Prenatal Antidepressant Exposure: Clinical and Preclinical Findings
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Abstract
Pharmacological treatment of any maternal illness during pregnancy warrants consideration of the consequences of the illness and/or medication for both the mother and unborn child. In the case of major depressive disorder, which affects up to 10–20% of pregnant women, the deleterious effects
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of untreated depression on the offspring can be profound and long lasting. Progress has been made in our understanding of the mechanism(s) of action of antidepressants, fetal exposure to these medications, and serotonin's role in development. New technologies and careful study designs have enabled the accurate sampling of maternal serum, breast milk, umbilical cord serum, and infant serum psychotropic medication concentrations to characterize the magnitude of placental transfer and exposure through human breast milk. Despite this progress, the extant clinical literature is largely composed of case series, population-based patient registry data that are reliant on nonobjective means and retrospective recall to determine both medication and maternal depression exposure, and limited inclusion of suitable control groups for maternal depression. Conclusions drawn from such studies often fail to incorporate embryology/neurotransmitter ontogeny, appropriate gestational windows, or a critical discussion of statistically versus clinically significant. Similarly, preclinical studies have predominantly relied on dosing models, leading to exposures that may not be clinically relevant. The elucidation of a defined teratological effect or mechanism, if any, has yet to be conclusively demonstrated. The extant literature indicates that, in many cases, the benefits of antidepressant use during pregnancy for a depressed pregnant woman may outweigh potential risks.

I. Introduction

A. Historical Perspective

Modern psychopharmacology began in the early 1950s with the introduction of chlorpromazine, followed by other phenothiazines, and by the end of the decade, the introduction of the tricyclic antidepressants (TCA) that gave clinicians new and effective tools to treat mental health disorders. Regulatory agencies such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) were establishing standards for reproductive safety categories (Table 1) for the use of drugs during pregnancy, underscoring the need to consider acute morphologic effects as well as potential long-term adverse effects of perinatal medication exposure.

Tricyclic antidepressants (TCA) were first introduced in 1959 (imipramine), with the active desmethyl metabolite of imipramine, desipramine, introduced in 1964 (Drugs Co, 1965). The timing of the introduction of TCAs and potential effects of TCA exposure in pregnancy coincided with the timing of the thalidomide tragedy, and there were early reports of potential limb anomalies associated with amitriptyline. In animal studies, it was observed that imipramine may have produced fetal abnormalities in rabbits (Robson and Sullivan, 1963). Dr. William McBride, who first reported deformities due to thalidomide, began examining mothers and their infants and reported increased limb deformities associated with iminodibenzyl hydrochloride (associated with TCA use) during pregnancy (McBride, 1972). The article was reported by several press agencies including The Times of London (March 4, 1972), spurring a reaction from physicians reporting the safety of these medications during pregnancy in their patients (Crombie et al., 1972; Kuenssberg and Knox, 1972; Levy, 1972; Sim, 1972). Notably, at the same time, the Lithium Registry of Babies (Schou et al., 1973) reported a higher rate of a specific cardiac defect, Ebstein's Anomaly associated with lithium. This is still taught as dogma to young clinicians despite additional studies and critical reviews (Cohen et al., 1994) showing a more modest risk. These experiences contributed to increased reluctance to treat mood disorders in pregnancy as well as a decreased tendency to less aggressively treat nonthreatening conditions such as nausea. In both cases (vide infra), recent evidence suggests that untreated psychiatric disorders or nausea are associated with risk.

In 1987, the first selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), fluoxetine, was introduced in the United States. In the subsequent 26 years, the SSRIs have essentially replaced the TCAs in North America, much of Europe, and modern Asia as first- and second-line treatments for depression. Their widespread use for a variety of mood and anxiety disorders has been accompanied by significant utilization in the perinatal period. A review of health care data bases indicated that >6% of women were prescribed an antidepressant at some point during pregnancy (Andrade et al., 2008). The reproductive safety of the SSRIs has undergone considerable scrutiny utilizing a variety of methodologies, and unfortunately, only the studies demonstrating potential risk are highly publicized relative to studies reporting their apparent safety or lack of observed untoward effects.

B. Role of Serotonin in Development

“Teratogenic agents act in specific ways (mechanisms) on developing cells and tissues to initiate sequences of abnormal developmental events (pathogenesis).” —James G. Wilson, Environment and Birth Defects, 1973

The serotonin transporter is a sodium-serotonin symporter that transports serotonin from the extracellular

ABBREVIATIONS: Apgar, Appearance Pulse Grimace Activity Respiration; CNS, central nervous system; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; G, gestational day; HPA, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin); 5HTTLPR, serotonin-transporter-linked polymorphic; NRI, norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; PND, postnatal day; PPHN, persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant; SERT, serotonin transporter; SRI, serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitor.
Serotonin has diverse ontogeneological functions in utero to guide development. These functions should be considered in the context of laboratory and clinical evidence as a mechanistic approach to investigate the effects of in utero exposure to antidepressants. Serotonin increases neurite outgrowth ex vivo in mouse embryo thalamic neurons (Lotto et al., 1999). It is likely that the mechanism of this neurite extension is via serotonin-mediated stimulation of S100β release from astrocytes through 5-HT₁A agonism (Whitaker-Azmitia, 2001). Serotonin also plays an important role in axonal guidance, because disruption of serotonin availability in the forebrain can lead to abnormal thalamocortical axon trajectories (Bonnin et al., 2007, 2011). Additionally, a switch from a placental source of serotonin to an endogenous fetal source of serotonin occurs in the second trimester of mice (Bonnin et al., 2011). Therefore, disruption of serotonin signaling during these critical times of development may form the basis of any underlying long-term effects on the fetus.

