et al., 2005). However, this claim has been disputed by other research groups (Fuchs et al., 2005; Leisle et al., 2005).

As discussed by Muro and Okabe (2011), sperm from six different gene-disrupted mouse lines (calmegin, calsperin, ADAM1A, ADAM2, ADAM3, and testis ACE) all share the same phenotype of defective sperm oviduct-migrating ability and reduced binding to the zona pellucida (Yamaguchi et al., 2009). It was suggested that this may be due to aberrant ADAM3 function.

Given the evidence of a critical role for testis ACE in male fertility, we note that there have been no reports of human patients on ACE inhibitors having male
itors originate as clusters of CD34+CD45+ cells appearing in the embryo proper, lymphomyeloid hematopoietic progenitors are first found in yolk sac blood islands during the third week of development (Tavian et al., 1999). In human embryonic development, hematopoietic cells are present in the para-aortic splanchnopleura, yolk sac, aorta-gonad-mesonephros, liver, and bone marrow.

F. Early Hematopoiesis

Several papers have now established that ACE and the renin-angiotensin system play a significant role in hematopoietic cell development (Hubert et al., 2006). In the chicken egg, ACE is found at 24 hours of development (stage HH6) in the vicinity of the blood islands in the yolk sac (Savary et al., 2005). During human embryonic development, hematopoietic cells are first found in yolk sac blood islands during the third week of development (Tavian et al., 1999). In the embryo proper, lymphomyeloid hematopoietic progenitors originate as clusters of CD34+CD45+ cells appearing on the ventral wall of the aorta-gonad-mesonephros region in the fourth week of human embryonic development (Tavian et al., 1996). However, even before that, on days 23–26, early CD34−CD45− hematopoietic progenitors are present in the para-aortic splanchnopleura underlying the aorta-gonad-mesonephros region (Cumano et al., 2001; Tavian et al., 2001). Remarkably, ACE is a marker identifying these early progenitors (Jokubaitis et al., 2008; Tavian et al., 2010). ACE+ cells sorted from the splanchnopleura generated colonies of hematopoietic cells over 40 times more frequently than ACE− cells (Sinka et al., 2012). ACE therefore appears as the earliest marker of prehematopoietic mesoderm inside the human embryo, and these ACE+CD34−CD45− mesodermal precursors migrate from the splanchnopleura toward the ventral aorta, to give rise to early intra-aortic hematopoietic clusters.

In mice and human fetuses, what are finally termed hematopoietic stem cells arise from bipotential hemangioblasts, which give rise to both hematopoietic and endothelial cells. Study of human embryonic stem-cell cultures showed that an ACE−CD34+/−CD45− phenotype mark these hemangioblasts (Zambidis et al., 2008). In fact, ACE surface expression was more reliable in identifying hematopoietic progenitors than CD34 expression. Once developed from hemangioblasts, hematopoietic stem cells continuously express ACE in human embryonic, fetal, and adult hematopoietic tissues and in all stages of hematopoietic ontogeny, including within the umbilical cord blood and fetal liver (Jokubaitis et al., 2008; Zambidis et al., 2008). NOD/SCID mice transplanted with CD34+ACE+ umbilical cord blood demonstrated higher levels of engraftment than those receiving CD34+ACE− cells, which exhibited low to undetectable levels of engraftment (Jokubaitis et al., 2008). A similar experiment using fetal liver and bone marrow–derived CD34+ACE+ cells showed that these cells, but not CD34+ACE− cells, are endowed with “long-term culture-initiating cell” potential and sustain multilineage hematopoietic cell engraftment when transplanted into NOD/SCID mice (Sinka et al., 2012). Thus, the presence of ACE is a hallmark of virtually all developing blood-forming tissues of the human embryo and fetus: para-aortic splanchnopleura, yolk sac, aorta-gonad-mesonephros, liver, and bone marrow.

In addition to marking progenitors, ACE has an important functional role in the normal development of early hematopoietic progenitors. For example, ACE inhibition reduced the ability of embryoid bodies to generate hematopoietic colony-forming cells by blocking hemangioblast expansion and differentiation toward either the endothelium or multipotent hematopoietic progenitors (Zambidis et al., 2008). Acute ACE inhibitor administration in mice prevented potential colony-forming cells from entering the cell cycle, and thus protected these cells from the lethal effects of chemotherapy or irradiation (Chisi et al., 2000). Further in vivo analysis of an irradiation model showed that ACE inhibitors preserved stem cells and bone marrow multilineage hematopoietic progenitors, including colony-forming unit (CFU) granulocyte/macrophage, burst-forming unit erythroid, and CFU megakaryocyte (Charrier et al., 2004). The protective effect appeared due to the loss of angiotensin II–mediated AT1 signaling. In another study, subcutaneous infusion of angiotensin II after sublethal irradiation or chemotherapy increased recovery in the number of bone marrow and blood hematopoietic progenitors, and accelerated the rebound in peripheral leukocyte number (Rodgers et al., 2002, 2003). Angiotensin II treatment also reduced the drop in platelets observed after irradiation and increased the number of megakaryocytes in the bone marrow. A similar effect was observed with angiotensin 1–7.

The pan-lineage proliferative effect of angiotensin II led to a more detailed investigation of mechanism. Administration of angiotensin II to bone marrow cultures stimulated colony formation of the relatively immature CFU granulocyte/macrophage and CFU granulocyte/erythrocyte/monocyte/megakaryocyte colonies under pan-myeloid culture condition [i.e., cell culture with stem-cell factor, granulocyte/monocyte colony-stimulating factor (CSF), interleukin 3 (IL-3), and erythropoietin] (Rodgers et al., 2000). However, neither angiotensin II nor losartan affected bone marrow formation of the more mature CFU granulocyte and CFU macrophage colonies during culture.
with “instructive” myeloid growth factors, such as granulocyte CSF, macrophage CSF, and granulocyte/macrophage CSF (Lin et al., 2011). Also, neither angiotensin II nor losartan affected CFU megakaryocyte colony formation in a defined megakaryocyte lineage assay. These data suggest that angiotensin II facilitates hematopoietic proliferation at an early differentiation point when the progenitors have not terminally committed to a particular lineage. Supporting this, the bone marrow from ACE knockout mice contains a 2-fold expansion in the number of Lin−Sca1+c-kit+ cells, a fraction of which are enriched for hematopoietic stem cells (i.e., early cells), but the numbers of more committed common myeloid progenitors and granulocyte/macrophage progenitors are normal (Lin et al., 2011).

If anything, the most recent studies indicate that the effects of ACE on hematopoiesis are quite complex. In normal mice, ACE inhibition shows a biphasic modulation of bone marrow progenitor proliferation. Short-term ACE inhibition (2 days) suppressed the G0 to G1 transition of Lin− cells (i.e., bone marrow progenitor cells) (Davis et al., 2010). This effect of short-term ACE inhibition reduces the potential short-term injury to stem cells, and is what was seen in the irradiation experiments described earlier that resulted in enhanced stem-cell survival (Charrier et al., 2004). In contrast, longer-term treatment with ACE inhibitors (greater than 7 days) appeared to increase the proliferation of bone marrow progenitors (Davis et al., 2010). The paradoxical effects between acute versus chronic ACE inhibition imply that ACE regulates hematopoiesis through multiple peptides and/or pathways.

Finally, we note that one of the physiologic substrates of ACE is the 4-amino acid peptide AcSDKP; when normal volunteers were administered, ACE inhibitors, plasma, and urine levels of AcSDKP increased 5-fold, showing that ACE is the major enzyme responsible for the degradation of this peptide (Azizi et al., 1996). AcSDKP is the N-terminal sequence of its precursor thymosin β4, from which it is released by proteolytic cleavage. Initial investigations of AcSDKP indicated that this peptide inhibited the recruitment of primitive hematopoietic progenitors into active proliferation (Bonnet et al., 1993; Waeckel et al., 2006). Thus, by degrading AcSDKP, ACE may help recruit stem cells into the S phase.

G. Erythropoiesis

An early study of the ACE inhibitor enalapril in both hypertensive patients and normal volunteers found a small reduction in hematocrit levels (Griffing and Melby, 1982). Others have reported anemia as a side effect of treatment with ACE inhibitors (Pratt et al., 1992). ACE-null mice have a mild normocytic anemia, with the hematocrit consistently lowered by approximately 20% as compared with control mice (Esther et al., 1996). However, ACE knockout mice also have other abnormalities, including reduced renal function, which could induce a secondary anemia.

To understand the anemia, Cole et al. (2000) studied a mouse line expressing a truncated form of ACE in which the enzyme was secreted from tissues. In these mice, plasma ACE activity was 35% the normal level, and there was no evidence of renal insufficiency, but the mice did have anemia similar to ACE-null mice. The anemia was due to a reduction of red blood cell mass, and not volume expansion. In this model, a 2-week infusion of angiotensin II corrected the hematocrit to near wild-type levels, strongly implicating a direct role of angiotensin II in erythropoiesis. Consistent with this finding, angiotensin II receptor antagonists reduce hemoglobin, hematocrit, and erythrocyte counts in rats (Naeshiro et al., 1998).

The role of ACE and angiotensin II in erythropoiesis is complex. First, in vitro culture suggests that angiotensin II is associated with the production of endogenous erythropoietin from peritubular fibroblasts of the kidney (Vlahakos et al., 1995). However, there is still uncertainty about the exact relationship of ACE inhibition and erythropoietin levels in vivo. Some studies suggest that the administration of ACE inhibitors reduces plasma erythropoietin levels or induces resistance to this hormone (Walter, 1993; Gossmann et al., 1996; Albitar et al., 1998). Angiotensin II modulation of erythropoietin production is hypothesized to occur via activation of AT1 receptors and/or altered renal hemodynamics (Gossmann et al., 2001; Benohr et al., 2004). However, other studies found no causative link between erythropoietin and anemia induced with ACE inhibitors or AT1 receptor antagonists (Perazella et al., 1995; Charytan et al., 1998; Chew et al., 1999). Second, angiotensin II is a mitogen for erythroid progenitors. In vitro culture of either human peripheral blood mononuclear cells or murine bone marrow cells showed that burst-forming unit erythroid and CFU erythroid were elevated by the addition of angiotensin II in the culture medium (Mrug et al., 1997; Lin et al., 2011). This effect was inhibited by losartan. Further, losartan alone retarded the formation of CFU erythroid. It should be noted that a variety of clinical reports have observed an association between activation of the renin-angiotensin system and increased erythropoiesis (Jensen et al., 1993; Julian et al., 1994; Vlahakos et al., 1999; Cole et al., 2000). These studies come from analyses of patients with a variety of chronic diseases, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, heart failure, and renal transplantation.

H. Myelopoiesis

Besides erythropoiesis, ACE appears to affect myelopoiesis. This is best appreciated in ACE knockout
mice that have increased numbers of immature myeloid cells (myeloblasts and myelocytes) in their bone marrow (Lin et al., 2011). These mice also have diminished numbers of mature segmented neutrophils in the bone marrow. Extensive extramedullary hematopoiesis is present in ACE knockout mice, with collections of CD11b^+Gr.1^low^- immature myeloid cells in the subcapsular portion of the spleen. Moreover, ACE knockout mice have an increased susceptibility to Staphylococcus aureus infection (Okwan-Duodu et al., 2010).

