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Abstract

Limited drug penetration is an obstacle that is often encountered in treatment of central nervous system (CNS) diseases including pain and cerebral hypoxia. Over the past several years, biochemical characteristics of the brain (i.e., tight junction protein complexes at brain barrier sites, expression of influx and efflux transporters) have been shown to be directly involved in determining CNS permeation of therapeutic agents; however, the vast majority of these studies have focused on understanding those mechanisms that prevent drugs from entering the CNS. Recently, this paradigm has shifted toward identifying and characterizing brain targets that facilitate CNS drug delivery. Such targets include the organic anion–transporting polypeptides (OATPs in humans; Oatps in rodents), a family of sodium-independent transporters that are endogenously expressed in the brain and are involved in drug uptake. OATP/Oatp substrates include drugs that are efficacious in treatment of pain and cerebral hypoxia (i.e., opioid analgesic peptides, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors). This clearly suggests that OATP/Oatp isoforms are viable transporter targets that can be exploited for optimization of drug delivery to the brain and, therefore, improved treatment of CNS diseases. This review summarizes recent knowledge in this area and emphasizes the
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potential that therapeutic targeting of OATP/Oatp isoforms may have in facilitating CNS drug delivery and distribution. Additionally, information presented in this review will point to novel strategies that can be used for treatment of pain and cerebral hypoxia.

I. Introduction

Pharmacological treatment of central nervous system (CNS) disease requires that drugs achieve effective concentrations in the brain. Over the past several years, considerable research has focused on studying biologic mechanisms that prevent drugs from permeating the CNS. To this end, several studies have described the limiting effect of blood-brain barrier (BBB) tight junction protein complexes and ATP-binding cassette efflux transporters on CNS drug uptake and distribution. The role of ATP-binding cassette transporters (i.e., P-glycoprotein (P-gp), multidrug resistance proteins (MRP in humans; Mrp in rodents), breast cancer resistance protein (Bcrp)) as determinants of CNS penetration of therapeutic compounds has been well described at the BBB as well as at the blood-cerebrospinal fluid (BCSF) barrier and in brain parenchyma cellular compartments such as astrocytes and microglia (Dallas et al., 2006; Ronaldson et al., 2008; Hartz and Bauer, 2010; Tournier et al., 2011). This intense focus on processes that keep drugs out of the brain (i.e., efflux transporters) has emphasized a critical need to re-evaluate these efforts. Current studies on CNS drug delivery are aimed toward identifying and characterizing endogenous transporter targets that can facilitate CNS drug delivery. Included among these targets are the organic anion–transporting polypeptides (OATPs in humans; Oatps in rodents), a family of sodium-independent transporters that are endogenously expressed in the brain and are involved in transport of drugs (Hagenbuch and Meier, 2004). In fact, many compounds that have shown considerable promise for treatment of acute/chronic pain (i.e., opioid analgesic peptides) and/or CNS diseases involving cerebral hypoxia (i.e., 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors, opioid analgesic peptides) are known OATP/Oatp substrates. The ability to transport such drugs suggests that OATP/Oatp family members are viable transporter targets that can be exploited for optimization of CNS drug delivery and, therefore, improved pharmacotherapy for CNS diseases such as pain and cerebral hypoxia. Here, we provide a detailed review on these new and exciting developments in the field of transporter biology and CNS drug delivery by describing how OATP/Oatp isoforms can be directly targeted for efficient and effective brain delivery of drugs for treatment of pain and cerebral hypoxia. Additionally, we provide an overview of the molecular biology of OATP/Oatp isoforms, signaling pathways that control their expression, and describe interactions between OATPs/Oatps and other endogenously expressed CNS transporters.

II. Diseases of the Central Nervous System and Therapeutic Opportunities

A. Pain

Pain results from activation of sensory receptors that have evolved in detecting actual or impending tissue injury and/or damage (Ossipov et al., 2010). In this context, tissue injury is often associated with inflammation, a pathophysiological process that directly leads to sensation of pain. Additionally, inflammation localized to the site of damaged and/or affected nerves is a common underlying mechanism of neuropathic (i.e., chronic) pain (Vallejo et al., 2010). The prevalence of chronic pain in the United States is approximately 30.7% (Johannes et al., 2010). Pain affects a greater proportion of women than men and increases in prevalence with advancing age (Johannes et al., 2010). Pain has a complex pathophysiology that involves immunologic mediators, neurologic inputs from the CNS, and endocrine signaling via the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (Wolka et al., 2003). The immunologic response to inflammatory pain is characterized by rapid production and secretion of various mediators such as cytokines, chemokines, cellular adhesion molecules, matrix metalloproteinases, kinins, and prostaglandins at the site of tissue injury. The inflammatory component of pain is also characterized by increased vascular permeability, localized edema formation and redness, and increased leukocyte migration. The CNS utilizes neuronal pathways to signal the immune system. Neuronal responses to noxious stimulation involve both excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission within sensory areas of the spinal cord, and the balance of these CNS responses determines the level of transmission of nociceptive signals to the brain (Dickinson et al., 1999). Furthermore, proinflammatory mediators produced and
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released in the CNS following peripheral injury or inflammation are involved in the centrally mediated pain response. Stress responses due to physical, emotional, and environmental stimuli result in activation of the HPA axis and initiation of a “stress cascade” (Miller and O’Callaghan, 2002). Activation of the HPA axis leads to release of corticotropin-releasing hormone from the hypothalamus, and circulating corticotropin-releasing hormone and vasopressin stimulate expression and release of adrenocorticotropin from the anterior pituitary gland. Adrenocorticotropin circulates to the adrenal glands, where it induces production and secretion of glucocorticoids, which are responsible for downregulation of the immune response (Miller and O’Callaghan, 2002). Additionally, adrenal glucocorticoids can “prime” glial cells in the spinal cord, thereby potentiating pain responses to subsequent noxious stimuli (Loram et al., 2011).

In addition to inflammatory, neuronal, and endocrine mechanisms, oxidative stress demarcated by production of highly potent reactive oxygen species (ROS) (e.g., superoxide anion) is a key component of the pathophysiologic pain response (Wang et al., 2004; Khattab, 2006; Salvemini et al., 2006; Lochhead et al., 2012). Biologic activity of superoxide anion, a by-product of normal physiologic processes, is under tight control by superoxide dismutase (SOD) enzymes. During acute inflammation, superoxide is produced at such high levels that the ability of SODs to metabolize these ROS may become overwhelmed (Salvemini et al., 2006). This enables conjugation of excess superoxide with nitric oxide to form peroxynitrite, a potent cytotoxic and proinflammatory molecule. Peroxynitrite induces cellular damage by its ability to nitrosylate tyrosine residues, leading to functional modifications of critical proteins (Salvemini et al., 2006). Using the well-established and highly reproducible λ-carrageenan model of peripheral inflammatory pain, our laboratory demonstrated increased protein nitrosylation both at the site of injury (i.e., λ-carrageenan injection site) and in the CNS (Lochhead et al., 2012). Interestingly, CNS expression of nitrosylated proteins and other oxidative stress markers (i.e., 4-hydroxynoneal) were attenuated following treatment with tempol, a pharmacological ROS scavenger and SOD mimetic (Lochhead et al., 2012). Additionally, tempol prevented paw edema and thermal allodynia elicited by λ-carrageenan-induced inflammatory pain (Lochhead et al., 2012), further emphasizing that oxidative stress is a critical component of the pathophysiologic response to pain/inflammation.

There are many drugs with analgesic properties that are currently available for clinical use. Of these therapeutic compounds, opioids are the most widely used and the most effective analgesics used in pain management regimens (Chou, 2009; Manchikanti et al., 2010; Gloth, 2011). In fact, use of opioid analgesics for pain management has dramatically increased, as evidenced by a 149% increase in overall opioid prescriptions in the United States from 1997 to 2007 (Manchikanti et al., 2010). Opioids exert their analgesic effect by binding to specific receptors (i.e., μ-, κ-, and δ-opioid receptors) that are localized to neural tissue both in the CNS and in the periphery. Although opioids can provide some analgesia by binding to peripheral opioid receptors (Vadivelu et al., 2011), optimal pharmacotherapy with these drugs requires the capability to access central opioid receptors (Hamabe et al., 2007; Labuz et al., 2007). Opioid receptors are G-protein-coupled receptors and, therefore, have profound effects on ion gating, intracellular Ca²⁺ disposition, and protein phosphorylation. As there are multiple subtypes of opioid receptors, a possibility for opioids to exert different pharmacological effects at different receptors exists. For example, morphine is a full agonist at the μ-opioid receptor but a weak agonist at κ and δ receptors. In contrast, codeine is a weak agonist at μ and δ receptors. Opioid analgesics have multiple actions in the CNS, not all of which are beneficial. They are known to cause euphoria, which in part accounts for their abuse potential. Pharmacotherapy with opioids is associated with several adverse effects (e.g., respiratory depression, constipation, nausea, vomiting, rapid development of tolerance) (Haas, 2002). This property may limit the maximal opioid dose that can be administered as well as the level of analgesia that can be attained. Additionally, adverse events are enhanced by opioid-induced glial activation, which occurs via nonselective activation of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) at the glial cell surface (Watkins et al., 2009). TLRs are widely expressed in the CNS, particularly by astrocytes and microglia (Bsibsi et al., 2002; Kielian, 2006). Recent work by Watkins et al. (2009) has shown expression of TLR4 in astrocytes, direct involvement of astrocytic TLR4 in the pathogenesis of neuropathic pain, and counteraction of opioid analgesic efficacy by TLR4 signaling. Although opioid-mediated activation of glial TLR4 signaling can be blocked by coadministration of (+)-naloxone or (+)-naltrexone (Hutchinson et al., 2008), it remains an essential objective of pharmacotherapy that opioid concentrations in the brain be maintained precisely to ensure efficacious management of pain and to limit adverse drug reactions. This therapeutic objective emphasizes the importance of understanding biologic mechanisms involved in determining CNS delivery of opioid analgesics and how these mechanisms can be targeted to optimally deliver drugs to the brain for treatment of pain associated with peripheral inflammatory tissue injury.