Another possible mechanism of antidepressant-mediated effects in utero is through direct effects on the uterus and uterine blood flow. The 5-HT₂B receptor has been examined in human uterine smooth muscle cells and agonists increase phosphoinositide hydrolysis, which may lead to smooth muscle cell contraction (Kelly and Sharif, 2006). Serotonin produces relaxation of the porcine oviduct (Inoue et al., 2003) and inhibits myometrial contractility (Kitazawa et al., 1998), a finding somewhat at odds with the data above. These effects are antagonized by mianserin, a 5-HT receptor antagonist. Vedernikov et al. (2000) isolated uterine rings of Sprague-Dawley rats on gestational (G) days 14 and 21 and used these rings for isometric tension recording and direct stimulation of the uterine rings with serotonergic compounds. These studies and others would indicate that the serotonergic system has some role in uterine musculature. Not surprisingly, no effect was observed for direct application of fluoxetine, imipramine, nortriptyline; however, 5-HT itself also had no effect on uterine contractile activity on isolated uterine rings from rats. Ex vivo experimentation on human placentas revealed that a high concentration of doxepin, which possess both transporter antagonism as well as potent receptor antagonism at multiple targets (e.g., adrenergic, muscarinic, and histaminergic), decreases nonneuronal acetylcholine release. Although the clinical relevancy of the concentrations used are not clear, this has been speculated to be a possible mechanism for some clinical findings reporting low birth weight due to prenatal antidepressant exposure, because acetylcholine may control vascularization and alter energy availability to the fetus (Wessler et al., 2007). However, similar studies have not been performed using other antidepressants. In a prospective study of uterine and umbilical artery blood flow at 20 weeks gestation, there were no effects of maternal depression and SSRIIs on indices of uterine or umbilical artery blood flow. However, bupropion had a very modest effect on decreasing uterine blood flow (Monk et al., 2012).

Serotonin also plays a role in the cardiovascular system. Serotonin receptors 5-HT₁A, 5-HT₃, and 5-HT₇ have been shown to play a physiologic role in parasympathetic regulation of the cardiovascular system (Ramage and Villalón, 2008). Therefore, it has been posited that interference of this system in utero may disrupt normal development of the cardiovascular system. Sloot et al. (2009) treated whole-rat embryos in culture with 12 monoamine transporter inhibitors. The group found both cardiac defects and major malformations when treating these embryos. However, the lowest concentration of drug used was 0.3 μg/ml, which is approximately five to six times human exposure (Sloot et al., 2009). This article was disputed as not indicative of teratogenicity, because the concentrations used are much higher than clinically relevant serum concentrations, and it is unclear whether this is
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### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Controlled studies show no risk: Adequate, well-controlled studies in pregnant women have failed to demonstrate risk to the fetus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>No evidence of risk in humans: Either animal findings show risk, but human findings do not; or, if no adequate human studies have been done, animal findings are negative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Risk cannot be ruled out: Human studies are lacking, and animal studies are either positive for fetal risk or lacking as well. However, potential benefits may justify the potential risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Positive evidence of risk: Investigational or postmarketing data show risk to the fetus. Nevertheless, potential benefits may outweigh risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Contraindicated in pregnancy: Studies in animals or humans, or investigational or postmarketing reports, have shown fetal risk that clearly outweighs any possible benefit to the patient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Food and Drug Administration (2011).
a direct effect of the drug or via SERT antagonism (Brent, 2010; Scialli and Iannucci, 2010).

James Wilson (1973) has identified six principles of teratology, and if a xenobiotic is thought to be teratogenic, it should attempt to satisfy these criteria. One of these principles posits that a xenobiotic must act by a defined cellular mechanism to initiate abnormal developmental effects (Wilson, 1973). Current and future studies investigating in utero exposure of antidepressants must consider that if there is a teratogenic mechanism, presumably via increased synaptic 5-HT concentrations, it has not been thoroughly investigated or replicated.

II. Animal Exposure Studies

There are several requisite components in understanding the potential relevance of preclinical data for import into the clinical decision including: 1) comparison of developmental time points; 2) clinical relevance of exposure; and 3) outcomes of interest across species.

A. Translation of Development between Animals and Humans

Translating equivalent gestational time points of neurodevelopment across mammalian species is problematic because of a human fetus’s rapid neural development in gestation compared with other animals (Gottlieb et al., 1977; Clancy et al., 2001). For comparison of rats and humans, different morphologic characteristics can be compared but there is no numeric relationship that could easily compare rat development and human development (e.g., 30 human years ≈ 1 rat year), and to do so would oversimplify the field of embryology. However, brain growth velocity peaks at birth for humans, whereas for rats, growth rate peaks on postnatal day (PND) 8, with further spurts of growth postnatally. In contrast, multiple markers of neural development in the hippocampus suggest that prenatal and postnatal development have remarkably similar temporal patterns in rats and humans (Avishai-Eliner et al., 2002). Because no ontogeneological data are available on the development of the prenatal serotonin system in humans, for the purpose of this review, it is reasonable to consider the first few postnatal weeks in rodents to be similar to the very end of human gestation (reviewed in (Watson et al., 2006). However, the in utero environment is not present during the first postnatal week of a rodent’s life, and therefore, exposure studies are complicated by difficulties in maintaining clinically relevant exposure of the offspring to medications following birth. Therefore, this review will focus on in utero animal exposure as a translatable approach to present findings from animal studies using these compounds (Tables 2 and 3).

B. Exposure Studies

Studies in laboratory animals are vital to investigate the possible effects of prenatal antidepressant exposure. However, translatable exposure concentrations between animals and humans can be difficult attributable to differences in pharmacokinetics between species.