In vitro analysis of bone marrow proliferation and differentiation showed that ACE inhibition expanded the number of colony-forming units (i.e., increased proliferation) but slowed the formation of mature myeloid cells (decreased maturation), which is consistent with the bone marrow phenotype of ACE knockout mice (Lin et al., 2011). Substance P (SP) is a peptide that affects bone marrow development by stimulating production of several myeloid growth factors, such as IL-1, IL-3, stem-cell factor, and granulocyte/megakaryocyte colony stimulating factor (CSF) by bone marrow stromal cells (Rameshwar et al., 1993; Rameshwar and Gascon, 1995). ACE is a major peptidase responsible for hydrolyzing (and inactivating) SP, and elevated SP is found in the bone marrow of ACE knockout mice (Lin et al., 2011). In fact, ACE appears to regulate myeloid proliferation through SP. ACE inhibitor treatment increases the number of colonies seen in an in vitro myeloid colony-forming assay. However, when the ACE inhibitor–treated cultures were coincubated with either an anti–SP-neutralizing antibody or an SP receptor antagonist, the increase in colony numbers was completely eliminated. ACE inhibitor–mediated myeloproliferation was not observed using purified hematopoietic stem cells, but was found when these cells were cocultured with a stromal cell line, implying an important role for bone marrow stromal cells in modulating myeloproliferation, possibly by SP production.

In addition to destroying SP, ACE also produces angiotensin II, and it is the lack of angiotensin II in the ACE knockout bone marrow that retards myeloid maturation. During culture of bone marrow under conditions promoting myeloid differentiation, wild-type bone marrow Lin^c-kit^ progenitors showed a slower upregulation of the myeloid maturation markers FcYRI, Gr.1 and F4/80 when treated with either an ACE inhibitor or an AT1 receptor antagonist (Lin et al., 2011). These data suggest that AT1 receptor signaling is required for terminal myeloid differentiation. In studies using AT1 receptor knockout hematopoietic cells, Tsubakimoto et al. (2009) also concluded that AT1 signaling was required for normal macrophage development.

Although blockade of the AT1 receptor slowed myeloid differentiation, macrophage production was ultimately not blocked since, in steady state, both AT1 receptor knockout mice and ACE knockout mice have normal numbers of monocytes and macrophages in the bone marrow and in peripheral blood (Tsubakimoto et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2011). When wild-type bone marrow cultures were treated with losartan, differentiated F4/80^hi^ macrophages developed with normal morphology, but these cells showed functional immaturity, as indicated by decreased tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) secretion, decreased CD86 upregulation, and a decreased oxidative burst (Lin et al., 2011). Macrophages from ACE knockout mice had similar functional defects, but angiotensin II administration in the mice partially or completely reversed macrophage function to that of cells from wild-type mice. On a transcriptional level, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein α upregulation, a key transcriptional event stimulating myelopoiesis, was suppressed in wild-type bone marrow cultures treated with losartan. Macrophages derived from ACE knockout mice also had depressed CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein α expression that was rescued by angiotensin II administration.

1. Immune Response

1. Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I.

The surface presentation of peptides by proteins comprising the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules is a critical part of almost all CD8^+ T cell–adaptive immune responses (Jensen, 2007). MHC class I molecules are on the surface of all nucleated cells, and thus all cells bear the biochemical machinery necessary to process proteins into peptides and load these peptides onto MHC class I molecules for cell-surface display. A major function of MHC class I molecules is to display viral proteins on a virally infected cell, leading to activation of T cells and destruction of the cell. That ACE may play a role in the processing of such peptides was first suggested in 1992, when serum ACE activity was found capable of trimming a synthetic influenza antigen into a form capable of being bound and presented by MHC class I molecules (Sherman et al., 1992). Using a cell-free system, a second group described serum ACE activity as necessary to trim the C terminus of a peptide epitope of human immunodeficiency virus 1 into the final presented 10-mer peptide (Kozlowski et al., 1992; Nakagawa et al., 2000). Although these reports of extracellular processing are interesting, MHC class I peptides are typically generated within antigen-presenting cells. The first evidence that ACE is capable of affecting intracellular class I peptide processing was presented by Yewdell and colleagues (1992). This group used a vaccinia virus expression system to overexpress ACE in a fibrosarcoma cell line and convincingly demonstrate that ACE was capable of processing the influenza nucleoprotein peptide M147-158/R^- into the final MHC class I epitope M147-155 via C-terminal dipeptide release. Further analysis
showed that the M147-158/R peptide was transported to the endoplasmic reticulum, where it was cleaved by ACE. The authors speculated that ACE acted on the peptide prior to antigen binding to MHC class I molecules. This study used ACE overexpression as an artificial tool to investigate the biochemistry of MHC class I peptide transport and trimming. The authors concluded that peptidases play a role in final antigen processing. However, the authors indicated that they were not suggesting that ACE normally plays a role in antigen processing.

Little follow-up work was performed on the role of ACE and MHC class I. In fact, the detailed study of antigen processing led to the belief that carboxypeptidases do not play a natural role in MHC class I peptide trimming (Craiu et al., 1997; Kunisawa and Shastri, 2003). In 2008, this question was re-examined using genetically modified macrophages and other cells designed to overexpress ACE (Shen et al., 2008). Several different antigens were studied, and antigens were presented to cells in a variety of fashions. All studies suggested that the overexpression of ACE was able to cleave MHC class I peptide precursors within the endoplasmic reticulum. Additional in vivo experiments using an adoptive transfer strategy with wild-type macrophages or macrophages overexpressing ACE demonstrated enhanced generation of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in mice receiving the macrophages overexpressing ACE. Thus, this work was similar to that of Eisenlohr et al. (1992) in that it showed that ACE overexpression had significant effects on antigen presentation.

Understanding the physiologic role of ACE in MHC class I antigen processing began with experiments showing that macrophages and dendritic cells (antigen-presenting cells) increase their expression of ACE following immune activation, either in vitro by interferon γ (IFNγ) or in vivo by infection of a mouse with Listeria monocytogenes (Shen et al., 2011). Such data suggested a role of ACE in response to immune challenge. However, the most revealing experiments were obtained using a cross-immunization approach. If a mouse is immunized with completely syngeneic cells, the recipient will be tolerant of the immunizing cells and there will be no CD8+ T cell activation, as the recipient will be tolerant of the immunizing cells. If a male ACE wild-type mouse. When this type of protocol was used to test the response not just to the H-Y peptides but to all of the different MHC class I peptides present on cells from ACE knockout or wild-type mice, there was a substantial difference in the peptide epitopes presented by the two populations of cells. The difference was so large that, when immunized, wild-type mice recognized the cells of an ACE knockout mouse as foreign, even if the two animals were the same sex and matched for genetic background. Perhaps the most convincing experiment was one in which wild-type recipient mice were immunized with cells from sex- and background-matched ACE knockout mice. Splenocytes from the recipients were then restimulated with wild-type cells for ACE (i.e., syngeneic to the original recipient mice). Not surprisingly, the challenge of wild-type splenocytes for ACE with identical cells resulted in essentially no CD8+ T cell activation. However, when the same experiment was performed, but the restimulating cells were genetically wild-type for ACE but from a wild-type mouse treated for several days with an ACE inhibitor, they now induced a significant CD8+ T cell response. In other words, syngeneic cells from an animal treated with an ACE inhibitor were no longer recognized as being immunologically identical; presumably, the treatment with the ACE inhibitor changed the peptides presented on the cell surface.

An analysis of the role of ACE in MHC class I peptide processing is significant in that it demonstrates that carboxypeptidases play an important role in the natural processing of the MHC class I repertoire. But more than just a theoretical understanding of MHC class I processing, the differences in response suggest that there may be differences in how animals lacking ACE respond to viral challenge, since the immune response is so dependent on MHC class I-mediated activation of T cells. In fact, analysis of how wild-type and ACE knockout mice respond to viral epitopes did show differences in response to known polyoma viral epitopes (Shen et al., 2011). Although further work is necessary to investigate the role of ACE in immunogenic peptide processing, several conclusions are appropriate. The finding that ACE affects presentation of immunologic peptides, and that ACE is upregulated by macrophages and dendritic cells after infection with listeria, raises the question of whether this ACE upregulation diversifies the presented MHC class I peptides and enhances the immune response. It is also important to recognize that, in humans, the natural immune response consists of many different overlapping responses, and we know of no credible evidence that medical treatment with ACE inhibitors significantly reduces immune function.

2. Role of Angiotensin II. It has become increasingly clear that ACE and angiotensin II play an important role in the immune response. The following section presents a summary of some of the known
effects of angiotensin II on basic immune processes. In a separate section, we present immune effects of ACE that are independent of angiotensin II generation.

a. Chemotaxis. As measured in a Transwell culture chamber, angiotensin II induces the migration of human peripheral blood monocytes and THP1 cells, a human monocytic leukemia cell line (Kintscher et al., 2001). The angiotensin II–induced migration was inhibited by losartan in a dose-dependent manner, implicating AT1 receptor activation. It was found that angiotensin II induced its effects, in part, through the phosphorylation of Pyk2 and paxillin 2, cytoskeleton-associated proteins involved in cell movement. Angiotensin II also induced c-src activation.

Recently, studies by Swirski et al. (2009) showed that the spleen is a reservoir for monocytes, and that, after acute myocardial ischemic injury, approximately 40% of monocytes recruited to the area of injury originate from this splenic pool. In contrast, AT1A receptor knockout mice do not effectively mobilize splenic monocytes following cardiac injury. An additional study showed that splenic monocytes increase their motility and egress from the spleen in response to angiotensin II (Leuschner et al., 2010). Injury-induced splenic release of monocytes was inhibited by the ACE inhibitor enalapril. This was not due to the vasodilatory action of blocking angiotensin II production, as the nonspecific vasodilator hydralazine did not have an equivalent effect. The authors concluded that one of the benefits of ACE inhibition after myocardial infarction was the antagonism of the angiotensin II–mediated chemotactic mobilization of the splenic monocyte reservoir and, as a result, a reduction in the inflammatory infiltrate at the site of myocardial injury (Leuschner et al., 2010).

b. Stromal attachment. Angiotensin II increases monocyte adhesion to endothelial cells, an important early step in the inflammatory process (AbdAlla et al., 2004). Furthermore, endogenous production of angiotensin II seems required for optimal T-cell function early in inflammation (Silva-Filho et al., 2011). Specifically, the use of an ACE inhibitor or an AT1 receptor antagonist in an in vitro assessment of T-cell activation had several effects, including reducing the expression of the activation markers CD25^+ and CD69^+, reducing the in vitro adhesion of activated T cells to fibronectin or laminin, and reducing the transmigration of these cells, as measured in an in vitro Transwell migration assay.

c. Activation. As indicated previously, ACE-mediated generation of angiotensin II affects the expression of T-cell activation markers, including CD44 (Guzik et al., 2007; Silva-Filho et al., 2011). Additional experiments have shown that intrinsically generated angiotensin II is also important for T-cell expression of the important cytokine TNFα (Hoch et al., 2009). Perhaps even more important is the concept that ACE and angiotensin II can induce or suppress specific classes of T cells. This is particularly important in autoimmunity, and has recently been investigated in murine experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), a model mimicking multiple sclerosis (Platten et al., 2009). In this study, proinflammatory Th1 and Th17 cells play a critical role in the inflammatory response. Induction of these cells was associated with an increase in angiotensin II in both CD4^+ T cells and CD11b^+ monocytes. The pretreatment of mice before the induction of autoimmunity with either an ACE inhibitor or an AT1 receptor antagonist suppressed the number of Th1 and Th17 T cells and increased the number of FoxP3^+ T-regulatory cells. Even more striking, the administration of the ACE inhibitor lisinopril after the establishment of EAE actually reversed the severity of animal paralysis. Both in their original study and in a follow-up investigation, this group identified angiotensin II–induced expression of transforming growth factor-β as being important in the pathogenesis of EAE (Lanz et al., 2010). Several other studies have demonstrated a role of ACE and angiotensin II in models of autoimmunity, including rheumatoid arthritis and experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis (Dalbeth et al., 2005; Sagawa et al., 2005; Okunuki et al., 2009). Other studies have found the renin-angiotensin system as pivotal in inducing central nervous system autoimmune inflammation (Stegbauer et al., 2009). Recently, a review by Lühder et al. (2009) summarized some of the effects of ACE and angiotensin II on inflammatory cells, and additional studies have demonstrated the role of the RAS in autoimmune demyelinating diseases.

d. Production of reactive oxygen species. It is well known that angiotensin II can induce an oxidative burst in the endothelium, smooth muscle, and macrophages (Lassègue et al., 2012). This is also true in T cells. Angiotensin II exposure increases T cell expression of NADPH oxidase subunits P47(phox), P22(phox) and Nox2, resulting in a marked increase in the ability of T cells to produce reactive oxygen species, which in turn influences parameters of T cell activation (Guzik et al., 2007).