Adverse events associated with opioid pharmacotherapy underscore the necessity for development of novel analgesic drugs. As a result, much interest has
focused on peptides, which are key regulators of cellular and intracellular physiologic processes and have shown promise in treatment of pathophysiologic conditions. Despite this advantage, treatment of pain with opioid peptides is hindered by difficulties in their effective CNS delivery. Pharmacotherapy with peptides is also limited by poor oral bioavailability, a direct result of low metabolic stability and high hepatic clearance. Opioid peptides are hydrophilic compounds, a property that restricts their ability to traverse brain barriers and achieve effective concentrations in the CNS. Other physicochemical determinants of a peptide’s ability to accumulate in the brain include molecular size, flexibility, conformation, biochemical properties of constituent amino acids, and amino acid arrangement. Peptide composition is a critical determinant of pharmacokinetic properties such as plasma protein binding, cellular sequestration, clearance, uptake into nontarget tissues, and affinity for transport proteins. Such factors are mandatory considerations for rational design of novel opioid peptide drugs (Witt et al., 2001; Wolka et al., 2003; Egleton and Davis, 2005; Witt and Davis, 2006).

To maximize peptide accessibility to the CNS, chemical modifications may be required. Peptides may be altered by addition of hydrophobic functional groups (i.e., methyl groups) that reduce hydrogen bonding potential of the molecule and enhance the ability of the peptide to diffuse across biologic membranes. For example, placement of three methyl groups on the phenylalanine residue of D-penicillamine(2,5)-branes. For example, placement of three methyl groups may be altered by addition of hydrophobic functional groups to increase the bonding potential of the molecule and enhance the ability of the peptide to diffuse across biologic membranes. For example, placement of three methyl groups on the phenylalanine residue of [D-penicillamine(2,5)]-enkephalin (DPDPE) increased brain delivery of this peptide (Witt et al., 2000). CNS peptide bioavailability can also be increased by structural modifications to reduce enzymatic cleavage. For example, replacement of D-Gly<sup>2</sup> for D-Ala<sup>2</sup> on opioid peptides was shown to reduce aminopeptidase activity (Roemer and Pless, 1979). Reduction of enzymatic degradation and improvement of pharmacological half-life can be accomplished by C-terminal amidation, an approach that has been applied to Met-enkephalin (Roemer and Pless, 1979). Other molecular modifications known to enhance CNS peptide delivery include halogenation (Abbruscato et al., 1996) and glycosylation (Jakas and Horvat, 2004). It is significant to consider that extensive modifications at the N terminus of an opioid peptide may result in reduced analgesia because the N-terminal region is critical for opioid receptor binding. Therefore, rational design of novel therapeutic opioid peptides seeks to achieve an appropriate balance between CNS permeability and pharmacological efficacy.

Although chemical modification has shown some success in improving CNS delivery, brain uptake and distribution of some peptides is also governed by transport systems that are endogenously expressed at the microvascular endothelium. Of these transport systems, some are unidirectional (i.e., facilitate either blood-to-brain or brain-to-blood peptide transport) and others are bidirectional. For example, BBB transport of tyrosinated analogs of melanocytic-inhibiting factor-1, an endogenous neuropeptide with opiate and antiopioid activity, is determined by peptide transport system-1 (PTS-1) (Banks et al., 1993a, 1996a). Additionally, analogs of pituitary adenylate cyclase—activating polypeptide (PACAP), a regulatory peptide with neuroprotective, endocrine, and vasodilatory properties (Vaudry et al., 2000), are transported across the brain microvascular endothelium by PTS-6 (Banks et al., 1993b; Dogrukol-Ak et al., 2009). Specifically, blood-to-brain delivery of a 38-amino-acid-residue PACAP analog is facilitated by a saturable, carrier-mediated uptake component of PTS-6 (Banks et al., 1993b), while CNS uptake of a 27-amino-acid PACAP analog is determined by passive diffusion and a PTS-6 efflux component (Dogrukol-Ak et al., 2009). In these studies, preservation of CNS concentrations of pharmacologically active peptides and/or enhancement of blood-to-brain transport of peptide therapeutics was achieved by blocking efflux transport components of PTS (Banks et al., 1993a; Dogrukol-Ak et al., 2009). We propose an alternative to these strategies by targeting specific transporters that can directly mediate delivery of peptide therapeutics to the CNS, such as OATP/Oatp family members. For example, OATP/Oatp isofoms are known to be involved in blood-to-brain transport of opioid analogic peptides such as deltorphin II and DPDPE (Gao et al., 2000; Ose et al., 2010; Ronaldson et al., 2011). A thorough understanding of transport mechanisms involved in CNS delivery of peptides will undoubtedly aid their development as potential therapeutics.

B. Cerebral Hypoxia

Cerebral hypoxia and subsequent reoxygenation (H/R) is a central component of several diseases, such as traumatic brain injury (Bouma and Muizelaar, 1992; Maloney-Wilensky et al., 2009), acute respiratory distress syndrome (Hopkins et al., 2006), obstructive sleep apnea (El-Ad and Lavie, 2005), high-altitude cerebral edema and acute mountain sickness (Hackett, 1999), cardiac arrest (Lim et al., 2004), and ischemic stroke (Kalaria and Ballard, 2001). H/R is directly associated with neuronal apoptosis, which is characterized by cytochrome c release, caspase-3 activation, and internucleosomal DNA fragmentation (Lobysheva et al., 2009). Oxidative stress secondary to increased production of ROS is a critical mechanism known to induce neuronal cell death during H/R (Lobysheva et al., 2009). ROS contribute to brain injury by interacting with proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids as well as via activation of redox-sensitive signaling pathways. In the CNS, ROS-associated oxidative stress has been demonstrated in both in vitro and in vivo
models of H/R in several laboratories, including our own. Such responses are characterized by increased CNS production of hydrogen peroxide (Schild and Reiser, 2005), upregulation of the cellular stress marker heat shock protein-70 (Lochhead et al., 2010), and increased nuclear expression of hypoxia-sensitive transcription factors such as hypoxia-inducible factor-1 and nuclear factor-κB (Witt et al., 2005; Lochhead et al., 2010). H/R is also associated with decreased brain concentrations of the endogenous antioxidant glutathione (GSH) (Schild and Reiser, 2005), an effect that is further indicative of oxidative stress.

The critical need for novel therapeutics that can be delivered to the CNS for treatment of diseases with a cerebral hypoxia component is best illustrated by ischemic stroke. Stroke is the third-most-common cause of death in the United States and is the number one cause of long-term morbidity (Baldwin et al., 2010). Of all strokes, 86% are ischemic (Roger et al., 2011). Ischemic stroke occurs when there is a restriction of blood flow and oxygen to part of the brain. Acute ischemic stroke causes an irreversibly damaged ischemic core and salvageable surrounding tissue, which is known as the penumbra (Astrup et al., 1981; Liu et al., 2010). Physiologically, energy requirements of the CNS are met by brain uptake of both glucose and oxygen, which are metabolized to enable phosphorylation of ADP to ATP. Most ATP generated within the brain is used for maintenance of intracellular homeostasis and transmembrane gradients for monovalent and divalent ions (i.e., sodium, potassium, calcium) (Adibhatla and Hatcher, 2008). When blood flow to the brain is interrupted during a stroke, the ischemic core is rapidly deprived of oxygen and glucose. Inability to provide sufficient ATP causes collapse of ion gradients and subsequent release of excitatory neurotransmitters (i.e., dopamine, glutamate). This uncontrolled increase in extracellular dopamine and glutamate concentrations is extremely neurotoxic and leads to neuronal cell death and development of an infarction (Adibhatla and Hatcher, 2008). Excitotoxicity associated with excess release of glutamate is particularly deleterious to the CNS due to overstimulation of glutamate receptors, activation of phospholipases and sphingomyelinases, phospholipid hydrolysis, release of arachidonic acid and ceramide, and disruption of CNS calcium homeostasis (Adibhatla et al., 2006a,b; Adibhatla and Hatcher, 2008; Arai et al., 2011). Oxidative stress is also observed in the CNS at early time points following ischemic injury and is well known to contribute to cell death in the ischemic core (Candelario-Jalil, 2009). As neuronal cell damage extends to the ischemic penumbra, neuroinflammation and apoptosis become more prevalent and dramatically affect viability of salvageable brain tissue within the penumbra (Candelario-Jalil, 2009).

Cell death processes in the ischemic core occur extremely rapidly (i.e., within minutes), thereby rendering this region difficult to protect using pharmacological approaches (Arai et al., 2011). In contrast, cells within the penumbra die more slowly by active cell death mechanisms, thus rendering therapeutic interventions theoretically possible (Arai et al., 2011). The primary goal of drug therapy for acute ischemic stroke is to salvage the penumbra as much as possible and as early as possible (Liu et al., 2010). Currently, there is only one therapeutic agent that has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for acute ischemic stroke treatment, recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (Jahan and Vinuela, 2009). The objective of tissue plasminogen activator therapy is to restore blood flow and oxygen supply to ischemic brain tissue; however, most brain cellular damage is thought to occur when cerebral perfusion is re-established (i.e., reoxygenation). Therefore, there is a critical need in stroke therapy for neuroprotective and/or antioxidant drugs that can be effectively delivered to the brain for “rescue” of salvageable tissue from further damage.