C. Tricyclic/Tetracyclic Antidepressant Animal Studies

The majority of TCA prenatal exposure studies in animals have relied upon single, daily administration of the compound or dissolving the compound in drinking water. To extrapolate animal data and apply it to the human population, exposure studies should rely on a clinically relevant dosing model that approximates human exposure. Pharmacokinetics dictates that there is a bolus effect after a single injection that may lead to suprapharmacological exposures and possible acute toxicity. Most xenobiotics are more quickly metabolized in laboratory animals compared with humans and dosing paradigms must attempt to maintain exposure throughout the dosing interval. Animal exposure studies investigating non–SSRI drugs have largely focused on imipramine, which is metabolized into desipramine. Desipramine and imipramine are norepinephrine transporter antagonists, although imipramine potently inhibits the serotonin transporter as well (Owens et al., 1997). Devane and Simpkins (1985) used a bolus injection of imipramine in pregnant rats to show imipramine and desipramine at higher concentrations in the fetal brain than the maternal serum, indicating, unsurprisingly, that these medications pass readily through the placenta and expose the offspring to a significant dose (DeVane and Simpkins, 1985). Because of the lipophilic nature of most psychotropic drugs, concentrations in brain tissue are frequently much higher than in serum or cerebrospinal fluid, but it is only drug in the brain extracellular fluid compartment that has access to its target and not that intercalated nonspecifically in lipid membranes, both of which are measured by studies utilizing “brain” concentration data. Nevertheless, studies measuring brain concentrations signify brain exposure. When administered intraperitoneally to a pregnant rat, a 30 mg/kg dose of imipramine on G18–19 resulted in ∼0.5 μg/ml in the dam plasma, ∼20 μg/ml in the maternal brain as well as a persistent (>5 μg/ml) concentration of its active metabolite desipramine for 18 hours (DeVane et al., 1984). A 10 mg/kg dose of imipramine or desipramine administered intramuscularly during the third trimester of pregnancy resulted in significant placental transfer with significant concentration in the fetal serum (2 μg/ml in fetal plasma, 1 μg/ml in maternal plasma for imipramine) (Douglas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg per day)</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Maternal Serum Monitored for Drug Conc.</th>
<th>5-HT</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Cardio</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLO, IPD, MNS</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G6–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>De Ceballos et al., 1985b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G2–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P60</td>
<td>Henderson et al., 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO, DSP, NOM</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G6–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>Montero et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G2–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P30, 60, 180</td>
<td>Henderson et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO, IPD, TNP</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G6–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>Montero et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G8–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P14, 30</td>
<td>Jason et al., 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>0, 5, 10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G8–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P1, 7</td>
<td>Ali et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>G0–P21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P290</td>
<td>Tonge, 1972, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>0, 5, 10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G8–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P4, 7</td>
<td>Harmon et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO, NOM, IPR, MNS</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G6–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>De Ceballos et al., 1985a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G8–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P20</td>
<td>Stewart et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G14–G19</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P21</td>
<td>Coyle, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>3, 10, 30</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G8–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P35</td>
<td>File and Tucker, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSP, MNS, VX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>1.25/5/10</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G8–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P23, 60</td>
<td>Cuomo et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G2–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P30</td>
<td>Henderson et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>G14–P2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P50, 110</td>
<td>Rodriguez et al., 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G21–P25</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P25, 80</td>
<td>Coyle and Singer, 1975a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>7.5, 15</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G8–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P32, P42</td>
<td>File and Tucker, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G14–G19</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P60</td>
<td>Coyle and Singer, 1975b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX, IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>Varied</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P15</td>
<td>Simpkins et al., 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Hamster</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G15</td>
<td>Beyer et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5, 15, 30</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G21–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ P1</td>
<td>Singer and Coyle, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G9–G11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ G21</td>
<td>Swerts et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G1–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P56, 90</td>
<td>Fuji, 1997; Fuji and Ohtaki, 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMI</td>
<td>Primate</td>
<td>20–244</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>Varied</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P15</td>
<td>Hendrickx, 1975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, Positive association; ø, null association; AMI, amitriptyline; CLO, clomipramine; DSP, deprovera; DOX, doxepin; DSP, desipramine; DW, drinking water; G, gestation day; IMI, imipramine; IP, intraperitoneal; IPD, iprindole; MNS, mianserin; NOM, nomifensine; OG, oral gavage; P, postnatal day; SC, subcutaneous; TNP, tianeptine; VX, viloxazine.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Maternal Serum</th>
<th>Drug Conc.</th>
<th>5-HT</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Cardio</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLX, FVA</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>0–4.2</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G8–G18</td>
<td>Placental transfer</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P20, P90</td>
<td>Noorlander et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC, FLX, PRX, SRT, VEN</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>3–80</td>
<td>OM</td>
<td>G12–G21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P30–P120</td>
<td>Capello et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIT</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>0–20</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G11–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P26</td>
<td>Simpson et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G13–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P26</td>
<td>Montero et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G13–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P1–P120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P18–P56</td>
<td>Cabrera-Vera et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIM</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G10–G20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P20–P90</td>
<td>Cabrera-Vera and Battaglia, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G8–G20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P20</td>
<td>Stewart et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>8, 12</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>G6–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P13–P90</td>
<td>Bairy et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIT</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>5, 10</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>G13–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P13–P90</td>
<td>Fornaro et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>OM</td>
<td>G1–G54</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P40–P70</td>
<td>Capigiano et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G0–P21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P1–P120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P40</td>
<td>Grimm and Frieder, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G0–P20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G128</td>
<td>Vartazarzian et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>G13–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G128</td>
<td>Lisboa et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>G120–G128</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G128</td>
<td>Gouvêa et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRX</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G0–P21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G128</td>
<td>Singh et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>G120–G128</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P13–P90</td>
<td>Morrison et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRX</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>G14–G16.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P13–P90</td>
<td>Christsen et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G11–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G128</td>
<td>da-Silva et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX, VEN</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>8–80</td>
<td>OG</td>
<td>G15–G20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G128</td>
<td>van den Hove et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>G120–G128</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G128</td>
<td>Periera et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>G0–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P13–P90</td>
<td>Swerts et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRX</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>G14–G21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P13–P90</td>
<td>Byrd and Markham, 1994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, Positive association; ø, null association; BF, breast feeding; CIT, citalopram; DW, drinking water; ESC, escitalopram; FLX, fluoxetine; FVA, fluvoxamine; G, gestation day; IV, intravenous; IP, intraperitoneal; OG, oral gavage; OM, subcutaneous osmotic minipump; P, postnatal day; SC, subcutaneous; SRT, sertraline; VEN, venlafaxine; ZIM, zimelidine.
and Hume, 1967; Hume and Douglas, 1968). These studies indicate significant placental transfer in rodents, similar to clinical data.

D. Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor Antidepressant Animal Studies

The majority of SSRI animal studies have used a model of daily injections subcutaneously or intraperitoneally to investigate the effects on the pups. As noted above, daily injections in rodents are problematic because of bolus effects that may lead to transient, possibly toxic, serum concentrations of the compound. In contrast, human exposure leads to lower $C_{\text{max}}$ and steadier serum concentrations of the compound compared with rodents (Fig. 1). Similar to TCA studies, some SSRI antidepressants have active metabolites with relatively long half-lives; this is particularly true for fluoxetine and the active metabolite norfluoxetine (half-life ~24 hours in rats), which shares nearly identical pharmacology with fluoxetine (Owens et al., 1997). Recently, animal exposure studies using SSRIs have begun to devise more steady exposure models and measure serum concentrations of compounds to quantify the level of exposure (Bourke et al., 2013a).

Studies quantifying exposure in animals are, unfortunately, uncommon. Continuous infusion of fluoxetine in sheep via maternal femoral vein catheter yielded concentrations in maternal and fetal serum of ~150 and ~60 ng/ml, respectively; no measurements of norfluoxetine were obtained (Morrison et al., 2004). Similar results were found in mice: placental transfer of fluoxetine was 69%, whereas human placental transfer is 73% (Noorlander et al., 2008). Placental transfer comparing rodents and humans is also reported for fluvoxamine (30% in mice, 35% in humans). Comparing maternal serum concentrations and amniotic fluid concentrations, our group observed variable placental transfer depending on the antidepressant used (Capello et al., 2011).

Only recently has the degree of central nervous system (CNS) exposure been quantified in response to these data on placental transfer (Fig. 2). Although we observed variable placental transfer, fetal CNS exposure is similar to that of the pregnant dam (Capello et al., 2011). Direct measurement of monoamine

![Fig. 1. Comparison of human and rat pharmacokinetics of the antidepressant escitalopram during pregnancy. Based on the published half-life of escitalopram and observed peak and trough serum concentrations observed in humans and rats. Theoretical serum sampling of escitalopram after single injections (10 mg/kg free base) during pregnancy in rats would yield a peak bolus concentration of approximately 300 ng/ml followed by rapid clearance. The clinically observed range of escitalopram in human women with no attention paid to time of sampling reveals peak serum concentrations of ~65 ng/ml and trough serum concentrations of ~17 ng/ml.](image-url)
transporter occupancy in human infants would be limited to postabortion tissue, and radioligand studies (e.g., PET) are not feasible in the intact fetus or neonate. Whether whole-blood serotonin concentrations are a viable proxy is unknown. Our group has quantified SERT occupancy in rat pups after continuous prenatal exposure to SRIs. Osmotic minipumps were used to administer steady serum concentrations similar to human exposure, and this resulted in approximately 80–95% occupancy of the serotonin transporter in embryonic day 21 pups (the end of gestation). This is essentially the same SERT occupancy in embryonic day 21 pups and their dams. Postnatally, SERT occupancy rapidly dropped after parturition as predicted from the pharmacokinetics of the drugs in rodents. Exposure via breast milk resulted in measurable but generally low SERT occupancy (Capello et al., 2011). This was demonstrated independently for escitalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, and venlafaxine (Fig. 2). These data indicate that CNS exposure in utero to SRIs is similar between dams and their fetuses. In contrast, the postnatal only exposure via lactation did not result in SERT occupancy at the level observed therapeutically in humans. The presence of SERT occupancy in the pups exposed only via lactation is measurable even if serum drug concentrations are below the limit of detection. These data would suggest CNS exposure in humans occurs and that the undetectable levels for most antidepressants in human nursing infants may still be associated with some degree of CNS exposure. The magnitude of this exposure and its physiologic relevance are not known but are much less than that targeted for therapeutic activity in adults.