3. Immune Responses of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Not Associated with Angiotensin II.

a. ACE-mediated anti-tumor response. Evidence of an important role of ACE independent of angiotensin II comes from the analysis of a mouse model called ACE 10/10. In this model, gene-targeting approaches were used to inactivate the intrinsic ACE promoter and place ACE expression under the control of the c-fms promoter (Shen et al., 2007). c-fms is expressed in high levels by myelomonocytic lineage cells as it encodes the receptor for macrophage colony-stimulating factor (Himes et al., 2001; Sasmono et al., 2003). The result is that mice homozygous for the modified ACE allele (i.e., ACE 10/10) substantially overexpress ACE in monocytes, macrophages, Kupfer cells, and other myelomonocytic lineage
cells. However, these animals lack ACE expression by endothelial cells, renal epithelial cells, and other tissues that do not recognize the c-fms promoter. Care must be taken in extrapolating results from this ACE overexpression model to the “normal” function of ACE, due to both the high ACE expression level in monocytes and the unusual tissue pattern of ACE expression in these mice. In other words, this model is akin to using ACE in a pharmacologic fashion.

Despite the marked change in tissue patterns of ACE expression, the basal physiology of the model is equivalent to wild-type mice. Serum ACE levels are in the normal range, and the mice have a normal blood pressure, renal function, and histologic appearance of both bone marrow and peripheral blood (Shen et al., 2012). Further, the model has normal testis ACE expression and thus normal reproductive behavior. However, several studies now indicate that the ACE 10/10 mice have a marked enhancement of both their innate and adaptive immune responses that becomes evident when the mice are immunologically challenged. This was first observed when these animals were challenged with the B16 melanoma, an aggressive mouse neoplasm that is often used to study mouse tumor immunology (Becker et al., 2010). Two weeks after a challenge with an intradermal implantation of B16 cells, tumor size averaged 540 mm³ in wild-type mice and 252 mm³ in heterozygous mice, but only 90 mm³ in ACE 10/10 mice (Fig. 2). This enhanced immune response was associated with a marked increase in the number of inflammatory cells, particularly monocytes and macrophages adherent to tumor vessels and infiltrating the tumor tissue itself. Analysis of the immune response using a B16 tumor line expressing ovalbumin indicated that the number of CD8⁺ T cells reactive against either the major MHC class I epitope of ovalbumin or the major MHC class I epitope of tyrosinase-related protein-2 was increased. Finally, in vitro studies suggested that, in response to immune challenge, macrophages from ACE 10/10 mice produced higher quantities of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-12 and nitric oxide. In contrast, macrophage production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 appeared reduced.

There are three important points to emphasize in the ACE 10/10 model. First, the enhanced immune response is due to the enzyme activity of ACE expressed by inflammatory cells and not the absence of ACE expression by the endothelium and other tissues. This was demonstrated through bone marrow transplantation: when wild-type mice, animals having endothelial ACE, were transplanted with bone marrow from either wild-type or ACE 10/10 mice, the recipients of ACE 10/10 bone marrow resisted B16 tumor growth far better than the animals receiving wild-type bone marrow. In addition, treatment of ACE 10/10 mice with an ACE inhibitor eliminated the increased adaptive immune response such that ACE 10/10 mice demonstrated tumor growth equivalent to similarly treated wild-type mice.

The second major point is that the enhanced immune response of the ACE 10/10 mice is almost certainly due to a response other than increased production of angiotensin II. This was demonstrated in two ways (Shen et al., 2007). First, whereas ACE 10/10 mice treated with an ACE inhibitor demonstrated tumor growth equivalent to that of wild-type mice, a similar experiment performed with an AT1 receptor antagonist had no effect on tumor growth, i.e., tumor size in the ACE 10/10 animals treated with an AT1 receptor antagonist was significantly smaller than equivalently treated wild-type controls. Second, when double-mutant ACE 10/10 angiotensinogen knockout mice were compared with angiotensinogen knockout mice with wild-type ACE, the animals with the ACE 10/10 phenotype had significantly smaller tumor growth. Thus, in the absence of all ability to produce angiotensin II, the ACE 10/10 mutation still led to a marked enhancement of the immune response. These data provide strong evidence that, whatever the precise mechanism endowing the ACE 10/10 model with an increased antitumor immune response, it is due to a catalytic effect of ACE not dependent on the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II.

Although the precise substrate created or destroyed by myelomonocytic expression of ACE is not yet known, there is some insight into the phenotypic means of achieving an increased immune response. Investigations into the developmental phenotype of macrophages have demonstrated distinct subsets of macrophages with very different biologic responses. Classically activated (or M1) macrophages are highly inflammatory cells that are thought to play a major role in defending against acute infection and tumor...
growth (Mantovani et al., 2004; Gordon and Taylor, 2005). In contrast, alternatively activated (or M2) macrophages appear later in the inflammatory response. Such cells are angiogenic, profibrotic, and are thought responsible for the suppression of the innate immune response, including allowing tumor growth. In characterizing the behavior of macrophages in the ACE 10/10 mice, the cells appear shifted toward an M1 phenotype in that their cytokine profile of elevated IL-12, TNFα, nitric oxide, and a reduced production of IL-10 is consistent with what has been described for M1 macrophages. Thus, in response to immune challenge, the overexpression of ACE by myelomonocytic cells may affect their phenotypic differentiation. This hypothesis is consistent with previously discussed studies defining a role for ACE in myelopoiesis.

b. Infection. The increased immune response of the ACE 10/10 mice is not restricted to just the B16 melanoma. A similar enhanced immune response was also observed with skin implantation of EL4 lymphoma cells (Shen et al., 2007). Further, ACE 10/10 mice show a markedly enhanced innate immune response after challenge with either L. monocytogenes (listeria) or methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) (Okwan-Duodu et al., 2010). After a challenge with either bacteria, ACE 10/10 mice showed a substantially enhanced ability to limit infection, as detected by both the number of viable bacteria and, in the case of MRSA, the ability to limit and ultimately heal the skin ulcers associated with acute dermal infection. For example, four days after ACE 10/10 or wild-type control mice were injected with intradermal MRSA, the quantity of bacteria in the skin of the wild-type mice was 50-fold greater than that present in the ACE 10/10 mice. As with the tumor studies, the treatment of ACE 10/10 mice with an ACE inhibitor (but not an AT1 receptor antagonist) caused a response to infection equivalent to similarly treated wild-type mice.

A critical part of the increased innate immune response present in the ACE 10/10 model is the increase in inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and NO production by ACE 10/10 macrophages. This is known to be a prime mechanism used by phagocytic cells to kill bacteria, and appears crucial in the enhanced response of the ACE 10/10 mice (MacMicking et al., 1997; Shiloh et al., 1999). When cells from these animals were challenged with listeria or lipopolysaccharide, the cells showed a marked increase in both iNOS and NO production as compared with wild-type cells (Okwan-Duodu et al., 2010). Further, treatment of the ACE 10/10 mice with the iNOS inhibitor 1400W [N-(3-aminomethyl)benzyl]acetamidine] rendered these animals equivalent to wild-type mice in their response to bacterial challenge.

Study of the in vitro ability of the ACE 10/10 macrophages to kill listeria suggested that the ACE 10/10 phenotype changed the pattern of monocytic differentiation (Okwan-Duodu et al., 2010). In the absence of immune stimulation, the cells from ACE 10/10 and wild-type mice were equivalent in function. Only when the ACE 10/10 cells were stimulated with an immune activator, such as IFNγ, was a marked enhancement of in vitro killing observed, as compared with similarly treated wild-type cells. The increased killing exhibited by ACE 10/10 cells could be inhibited by an ACE inhibitor, but not when the inhibitor was acutely administered; only when ACE 10/10 mice were treated with an ACE inhibitor for several days were their cells equivalent to wild-type cells in terms of ability to kill bacteria.

J. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Signaling

Fleming (2006) has suggested a novel role for ACE as a signaling molecule. This study used coprecipitation to show that various intracellular signaling molecules physically associate with the intracellular C-terminal tail of ACE. These include casein kinase 2, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7, and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (Kohlstedt et al., 2002, 2004). In response to ACE inhibitor administration, phosphorylation of ACE residue Ser1270 initiates a signaling cascade with nuclear translocation of phosphorylated c-Jun, leading to changes in gene expression, including cyclooxygenase-2 and ACE itself (Kohlstedt et al., 2004, 2005). This group has also presented data for ACE inhibitor-induced ACE signaling in adipocytes (Kohlstedt et al., 2009). While the work from Fleming found ACE signaling in response to ACE inhibitors, Guimarães and colleagues have published data indicating that the binding of angiotensin II to ACE can trigger intracellular calcium signaling (Guimarães et al., 2012). Hopefully, additional studies will determine the exact physiologic significance of ACE mediated signaling.

V. Evolutionary Implications of Two Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Catalytic Domains

A. Introduction

A surprise determined from the cloning of ACE cDNA was that somatic ACE is composed of two separate catalytic domains. This was because previous analyses of both the zinc content of ACE and the kinetics of catalysis had indicated only a single catalytic site (Bull et al., 1985b; Strittmatter and Snyder, 1986). When ACE was first cloned, it was unclear whether both domains of somatic ACE in vivo were in fact catalytic (Cumin et al., 1989). However, these doubts were removed when each domain was separately expressed and shown to be independently catalytic (Wei et al., 1991a).

In humans, ACE maps to chromosome 17 (chromosome 11 in mice) (Jeunemaitre et al., 1992; http://www.
informatics.jax.org/marker/MGI:87874). The gene is encoded by 26 exons encompassing 21 kilobases of DNA (Hubert et al., 1991). Somatic ACE comprises exons 1–12 and 14–26, whereas testis ACE is composed of exons 13–26. There is very strong evidence that the modern structure of ACE is the result of an ancient gene duplication. First, both catalytic domains of ACE are homologous in amino acid sequence. Further, exons 4–11 and 17–24 are identical in size and codon phases at the exon-intron boundaries (Hubert et al., 1991). In many ways, the two domains of ACE are the central feature of this enzyme. Genetic studies have indicated that, as measured on an evolutionary time frame, genetic duplication is not an extraordinary event. However, most genes undergoing genetic reduplication will not confer sufficient advantage to be selected and fixed into the genome (Hurles, 2004). For example, a genetic change that confers a 10% fitness advantage (a very large advantage) has only about a 20% chance of becoming widely incorporated into a species’ genome (Cochran and Harpending, 2009). Nonetheless, approximately 1% of genes are duplicated and fixed every million years (Lynch and Conery, 2000). Even in those instances in which genetic change does become fixed in the genome, the long-term outcome of having two copies of a gene is variable. As evolution progresses, both copies of the gene undergo mutation. Such a process often leads to one copy of the gene becoming inactivated (i.e., a pseudogene). For example, it has been estimated that in the human and in the mouse, about half of new gene copies become inactive within 7.3 million years (Pennisi, 2000). Importantly, another outcome is that over time, the two genes diverge in their biologic function. Concerning ACE, evidence suggests that the two catalytic domains have different biologic functions.