Currently, there is considerable interest in the neuroprotective/antioxidant properties of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors (i.e., statins). Recent evidence suggests that statins can act as free-radical scavengers independent of their well documented effects on cholesterol biosynthesis (Kassan et al., 2010; Barone et al., 2011; Butterfield et al., 2012). For example, studies in dogs demonstrated that high-dose atorvastatin reduced markers of oxidative and nitrosative stress (i.e., protein carbonyls, 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal, 3-nitrotyrosine) and increased the ratio of GSH to reduced GSH in the brain but not in the periphery, suggesting that this drug can act as an efficacious neuroprotectant and CNS antioxidant (Barone et al., 2011). It has been suggested that the neuroprotective effects of atorvastatin in the CNS may be due to targeting and subsequent upregulation of biliverdin reductase-A, a pleiotropic enzyme known to be involved in cellular stress responses (Barone et al., 2012). Of particular note, Barone et al. (2012) reported that increased activity of biliverdin reductase-A induced by atorvastatin was inversely correlated with several indices of oxidative stress, implying that biliverdin reductase-A may be involved in antioxidant effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors in the brain. Although statins have been associated with neurotoxic effects, these drugs generally do not compromise neuronal cell viability at concentrations below 1 μM (Wood et al., 2010). Additionally, there is evidence that opioid receptor agonists such as opioid peptides may have efficacy in treatment of ischemic stroke. For example, opioid peptides that selectively bind to the μ-opioid receptor (e.g., [Tyr-D-Ala, N-CH, -Phe4, Glyol]-enkephalin [DAMGO]), δ-opioid receptor (e.g., DPDPE),
and κ-opioid receptor (e.g., U50,488) all reduced water uptake in rat hippocampal slices in situ (Yang et al., 2011a,b). Because cerebral edema is a leading cause of death in stroke patients, these data suggest that opioid peptides may be effective as stroke therapeutics. Additionally, PACAP is an extremely potent neuroprotectant that is pharmacologically active at concentrations within the femtomolar range (Banks, 2008). In fact, such low concentrations have been shown to be sufficient to reverse ischemic stroke, even when administered 24 hours following onset of ischemia (Banks et al., 1996b; Banks, 2008). However, use of statins and/or peptides as stroke therapeutics requires efficient CNS delivery. This therapeutic objective emphasizes the absolute importance of understanding specific mechanisms involved in statin and/or peptide CNS delivery.

III. Overview of the OATP/Oatp Family of Solute Carrier Transporters

Although peptides and HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors have shown considerable promise as efficacious drugs for treatment of acute and chronic inflammatory pain and/or cerebral hypoxia, their therapeutic utility requires efficient and effective CNS delivery. One intriguing approach for delivering these drugs to the brain is to target endogenous CNS influx transporters such as the OATPs/Oatps. OATP/Oatp family members are sodium-independent transporters that are classified within the larger solute carrier (SLC) superfamily (Hagenbuch and Meier, 2004). Although capable of bidirectional transport, SLC transporters are generally considered to favor cellular uptake of drugs (Roth et al., 2012). To date, 319 SLC genes subdivided into 43 families (i.e., the SLC1 to SLC43 families) have been identified in humans (Sugiyama et al., 2006). Of the 43 known families of SLC transporters, only members of SLC21/Slc21 and SLC22 are expressed in the brain and, therefore, may play a critical role in determining CNS drug permeation and distribution (Kusuhara and Sugiyama, 2005). Genes in the SLC21/Slc21 superfamily, which is now known as SLC2O/Slc20, encode OATPs/Oatps. The nomenclature was revised and standardized in 2004 based on phylogenetic relationships and the superfamily was renamed SLC2O, the SLC family of the OATPs (Hagenbuch and Meier, 2004). In humans, 11 OATP isoforms have been identified and are classified into 6 families based on amino acid identity. The different proteins are named "OATP" followed by the family number (OATP1, OATP2, etc.), the subfamily letter (OATP1A, OATP2B, etc.), and then a number that identifies an individual member within the family (Oatp1a1, Oatp1a2, Oatp1a3, etc.). The nomenclature for rodent Oatp transporters follows the same conventions as for human orthologs except that these proteins are designated “Oatp” instead of “OATP” to demarcate species differences. The corresponding gene symbols are SLC2O followed by the same number-letter-number combination (e.g., Slco1a4 gene for Oatp1a4 protein).

An overview of the human and rodent isoforms, tissue expression, and substrate specificity of the known OATP families is presented below. For the most part, human OATP isoforms and their rodent orthologs share similar tissue localization (Hagenbuch and Meier, 2004). Although consistency in substrate profiles has been reported for human OATPs and rodent Oatps, overall transport function may vary considerably. For example, studies in plated cryopreserved human hepatocytes demonstrated that unbound affinity constant and active uptake clearance for seven known OATP/Oatp substrate drugs (bosentan, pitavastatin, pravastatin, repaglinide, rosuvastatin, telmisartan, valsartan) were on average 4.3- and 7.1-fold lower than in rat hepatocytes (Menochet et al., 2012). Similarly, uptake of pravastatin by OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 was significantly lower than that observed for Oatp1b2-mediated pravastatin transport in rodent tissue (Li et al., 2012). A summary of OATP/Oatp gene and protein information as well as known tissue localization is presented in Table 1. Known substrates for various OATP isoforms are presented in Table 2.

A. OATP1 Family

The best-characterized OATPs belong to family 1. In humans, four OATP1 family members have been identified and are designated OATP1A2, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, and OATP1C1. A significant amount of gene duplication and divergence has occurred within this family, especially in rodents (Roth et al., 2012). These genetic differences have complicated direct comparisons between human (OATP) and rodent (Oatp) studies. OATP1A2 has five rodent orthologs: Oatp1a1, Oatp1a3 (in rats only), Oatp1a4, Oatp1a5, and Oatp1a6 (Roth et al., 2012). OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 have a single rodent ortholog that is designated Oatp1b2 (Roth et al., 2012). OATP1C1 has a single ortholog that has been identified in rodents and is designated Oatp1c1.

In the OATP1 family, expression of OATP1A2 mRNA is highest in the brain, followed by the kidney, liver, lung, testis, and placenta (Kullak-Ublick et al., 1995; Steckelbroeck et al., 2004; Obaidat et al., 2012). Recently, OATP1A2 protein expression was reported in MCF10A human breast epithelial cells (Banerjee et al., 2012). OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 have been detected in the liver both at the mRNA and at the protein level (Abe et al., 1999, 2001; Hsiang et al., 1999; Konig et al., 2000a,b). Although OATP1B3 mRNA expression has been detected in the retina by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis, its protein expression has yet to be confirmed in this tissue (Roth et al., 2012). OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 mRNA have been...
detected in vitro in MCF10A breast epithelial cells (Banerjee et al., 2012). Expression of OATP1C1 mRNA is highest in brain and testis (Obaidat et al., 2012). Because OATP1C1 exhibits high affinity for T4 and reverse T3 (in the nanomolar range), this transporter is thought to be critical for blood-to-tissue delivery of thyroid hormones (Pizzagalli et al., 2002; Hagenbuch, 2007; Heuer and Visser, 2009).

**B. OATP2 Family**

The OATP2 family contains two members (i.e., OATP2A1 and OATP2B1). Both of these human OATPs possess a single ortholog in rodents, which are designated Oatp2a1 and Oatp2b1, respectively. OATP2A1, which is also known as the prostaglandin transporter, is ubiquitously expressed. In support of this hypothesis, OATP2A1 mRNA has been detected in several tissues including brain, colon, heart, liver, kidney, ovary, lung, pancreas, prostate, skeletal muscle, spleen, small intestine, and eye (Schuster, 2002; Kraft et al., 2010; Obaidat et al., 2012). In contrast, OATP2B1 is predominantly expressed in the liver (Tamai et al., 2000; Kullak-Ublick et al., 2001) but also in intestine, brain, heart, mammary tissue, and placenta (St. Pierre et al., 2002; Kobayashi et al., 2003; Pizzagalli et al., 2003; Bronger et al., 2005; Grube et al., 2006b). Rodent Oatp2b1 has also been reported in ependymal cells lining cerebral ventricles (Roberts et al., 2008).

**C. OATP3 Family**

The OATP3 family consists of a single, highly conserved member (OATP3A1) with a single rodent ortholog (Oatp3a1). OATP3A1 is widely expressed at the mRNA level in several tissues including brain, testis, heart, lung, spleen, peripheral blood leukocytes, breast epithelial cells, and thyroid gland (Adachi et al., 2003; Huber et al., 2007; Banerjee et al., 2012; Obaidat et al., 2012). Recently, OATP3A1 protein expression was demonstrated in epithelial cells of the lactiferous ducts in physiologic breast tissue (Kindla et al., 2011) and in MCF10A cells (Banerjee et al., 2012). Interestingly, rat Oatp3a1 has been detected at the rat nasal mucosa, a drug delivery interface that is particularly intriguing (Genter et al., 2010). In humans, two different OATP3A1 splice variants have been reported (Huber et al., 2007). These variants, designated OATP3A1_v1 and OATP3A1_v2, show some differences with respect to substrate profile (Table 2).

**D. OATP4 Family**

The OATP4 family consists of two members (OATP4A1, OATP4C1), each with a single rodent ortholog (Oatp4a1, Oatp4c1). OATP4A1 is ubiquitously expressed, with highest mRNA levels detected in the heart and placenta, followed by lung, liver, skeletal muscle, kidney, breast epithelium, and pancreas (Tamai et al., 2000; Fujiiwara et al., 2001; Banerjee et al., 2012). OATP4A1 protein expression has only been demonstrated at the apical membrane of syncytiotrophoblasts in the placenta (Sato et al., 2003) and in MCF10A human breast epithelial cells (Banerjee et al., 2012). The other OATP4 family member, OATP4C1, is expressed only in the kidney and thus is considered to be a renal-specific OATP (Mikkaichi et al., 2004).