E. Growth, Developmental, Gross Anatomical, and Physiological Outcomes

Gross anatomic differences due to prenatal NRI exposure have been investigated in animal models. Changes in birth weight or growth attributed to prenatal NRI exposure have been reported in the clinical literature. Animal studies show conflicting results; some report no changes in pup weight or litter size (Rodríguez Echandía and Broitman, 1983; De Ceballos et al., 1985b; Harmon et al., 1986; Stewart et al., 1998), an increase in fetal and neonatal weights (Cuomo et al., 1984; Swerts et al., 2010), or reduced birth weight in pups and reduced

---

**Fig. 2.** Representative autoradiographs of in utero exposure to SRIs. Representative autoradiographs of escitalopram (ESCIT), fluoxetine (fluoxetine), paroxetine (PAROX), sertraline (SRT), and venlafaxine (VEN), including representative pregnant dams exposed to VEN during pregnancy. Each treatment group had its own vehicle run in the same assay, but one series is shown for reference. Total binding representative images are displayed for comparison. Dense patches of total binding in the somatosensory cortex are consistent with previous studies investigating SERT binding during the early postnatal period (D’Amato et al., 1987; Kelly et al., 2002). PNC: postnatal clearance. Adapted from Capello et al. (2011).
litter size (Singer and Coyle, 1973; Jason et al., 1981; Simpkins et al., 1985; Henderson and McMillen, 1990). Congenital malformations have also been investigated in prenatal exposure animal studies, showing a hint of encephalocele in Golden hamsters prenatally exposed to a single suprapharmacological (70.3 mg/kg) dose of amitriptyline (Beyer et al., 1984). Another study investigating teratological outcomes observed no differences due to prenatal exposure to imipramine in Bonnet or Rhesus primates at a dose of 244 mg/kg per day (Hendrickx, 1975). An important aspect of the thalidomide tragedy was the choice of the test species; rats and mice were not susceptible to the teratogenic effects, whereas rabbits and nonhuman primates were susceptible (Delahunt and Lassen, 1964; Fratta et al., 1965; Schumacher et al., 1968). Prenatal NRI exposure studies investigated several test species without showing any reproducible teratogenicity.

The noradrenergic system participates in thermoregulation and, therefore, has been examined in the context of prenatal NRI exposure (Mills et al., 2004). Adult male rat offspring prenatally exposed to imipramine displayed a baseline hyperthermic (difference of 1.31°C from controls) body temperature (Fujii and Ohtaki, 1985). Additionally, prenatal exposure resulted in a hyperthermic reaction to chlorpromazine (control rats were hypothermic in response to chlorpromazine), and this effect was observed on PND57 and persisted through PND90 (Fujii and Ohtaki, 1985; Fujii, 1997). Female control and females prenatally exposed to imipramine had a hypothermic response similar to male controls, indicating a sex-specific difference in thermoregulation in response to prenatal exposure.

Cardiovascular function is strongly regulated by norepinephrine (Singewald and Philippu, 1996), and some have hypothesized that disturbances in catecholamine homeostasis due to prenatal antidepressant exposure may alter cardiovascular function in the offspring. Prenatal exposure to 10 mg/kg imipramine from G8 to G20 has been shown to reduce heart weight in neonatal rats (Harmon et al., 1986). Another study examining prenatal doxepin or imipramine exposure found no differences measured in systolic blood pressure, but early prenatal exposure to doxepin increased offspring heart rate measured between PND35 and 70. Testing of aortal tissue in vitro revealed that third trimester exposure to doxepin or imipramine increased isoproterenol-induced relaxation of aortic tissue, but direct measurement of β-adrenergic receptor function or binding density was not undertaken (Simpkins et al., 1985). These studies demonstrate a role of prenatal antidepressant (TCA) exposure in the development of the cardiovascular system, whereby changes in the prenatal environment may cause long-term cardiovascular alterations; the physiologic significance is unclear.

Morrison and colleagues (2001) used Dorset Suffolk sheep and continuous infusion of fluoxetine to examine sleep endpoints. During the last trimester, a bolus injection of fluoxetine was administered followed by 8 days of continuous intravenous infusion. The measured plasma concentration of fluoxetine in the ewe was 106 ng/ml, whereas the fetal fluoxetine concentration was 58 ng/ml, showing substantial fetal transfer as expected. Fluoxetine infusion disrupted fetal sleep measured by low-voltage and high-voltage electrocortical activity (Morrison et al., 2001). A follow-up study showed no alterations in fetal plasma melatonin or prolactin during the infusion. No differences were observed in fetal behavioral state, fetal arterial pressure, heart rate, breathing, or circadian rhythm (Morrison et al., 2005).

The clinical literature has, for the most part, focused on gross indices of development such as weight, growth, or fetal mortality. Several animal studies examined these properties in the context of prenatal SSRI exposure with conflicting results. Impaired weight gain, low birth weight, or small litter size after prenatal SSRI exposure has been reported in several studies (da-Silva et al., 1999; Bairy et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2007; Cagiano et al., 2008; Favaro et al., 2008; Forcelli and Heinrichs, 2008; Noorlander et al., 2008; Van den Hove et al., 2008; Bauer et al., 2010), whereas other studies observe no differences in weight gain or birth weight (Byrd and Markham, 1994; Stewart et al., 1998; Vartazarmian et al., 2005; Lisboa et al., 2007). Congenital malformations are reported in the clinical literature but have only been reported in the animal literature by extracting and exposing mouse embryos in vitro to 20 times the clinically observed serum concentration of sertraline for 48 hours (Shuey et al., 1992). Current studies do not support a role of clinically relevant exposure to SSRIs as a cause of congenital malformations or overt, long-term developmental consequences.

These data are consistent with the human literature suggesting alterations in sleep architecture, although the effects appear to be time limited and not associated with persistent alterations. The pup outcome data, like the human data, are also discordant. However, low birth weight in several studies is consistent with several of the clinical reports. The congenital malformation data are difficult to interpret given the relatively massive exposure that would be more consistent with daily overdose in a clinical population. Notably, the reported defects are not consistent with the purported cardiovascular defects in the human literature (see below and reviewed later).