B. Distribution in Nature

ACE-related proteins have been biochemically characterized in mammals, insects (fruit flies, domestic flies, moths, and mosquitos), invertebrates (oysters, mussels, and crayfish), protozoa (Leishmania), and bacteria (Rivièere, 2009). In addition, the increasing use of genome-wide DNA sequencing has resulted in many species in which sequences of substantial regions of genomic DNA are available. We have used in silico approaches to search available databases and compile ACE orthologs. Using this approach, the number of species in which ACE-related proteins can be found is very large (Fig. 3). The search looked for a sequence comparison with the sequence of the human ACE gene, especially in the protein domains surrounding the ACE zinc-binding site HEXXH. By definition, such an approach is not a biochemical characterization of whether these proteins cleave angiotensin I or are inhibited by a classic ACE inhibitor. However, the presence of ACE-like genes in organisms as distant as prokaryotes indicates that zinc-containing ACE-like enzymes emerged early during evolution and at a time point well before blood pressure was physiologically relevant (Rivière, 2009). To our knowledge, ACE-like enzymes are absent from all plant genomes currently available.

Until recently, it was believed that an ACE-like protein containing two homologous catalytic domains was only found in vertebrates (Riordan, 2003). This would suggest that the duplication of the ACE gene occurred approximately 350–550 million years ago (Cornell et al., 1995). This early time point would have occurred in the Paleozoic era, when widespread diversification of life took place on Earth (Valentine et al., 1999). However, searching the presently available gene sequences identified a two-domain ACE-like gene in distant Metazoans, including Insecta (mosquito), Crustacea (common water flea), Cnidaria (starlet sea anemone), and even Placozoa (Fig. 3, A and B) [accession numbers: Culex quinquefasciatus (southern house mosquito): XP_001845716.1; Anoph eles gambiae (mosquito): XP_313865.3; Anopheles darsi lingi (American mosquito): EF228895.1; Daphnia pulex (common water flea): EFX86779.1; Nematostella vectensis (starlet sea anemone): XP_001627759.1; Trichoplax adhaerens: XP_002111333.1] (Putnam et al., 2007; Colbourne et al., 2011). Although the complete characterization of these enzymes is not available, the potential protein identified in the mosquito genome contains, in both domains, the canonical HEMGH zinc-binding sequence present in mammalian ACE. In the common water flea and starlet sea anemone, this sequence is present in the N-domain but is mutated in the C-domain (water flea: HEQG; sea anemone: HELGH). Nonetheless, these sequences are probably compatible with zinc-binding and enzymatic activity. The finding of ACE-like genes containing two domains in such diverse species suggests that the duplication event may have occurred earlier than previously thought; the common ancestor of Arthropoda, Cnidaria, and Chordata existed approximately 700 million years ago (Peterson et al., 2008). It is possible that a gene duplication occurred more than once in an evolutionary time frame. Nonetheless, the presence of a two-catalytic domain ACE in such remarkably divergent species, as is shown in Fig. 3, indicates that the duplication ACE took place a long time ago.

In all of the ACE sequences identified to date in vertebrates (including mammals, fish, birds, reptiles, and amphibians), only the enzyme found in Equus caballus (horse) does not contain two HEMGH zinc-binding consensus sequences. In horses, the C-domain sequence is HEIGH (accession number: XM_001495589.3). Despite this, the equine testis isoform of ACE (C-domain of the somatic isozyme) is enzymatically active (Ball et al., 2003). Thus, during hundreds of millions of years of evolution, the zinc-binding and enzymatic activity...
Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree of ACE. (A) Human ACE amino acid sequence was used to search several publicly available databases. Retrieved sequences were aligned for homology and plotted in tree form using JACOP software (http://myhits.isb-sib.ch/cgi-bin/jacop). The degree of homology is reflected in the position of each sequence, with more homologous sequences clustered together. The retrieved ACE homologs consist of proteins with two (blue), one (green), or no putative zinc binding-sites (black). Human ACE is indicated with an arrow. (B) The top panels show a diagonal dot plot that was prepared using Dotlet software (http://myhits.isb-sib.ch/cgi-bin/dotlet). The amino acid sequence of human ACE is positioned along the vertical axis, and is compared with the amino acid sequence indicated along the horizontal axis. The program used a sliding window of 39 amino acids with a grayscale dot that varies from 100% (white dot) to 0% (black dot). Comparing human ACE against itself produces a 45° diagonal of identity, as well as two other parallel segments confirming the amino acid sequence homology between the N- and C-domains. Comparison of the human sequence to sequences from five species from diverse phyla demonstrates the wide prevalence of an ACE-like protein containing two homologous domains. The DNA sequences used in this figure are as follows: no active domain: Brugia malayi (lymphatic filariasis roundworm): XP_001897661.1, Caenorhabditis briggsae: XP_002644637.1, and C. elegans: NP_001024453.1; one active domain: Acromyrmex echinatior (Panamanian leafcutter ant): EGI64832.1, Aedes aegypti (yellow fever mosquito): XP_001659916.1, Ornithorhynchus anatinus (platypus): XP_001515597.1, Pongo abelii (Sumatran orangutan): NP_001124604.1, Monodelphis domestica (gray short-tailed opossum): XP_001376640.2, Aiiluropoda melanoleuca (giant panda): XP_002922087.1, Sorangium cellulosum.
**Fig. 3.** Continued.
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within both domains of ACE was maintained. Or, put differently, the inactivation of one of the catalytic sites of ACE must result in a significant reduction of evolutionary fitness. This is probably not due to a critical need of both catalytic domains for control of blood pressure, since in genetically manipulated strains of mice, an ACE protein with only one ACE catalytic domain maintains normal blood pressure (Fuchs et al., 2004, 2008). Further, the presence of two domain ACE orthologs in species with no real blood pressure to control [such as the sea squirt (Ciona intestinalis: XP_002123029.1), the starlet sea anemone, or the common water flea] also supports the concept that maintenance of cardiovascular function is not the critical evolutionary feature maintaining two active ACE catalytic domains.

C. Fertility

As discussed previously, testis ACE (corresponding to the ACE C-domain) is very important in maintaining normal male fertility. Thus, it is possible that conservation of ACE C-domain function was due to the catastrophic consequences following loss of testis ACE activity. It is the C-domain that is also the major domain responsible for the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II in vivo (Fuchs et al., 2008). However, as previously discussed, angiotensin II does not appear to be the critical product of testis ACE (Hagaman et al., 1998; Ball et al., 2003). Also, chicken (Gallus gallus) lacks testis ACE expression so that the physiologic requirement for testis ACE does not appear absolute (Esther et al., 1994). ACE, however, has been implicated in playing an important role in the fertility of insects and oysters (Ekbote et al., 2003; Hurst et al., 2003; Riviere et al., 2011). Thus, the role of ACE in reproduction may have played an important role in maintaining the structure of at least one of the two domains.

D. Digestion

As discussed, ACE is present in many different tissues, including the gastrointestinal tract, where some epithelia express high levels of somatic ACE (Yoshioka et al., 1987). Although the precise function of ACE in this location is not fully understood, the nonspecificity of ACE peptide cleavage suggests the hypothesis that it may play a role in the digestion of peptides (Riviere et al., 2004). Analysis has demonstrated that single-catalytic domain ACE-like enzymes are expressed in the gut of other species such as the moth (Spodoptera littoralis: ABW34729.1) or the leech (Theromyzon tessulatum: AAS57725.1) (Wijffels et al., 1996; Riviere et al., 2004; Lemeire et al., 2008). In the leech, the expression of ACE is restricted to intestinal epithelial cells. Thus, the localization of ACE in the gut has been found in animals ranging from the leech to humans; a role in gut function or nutrition may be another factor maintaining the structure of ACE.

VI. Differences between the N- and C-domains of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

A. Thermal Stability

It is important to understand differences between the N- and C-domains of ACE as a means of understanding why both domains were preserved. Information about such differences is available from a variety of sources, including the X-ray crystallographic analyses of both domains and a variety of pharmacologic and physicochemical studies. Both the N- and the C-domains are thought to be glycosylated,

E. Development

One species that does not appear to have an enzymatically active ACE-like enzyme is Caenorhabditis elegans (Brooks et al., 2003). Nonetheless, regulation of the C. elegans inactive ACE homolog ACE-like non-metallopeptidase-1 (ACN-1) is important in development, since its downregulation causes the arrest of larval development. C. elegans is unusual in the sense of not having catalytically active ACE, but studies of other species do suggest a role for orthologs of this enzyme in development. In the silkworm (Bombyx mori), ACE is present throughout developmental stages, with a peak in the feeding and late pupal stage (Yan et al., 2007). In Drosophila, a mutation in an ACE ortholog termed Ace can result in larval and pupal death (Tatei et al., 1995). In the mosquito (Anopheles stephensi), an ACE-like ortholog accumulates in the ovaries where it is transferred to mosquito eggs, possibly regulating titers of peptides important for oocyte development and embryogenesis (Ekbote et al., 1999). In this species, feeding the ACE inhibitors captopril or lisinopril reduced, in a dose-dependent manner, the size of the batch of eggs laid by females (Ekbote et al., 2003). It should be noted that many insects’ ACE-like enzymes are not membrane-bound but secrete proteins (Macours and Hens, 2004; Yan et al., 2007).

In summary, an evolutionary analysis of ACE leads to the conclusion that the mutation resulting in a two-catalytic domain enzyme is very ancient. Further, nature appears to have taken advantage of the nonspecific catalytic specificity of ACE. Almost certainly, the important role of testis ACE led to evolutionary pressure to maintain C-domain catalytic functionality. However, the fact that we cannot find species with a duplicated ACE enzyme in which the N-domain has been enzymatically inactivated also suggests a very important functional role for this domain. Whatever the precise selective pressures, an important point is that the role of ACE in blood pressure regulation apparently does not demand an enzyme with two functional catalytic domains, at least under the conditions present in laboratory mice (Bernstein et al., 2011).
with the N-domain containing 10 and the C-domain containing seven potential N-glycosylation sites (Yu et al., 1997; O’Neill et al., 2008). It appears that glycosylation plays a critical role in maintaining the stability of ACE, since the expression of this protein in bacterial cells, which are unable to perform eukaryotic glycosylation, or the tissue culture expression of ACE protein in the presence of tunicamycin, an inhibitor of glycosylation, results in protein that is catalytically inactive and subject to rapid degradation (SadhuKhan and Sen, 1996). One difference between the two domains is in their thermostability, with the N-domain being more stable than the C-domain. Specifically, the N-domain has a melting point of 70°C, which is 15° higher than the 55°C melting point of the C-domain (Voronov et al., 2002; O’Neill et al., 2008). The increased thermostability of the N-domain may be due to the structure of amino acids 29–133, as this sequence appears to have a greater number of α helices, perhaps more glycosylation, and an increased proline content.

Detailed analysis has shown that there is a difference in the precise role of glycosylation between the two domains. Although glycosylation undoubtedly contributes to the stability of both domains, it was found that the elimination of the three most C-terminal sites of glycosylation in the N-domain resulted in the complete loss of enzymatic activity, in contrast to results from a similar manipulation of the C-domain, where the most C-terminal sites of glycosylation are not required for the correct folding of the active catalytic domain (Anthony et al., 2010).