**E. OATP5/OATP6 Family**

There is currently very little known about OATP5A1 and OATP6A1. Expression of OATP5A1 protein was recently reported at the plasma membrane of epithelial cells in lactiferous ducts isolated from normal breast tissue (Kindla et al., 2011). OATP6A1 mRNA

---

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Human Gene</th>
<th>Human Protein</th>
<th>Tissue Expression</th>
<th>Rodent Gene</th>
<th>Rodent Protein</th>
<th>Tissue Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OATP1</td>
<td>SLCO1A2</td>
<td>OATP1A2</td>
<td>Brain, kidney, liver, lung, testis, placenta</td>
<td>Slco1a1</td>
<td>Oatp1a1</td>
<td>Brain, liver, kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLCO1B1</td>
<td>OATP1B1</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Slco1a3</td>
<td>Oatp1a3</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLCO1B3</td>
<td>OATP1B3</td>
<td>Liver, retina</td>
<td>Slco1a4</td>
<td>Oatp1a4</td>
<td>Brain, eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLCO1C1</td>
<td>OATP1C1</td>
<td>Brain, testis</td>
<td>Slco1a5</td>
<td>Oatp1a5</td>
<td>Brain, eye, small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP2</td>
<td>SLCO2A1</td>
<td>OATP2A1</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
<td>Slco2a1</td>
<td>Oatp2a1</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLCO2B1</td>
<td>OATP2B1</td>
<td>Liver, intestine, brain, heart, breast, placenta</td>
<td>Slco2b1</td>
<td>Oatp2b1</td>
<td>Liver, eye, liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP3</td>
<td>SLCO3A1</td>
<td>OATP3A1</td>
<td>Brain, testis, heart, lung, spleen, peripheral blood, leukocytes, thyroid, breast</td>
<td>Slco3a1</td>
<td>Oatp3a1</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP4</td>
<td>SLCO4A1</td>
<td>OATP4A1</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
<td>Slco4a1</td>
<td>Oatp4a1</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLCO4C1</td>
<td>OATP4C1</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Slco4c1</td>
<td>Oatp4c1</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP5</td>
<td>SLCO5A1</td>
<td>OATP5A1</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Slco6b1</td>
<td>Oatp6b1</td>
<td>Testis, ovary, adrenal gland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP6</td>
<td>SLCO6A1</td>
<td>OATP6A1</td>
<td>Brain, testis, spleen, placenta</td>
<td>Slco6c1</td>
<td>Oatp6c1</td>
<td>Testis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLCO6d1</td>
<td>Oatp6d1</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Slco6d1</td>
<td>Oatp6d1</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
expression has been detected in the testes, spleen, brain, and placenta (Suzuki et al., 2003; Roth et al., 2012). Although a rodent ortholog for OATP5A1 has not been detected, three rodent orthologs (Oatp6b1, Oatp6c1, Oatp6d1) have been reported for OATP6A1 (Roth et al., 2012).

Almost all human OATPs range in size from 643 to 724 amino acids; the exception is OATP5A1, which contains 848 amino acids (Roth et al., 2012). In terms of protein structure, OATPs are integral proteins that contain 12 transmembrane helices with the amino and carboxyl termini oriented toward the cytoplasm (Wang et al., 2008). All OATP isoforms possess a conserved carboxyl terminus oriented toward the cytoplasm (Wang et al., 2002). Although a rodent ortholog for OATP5A1 has not been detected, three rodent orthologs (Oatp6b1, Oatp6c1, Oatp6d1) have been reported for OATP6A1 (Roth et al., 2012).
are found in extracellular loops 2 and 5, and many of these sites are conserved between family members (Fig. 1). The large fifth extracellular loop, located between transmembrane domains 9 and 10, contains conserved cysteines that are involved in disulfide bond formation (Hanggi et al., 2006). In the case of OATP2B1, disulfide bond formation is required for cell-surface expression of the transporter (Hanggi et al., 2006). Similar to most other mammalian transport proteins, a crystal structure has not been obtained for any OATP/Oatp superfamily member. Therefore, putative three-dimensional models of OATPs have been constructed using homology modeling, a process that has provided great insight into the structure and function of the OATP substrate-binding pore (Meier-Abt et al., 2005; Gui and Hagenbuch, 2008, Glaeser et al., 2010; Roth et al., 2012). Based on these models, several conserved positively charged amino acids that line the substrate pore were identified as critical determinants of OATP functional expression. Amino acids Arg57, Lys361, and Arg580 in OATP1B1 (Weaver and Hagenbuch, 2010) and Lys41, Lys361, and Arg580 in OATP1B3 (Glaeser et al., 2010; Mandery et al., 2011) were shown to be absolutely required for drug transport activity. Additional experiments using chimeras between OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 identified transmembrane domains 8 and 9 for OATP1B1 (Miyagawa et al., 2009) and transmembrane domain 10 for both OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 (Gui and Hagenbuch, 2008, 2009) to be essential for both transporter expression and substrate transport.

To date, the energetics of OATP/Oatp-mediated transport is poorly understood. It is generally believed that OATP/Oatp family members transport drugs in a bidirectional manner dictated by the solute gradient across the plasma membrane. That is, accumulation of an OATP/Oatp substrate drug in the cytoplasm (i.e., influx) reaches a critical threshold and induces a change in direction of the transmembrane concentration gradient, leading to solute efflux (Li et al., 2000; Ronaldson et al., 2011). When considering this possible mechanism of bidirectional flux, it is critical to appreciate that many OATP/Oatp substrates are organic anions that are predominantly charged at physiologic pH. This suggests that the chemistry of such compounds may act to hinder cytoplasmic substrate accumulation and that OATP/Oatp family members may prefer to efflux organic anions than to mediate their cellular uptake. Evidence for pH dependence of OATP/Oatp-mediated drug transport comes from extracellular acidification experiments. Several studies have shown that OATP2B1 transport is significantly increased at acidic extracellular pH (Nozawa et al., 2004; Sai et al., 2006; Varma et al., 2011). For example, studies in human small intestine epithelial cells showed an increase in V_{max} for OATP2B1-mediated transport of estrone-3-sulfate when extracellular pH was decreased from 7.4 to 5.0 (Nozawa et al., 2004). In the same in vitro system, OATP2B1-mediated uptake of pravastatin was higher at pH 5.5 than at pH 7.4 (Kobayashi et al., 2003). In terms of pharmacokinetics, such a process could enhance oral bioavailability of OATP2B1 substrate drugs (e.g., atorvastatin, benzylpenicillin, fexofenadine, pravastatin, rosuvastatin) because this transporter is well expressed in the small intestine. Current evidence suggests that the effect of pH on OATP2B1 transport is substrate-dependent and can be caused by increased drug affinity and increased turnover rate (Nozawa et al., 2004; Leuthold et al., 2009). For example, substrate affinity can be increased by protonation of a conserved histidine residue at the extracellular side of transmembrane domain 3 (Leuthold et al., 2009). Similarly, studies using Xenopus laevis oocytes, Caco-2 cells, and Chinese hamster ovary cells showed that OATP1A2, OATP1B1, and OATP1B3 transport function is dependent upon an electrogenic gradient and that these transporters are strongly affected by fluctuations in external pH (Kato et al., 2010; Martinez-Becerra et al., 2011). However, pH dependence of OATP1B1- and OATP1B3-mediated transport remains controversial since Mahagita et al. (2007) showed that estrone-3-sulfate transport via either OATP1B1 or OATP1B3 was unaffected by changes in extracellular pH. Similarly, bile acid transport mediated by rat Oatp1a1 was insensitive to proton gradient changes (Marin et al., 2003).

The energetics of OATP/Oatp-mediated transport is even more confounding when the same OATP/Oatp is expressed on both sides of a tissue barrier. This is a critical consideration for drug delivery to the brain mediated by Oatp1a4, which is known to be localized at both the luminal and abluminal plasma membrane of the brain microvascular endothelium (Gao et al., 1999). In this situation, it might be expected that a drug can enter the endothelial cell via luminal Oatp1a4 and then exit via abluminal Oatp1a4 with a net effect similar to facilitated diffusion. Therefore, the rate of trans endothelial transport will depend upon the relative expression of Oatp1a4 at the luminal and abluminal plasma membrane of the BBB. Relative expression levels as a determinant of transendothelial substrate transport have been demonstrated at the BBB for other transport proteins such as Glut-1 (Simpson et al., 2007).