**F. Cardiovascular Outcomes**

Chambers et al. (2006) reported that some children exposed prenatally to SSRIs after 20 weeks gestation...
displayed persistent pulmonary hypertension. Only two animal studies have tried to mechanistically determine the effects of prenatal antidepressant exposure on cardiovascular and pulmonary endpoints. Mice prenatally exposed to fluoxetine exhibited pulmonary hypertension as measured by increased right ventricle: left ventricle + septum ratio or pulmonary arterial medial thickness. Functionally, pulmonary arterial smooth muscle response to serotonin was significantly reduced. The lung concentration of serotonin was unaltered by prenatal exposure, but placental serotonin content was significantly reduced; the role of placental serotonin on cardiovascular development is unclear. Fetal mortality was increased in the first 3 days of life following fluoxetine exposure (0% in controls compared with 15% in fluoxetine-exposed pups). Newborn arterial oxygen saturation was lower in fluoxetine-exposed pups, but this normalized by the 3rd day of life. These findings were noted to be strongly indicative of pulmonary hypertension (Fornaro et al., 2007). Noorlander et al. (2008) also reported that mice prenatally exposed to fluoxetine manifested decreased left ventricle wall thickness, a measure of dilated cardiomyopathy, on PND20 and 90. These findings provide some concordant data regarding persistent pulmonary hypertension reported in the clinical population.

The issue of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN) is of serious concern and, thankfully, a relatively rare clinical condition affecting 1:1000. The limited preclinical data do suggest that more subtle changes in the cardiovascular/pulmonary systems (e.g., nonteratogenic) may be a consequence of prenatal SSRI exposure as noted in a recent review (Occhiogrosso et al., 2012).

**G. In Utero Exposure and Effects on Monoaminergic Function: Molecular and Biochemical Outcomes**

Initial radioligand binding and catecholamine detection studies of prenatal antidepressant exposure investigated catecholamine function in the context of receptor binding, affinity, and catecholamine concentrations. Several studies have shown reduced [3H]imipramine (used as a marker for the SERT), β-adrenergic, and dopamine receptor (D2) binding after prenatal NRI exposure (Jason et al., 1981; De Ceballos et al., 1985a; Ali et al., 1986; Montero et al., 1990), but other studies observed no changes in D1 or D2 receptor binding (Henderson et al., 1990; Stewart et al., 1998), adrenergic receptor binding (Harmon et al., 1986; Henderson et al., 1991), or 5-HT2 receptor binding (Henderson et al., 1991). These measures in offspring were conducted during the late postnatal period, up to PND25, and therefore may not be long-term alterations persisting into adulthood but may still be important for normal development. Dopamine affinity for dopamine receptors was reported to be increased by prenatal NRI exposure (De Ceballos et al., 1985a); however, a mechanistic explanation on how this (a change in affinity) is physically accomplished is unclear. Norepinephrine and dopamine concentrations in several brain areas in adolescent and adult male rats and norepinephrine turnover were shown to be unaffected by prenatal exposure to imipramine (Tonge, 1972, 1973; Ali et al., 1986), although another study reported reduced hypothalamic dopamine concentrations on PND30 (Jason et al., 1981). NRIs typically have weak activity for the serotonin transporter. 5-HT1B receptors have been shown to be unaffected by prenatal clomipramine exposure (Montero et al., 1991) but 5-HT and its metabolite, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid, were reduced in the striatum on PND60 following prenatal amitriptyline exposure (Henderson and McMillen, 1993); amitriptyline has SERT and NET antagonism properties, and its metabolite, nortriptyline, is a potent NET antagonist. These studies indicate some effects of prenatal NRIs on central adrenergic function, but the physiologic significance is unclear.

Two studies investigating the serotonergic system after prenatal fluoxetine exposure have been conducted by Battaglia and colleagues (Cabrera-Vera and Battaglia, 1998). Prenatal exposure via maternal fluoxetine injection resulted in an increased density of [3H]citalopram-labeled SERT sites in the CA2 (+47%) and CA3 (+38%) areas of the hippocampus, as well as the basolateral (+32%) and medial (+44%) amygdaloid nuclei in prepubescent progeny. In the diencephalon, the lateral hypothalamus displayed an increased SERT density (+21%) in prepubescent progeny. In contrast, the density of 5-HT transporters was significantly decreased in the dorsomedial nucleus of the hypothalamus (−21%) and in the substantia nigra (−19%) in prepubescent progeny. At PND90, there were no significant differences between control and fluoxetine animals (Cabrera-Vera and Battaglia, 1998). This model also resulted in 28% reduction in 5-HT content in the frontal cortex of prepubescent offspring and the midbrain of adult progeny as well as attenuated p-chloroamphetamine-induced reduction in midbrain 5-HT content (Cabrera-Vera et al., 1997). The physiologic significance of these changes is unknown.

After prenatal fluoxetine exposure, rats exhibited a lower Bmax of [3H]imipramine binding sites (predominantly SERT) in the cerebral cortex up to PND25 compared with controls, indicative of a reduction in SERT expression and opposite of that reported by Cabrera-Vera and Battaglia (1998) and Montero et al. (1990). Pregnant rats exposed to a steady concentration of 10 mg/kg per day of fluoxetine from osmotic minipumps resulted in decreased cell count in the nucleus accumbens and decreased SERT
immunoreactivity in the raphe on PND120 (Forcelli and Heinrichs, 2008). The serum concentrations of fluoxetine and norfluoxetine were not determined in this study, but our experience suggests that this may be a clinically relevant exposure. A similar study examining prenatal fluvoxamine exposure reported decreased SERT immunoreactivity in the raphe on PND20 and 90 (Noorlander et al., 2008). Only one study has investigated nonserotonergic receptors and reported no changes in D1 or D2 receptor binding in the striatum on PND20 after prenatal fluoxetine exposure (Stewart et al., 1998). Other non-CNS studies of monoamine systems have shown that vas deferens tissue from PND30 rats exhibited decreased affinity for 5-HT, norepinephrine, and phenylephrine using a bioassay, but as noted earlier, changes in affinity are difficult to reconcile mechanistically (Pereira et al., 2007). Prenatal fluoxetine exposure seems to selectively affect the serotonergic system but not other monoaminergic systems. In the absence of other data, this is likely true for all of the SSRIIs as they generally share a very similar pharmacology.