B. Chloride Dependency

There is a significant difference in the chloride dependency of the ACE N- and C-domains. This is evident in catalytic activity, the binding of inhibitors, and in the difference in the number of bound chloride ions between the two catalytic domains, as determined by X-ray crystallography. For example, the concentration of NaCl required for maximal catalysis of angiotensin I is 10 mM for the N-domain and 30 mM for the C-domain (Wei et al., 1992). An even more pronounced difference is seen using the artificial substrate Hip-His-Leu, in which the optimal Cl⁻ concentration for activity was 10 mM for the N-domain and 800 mM for the C-domain. In the absence of chloride, the N-domain has approximately 13%–17% maximal activity, whereas the C-domain has less than 0.2%. This effect of Cl⁻ is also observed in the study of inhibitor binding, where chloride stabilizes the enzyme-inhibitor complex by predominantly slowing its disassociation rate and thus decreasing the $K_i$ of inhibitors (Wei et al., 1992). For example, in the presence of 20 and 300 mM Cl⁻, trandolaprilat has $K_i$ values of 33 and 31 nM for the N-domain. In contrast, for the C-domain, the $K_i$ at 20 and 300 mM Cl⁻ are 22 and 2.9 nM. This same effect is noted for other inhibitors, such as captopril or lisinopril. Crystallographic data have provided a molecular explanation for the effect of chloride. In the ACE C-domain, two chloride ions were visualized in the crystal structure (Natesh et al., 2003; Acharya et al., 2003). Both ions were outside the active site, located approximately 20.7 and 10.4 Å from the bound catalytic zinc ion. In the C-domain, the first chloride was bound to Arg762, Arg1065, and Trp1061 (amino acid numbers are those in somatic ACE), whereas the second was bound to Tyr800 and Arg1098. In contrast, X-ray crystallographic study of the ACE N-domain showed only a single chloride bound by Tyr202 and Arg500, in positions equivalent to that of the second chloride present in the C-domain (Corradi et al., 2006).

C. Substrate Specificity

1. Angiotensin I. One of the most interesting aspects of the two domains of ACE is that there are differences in substrate specificity. For example, in vitro studies have shown that, whereas the affinity of the N- and C-domains for angiotensin I is equivalent, the catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat}$) of the C-domain is approximately 3 times that of the N-domain (Wei et al., 1991a). It is also highly informative to examine mice, created using targeted homologous recombination, that lack catalytic activity in either the ACE N- or C-domain (Fuchs et al., 2004, 2008). Such mice were created by mutating DNA within the 8th or 20th exons that encode the N- or C-domain zinc-binding sequence HEMGH into sequence encoding KEMGK, which is unable to bind zinc (Wei et al., 1991a). In the absence of zinc, an ACE domain is catalytically inactive. To assist in the selection of targeted stem cells, a neomycin cassette was placed in the ACE gene, but strategies were used to ultimately delete the neomycin cassette so that the final mice would have only a single LoxP site within intronic DNA plus the mutation of HEMGH to KEMGK. Such mice are called N-KO and C-KO, indicating which ACE catalytic domain was functionally eliminated. These mice have no change in their tissue pattern of ACE expression, and both N-KO and C-KO mice have blood and kidney angiotensin II levels that are equivalent to wild-type mice. Bradykinin levels in these mice are also indistinguishable from wild-type mice. The N-KO and C-KO mouse models have normal basal blood pressures, but how they achieve homeostasis of blood pressure is very different between the two strains. This is best indicated by the angiotensin I concentrations within the blood. N-KO mice (animals with a functional ACE C-domain) have angiotensin I levels that are indistinguishable from wild-type mice. In contrast, the C-KO mice (animals with an active N-domain) achieve normal blood pressure only by upregulating renin and increasing blood angiotensin I levels to 7-fold normal levels. In
other words, the ACE C-domain is the major locus in vivo for the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II. In the absence of this domain, the ACE N-domain is capable of relatively inefficient conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II, and to maintain homeostatic levels of angiotensin II, angiotensin I levels must be significantly elevated.

2. Bradykinin, GnRH, and Angiotensin 1-7. In vitro, bradykinin is hydrolyzed with near equal efficiency by both catalytic domains (Jaspard et al., 1993). However, there are several substrates that have been described as being cleaved predominantly by the N-domain. In vitro study of ACE mediated cleavage of GnRH (previously called LH-RH, sequence pyroEHW-SYGLRPG) at the bond between Trp3 and Ser4 indicated that the $\kappa_{cat}$ was at least 30 times higher for the N-domain as compared with the C-domain (Jaspard et al., 1993).

Another reported substrate of the ACE N-domain is angiotensin 1-7 (Deddish et al., 1998). This peptide was hydrolyzed by the N-domain at approximately half the rate of bradykinin but was reported hydrolyzed very poorly by the C-domain. Because the angiotensin 1-7 binding constant is approximately equivalent for both domains, this allowed angiotensin 1-7 to serve as an antagonist of angiotensin I for C-domain mediated cleavage. Thus, as measured by the hydrolysis of angiotensin I, angiotensin 1-7 inhibited the C-domain with an IC$_{50}$ of greater than 20 times that measured for inhibition of the N-domain.

The assignment of angiotensin 1-7 as an N-domain specific substrate has been challenged by Rice (Rice et al., 2004). Using recombinant forms of the ACE N- and C-domains, this group concluded that angiotensin 1-7 was cleaved nearly equally by the two domains, with the N-domains having a lower affinity but a higher catalytic efficiency ($\kappa_{cat}$) than the ACE C-domain.

While angiotensin 1-7 was suggested as a possible ACE N-domain specific substrate by in vitro analysis, examination of the N-KO and C-KO mice showed no difference in blood or kidney levels of this peptide under basal conditions (Ong et al., 2011). Thus, these data are more consistent with the findings of Rice than with those of Deddish. Angiotensin 1-7 plasma levels are reported as elevated in rats treated with the ACE inhibitor ramipril which inhibits both ACE domains (Campbell et al., 1993).

3. β-Amyloid. A third substrate in which a specific degradative activity is noted for the ACE N-domain is the β amyloid peptide 1-42 (Aβ1-42). Although endopeptidase activity has been demonstrated for both the C- and N-domains of ACE, only the ACE N-domain was documented as capable of converting Aβ1-42 to the less neurotoxic peptide Aβ1-40 (Zou et al., 2009). However, mass spectrometry analysis showed that both domains of ACE, in vitro, are able to generate other degradation products from both Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 (Sun et al., 2008). ACE-mediated hydrolysis of such large peptides as Aβ1-42 and Aβ1-40 is substantially slower than the rate of hydrolysis of typical peptides 13 amino acids and shorter. For example, measurement of degradation products of Aβ peptides was carried out after overnight incubation of the peptides with ACE.

The in vivo role of ACE in degrading amyloidogenic peptides is not established with certainty. Eckman et al. (2006) examined the brains of mice lacking nephrilysin, endothelin-converting enzyme, or ACE. Whereas loss of these first two enzymes resulted in increased steady-state levels of β amyloid, neither the deficiency of ACE nor the treatment of mice with ACE inhibitors had such a pathologic effect. These data are consistent with a clinical analysis of a large population of elderly patients in the United States taking a variety of drugs for hypertension or cardiovascular disease. This study found that the incidence of Alzheimer’s disease in patients administered the ACE inhibitor captopril was not higher than in the general population (Wolozin et al., 2000). A similar study in Japan came to the same conclusion (Ohri et al., 2004). On the other hand, the overexpression of ACE in cultured cells was shown to promote the degradation of Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 (Hemming and Selkoe, 2005). Thus, it is possible that higher ACE levels may be advantageous in degrading amyloidogenic peptides.

4. Acetyl Ser-Asp-Lys-Pro and Other Substrates. Perhaps the most interesting N-specific substrate is the four-amino-acid peptide acetyl Ser-Asp-Lys-Pro (AcSDKP) (Rousseau et al., 1995). As with many of the N-specific peptides discussed earlier, the $K_m$ for binding of AcSDKP is nearly equivalent between the two ACE catalytic domains. However, the $\kappa_{cat}$ for the ACE N-domain was 40 times that of the C-domain.

There is substantial evidence indicating that the ACE N-domain is the primary in vivo enzyme responsible for the degradation of AcSDKP. When normal human volunteers were administered an ACE inhibitor acutely, the plasma and urine levels of AcSDKP increased 5-fold (Azizi et al., 1996). A similar study after continuous administration of ACE inhibitors also demonstrated elevation of AcSDKP in humans (Azizi et al., 1999). Studies in rats and mice are similar to the human studies (Junot et al., 1999; Bernstein et al., 2011). For example, the plasma AcSDKP concentration in N-KO mice was 7.3-fold that measured in wild-type mice. The N-KO mice also have a markedly elevated concentration of AcSDKP in their urine.

AcSDKP is released from its precursor protein thymosin β4 by the enzyme prolyl-oligopeptidase (Cavasin et al., 2004). The initial investigations of AcSDKP suggested that it inhibited the recruitment of primitive hematopoietic progenitors into active proliferation (Lenfant et al., 1989; Bonnet et al., 1993). Thus, it has been suggested that, by degrading
AcSDKP, ACE may recruit stem cells into the S phase. As discussed, ACE does have effects on erythropoiesis, and ACE-null mice are anemic. However, studies of mice with selective inactivation of the ACE N-domain throw doubt on the conclusion that these effects are mediated by elevated AcSDKP. Although this mouse model does have elevated AcSDKP, these animals have normal hematocrit and bone marrow morphology. Further, when these mice were made anemic by the administration of phenylhydrazine, they recovered in a temporal fashion indistinguishable from wild-type mice (Fuchs et al., 2004).

In addition to effects on hematopoiesis, AcSDKP has been implicated in other physiologic processes, including promoting angiogenesis (Sosne et al., 2010; Myöhänen et al., 2011). Several studies have demonstrated that AcSDKP inhibits fibroblast proliferation in the myocardium, aorta, and kidney following injury (Peng et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2008; Liao et al., 2010). For example, Peng et al. (2003) reported that in two-kidney, one-clip hypertensive rats, administration of AcSDKP by osmotic minipump increased plasma AcSDKP to a similar level as present in patients treated with an ACE inhibitor (Yang et al., 2004). Although this had no effect on blood pressure, the peptide prevented the development of fibrosis in models of heart injury. Recently, bleomycin-induced lung injury was studied in N-KO mice, animals with elevated AcSDKP levels due to the absence of a functional ACE N-domain (Li et al., 2010). In this model, the direct administration of bleomycin into the lung typically induces significant lung injury, resulting in both focal inflammation and fibrosis. Lung injury in C-KO mice, animals lacking ACE C-domain activity, was indistinguishable from the results of wild-type mice. In contrast, bleomycin injury in the N-KO animals showed significant reduction in the amount of both inflammation and fibrosis. When the N-KO mice were treated with an inhibitor of prolyl-oligopeptidase to reduce AcSDKP formation, these mice were then susceptible to bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis equivalent to that in wild-type mice. In summary, the ACE N-terminal substrate AcSDKP is, in addition to angiotensin I and bradykinin, an in vivo substrate of ACE. As seen in the N-KO mouse, loss of ACE N-domain activity does not reduce blood pressure, but it does elevate in vivo levels of AcSDKP.

Other substrates show asymmetric hydrolysis by the two ACE domains. For example, cleavage of the heptapeptide Met<sup>5</sup>-enkephalin-Arg<sup>6</sup>-Phe<sup>7</sup> is about 5-fold faster by the ACE N-domain than by the C-domain at a physiologic pH (Deddish et al., 1997). In addition, domain-specific fluorogenic substrates have been developed, including the C-domain substrate O-amino benzoic acid-Phe-Arg-Lys(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-Pro-hydroxide and the murine N-domain–specific substrate (7-methoxycoumarin-4-yl)acetyl-Ala-Ser-Asp-Lys-N<sup>ε</sup>-[(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-L-2,3-diaminopropionyl] (Araujo et al., 2000; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Jullien et al., 2006).