The majority of OATP/Oatp transport substrates are organic anions, compounds that cannot easily accumulate in cells without input of metabolic energy. That is, if OATP/Oatp family members functioned as facilitative transporters, substrate equilibration and not substrate accumulation would be observed. The presence of intracellular and/or extracellular substrate drug molecules would dramatically alter the transmembrane concentration gradient and, therefore, the
kinetics of transport. Such a process would promote bidirectional fluxes that are incapable of favoring blood-to-tissue drug delivery. As OATP/Oatp family members are known to function primarily as influx transporters, much emphasis has been placed on identifying and characterizing driving forces responsible for enabling OATPs/Oatps to mediate net substrate transport in a single direction across a tissue barrier. It is well established that OATP-mediated transport is both ATP-independent and sodium-independent. Similar to other SLC transporters, it is believed that OATP-mediated transport is governed by an electrochemical gradient utilizing an inorganic or organic solute as a driving force. Previous studies have suggested that the mechanism of OATP/Oatp transport may involve substrate exchange with intracellular substances such as intracellular bicarbonate (Satlin et al., 1997; Leuthold et al., 2009), GSH (Li et al., 1998; Franco and Cidlowski, 2006), or GSH conjugates (Li et al., 2000). The possible utilization of an outwardly directed GSH gradient as a driving force for OATP-mediated transport has profound implications for delivery of drugs. Reduced cellular levels of GSH are associated with oxidative stress (Price et al., 2005; Schild and Reiser, 2005; Ronaldson and Bendayan, 2008), a known component of both pain and cerebral hypoxia (Lochhead et al., 2010, 2012; Ronaldson and Davis, 2012). In fact, upregulation of Mrp transporters may contribute to cellular depletion of GSH, as this endogenous antioxidant is a known Mrp transport substrate (Hirrlinger and Dringen, 2005; Minich et al., 2006; Ronaldson and Bendayan, 2008). Therefore, increased functional expression of Mrp isoforms may lead to enhanced cellular GSH efflux and subsequent impairment of OATP influx transport. The use of GSH as a transport driving force is not a property of all OATP family members. Using Xenopus oocytes expressing either OATP1B1 or OATP1B3, Mahagita et al. (2007) demonstrated that OATP-mediated transport of taurocholate and estrone-3-sulfate was not affected by exogenous GSH, indicating that GSH is neither a substrate nor an activator of OATP1B1/OATP1B3-mediated transport activity. Indeed, a thorough understanding of OATP transport activity requires characterization of the interplay between Mrp-mediated GSH export and the ability of OATP family members to act as facilitators of drug delivery.

IV. OATP/Oatp Localization and Functional Expression in the Central Nervous System

For many drugs that are efficacious in the treatment of pain and/or cerebral hypoxia, uptake into the brain and distribution throughout the CNS may be governed by OATP isoforms that are endogenously and selectively expressed at brain barriers and in brain parenchyma cellular compartments (i.e., glial cells, neurons) (Table 3). To target OATP family members for optimization of CNS drug delivery, it is essential to understand localization and functional expression of
these transporters in the brain. Below, we summarize current knowledge on OATP localization and functional expression in the brain, with a particular emphasis on how these transporters can determine delivery and distribution of therapeutic agents. Localization of OATP isoforms at brain barrier sites and in glial cells is depicted in Fig. 2.

A. Blood-Brain Barrier

The BBB constitutes a remarkable physical and biochemical barrier between the brain and systemic circulation. Structurally, the BBB is composed of a monolayer of nonfenestrated microvessel endothelial cells surrounded by pericytes and perivascular astrocytes. Brain microvessel endothelial cells are joined by tight junction protein complexes, which are maintained by trophic factors released from adjacent astrocytes (Janzer and Raff, 1987; Abbott, 2005). BBB tight junctions are formed by junction adhesion molecules, thereby impeding the paracellular permeability of many drugs to the brain.

Targeting endogenous uptake transporters at the BBB endothelium provides an exceptional opportunity for efficient and effective delivery of drugs to the CNS. Several lines of evidence indicate that OATPs/Oatps are localized and functionally expressed at the mammalian BBB. In rodent brain, expression of Oatp1a4 and Oatp1c1 has been reported in capillary-enriched fractions, capillary endothelial cells, and whole-brain microvessels (Gao et al., 1999; Sugiyama et al., 2003; Taogoshi et al., 2005; Westholm et al., 2009a,b; Ronaldson et al., 2011). Immunofluorescence staining of rat brain microvessels provided further evidence for localization of Oatp1a4 at the luminal membrane of the BBB (Roberts et al., 2008). Using immunoblot analysis and immunofluorescence staining, Kis et al. (2006) detected expression of Oatp2a1 along the luminal membrane in primary cultures of rat cerebral endothelial cells. Oatp1c1 primarily transports thyroxine and conjugated sterols at the BBB (Westholm et al., 2009a,b) while Oatp2a1 is a critical mediator of CNS prostaglandin homeostasis (Kis et al., 2006). It has been proposed that Oatp1a4, a rodent ortholog of OATP1A2, is the primary drug-transporting Oatp isoform expressed at the rat BBB (Fig. 3). Oatp1a4 has been shown to mediate blood-to-brain transport of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human OATP Isoform</th>
<th>CNS Expressiona</th>
<th>Rodent Ortholog</th>
<th>CNS Expressionb</th>
<th>Potential Substrate Drugsb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OATP1A2</td>
<td>BBB (ap, bl), possibly glial (detected in glioma)</td>
<td>Oatp1a1</td>
<td>Choroid plexus (ap)</td>
<td>HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (e.g., atorvastatin, cerivastatin, fluvastatin, pitavastatin, pravastatin, rosuvastatin, simvastatin acid); opioid analgesic peptides (e.g., DPDPE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oatp1a4</td>
<td>BBB (ap, bl), choroid plexus (ap), neurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oatp1a5</td>
<td>Choroid plexus (ap), neurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP1C1</td>
<td>Detected in brain but localization not confirmed; possibly glial (detected in glioma)</td>
<td>Oatp1a6</td>
<td>Choroid plexus (ap)</td>
<td>Substrate profile restricted to thyroid hormones and conjugated sterols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oatp1c1</td>
<td>BBB (ap)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP2A1</td>
<td>Detected in brain but localization not confirmed</td>
<td>Oatp2a1</td>
<td>BBB (ap), neurons</td>
<td>Substrate profile restricted to prostaglandins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP2B1</td>
<td>Detected in brain but localization not confirmed; possibly glial (detected in glioma)</td>
<td>Oatp2b1</td>
<td>Neurons</td>
<td>Neuronal receptors (e.g., atorvastatin, fluvastatin, pravastatin, pitavastatin, rosuvastatin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP3A1, v1, OATP3A2, v2</td>
<td>Choroid plexus (bl), choroid plexus (ap), neurons (cell body and axon)</td>
<td>Oatp3a1</td>
<td>Astrocytes</td>
<td>Endogenous opioids (e.g., deltorphin II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP4A1</td>
<td>Detected in brain but localization not confirmed; possibly glial (detected in glioma)</td>
<td>Oatp4a1</td>
<td>Choroid plexus</td>
<td>Substrate profile restricted to benzylpenicillin, thyroid hormones, prostaglandins, and estrogen metabolites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP6A1</td>
<td>Detected in brain but localization not confirmed</td>
<td>Rodent orthologs not detected in CNS tissue</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Transport activity not yet assessed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.A., not applicable.

a Specific localization, if known, is demarcated as apical (ap) or basolateral (bl).

b Drugs listed are only those with known efficacy in treatment of pain or cerebral hypoxia.
DPDPE (Gao et al., 2000; Ronaldson et al., 2011) and pravastatin (Tokui et al., 1999). More recently, studies in Oatp1a4(−/−) mice demonstrated reduced blood-to-brain transport of pitavastatin and rosuvastatin as compared with wild-type controls, which suggests that Oatp1a4 is involved in statin transport across the BBB (Ose et al., 2010).

Although it is well established that Oatp family members are localized and functionally active at the rodent BBB, studies on OATP expression at the human BBB remain inconclusive. Immunofluorescence staining of human brain frontal cortex demonstrated OATP1A2 localization at both the apical and basolateral sides of the microvascular endothelium (Gao et al., 2000). In contrast, a recent study examined transporter expression in human brain microvessels using a quantitative targeted absolute proteomics approach and observed that expression of OATP-A (i.e., OATP1A2), OATP-B (i.e., OATP2B1), OATP-C (i.e., OATP1B1), OATP-D (i.e., OATP3A1), OATP-E (i.e., OATP4A1), OATP-F (i.e., OATP1C1), OATP-H (i.e., OATP4C1), OATP-I (i.e., OATP6A1), and OATP-J (i.e., OATP5A1) were all below the limit of detection of the assay (Uchida et al., 2011). It is critical to emphasize that all brain tissue obtained by Uchida and colleagues was collected from subjects who died from peripheral diseases, including various cancers, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and Guillain-Barré syndrome (Uchida et al., 2011). It is possible that mediators released during the course of these diseases (e.g., inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, ROS) may have affected BBB transporter expression in these subjects. As demonstrated by our group, presence of a pathologic stressor in the periphery can have dramatic effects on BBB transporter expression and, subsequently, CNS drug delivery (Hau et al., 2004; Seelbach et al., 2007; Ronaldson et al., 2011; Lochhead et al., 2012; McCaffrey et al., 2012). Therefore, these proteomic data cannot be interpreted to suggest that OATP family members are absent from the human BBB or that these transporters do not represent viable targets for optimization of CNS drug delivery. Rather, mechanisms of OATP regulation in both health and disease need to be studied in more detail to fully comprehend OATP localization and expression at the human BBB. Additionally, the work of Uchida et al. (2011) underscores a critical need for functional studies using in vivo imaging technologies (e.g., positron emission tomography, single photon emission computed tomography) to determine involvement of OATP isoforms in CNS drug delivery at the human BBB. Nonetheless, OATP-mediated transport at the human BBB is a particularly intriguing concept, particularly with respect to treatment of pain and cerebral hypoxia. For example, studies in X. laevis oocytes have shown OATP1A2-mediated uptake of peptides such as
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**Fig. 2.** Localization of OATP/Oatp transporters at the brain barriers and in brain parenchyma cellular compartments (i.e., astrocytes, neurons). AP, apical; BL, basolateral.
DPDPE but also deltorphin II (Gao et al., 2000). Transport of these substrates was inhibited by the opiate antagonists naloxone and naltrindole as well as the \( \mu \)-opioid receptor agonist Tyr-D-Ala-Gly-N\( \text{\textsuperscript{O-Me}} \)Phe-glycinol and the endogenous peptide Leu-enkephalin (Gao et al., 2000). More recent studies in \textit{X. laevis} oocytes showed increased pravastatin and pitavastatin uptake in OATP1A2 cDNA-injected oocytes as compared with water-injected controls (Shirasaka et al., 2010, 2011).