The known serotonergic regulation of the HPA axis (Owens et al., 1991a,b) led Morrison and colleagues (2004) to investigate pituitary and adrenal output in the context of prenatal fluoxetine exposure. During continuous infusion of fluoxetine to pregnant sheep, on certain days, fluoxetine decreased plasma ACTH concentrations compared with preinfusion day but not compared with control in the pregnant sheep. In the fetus, basal plasma ACTH concentrations were increased on G127 compared with preinfusion day. Cortisol was unaffected in the ewes, but on G127 and 128, fetal cortisol in fluoxetine-exposed animals was significantly elevated compared with controls (Morrison et al., 2004). Further studies of the HPA axis may help elucidate the function of the developing serotonin system on the fetal HPA axis.

Serotonin's role in early development has been explored using prenatal citalopram exposure. Simpson and colleagues (2011) examined prenatal and postnatal exposures to citalopram and its possible effects on cortical network functioning in rats. A comparison of gestational citalopram exposure and postnatal (PND8–21) exposure showed disruptive behavioral effects of postnatal exposure only. Additionally, abnormal callosal connectivity was observed in postnatally exposed animals (Simpson et al., 2011). This study describes an exquisitely regulated developmental system with serotonin at the center. However, because the effects were mostly ascribed to the postnatal exposure period and two daily 10 mg/kg bolus injections were used for citalopram exposure, direct translation to prenatal antidepressant exposure is uncertain as is the overall clinical relevance.

Our group recently conducted studies on the long-term effects of prenatal antidepressant and/or stress exposure in rats. After steady exposure to escitalopram throughout pregnancy and/or chronic variable stress exposure, the offspring were analyzed in adulthood. Adult male rats had no significant changes in gene expression using a microarray and real-time PCR in the hippocampus, hypothalamus, or amygdala due to prenatal stress and/or escitalopram exposure. Behavior was also unaffected by prenatal treatments. After stimulation of the HPA axis with an acute air puff startle, plasma ACTH and cortisol concentrations were not physiologically affected by prenatal stress and/or escitalopram exposure (Bourke et al., 2013b).

These data, similar to the human data, indicate that antidepressant exposure in pregnancy can influence some measures of monoaminergic function and, albeit inconsistent between the preclinical and clinical data, some degree of effects on measures of neuroendocrine function.

H. Behavioral Outcomes

Disrupted behavior in laboratory animals may indicate a phenotypic difference due to prenatal antidepressant exposure. Several groups have investigated exploratory behaviors and social interaction after prenatal NRI exposure. The open-field test for rodents is used to measure exploratory behavior in a novel environment (possible inquisitive/anxiety-like behavior), and several groups have reported that prenatal NRI exposure decreased rearing behavior in adolescence (Coyle, 1975; File and Tucker, 1983; Drago et al., 1985). Prenatal NRI exposure also decreased exploration in adolescence and adulthood in male rats (Rodríguez Echandía and Broitman, 1983). Additionally, prenatal and prenatal + lactational NRI exposure to 3 mg/kg chloroimipramine delivered via tap water produced similar effects while lactation alone did not, indicating that exposure via lactation as predicted from earlier studies of quantifying exposure may not produce any behavioral effects (Rodríguez Echandía and Broitman, 1983). Measures of social interaction were shown to be increased in adolescence due to prenatal NRI exposure (File and Tucker, 1983, 1984) in male and female rats. However, other groups report the opposite: prenatal NRI exposure decreased social interaction in adolescence and adulthood in male rats (Coyle and Singer, 1975b; Rodríguez Echandía and Broitman, 1983).

The antidepressant neonatal syndrome was first reported in 1973 (Webster, 1973) with imipramine, and more recently, it has garnered considerable attention (Moses-Kolko et al., 2005). Clinically, the description has consistently included jitteriness and twitching. Although we do not believe that this is the classic “serotonin syndrome,” it may be indicative of alterations in the 5-HT system. Animal studies have recapitulated the classic “serotonin syndrome” through...
postnatal coadministration of clorgyline, a monoamine oxidase inhibitor, followed by administration of 5-hydroxytryptophan, the immediate precursor of serotonin. De Ceballos et al. (1985b) explored this in rats as a measure of the involvement of the developing serotonin system. Prenatal chloroimipramine, a potent SRI with some NRI activity, prevented drug-induced serotonin syndrome-like behaviors such as head twitches and a resting tremor. However, the 5-HT₂ antagonists, iprindole or mianserin, both potentiated animal measures of a serotonin syndrome. Nomifensine, an NRI/dopamine reuptake inhibitor, had no effect on the behavioral characteristics of the serotonin syndrome. Although the conflicting effects seen in this study preclude a definitive interpretation, there does seem to be a serotonergic influence on postnatal jitteriness observed in laboratory animal infants prenatally exposed to antidepressants.