**D. Cytokine Production**

Macrophages derived from genetically modified N-KO or C-KO mice revealed a surprising effect of ACE domain function on cytokine production (Ong et al., 2012). As measured in an in vitro assay in which peritoneal macrophages produced TNFα in response to lipopolysaccharide, cells derived from wild-type and C-KO mice made roughly equivalent amounts of TNFα (Fig. 4). Somewhat lesser amounts were made by macrophages from ACE-null mice. Macrophages from ACE 10/10 mice had about a 2-fold increase in TNFα production. Unexpectedly, the peritoneal macrophages derived from N-KO mice showed greater than a 4-fold increase in TNFα compared with wild-type mice. In this carefully controlled, in vitro experiment, the behavior of the N-KO macrophages, as compared with identically treated wild-type or C-KO cells, indicates a fundamental difference in the developmental phenotype of these cells.

**E. Chimeric Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Domains**

The different physical and enzymatic properties of the two ACE domains led to studies of precisely which structural parts of each domain confer domain specificity. To investigate this, chimeric single ACE domains were created and assessed for whether they more closely resembled the natural N- or C-domain. An early study focused on the amino acid sequence surrounding the HEMGH motif responsible for zinc
coordination. ACE N-domain amino acids 331–391 were exchanged for amino acids 929–989 in a C-domain construct (Williams et al., 1996). The equivalent portion of the C-domain was also placed into an N-domain construct. Each chimeric domain was then individually expressed and tested for catalytic activity. The ACE N-domain chimera containing the zinc-coordinating amino acids from the C-domain showed catalytic activity similar to the parent N-domain. Similarly, the C-domain chimera, containing N-domain sequences, was catalytically similar to the natural C-domain. The authors concluded that this highly homologous portion of each ACE domain, in which only 13 of 60 amino acids were different, was not responsible for the distinct enzymatic properties of each domain.

Another study examined a human ACE chimera in which a single ACE domain was composed of the first 141 amino acids of the N-domain coupled to the C-domain, including the C-domain zinc-coordinating sequences, the stalk, the transmembrane domain, and the cytoplasmic tail (Marcic et al., 2000). The authors evaluated several catalytic parameters, including substrate specificity and chloride ion activation. The chimeric domain displayed properties that were unique to the chimera. The authors concluded that their work was consistent with the observations of Williams et al. (1996) since, once again, it was not the core zinc-coordinating sequences that established domain specificity, but rather sequences outside the core.

Perhaps the most informative paper to examine chimeric ACE molecules studied five human testis ACE chimeric molecules in which testis ACE amino acids Ser1–Pro163, Asp164–Val416 (the portion of testis ACE containing the core zinc-binding residues), Arg191–Val214, Leu417–Gln579, and Gly583–Pro623 were replaced with the corresponding portions of the somatic N-domain (Leu1–Pro141, Asp142–Val394, Ile169–Thr192, Leu395–Lys557, and Gly561–Pro601) (Woodman et al., 2006). Testis ACE is functionally equivalent to the somatic ACE C-domain. For example, the testis ACE chimera containing amino acids 164–416 from the N-domain is equivalent to a C-domain construct in which amino acids Asp740–Val992 of somatic ACE are substituted with the N-domain sequence. Thus, each of the five chimeric molecules can be viewed as having selected portions of the C-domain replaced with the N-domain sequence. This study examined the expression of the chimeric protein, its catalytic specificity, and its shedding from the cell membrane. The three chimeric enzymes containing the N-domain sequence in place of testis ACE amino acids 1–163, 417–579, or 583–623 were expressed but showed catalytic properties, as assessed by domain-specific substrates, characteristic of the N-domain. Nonetheless, each of these proteins was cleaved from the cell membrane at precisely the amino acid sequence used in shedding the parent testis ACE protein. The two chimeric proteins in which residues 164–416 and 191–214 were from the N-domain were neither catalytically active nor were they processed by the cells in which they were expressed.

The X-ray characterization of the ACE C-domain identified the first three helices in the molecule as forming a lid-like structure that restricted access to the catalytic site (Natesh et al., 2003). This lid was thought to participate in ACE C-domain catalytic specificity (Acharya et al., 2003). In the chimeric molecule in which testis ACE residues 1–163 were substituted with the N-domain sequence, the helices comprising the lid would be derived from the N-domain. This N-domain sequence contained additional N-glycosylation sites not normally present in the C-domain, which could conceivably alter the three-dimensional configuration of the molecule. Despite this, the chimeric protein had the enzymatic characteristics of the N-domain. These data support speculations derived from X-ray analysis suggesting that the lid-like structure present in each of the two ACE catalytic domains influences substrate specificity, and that the amino-terminal sequence of testis ACE and, by extension, the C-domain of somatic ACE are critical players in determining the catalytic specificity of this domain. In addition, these chimeric studies indicate that a relatively small region corresponding to Arg191–Val214 in testis ACE (Arg767–Val790 in somatic ACE) plays a critical role in stabilizing the three-dimensional structure of the protein allowing for ACE enzyme processing and cell-surface targeting.

VII. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors
A. Development of First-Generation Inhibitors

There are several accounts of the development of captopril and enalapril (Ondetti and Cushman, 1981; Patchett and Cordes, 1985; Menard and Patchett, 2001; Erdös, 2006). Acharya et al. (2003) have remarked that the design of ACE inhibitors was based on brilliant insights sprinkled with serendipity, or what they termed “rational intuition.” They identified three major conceptual insights that were critical in the development of ACE inhibitors. The first was the realization that ACE and kininase II, an enzyme that degraded bradykinin, were the same enzyme. In 1966, while studying the degradation of bradykinin, Erdös and Yang characterized an endopeptidase from hog kidney with dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase activity (Erdös and Yang, 1967; Erdös, 2006). They rapidly identified a similar activity in human plasma and named the enzyme kininase II to distinguish it from the previously characterized enzyme kininase I that inactivated bradykinin with monopeptidyl carboxypeptidase activity (Yang and Erdös, 1967). The investigation
of ACE-mediated catalysis of angiotensin I and the degradation of bradykinin began to converge with studies by Ng and Vane (1967) showing that the ACE activity in blood could not produce angiotensin II in sufficient amounts to account for the activity of the vasoconstrictor. They identified the lung as the major locus of where ACE converts angiotensin I to angiotensin II, and they showed that virtually all angiotensin I was converted to angiotensin II in a single pass through this organ. These were seminal observations (Soffer, 1981). First, this was an early suggestion that the lung functions in other physiologic processes other than gas exchange. Also, the work recognized the preeminent role of tissue-bound ACE, as opposed to ACE activity in the plasma. Finally, Ng and Vane drew a parallel between the pulmonary production of angiotensin I and the inactivation of bradykinin, which also was rapidly destroyed in the lung (Ferreira and Vane, 1967; Ng and Vane, 1968). Although the researchers attributed this activity to kininase I (now called carboxypeptidase A), later studies rapidly established that it was the dicarboxypeptidase ACE that was capable of both angiotensin II generation and the destruction of bradykinin (Yang et al., 1970, 1971; Igić et al., 1972).

The observation that ACE was the enzyme responsible for bradykinin degradation was critical to the development of ACE inhibitors because Sêrgio Ferreira, a Brazilian physician and pharmacologist, discovered a substance in the venom of the South American pit viper Bothrops jararaca that, in small amounts, potentiated the activity of bradykinin on the guinea pig ileum (contraction) and the hypotension induced by systemic administration of bradykinin (Ferreira, 1965). This substance was called bradykinin potentiating factor. In 1968, bradykinin potentiating factor was shown to inhibit ACE, setting the stage for the remarkably innovative science that led to the development of ACE inhibitors (Bakhle 1968; Bakhle et al., 1969).

Research continued along two independent and simultaneous tracks. Ferreira et al. (1970) determined the sequence of the bradykinin potentiating factor peptides, while Ondetti and colleagues (1971) performed a similar analysis of the Bothrops venom for peptides that inhibited ACE (Ondetti and Cushmen, 1981). As noted by Ondetti and Cushmen (1981), it was not then self-evident that bradykinin potentiation and ACE inhibition would result from the same compounds. Ultimately, the most potent bradykinin potentiating factor peptide isolated by Ferreira et al. (1970) and the peptide most effective at inhibiting ACE were found to be identical. The sequence of this peptide (often referred to as SQ 20,881 or BBP₉₉) is GluTrp-ProArgProGlnIleProPro. The very first clinical trials in humans were carried out by intravenous infusion of SQ 20,881 into normotensive volunteers, which resulted in the inhibition of the pressor response observed after the infusion of angiotensin I (Collier et al., 1973).

Inhibitors such as SQ 20,881 were not potential pharmaceuticals because they were not orally active. However, the sequence of the ACE inhibitors present in B. jararaca, Agkistrodon halys blomhoffii, and other venom snakes validated a systematic study of peptide derivatives to inhibit ACE (Ondetti and Cushmen, 1981). The structure-activity analysis of these synthetic peptides provided insight into the catalytic site of ACE, and ultimately indicated that the optimal carboxyl-terminal amino acid sequence of ACE inhibitors was Phe-Ala-Pro (Cushman and Ondetti, 1999). Even more important than any particular structure, these studies led to an understanding of certain basics necessary for a successful ACE inhibitor. These included the observation that a free C-terminal carboxyl group was important in binding to the enzyme, the positioning of aromatic amino acids in the antipenultimate position enhanced inhibitory activity, and the N-terminus of any inhibitor had to provide a critical interaction with the enzyme.

In addition to understanding the structure of ACE peptide inhibitors, Cushman and Ondetti (1999) made two other important insights that eventually led to the development of captopril (SQ 14,225), the first orally active ACE inhibitor approved for human use. One was to understand the significance of the early work by Skeggs et al. (1956) describing the inhibition of ACE by EDTA and subsequent additional data strongly suggesting that ACE was a metalloenzyme (Bakhle, 1974). This was formally demonstrated when Das and Soffer (1975) verified that ACE is a zinc-containing metalloenzyme. At the time that captopril was being developed, little was known about the detailed catalytic site of ACE. In contrast, detailed studies of the zinc peptidase carboxypeptidase A, including X-ray crystallographic studies at 2 Å, had been performed by Lipscomb and colleagues (1968). Although carboxypeptidase A is a zinc peptidase, it has little to do with ACE, being a monocarboxypeptidase made by the pancreas and used in the digestion of peptides. The enzyme has only 307 amino acids and little sequence similarity to ACE (Patchett and Cordes, 1985). Further, the zinc-coordinating domain of this enzyme is HXXE, not the HEXXH sequence found in ACE (Acharya et al., 2003).

And yet, the modeling of ACE as a carboxypeptidase A–like enzyme that cleaves a carboxyl dipeptide provided a critical tool in developing an ACE inhibitor.

A third insight that proved crucial was derived from work by Byers and Wolfenden (1973) on the development of carboxypeptidase A inhibitors, based on the structure of benzylsuccinic acid. This led Ondetti and Cushmen (1981) to the model shown in Fig. 5. Similar to carboxypeptidase A, it was thought that a C-terminal carboxyl was important. Further, it was thought that the N-terminal carboxyl would bind to
the catalytic zinc in ACE. This led to D-2-methylsuccinyl-Pro (SQ 13,297), an inhibitor with an IC$_{50}$ of 22 μM. The real breakthrough came with the substitution of a sulfhydryl group for the N-terminal carboxyl, giving rise to captopril with an IC$_{50}$ of 0.02 μM., an agent that, when orally administered to rats, inhibited the pressor effects of angiotensin I, augmented the actions of bradykinin, and "lower(ed) blood pressure in a model of renovascular hypertension" (Ondetti et al., 1977). It should be noted that sulfhydryl compounds had been found to inhibit ACE before the development of captopril; both Ferreira and Rocha e Silva (1962) and Erdoes and Wohler (1963) had used sulfhydryl compounds to potentiate bradykinin in the 1960s. Nonetheless, the development of captopril was a seminal event. The drug was first approved for use in

human hypertensive patients with difficult-to-control disease in 1981; in 1985, it received the US Food and Drug Administration approval for general use in hypertension (Menard and Patchett, 2001). In recognition of the development of captopril, Cushman and Ondetti received the 1999 Lasker Prize (http://www.laskerfoundation.org/awards/1999_c_description.htm).