**B. Blood-Cerebrospinal Fluid Barrier**

The BCSF barrier is formed by the choroid plexus, the major interface between the systemic circulation and the CSF. The BCSF barrier is located at the outer epithelial surface of the choroid plexus, a leaf-like, highly vascular organ that protrudes into all four cerebral ventricles. It comprises fenestrated capillaries that are surrounded by a monolayer of epithelial cells joined together by tight junctions (Ghersi-Egea and Strazielle, 2001). These tight junctions form the structural basis of the BCSF barrier and seal together adjacent polarized epithelial cells (also known as ependymal cells). Thus, once a solute has crossed the capillary wall, it must also permeate these ependymal cells before accessing the CSF.

The primary function of the choroid plexus is to continuously produce CSF and to maintain its composition. The total volume of CSF (140 ml) is replaced approximately four to five times daily (Dawson et al., 1970). Continuous flow of CSF through the ventricular system into the subarachnoid space and exiting into the venous system provides a “sink” that reduces the steady-state concentration of a drug penetrating into the brain and CSF (Saunders et al., 1999). The sink effect is greater for large-molecular-weight and hydrophilic compounds. The CSF also comprises approximately 0.3\% of plasma proteins, totaling 15 to 40 mg/ml, depending upon the sampling site (Felgenhauer, 1974). This is in contrast to the extracellular space of the normal adult brain, which contains no detectable plasma proteins (Azzi et al., 1990).

Similar to the BBB, the choroid plexus displays polarized expression of various receptors, ion channels, and transport systems that regulate the CSF composition via secretion and reabsorption (Spector and Johanson, 1989). Additionally, several proteins involved in blood-to-CSF transport of drugs, including OATP/Oatp isofoms, have been detected at the choroid plexus epithelium. Gene and protein expression of Oatp1a1 has been observed in neonatal rat choroid plexus (Angeletti et al., 1998). Quantitative gene analysis of rat choroid plexus tissue demonstrated that Oatp1a5 mRNA was expressed at the BCSF barrier at levels much greater than observed in liver (Choudhuri et al., 2003). This same study also reported low but detectable mRNA expression of Oatp1a1, Oatp1a6, and Oatp4a1 at the rat choroid plexus (Choudhuri et al., 2003). Additionally, Oatp1c1 is highly enriched at the choroid plexus in rodent brain.
and is directly involved in blood-to-CSF thyroxine transport (Sugiyma et al., 2003; Mayerl et al., 2012). Immunohistochemical analysis demonstrated localization of Oatp1a5 at the brush border (i.e., apical) membrane in TR-CSFB cells, a conditionally immortalized rat choroid plexus epithelial cell line (Ohtsuki et al., 2003). Nonradioactive in situ hybridization histochemistry and immunofluorescence microscopy studies provided evidence for apical localization of Oatp1a1 in rat choroid plexus epithelial cells (Gao et al., 1999). In contrast, this same study showed basolateral localization of Oatp1a4 (Gao et al., 1999). Expression of multiple Oatp isoforms at the rodent choroid plexus suggests multiple opportunities for targeted drug delivery to the CSF and the CNS. For example, choroid plexus expression of Oatp1a4 implies existence of a transporter target that can be exploited for blood-to-CSF delivery of opioid analgesic peptides (e.g., DPDPE) (Dagenais et al., 2001). Using in situ brain perfusion, Mason et al. (2010) provided evidence for Oatp1a4-mediated transport of cortisol and corticosterone at the rat choroid plexus. Localization of OATP3A1_v1 has been reported at the basolateral surface of choroid plexus epithelial cells, while OATP3A1_v2 has been observed at the apical membrane of the BCSF barrier (Huber et al., 2007). Other OATP isoforms, such as OATP1A2 (Gao et al., 2000), OATP1C1 (Pizzagalli et al., 2002), OATP2B1 (Kullak-Ublick et al., 2001), and OATP4A1 (Fujiwara et al., 2001), have been detected in human brain tissue; however, their localization and functional expression at the BCSF barrier has yet to be determined.

C. Brain Parenchyma

1. Astrocytes. Astrocytes are the most abundant cell type in the brain. Previous studies have shown that astrocytes, localized between neuronal cell bodies and endothelial cells and ensheathing more than 99% of cerebral capillaries with their end-feet (Hawkins and Davis, 2005; Vangilder et al., 2011; Ronaldson and Davis, 2012), are critical in the development and/or maintenance of BBB characteristics (Janzer and Raff, 1987; Tao-Cheng et al., 1987; Neuhaus et al., 1991; Hayashi et al., 1997; Willis et al., 2004a,b). For example, studies using human umbilical vein endothelial cells showed that these cells could develop BBB properties when cocultured with astrocytes, which implies that astrocytes secrete trophic factors critical to maintenance of the BBB phenotype (Hayashi et al., 1997). Astrocytes may be involved in transient regulation of cerebral microvascular permeability (Ballabh et al., 2004), in particular via dynamic Ca2+-signaling between astrocytes and the endothelium via gap junctions and purinergic transmission (Goldberg et al., 2010; Pelligrino et al., 2011). Recent evidence also suggests that astrocytes may play a critical role in regulating water and ion exchange across the brain microvascular endothelium (Abbott et al., 2006; Mathiesen et al., 2010). Astrocytes possess two high-affinity transporters for uptake of glutamate, termed excitatory amino acid transporters 1 and 2 [EAAT1 (GLAST) and EAAT2 (GLT-1), respectively] (Bak et al., 2006). These transporters are critical in removal of excess glutamate from the synapse and contribute to maintenance of excitatory neurotransmitter concentrations in the brain. Additionally, astrocytes are known to express volume-regulated anion channels. These channels are involved in Ca2+-independent release of anionic amino acids (e.g., glutamate, aspartate, taurine) during conditions that cause astrocyte swelling, such as cerebral hypoxia (Kimelberg et al., 1990).

To date, there is very little information regarding localization and/or functional expression of OATP/Oatp family members in astrocytes. Gao et al. (2000) observed that Oatp1a4 immunoreactivity did not colocalize with glial fibrillary acidic protein, which implies that astrocytes do not express Oatp1a4. Immunofluorescence staining of rat brain tissue confirmed expression of Oatp3a1 in rat astrocytes, suggesting a mechanism for prostaglandin and thyroxine transport in glia (Huber et al., 2007). However, real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis of human glioma specimens from six different patients revealed mRNA expression for OATP1A2, OATP1C1, OATP2B1, and OATP4A1 (Bronger et al., 2005). The expression of these transporters in astrocytes suggests that these glial cells may act as critical determinants of CNS drug distribution. That is, the balance of transporters in astrocytes may either sequester drugs within the astrocyte cytoplasm, thereby preventing these compounds from reaching their site of action in the brain, or concentrate drugs in brain extracellular fluid. Pharmacological agents within brain extracellular space can be effluxed by active transport mechanisms at brain barrier sites or via “sink” effects of CSF (Ronaldson et al., 2008). For more detailed information on other transport mechanisms in astrocytes, readers are referred to a recent review (Ronaldson et al., 2008).

2. Microglia. The Spanish neuroanatomist del Río-Hortega (1932) first described microglia, a cell type from the monocyte lineage that represents approximately 20% of the total glial cell population within the CNS (Raivich et al., 1999). Microglia are ubiquitously distributed within the CNS, with the basal ganglia and cerebellum possessing considerably greater numbers than the cerebral cortex (Dickson et al., 1991). Under normal physiologic conditions, microglia exist in a quiescent state lacking endocytic and phagocytic activity. These microglia possess a ramified morphology characterized by a small (5- to 10-μm) cell body and many radial cell processes extending from the cell body. Ramified microglia are thought to contribute to maintenance of homeostasis by participating in
extracellular fluid cleansing and neurotransmitter deactivation (Ronaldson et al., 2008). During disease or trauma, microglia may become activated, and the degree of this activation is directly correlated to the type and severity of brain injury (Speth et al., 2005). Activated microglia are identified morphologically by their larger cell body and relatively short cytoplasmic processes. Biochemically, activated microglia are identified by upregulation of cell surface receptors such as CD14 and TLRs (Bsibsi et al., 2002; Rivest, 2003). The degree of microglial activation appears to be correlated to the type and severity of brain injury (Raivich et al., 1999; Speth et al., 2005). During an immune response, activated microglia may be further converted to a reactive state, which is characterized by a spheroid or rod-like morphology and presence of phagocytic activity. Microglia activation and proliferation has been implicated in the development of various CNS pathologic states, including peripheral inflammatory pain (Roberts et al., 2009) as well as ischemic stroke and cerebral hypoxia (Deierborg et al., 2010; Faustino et al., 2011; Wei et al., 2011). When activated, microglia produce high levels of neurotoxic mediators such as nitric oxide and peroxide, as well as inflammatory cytokines (e.g., tumor necrosis factor-α), proteases, and complement components (Aloisi, 2001; Speth et al., 2005). Excessive production of these substances may further lead to cell injury in the CNS, characterized by astrocyte activation, further microglia activation, and neuronal cell death.

Although previous studies have shown that microglia express membrane proteins involved in drug transport (Lee et al., 2001; Dallas et al., 2003, 2004; Ronaldson et al., 2004), there are no published data outlining expression of OATP/Oatp isoforms in microglia. This is clearly an issue that needs to be resolved to accurately assess the ability of microglia to contribute to drug permeation and/or distribution in the CNS.

3. Neurons. Neurons form the basic structural and functional component of the CNS. The primary function of neurons is to respond to stimuli by conducting electrical signals along conductive processes (i.e., axons). The conduction of electrical impulses results in the release of neurotransmitters that further regulate (positively and negatively) nearby neuronal responses (Ludwig and Pittman, 2003). This enables the brain to maintain a highly complex communication network. A few studies have reported expression of Oatp1a5 and Oatp2b1 in neurons isolated from rat and mouse brain (Nishio et al., 2000; Feurstein et al., 2010). Neuronal expression of Oatp2b1 is particularly intriguing because many of its substrates (e.g., prostaglandins, leukotriene C4) are involved in inflammatory signaling and regulation, suggesting that this transporter may play a critical role in transporting signals to target cells during brain inflammation (Nishio et al., 2000). Additionally, Oatp1a5 and/or Oatp2b1 may be involved in neurotoxicity due to their ability to transport cytotoxic microcystins (Feurstein et al., 2010). Immunofluorescence staining of human frontal cortical tissue demonstrated expression of OATP3A1_v2 in neurons at both the cell body and the axon (Huber et al., 2007). In vivo studies in mouse brain showed that neurons express high levels of Oatp2a1 (Scafidi et al., 2007).

V. Regulation of OATPs/Oatps during Pain and Cerebral Hypoxia

The ability of a pharmacological agent to cross the BBB endothelium and achieve efficacious concentrations within the CNS is dependent on multiple mechanisms of transport. Such mechanisms include uptake into the brain via an influx transporter and/or extrusion from the CNS mediated by an efflux transporter. For many drugs, it is this discrete balance between influx and efflux that determines whether a pharmacological agent will accumulate within the brain extracellular milieu and be able to elicit a therapeutic effect. The complexity of drug transport biology at the BBB is further underscored by the observation that functional expression of such transport proteins may be dramatically altered by pathophysiological stressors (Hayashi et al., 2005, 2006; van Vliet et al., 2005; Seelbach et al., 2007; Ronaldson et al., 2010, 2011). A thorough understanding of the regulation and functional expression of endogenous BBB transporters in both health and disease is critical for optimization of pharmacotherapy. Furthermore, such information will enable efficient targeting of transporters and/or transporter regulatory mechanisms, thus allowing endogenous BBB transport systems to be specifically controlled for purposes of improving CNS drug delivery.

Recently, we reported for the first time increased functional expression of Oatp1a4 at the BBB in rats subjected to a pathologic stressor (i.e., peripheral inflammatory pain) (Ronaldson et al., 2011). Evidence for increased Oatp1a4 transport at the BBB included 1) increased brain accumulation of taurocholate, a selective Oatp substrate (Noe et al., 1997); 2) attenuation of taurocholate uptake by Oatp transport inhibitors (i.e., digoxin, estrone-3-sulfate, fexofenadine); 3) increased K_{IN} for taurocholate in response to pathologic stress, which implies increased blood-to-brain transport; and 4) an increase in taurocholate accumulation within brain interstitial fluid but no change in taurocholate sequestration within the BBB endothelium itself (Ronaldson et al., 2011). To determine whether Oatp1a4 could effectively facilitate CNS drug delivery, we studied BBB transport of the opioid peptide DPDPE. Brain uptake of DPDPE is governed by multiple mechanisms in addition to Oatp1a4-mediated
transport, including transcytosis (Egleton and Davis, 1999) and P-gp-mediated efflux (Dagenais et al., 2001). Although we showed increased Oatp1a4 functional expression at the BBB in animals subjected to peripheral inflammatory pain, we did not see any change in blood-to-brain DPDPE transport (Ronaldson et al., 2011). In light of our previous work with P-gp (Seelbach et al., 2007), we proposed that Oatp1a4 influx transport was negated by P-gp efflux. This implies that the relative contribution of Oatp1a4 to overall brain uptake of DPDPE could only be determined in the absence of P-gp-mediated transport activity. When we inhibited P-gp efflux transport using reversin 205, a selective P-gp-inhibitory peptide (Sharom et al., 1999), we observed that the relative contribution of Oatp1a4 to brain uptake of DPDPE increased from 56% in saline controls to 71% in animals subjected to peripheral inflammatory pain (Ronaldson et al., 2011). These data are particularly critical because they showed for the first time that Oatp1a4 can be targeted for delivering drugs such as opioid peptides to the brain.

OATP/Oatp family members are multispecific transporters capable of transporting a vast array of structurally diverse drugs, metabolites, and physiologic substrates. However, a full comprehension of how such transporters can be targeted to promote CNS delivery of therapeutics requires an appreciation that substrates transported by OATP/Oatp family members may also be transport substrates for organic anion transporters (OATs), P-gp, and MRP/Mrp isoforms. At the BBB, OAT3 (Ohtsuki et al., 2005; Miyajima et al., 2011), P-gp (Bendayan et al., 2006; Seelbach et al., 2007; Dauchy et al., 2009; Hawkins et al., 2010), and various MRPs/Mrps (Dallas et al., 2006; Hawkins et al., 2007) are all well expressed and contribute to brain-to-blood (i.e., efflux) substrate transport. In choroid plexus epithelial cells, CSF-to-blood drug transport is mediated by OAT1 and OAT3 at the apical membrane (Sykes et al., 2004; Bahn et al., 2005; Keep and Smith, 2011) and MRP1 at the basolateral membrane (Gazzin et al., 2008). In contrast, P-gp is expressed at the apical membrane of choroid plexus epithelia and functions to retain drugs in the CNS (Rao et al., 1999; Gazzin et al., 2008). MRP4/Mrp4 expression has been detected at both apical and basolateral surfaces of the choroid plexus, which implies that this transporter may act to conserve levels of some organic anions in the CSF while driving brain-to-blood efflux of others (Leggas et al., 2004; Dallas et al., 2006). Many of the drugs presented as OATP/Oatp substrates in Table 2 are also transported by at least one among OAT1, OAT3, P-gp, or MRP/Mrp isoforms. For example, DPDPE is a substrate for P-gp in addition to Oatp1a4 (Dagenais et al., 2004; Ose et al., 2010; Ronaldson et al., 2011). Rosuvastatin is also a substrate for OAT3 (Windass et al., 2007), Bcrp (Huang et al., 2006), and Mrp2 (Abe et al., 2008). Therefore, it is highly possible that drugs that enter the brain microvascular endothelium or choroid plexus epithelium via one class of transporter may exit by another. Understanding how changes in expression of a specific transporter might affect brain uptake of a given drug will depend upon an accurate assessment of all competing transporters.

### A. Transforming Growth Factor-β Signaling

To successfully target a transporter system for optimization of CNS drug delivery, it is crucial to determine how a transporter of interest is regulated at the molecular level. This includes identification and characterization of biologic mechanisms that enable peripheral pain and/or cerebral hypoxia to “transmit” signals upstream and alter BBB drug transporters. Of particular interest is the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling pathway. TGF-β signaling regulates multiple cellular processes including vascular remodeling (Pepper, 1997). The TGF-β family are a family of pleiotropic cytokines that modulate cellular function by binding to a heterotetrameric complex of type I and type II serine/threonine kinase receptors (Derynck and Zhang, 2003). The type I receptors, also known as activin receptor-like kinases (ALKs), propagate intracellular signals through phosphorylation of specific Smad proteins [i.e., receptor-regulated (R)-Smads] (Fig. 4A). Phosphorylated (R)-Smads form complexes with the common Smad (i.e., Smad4), enabling them to be translocated to the nucleus and regulate transcription of target genes (Derynck and Zhang, 2003).

In the majority of tissues, TGF-β signaling is mediated by ALK5 (Lebrin et al., 2005); however, studies in cultured human endothelial cells (Wu et al., 2006) and in isolated arterial endothelium from ALK1-deficient mice (Seki et al., 2003) have indicated that ALK1 is also involved in vascular TGF-β signaling. TGF-β regulates the endothelial cell activation state through a precise balance between ALK1 and ALK5 signaling processes (Goumans et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2006). Whereas the ALK1 pathway leads to endothelial activation characterized by increased permeability, ALK5-mediated signaling promotes vascular resolution that is demarcated by decreased permeability (Lebrin et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006). Such effects on vascular permeability may be due to the ability of TGF-β signaling to alter expression of tight junction constituent proteins. For example, claudin-5 expression was increased by pharmacological ALK5 inhibition in embryonic stem cells, suggesting the involvement of TGF-β/ALK5 signaling in the regulation of tight junction constituent proteins (Watabe et al., 2003). Similarly, we demonstrated that ALK5 inhibition using SB431542 increased expression of claudin-3, claudin-5, occludin monomers, and ZO-1 in vivo (Ronaldson et al., 2009). Additionally, studies using
human glioblastoma cells cultured with human brain endothelial cells showed that activation of TGF-β-mediated signaling decreased endothelial expression of occludin, claudin-1, and claudin-5 (Ishihara et al., 2008).