Additional inhibitors of ACE followed, and in particular, the work of Patchett et al. (1980) led to the development of enalaprilat and lisinopril, which resemble the tripeptides Phe-Ala-Pro and Phe-Lys-Pro. Menard and Patchett (2001) have reviewed the detailed development of several ACE inhibitors.

### B. Crystal Structure of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

Although the development of ACE inhibitors had tremendous significance for understanding the biologic function of ACE, the pharmacologic development of these drugs also gave insight into the mechanism of ACE substrate binding and catalysis. A major advance in this area was the successful determination of the crystal structure of human testis ACE. These studies were made possible by work from Riordan, Sturrock, and others who systematically investigated the role of glycosylation in the activity and stability of testis ACE (Yu et al., 1997; Gordon et al., 2003). With the exception of the N-terminal 36 amino acids, mature human testis ACE is identical to the C terminus of somatic ACE, and it was thought to be easier to crystallize than the larger somatic isozyme. Toward this end, the testis isozyme was modified by removing these amino-terminal 36 amino acids, which, although heavily O-glycosylated, are unnecessary for either the activity or the stability of the enzyme (Ehlers et al., 1992). The protein construct was also modified by truncation at Ser625 (corresponding to Ser1201 of somatic ACE), which eliminated part of the stalk region, the hydrophobic transmembrane domain, and the C-terminal intracellular domain. When this shortened protein was expressed in the presence of N-butyldideoxyxojirimycin, an α-glycosidase I inhibitor, and treated with endoglycosidase-H, it yielded crystals by vapor-diffusion hanging drop that were suitable for X-ray diffraction studies at a resolution of 2.0 Å (Gordon et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2003).

X-ray diffraction analysis showed testis ACE to be an ellipsoid protein divided into two halves by a deep central groove containing the catalytic zinc ion and the other components of the catalytic site. The first three amino-terminal helices form a lid-like structure that appeared to block access to the active-site groove and to restrict the groove opening to approximately 3 Å in diameter (Acharya et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2003). This functionally blocks large or complexly folded substrates from entering the active site, a finding consistent with earlier biochemical characterization of
ACE as having a deeply buried active site (Pantoliano et al., 1984; Bernstein et al., 1990). X-ray analysis confirmed the coordination of the zinc ion by His383, His387, and Glu411 (His959, His963, and Glu987 in somatic ACE). Additional zinc coordination is provided by an acetate ion from the crystallization medium that presumably would be a different ion in a physiologic solution. Thus, zinc coordination in ACE is similar to that of thermolysin.

X-ray crystal analysis identified two chloride ions in the testis ACE molecule. These do not directly interact with substrate but may influence the position of other residues important in substrate binding (Natesh et al., 2003). Surprisingly, when the three-dimensional structure of testis ACE was compared with the structure of other available proteins, it had little similarity to the three-dimensional structure of carboxypeptidase A. Rather, it had the most homology with neurolysin, a zinc-containing peptidase best known for cleaving neurotensin, and a carboxypeptidase isolated from the hyperthermophilic archaeon Pyrococcus furiosus. Both of these enzymes have a substrate-binding groove with the active site residues, including the metal coordinat- ing sequence HEXXH, set deep within the groove and away from the surface (Brown et al., 2001; Arndt et al., 2002). Neurolysin is similar to ACE in that it contains structural elements blocking access to the groove and thus limits catalytic access to small substrates. The carboxypeptidase from P. furiosus is even more interesting. The enzyme has optimal catalytic activity at temperatures higher than 90°C. Although the enzyme has the classic HEXXH zinc-binding structure, it appears to be inactivated by zinc but active with either Co²⁺ or Mn²⁺. The enzyme is composed of only 499 amino acids and has little amino acid sequence homology to ACE. At this point, it is impossible to know whether the three-dimensional similarity between ACE, neurolysin, and the P. furiosus carboxypeptidase resulted from divergent evolution of a very ancient common ancestor or some form of convergent evolution (Arndt et al., 2002). At the very least, these findings strengthen the supposition that modern ACE originated from an ancestral enzyme present early in the formation of life on Earth.

Perhaps the most informative part of the ACE crystal structure came about because the protein was crystallized both with and without the ACE inhibitor lisinopril. This allowed precise analysis of inhibitor binding and gave great insight into the structural specificity that ACE uses in binding substrates and inhibitors (Natesh et al., 2003). The major interactions of lisinopril with specific amino acid residues in testis ACE are summarized in Fig. 6. The lisinopril molecule was found deep within the active site groove. The binding of lisinopril does not markedly change the physical structure of the enzyme or the location of the chloride ions. Lisinopril resembles the tripeptide Phe-Lys-Pro. The side chains of these amino acids (the phenyl, the propylamine, and the pyrrolidine) are in the S₁-, S₁'-, and S₂'-binding pockets of ACE. The carboxyalkyl carboxylate of lisinopril (indicated with an asterisk) substitutes for the amide carbonyl that is positioned at the site of amino acid cleavage in an ACE substrate. This coordinates with the active-site zinc atom, and forms a hydrogen bond with Tyr523 (Tyr1099 in somatic ACE). The second oxygen atom of this carboxylate makes a hydrogen bond with Glu384 (Glu960 in somatic ACE). What would be the scissile amide nitrogen in an ACE substrate is indicated by an arrow. Adapted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Natesh et al. (2003).

Phe-Lys-Pro. The side chains of these amino acids (the phenyl, the propylamine, and the pyrrolidine) are in the S₁-, S₁'-, and S₂'-binding pockets of ACE. The carboxyalkyl carboxylate of lisinopril (indicated with an asterisk) substitutes for the amide carbonyl that is positioned at the site of amino acid cleavage in an ACE substrate. This coordinates with the active-site zinc atom, and forms a hydrogen bond with Tyr523 (Tyr1099 in somatic ACE). The second oxygen atom of this carboxylate makes a hydrogen bond with Glu384 (Glu960 in somatic ACE). What would be the scissile amide nitrogen in an ACE substrate is indicated by an arrow. Adapted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Natesh et al. (2003).

X-ray analysis of testis ACE (equivalent to the ACE C-domain) immediately provided a framework into which to model the known amino acid sequence of the somatic ACE N-domain and estimate the differences between the two domains (Acharya et al., 2003). Eventually, X-ray diffraction data for this domain also became available (Corradi et al., 2006). Both domains use their first three amino-terminal α helices to form a lid-like structure that hinders entry to the catalytic site, particularly to large substrates. What remains unresolved is whether any degree of flexing or movement of this lid-like structure plays a role in substrate entry and catalysis, as is postulated for the related protein ACE2 (Corradi et al., 2006). Initial empirical evidence supporting the potential of the helices comprising the lid-like structure to shift away
from the opening of the catalytic channel was found in crystallographic studies of the ACE N-domain (Anthony et al., 2010). Further, the differences in hydrophobicity and charge in the lid-like structures between the ACE N- and C-domains do appear to play a role in substrate specificity, as indicated by substitution experiments previously discussed (Woodman et al., 2006). As noted, there is a difference in chloride activation between the two ACE domains, and this is reflected by a single chloride binding within the N-domain rather than the two chlorides found in the C-domain. However, the precise kinetic mechanism by which chloride influences catalysis is not yet totally clear. Despite these remaining questions, the increased understanding of ACE structure provides additional impetus toward the development of newer drugs designed to inhibit only one of the two ACE domains.

C. Domain-Specific Inhibitors

As each of the two ACE domains has a somewhat different biologic function, it was thought important to develop ACE domain–specific inhibitors (Anthony et al., 2012). Such inhibitors will undoubtedly provide important insight into the in vivo functions of ACE. They may be safer for humans than current medications, and they may also be useful in treating patients when blockade of a single ACE domain is advantageous. As an example, the substrate AcSDKP is only hydrolyzed by the ACE N-domain. A selective inhibitor of this domain raises the concentration of this peptide without markedly changing the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II (Junot et al., 2001). Natural peptides had already indicated that it is possible to develop domain-specific inhibitors. For example, the snake venom bradykinin potentiating peptide BPPb has the sequence Glu-Gly-Leu-Pro-Pro-Arg-Pro-Lys-Ile-Pro-Pro, whereas the peptide BPPc has the sequence Glu-Gly-Leu-Pro-Pro-Gly-Pro-Ile-Pro-Pro. Despite this small difference, BPPb exhibits $K_i$ values of 10,000 and 30 nM for the ACE N- and C-domains, respectively, whereas BPPc has a $K_i$ of 80 nM for both the N- and C-domains (Cotton et al., 2002). Thus, relatively small changes can make an enormous difference in domain selectivity.

Several excellent reviews have discussed the approach toward constructing domain-specific ACE inhibitors (Dive et al., 2004; Redelinguys et al., 2005). A critical feature of all ACE inhibitors is the chemical nature of the zinc-binding group. Although all ACE inhibitors are peptide analogs, they use different chemical moieties to bind with the ACE zinc atom. A sulphydryl is used in captopril, a carboxyl is used in lisinopril, and a ketone is used in keto-ACE, a derivative of Phe-Gly-Pro that is approximately 40 times more selective for the ACE C-domain (Deddish et al., 1998; Anthony et al., 2012). Chemical structures placed on the left- and right-hand side of the zinc-binding domain are then able to determine the relative potency and domain selectivity of individual inhibitors.

Recently, phosphinic peptides have been developed as zinc metalloproteinase inhibitors. Replacement of the scissile peptide bond (-CO-NH) with a phosphinic acid moiety (-PO$_2$-CH$_2$) has several advantages (Dive et al., 2004). First, there appears to be a weaker coordination of the phosphate with the ACE zinc ion that permits other chemical determinants within the ACE inhibitor to have greater discrimination in relative binding with the ACE N- or C-domain (Corradi et al., 2007). Further, it is thought that phosphinic peptides mimic the transition state formed during enzyme hydrolysis of a substrate (Dive et al., 2004). Two phosphinic peptides have been characterized that have very pronounced ACE domain specificity: the N-domain–specific inhibitor RXP 407 has a $K_i$ of 7 nM for the ACE N-domain and 7500 nM for the C-domain, whereas RXP A380 has a $K_i$ of 10,000 nM for the ACE N-domain and 3 nM for the C-domain (Dive et al., 2004). The availability of inhibitors with three orders of magnitude difference between N- and C-domain specificity suggests that drugs suitable for human use should someday be available. The in vivo administration of RXP 407 in mice blocks degradation of the ACE N-domain–specific substrate AcSDKP, resulting in an approximately 5-fold increase in basal plasma concentration of this peptide (Junot et al., 2001). Further, the drug appeared to have no effect upon C-domain–mediated angiotensin I hydrolysis in vitro, and it did not modify the pressor response observed after an intravenous bolus injection of angiotensin I. In terms of how domain specificity is achieved, data from X-ray crystal analysis, the study of chimeric ACE domains, and the results of other RXP-like compounds suggest a key feature of specificity is the ACE $S_2$ pocket, the portion of ACE that binds the amino part of an inhibitor. In particular, Tyr369 and Arg381 have been implicated in N-domain selectivity for RXP 407 (Kröger et al., 2009; Anthony et al., 2010, 2012). These two $S_2$ pocket residues appear critical in domain specificity since the equivalent amino acids in the C-domain, Phe967 and Glu979, have been determined by X-ray crystal analysis as being critical for the specificity of RXP A380 for the ACE C-domain. Other residues important for C-domain selectivity of the RXP A380 compound include Val955 and Val956, which in the N-domain are the polar residues Ser357 and Thr358 (Corradi et al., 2007).