Expression of TGF-β1 is dramatically altered both in the CNS and in the periphery in response to pain/inflammation and cerebral hypoxia (Buckwalter and Wyss-Coray, 2004; Ronaldson et al., 2009; Doyle et al., 2010). Recently, our laboratory demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of TGF-β signaling led to increased microvascular expression (Fig. 4B) and activity (Fig. 4C) of Oatp1a4 at the BBB (Ronaldson et al., 2011). Of particular interest was the observation that this blockade of TGF-β/ALK5 signaling using SB431542 increased Oatp1a4 functional expression in saline-treated control animals (Ronaldson et al., 2011). A crucial consideration in interpretation of our data is the contribution of paracellular diffusion to brain uptake of taurocholate. Our laboratory has previously reported that pharmacological inhibition of TGF-β/ALK5 signaling also increased BBB permeability via the paracellular route for solutes such as sucrose (Ronaldson et al., 2009). The molecular weight of taurocholate (537.7 Da) is greater than that of sucrose (342 Da), suggesting a lesser degree of paracellular diffusion. As our data showed no statistical difference in taurocholate uptake in the presence and absence of Oatp1a4 inhibitors in animals subjected to a pathologic stressor known to open the BBB (i.e., pain/inflammation), we conclude that paracellular diffusion was not a significant factor in our study. This is not to say that paracellular drug transport is not a significant factor in determining blood-to-brain delivery of other drugs in the setting of a pathophysiologic response. Therefore, it is critical to correct for paracellular transport in any study on the effect of targeting TGF-β signaling for optimization of CNS drug delivery. Although studies in immortalized mouse brain endothelial cells (MBE4) have shown involvement of ALK5-mediated signaling in P-gp regulation (Dohgu et al., 2004), we are the first to report TGF-β/ALK5 signaling signals by phosphorylation of Smad2 and Smad3. Once phosphorylated, these Smad proteins bind to the common Smad (i.e., Smad4), thereby forming a protein complex that can translocate to the nucleus and affect transcription. (B) Effect of SB431542, a selective pharmacological inhibitor of TGF-β/ALK5 signaling, on Oatp1a4 protein expression. Relative levels of Oatp1a4 protein in brain microvessels isolated from rats treated with saline or α-carrageenan in the presence and absence of SB431542. Results are expressed as mean ± S.D. of three separate experiments. **P < 0.01 vs. control. (C) Uptake of taurocholate into rat brain following 3 hours of α-carrageenan-induced inflammatory pain in the presence and absence of SB431542. Graph shows the concentration of taurocholate detected in rat brain tissue for the four treatment groups after injection of 3% α-carrageenan or 0.9% saline into the plantar surface of the right hind paw. SB431542 (1.5 mg/kg) was injected 30 minutes before footpad injection. Results are expressed as mean ± S.D. of six animals per treatment group. **P < 0.01 vs. control. Adapted from Ronaldson et al. (2011).
regulation of an endogenous BBB drug uptake transporter. Our work on TGF-β/ALK5 signaling demonstrated that this pathway can regulate permeability at the BBB by increasing functional expression of an influx transporter. Furthermore, these studies highlight the potential of the TGF-β/ALK5 pathway as a pharmacological target that can be used for optimization of drug delivery to the CNS, particularly for treatment of ischemic stroke.

**B. Nuclear Receptors**

Nuclear receptors are ligand-activated transcription factors activated by a broad spectrum of xenobiotics (Kullak-Ublick and Becker, 2003). Some of these receptors, including pregnane X receptor (PXR) and constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), have been implicated in regulation of OATPs/Oatps (Tirona et al., 2003; Cheng et al., 2005; Urquhart et al., 2007; Svoboda et al., 2011). Expression of both PXR and CAR have been reported in cell culture models of brain microvessel endothelial cells isolated from rat (Bauer et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2010), mouse (Wang et al., 2010), pig (Ott et al., 2009), and human tissue (Dauchy et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2011). The pharmacological impact of PXR- and/or CAR-mediated upregulation of OATP/Oatp isoforms has not yet been demonstrated at brain barriers or in CNS cellular compartments; however, considerable data from studies in cancer cells and in hepatocytes point to the potential of PXR and/or CAR signaling to control OATP/Oatp-mediated drug transport. In fact, such studies provide an excellent starting point for predicting which nuclear receptors can be targeted to increase expression/activity of a specific OATP/Oatp isoform. OATP1A2 expression and activity can be induced in human breast cancer cells treated with the known PXR activator rifampin (Meyer zu Schwabedissen et al., 2008). This same study identified a PXR response element on the human OATP1A2 promoter located approximately 5.7 kb upstream of the transcription start site (Meyer zu Schwabedissen et al., 2008). Using chromatin immunoprecipitation, these researchers also confirmed the specificity of the PXR-OATP1A2 promoter interaction (Meyer zu Schwabedissen et al., 2008), data that confirm the interplay between OATP1A2 and the xenobiotic nuclear receptor PXR. Similar observations were obtained in mouse liver where murine PXR activators (i.e., pregnenolone-16α-carbonitrile, spironolactone) increased hepatic expression of Oatp1a4 mRNA (Cheng et al., 2005). Additionally, Stedman et al. (2005) showed that PXR activation induced expression of Oatp1a4 in mouse liver in vivo. These studies are particularly significant because they indicate that PXR may be a viable molecular target for control of OATP functional expression in other tissues, such as the CNS. Previous studies have also suggested that CAR may be involved in regulation of the OATP/Oatp family of transporters. For example, treatment of mice with known CAR agonists (i.e., phenobarbital, 1,4-bis-[2-(3,5-dichloropyridyloxy)]benzene) resulted in a significant increase in hepatic expression of Oatp1a4 (Wagner et al., 2005). Taken together, results from these studies suggest that PXR/CAR activation may alter OATP/Oatp functional expression in the CNS and thereby modulate CNS delivery of drugs such as opioid analgesic peptides and HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. A critical consideration in the targeting of PXR/CAR to induce functional expression of OATP/Oatp transporters is that these nuclear receptors can also upregulate efflux transporters at the BBB such as P-gp (Bauer et al., 2004, 2006; Wang et al., 2010), Mrp2 (Bauer et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010), and Bcrp (Wang et al., 2010). Induction of these efflux transporters may challenge the ability of PXR and/or CAR ligands to promote OATP/Oatp-mediated drug delivery. Because the role of PXR and/or CAR in the regulation of OATP/Oatp expression in the brain remains poorly characterized, rigorous studies must be undertaken to identify and characterize the nature of the interplay between OATP/Oatp isoforms and xenobiotic nuclear receptors in the CNS.

Future work designed to study the regulation of OATPs/Oatps by PXR and/or CAR can also be directed by current knowledge on drug-transporter interactions involving nuclear receptors. It has been estimated that unwanted activation of nuclear receptors (e.g., PXR, CAR) may account for up to 60% of drug-drug interactions (Urquhart et al., 2007). Although such interactions involving PXR and/or CAR have not been directly studied in the CNS, much of this information may prove to be clinically relevant with respect to the treatment of pain. Patients afflicted by pain are often administered multiple analgesic drugs as part of their therapeutic regimen. Many of these compounds, particularly anti-inflammatory drugs, have been reported to interact with PXR and/or CAR in both in vitro and in vivo model systems. For example, dexamethasone, an anti-inflammatory glucocorticoid and established PXR activator (Matheny et al., 2004), is often used in the management of pain conditions (Stein et al., 1999; Kardash et al., 2008). There is also recent evidence that dexamethasone treatment may protect the brain from the deleterious effects of H/R stress (Feng et al., 2011). Acetaminophen is commonly prescribed for the management of pain conditions (Stein et al., 1999; Kardash et al., 2008). There is also recent evidence that dexamethasone treatment may protect the brain from the deleterious effects of H/R stress (Feng et al., 2011). Acetaminophen is commonly prescribed for the management of pain conditions (Stein et al., 1999; Kardash et al., 2008).
tools that can be exploited to control expression and/or function of endogenous CNS transporters such as OATPs/Oatps.

VI. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

The field of CNS biology, particularly the study of endogenous transport systems, has rapidly advanced over the past 2 decades. Although many studies have focused on biochemical mechanisms that prevent drugs from entering the brain, this paradigm has shifted toward identifying and characterizing BBB targets that can facilitate blood-to-brain drug transport. Now, it is beginning to be appreciated that endogenous CNS transporters such as OATPs/Oatps may be viable targets for optimizing CNS drug delivery. Furthermore, molecular machinery involved in regulating OATPs/Oatps (i.e., TGF-β/ALK5 signaling, nuclear receptor systems) is just now being identified and characterized. These critical discoveries have identified multiple molecular targets that can be exploited for optimization of CNS delivery of therapeutic agents. Perhaps targeting of novel drugs to OATP/Oatp transporters will lead to significant advancements in the treatment of CNS diseases such as pain and cerebral hypoxia. Identification and characterization of intracellular signaling pathways that can control functional expression of uptake transporters provides yet another approach for pharmacological control of transporter systems in an effort to deliver therapeutics to the CNS (Fig. 5). Future work will continue to provide more insight on the interplay of transporters and intracellular signaling pathways in the brain and how these systems can be effectively targeted. Ultimately, data derived from these studies will enable achievement of more precise and more effective drug concentrations within the CNS and improved treatment of pain and cerebral hypoxia.

Authorship Contributions

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Ronaldson, Davis.

References


velopment of the normal rat brain, cortical cord and dural root microcirculations to


Astur J, Siesjo BK, and Symon L (1981) Thresholds in cerebral ischemia—the

the blood-brain barrier to opioid peptides in hCMEC/D3 human cerebral mi-
crovascular endothelial cells. Stroke 30:483–514.

receptors mTLR1, mTLR2 and mTLR4 on P-glycoprotein expressing microglia.

Bendayan R, Bendayan M, and Vexler ZS (2011) Microglial cells contribute to endogenous brain defenses after


Bouma GJ and Muñizhala JP (1992) Cerebral blood flow, cerebral blood volume, and

Bronger H, König J, Hopf R, Steiner HH, Ahmadi R, Herold-Mende C, Keppler D, and

Drug Metab Dispos 36:1082–1089.


Butt AM, Jones HC, and Abbott NJ (1990) Electrical resistance across the brain-

Chen X, Maher J, Chen C, and Klaassen CD (2005) Tissue distribution and ontogeny of
mouse organic anion transporting polypeptides (Oatps). Drug Metab Dispos 33:
1062–1073.


MP, and Dean DC, et al. (2007) Transport of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor
sitagliptin by human organic anion transporter 3, organic anion transporting poly-
peptid e2, and multidrug resistance P-glycoprotein. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 321:
673–683.


OATP Expression and Activity in the CNS

311