D. Natural Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors

ACE inhibitors are not only the creations of humans but are also found naturally. ACE inhibitors in venoms were discussed. Another inhibitor is K-26, which is produced by the soil-dwelling actinomycete K-26. When hippuryl-His-Leu was used as an ACE substrate, this inhibitor showed an IC$_{50}$ of 6.7 ng/ml, and it
demonstrated hypotensive activity in vivo (Yamato et al., 1986). Other natural products that inhibit ACE have also been identified (Koguchi et al., 1986). Although the precise ligands for these agents in vivo have not been determined, the presence of natural ACE inhibitors in such primitive organisms again underlines the wide distribution of ACE and ACE-like enzymes among diverse organisms.

VIII. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Insertion/Deletion Polymorphism

In 1990, Rigat and colleagues (1990) identified a 287 base pair Alu repeat-DNA fragment within the 16th intron of the ACE gene in some humans (17q23). This insertion/deletion polymorphism in ACE was used as a marker genotype in a study involving healthy subjects (n = 80), where it was found that the allele frequency was 0.4 for the insertion (I) and 0.6 for the deletion (D). The I and D genotypes correlated with serum ACE concentration, where individuals with the DD genotype had the highest average serum ACE levels (average of 494.1 ± 88.3 μg/l), those with the II genotype averaged the lowest (299.3 ± 49 μg/l), and heterozygous individuals (ID) had an intermediate value (392.6 ± 66.8 μg/l). It was concluded that the I/D polymorphism accounted for 47% of the total phenotypic variance of serum ACE (Rigat et al., 1990). Two years later, a further analysis showed that the major genetic effect regulating serum ACE was not the Alu repeat itself, but was due to an ACE gene variant in strong linkage disequilibrium with the I/D polymorphism (Tiret et al., 1992). The effect of the I/D gene polymorphism was found not only in people of European ancestry, but also among Japanese and Pima Indians, where it was associated with serum ACE activity (Nakai et al., 1994; Foy et al., 1996). In contrast, there was no such association in African Americans (Bloem et al., 1996). The I/D polymorphism is not the only polymorphism in the human ACE gene; in 1999, ACE gene sequencing in 11 individuals (a total of 22 copies of the ACE gene) identified 78 different polymorphisms, of which 17 were in absolute linkage disequilibrium with the intron 16 I/D Alu repeat (Rieder et al., 1999). Thirteen distinct haplotypes were identified.

Early studies examined the association of the ACE I/D polymorphism with coronary artery disease. Cambien et al. (1992) reported that the D/D genotype, associated with high levels of circulating ACE, was found more frequently in patients with myocardial infarction than in control subjects. Leatham et al. (1994) corroborated this finding in Caucasian patients with myocardial infarction, but not in patients with unstable angina. Nakai et al. (1994) also found an association of the D/D genotype with coronary artery disease in Japanese patients. However, clinical studies eventually began to show conflicting results. For example, whereas Schunkert et al. (1994) reported that, among subjects with left ventricular hypertrophy (n = 141 females, 149 males), there was an excess of the D/D genotype, and that this association was especially strong in males 45—59 years of age, an analysis of echocardiographic data from the Framingham Heart Study (n = 2439) found no association of left ventricular mass with the ACE I/D polymorphism, nor any increased risk of left ventricular hypertrophy (Lindpaintner et al., 1996). Kupari et al. (1994) also concluded that, in the absence of heart disease, the ACE I/D polymorphism had no major influence on left ventricular mass or function that was detectable with echocardiography.

Although the early studies implicated an association of the ACE D/D genotype with myocardial infarction, no convincing evidence was initially found associating this polymorphism with hypertension. For example, Schmidt et al. (1993) reported findings from the Dutch Hypertension and Offspring Study that the allele frequencies for both the I and D allele were similar in parents and offspring with high and low blood pressure (n = 111 parents and 75 offspring) Gu et al. (1994) also reported a lack of association between the I/D polymorphism in a hypertensive Belgian population (n = 119) compared with controls, regardless of age or sex. In Japanese patients with essential hypertension, Ishigami et al. (1995) reported that there was no association with ACE I/D polymorphism. Barley et al. (1996) suggested that there may be racial differences in the association of ACE I/D polymorphism with hypertension in that subjects of European descent showed no association between ACE genotype and blood pressure, whereas subjects of African Carribean descent did. However, the same group also did not find any association between I/D polymorphism and plasma renin or aldosterone levels in either Caucasians (n = 210) or African Carribeans (n = 110) (Barley et al., 1996). Eventually, a very large study was conducted as part of the Framingham Heart Study (n = 3095) to examine the association between the I/D ACE polymorphism and hypertension. This study showed that there was a statistically significant association of the ACE locus with small effects on hypertension and with diastolic blood pressure, but these findings were only present in men (ODonnell et al., 1998). Recently, the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology Consortium studied 29,136 subjects and compared their findings with those in the Global Blood Pressure Genetics Consortium (n = 34,433) and the Women’s Genome Health Study (n = 23,019) (Johnson et al., 2011). A single-base polymorphism in ACE termed rs4305, which is different from the traditional I/D polymorphism referred to in the study by Johnson et al. (2011) as rs4350-I/D, showed modest replication of an association with increased hypertension.
However, the effect was very modest ($\beta = 0.06$, where $\beta$ is the log odds of hypertension per allele dose). Thus, the available evidence suggests that any effect of ACE on blood pressure in humans is very small. This conclusion is consistent with the results of a recent meta-analysis (Takeuchi et al., 2012).

After the initial reports associating coronary artery disease with the ACE I/D polymorphism, larger studies were conducted. Friedl et al. (1995) examined 315 Austrian patients with coronary artery disease, and were not able to demonstrate an association of the D/D genotype with disease or with lipid parameters. In the Physicians' Health Study, a large prospective cohort study following U.S. male physicians, the presence of the ACE D allele conferred no increased risk of ischemic heart disease or myocardial infarction in study subjects ($n = 1250$) versus matched controls ($n = 2340$) (Lindpaintner et al., 1995). Another study of 697 patients undergoing coronary angiography suggested the ACE I/D polymorphism as a genetic risk factor for myocardial infarction in Caucasian males, but not as a predictor of coronary artery stenosis (Ludwig et al., 1995). The authors suggested something that is certainly true, namely, that several independent processes contribute to the risk of myocardial infarction. Winkelmann et al. (1996) confirmed that plasma ACE was significantly associated with the I/D polymorphism, but that the ACE genotype was not associated with the presence of coronary artery disease or myocardial infarction in an angiographically defined study sample ($n = 209$).

As study sample sizes increased with population-based cohort studies, the association of the ACE I/D gene polymorphism with coronary artery disease continued to blur. In two large studies from Copenhagen, a case-referent study ($n = 10,150$) and a retrospective cohort study ($n = 7263$), there was no evidence of an association of the ACE I/D gene polymorphism and myocardial infarction or ischemic heart disease in either males or females (Agerholm-Larsen et al., 1997). In a Japanese study of coronary artery disease in subjects who underwent coronary angiography ($n = 947$), there was no association between ACE genotype or serum ACE activity and disease (Fujimura et al., 1997). Arnett et al. (1998) found no evidence that the ACE I/D polymorphism was associated with carotid intima-media thickness in a sample of middle-aged adults with no history of coronary artery disease ($n = 495$). Girerd et al. (1998) also found no association between the I/D polymorphism and mean wall thickness of the radial artery or carotid artery intimal thickness in a cohort without evidence of coronary artery disease ($n = 340$). Finally, a meta-analysis conducted by Agerholm-Larsen et al. (2000) found that in 46 studies comprising 32,715 Caucasian individuals, plasma ACE was increased with D/D genotype, but blood pressure, increased risk of myocardial infarction, coronary disease, or stroke was not associated with any polymorphism in the largest studies. What then to conclude about the I/D polymorphism? First, there continue to be many studies examining the association of this polymorphism with many different diseases in many different ethnic groups. As concerns hypertension and coronary artery disease, the association with the I/D polymorphism certainly appears less clear in later studies than in the initial studies. It seems that whatever effect does exist is small and is only one of many genetic influences in these diseases.

The association of ACE with Alzheimer’s disease is also worth considering. Here, the question is 2-fold: is there an association between ACE levels and susceptibility to disease, and do ACE inhibitors impact the incidence of Alzheimer’s disease? These questions are quite complex, because hypertension itself may affect the progression of Alzheimer’s disease (Kehoe et al., 2009; Kehoe and Passmore, 2012). The consideration of whether the ACE I/D polymorphism is associated with Alzheimer’s disease is similar to the complexity of I/D and cardiovascular disease: there are many studies with conflicting results. As summarized by Kehoe and Passmore (2012), several meta-analyses have consistently supported a small contribution of ACE variation to disease risk. However, other recent studies, including genome-wide association studies, have not found this to be true. Thus, at present, it seems that whatever effect natural ACE variation may have on the incidence of Alzheimer’s disease is very small. Concerning the question of whether ACE inhibitors or AT1 receptor antagonists contribute to the onset of Alzheimer’s disease, the data suggest otherwise. As comprehensively reviewed by Kehoe and Passmore (2012), several studies suggest that AT1 receptor antagonists may reduce the incidence of Alzheimer’s disease, and, although less so, the same may be true of ACE inhibitors.

**IX. Conclusions**

In November 1993, the results of a clinical trial examining treatment with an ACE inhibitor for diabetic nephropathy appeared in the *New England Journal of Medicine* (Lewis et al., 1993). This was a randomized, controlled trial that compared captopril with placebo in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus patients with urinary protein excretion greater than 500 mg/day and serum creatinine concentrations less than 2.5 mg/dl. The study showed that patients taking captopril had a 48% reduction in the risk of doubling their serum creatinine. An even greater reduction in risk was seen in those patients with an initial serum creatinine of 2.0 mg/dl. In many ways, this study perfectly exemplifies the trend in the intellectual and clinical understanding of the renin-angiotensin system over the last 30 years. During this period, there has
been a consistent expansion in understanding the pharmacologic, physiologic, and pathologic effects of ACE, angiotensin II, and other components of the renin-angiotensin system. This has directly translated into novel and better uses of inhibitors. These trends are not only due to more scientists performing more experiments, but rather reflect a profound truth, namely, that the many parts of the renin-angiotensin system affect an enormous diversity of biologic processes.

Scientists have studied ACE since its discovery in 1956, and yet only in the last 15 years have scientists really focused on the significance of the enzyme having two independent catalytic domains. As we emphasize in this review, the genetic mutation that gave rise to both domains—a gene duplication—happened hundreds of millions of years ago. That both domains remain functionally active is the defining characteristic of ACE and the secret to understanding much of what is special about this enzyme. The two ACE catalytic domains contribute to the wide substrate diversity of ACE and, by extension, the wide physiologic impact of the enzyme. This is particularly important now that domain-specific inhibitors have been synthesized and characterized. Once widely available, these reagents will undoubtedly be powerful tools for probing the actions of each ACE domain. In turn, this knowledge should allow clinicians to envision new therapies for diseases not currently treated with ACE inhibitors. Thus, we conclude that new ways to manipulate ACE and other aspects of the renin-angiotensin system will continue to be discovered in the future. The availability of classic ACE inhibitors and perhaps these new domain-specific inhibitors, coupled with an increased understanding of the RAS, should provide powerful weapons to treat an increasing number of human diseases.
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