Measures of learning, the startle response, and hyperactivity have also been investigated in the context of prenatal NRI exposure. Only one study has examined prenatal NRI exposure in swim tests used to assess cognitive function on PND60 and PND125 but did not find any association between prenatal imipramine exposure and the cognitive outcomes in a Henderson-type maze (Coyle and Singer, 1975a). The acoustic startle response, which measures the startle reflex after a loud acoustic tone, has been shown to be mediated in part by the noradrenergic system (Olson et al., 2011). Prenatal NRI exposure has been shown to decrease the acoustic startle response in PND18 male rats (Ali et al., 1986) and adolescent (PND42–47) male rats (File and Tucker, 1984), although a dose-response relationship was not observed in either study. Haloperidol-induced catalepsy was unaltered by prenatal amitriptyline exposure in adolescent or adult males (Henderson and McMillen, 1993). Locomotion has been shown to be increased with prenatal NRI but not prenatal SRI exposure in male and female adolescent and adult rats (Cuomo et al., 1984) and adolescent male rats (Henderson and McMillen, 1990). However, when challenged with amphetamine, imipramine-exposed rats did not display any changes in locomotion compared with unexposed rats and completed negative geotaxis faster than control groups (Ali et al., 1986). Prenatal desipramine also did not produce any differences in quinpirole-induced stereotypy or locomotion (Stewart et al., 1998). Behavioral studies investigating prenatal NRI exposure are inconsistent, and although some groups have found behavioral differences, several endpoints have a null result or other studies conflict with positive associations with prenatal NRI exposure. Moreover, mechanistic explanations for many of the reported findings are lacking.

Studies investigating anxiety-like or depressive-like behavior have been performed in animals to elucidate any effects due to prenatal SRI exposure. Mice exposed prenatally to fluoxetine exhibited increases in anxiety-like behavior in adolescence and adulthood in the elevated plus maze, open-field test, and novelty suppressed feeding test (Noorlander et al., 2008). Others have reported increased immobility in the forced swim test for females in adolescence and adulthood (Lisboa et al., 2007). However, others report no differences in anxiety- or depressive-like behaviors (Coleman et al., 1999; Hsiao et al., 2005; Lisboa et al., 2007; Favaro et al., 2008). Ultrasonic vocalizations during separation or behavioral testing were increased in pups prenatally exposed to fluoxetine or paroxetine (Coleman et al., 1999; Cagiano et al., 2008). Prenatal exposure to the atypical antidepressant bupropion produced a decrease in rearing and ambulatory activity in the open-field test in adult male mice, although the doses used have not been commented upon as clinically relevant (Hsiao et al., 2005; Su et al., 2007). Alterations in locomotion may be a potential confound in behavioral tests, and false-positives in anxiety-like behavior, for example, may be attributed to altered locomotion. Studies have shown that prenatal fluoxetine exposure increases activity and improves motor coordination in the rotorod test (Bairy et al., 2007). A similar finding was reported with prenatal bupropion exposure (Su et al., 2007). In contrast, Coleman et al. (1999) and Stewart et al. (1998) observed no changes in baseline locomotion or quinpirole-induced stereotypy attributable to prenatal SSRI exposure.

Behavioral testing of learning and memory has been conducted in animals prenatally exposed to SSRIs. The Morris water maze and passive avoidance tests have been used to assess learning and memory in rodents. Prenatal exposure to fluoxetine resulted in an increase in learning in male and female adolescent rats (Bairy et al., 2007) but others have reported no differences due to prenatal SSRI exposure in the same tests (Grimm and Frieder, 1987; Christensen et al., 2000; Cagiano et al., 2008). Therefore, in these limited studies that do not attempt to use clinically relevant dosing, there does not seem to be a consistent role of prenatal SSRI exposure in the development of learning and memory skills.

Indices of social interaction have been investigated in animal studies of prenatal SSRI exposure. Prenatal fluoxetine exposure produced impaired sexual motivation in adult mice measured by a null difference in preference for social contact with a male versus a female (Gouvêa et al., 2008). Aggressive behavior and foot shock-induced aggressive behavior have been shown to increase following prenatal SSRI exposure (Singh et al., 1998; Coleman et al., 1999). Several studies report no changes in social interaction or sexual behavior (Lisboa et al., 2007; Cagiano et al., 2008).
Several other indices of behavior have been investigated in the context of prenatal SSRI exposure. The acoustic startle response, a test of the fear-induced startle response (Koch, 1999), and prepulse inhibition, a test of sensorimotor gating, typically used to test animal models of schizophrenia and/or antipsychotic drug efficacy (Geyer et al., 2002), were examined by Vartazarmian et al. (2005) in guinea pigs prenatally exposed to fluoxetine. Prepulse inhibition was increased in both males and females after prenatal fluoxetine exposure, but the acoustic startle response was unchanged (Vartazarmian et al., 2005). Pain sensitivity has also been investigated after prenatal SSRI exposure, with one study showing an increase in pain threshold assessed by the hot plate test in adult guinea pigs after prenatal fluoxetine exposure via osmotic minipumps (7 mg/kg per day) (Vartazarmian et al., 2005); however, another study showed no changes in pain sensitivity in mice prenatally exposed to fluoxetine (7.5 mg/kg per day via oral gavage) (Lisboa et al., 2007). Forecelli and Heinrichs (2008) examined drug-seeking behavior after prenatal fluoxetine exposure using a steady exposure model via osmotic minipump implantation on G14 in the dam. Prenatal fluoxetine increased cocaine-induced place conditioning at PND60. Prenatal fluoxetine additionally increased the nose-poke response rate during the extinction phase of cocaine self-administration behavior on PND90, indicating an increase in the conditioned reinforcing effects of cocaine (Forecelli and Heinrichs, 2008). Others observed no changes in cocaine-induced place conditioning attributable to prenatal SSRI exposure (Hsiao et al., 2005). As described above, many behavioral studies examining the effects of prenatal SSRI exposure are often contradictory and a reproducible endpoint has not been identified as indicative of behavioral teratogenicity.

Concise ontogeneological data on human serotonergic function in the CNS is lacking, and definitive mapping of in utero exposure and postnatal exposures onto human fetal/infant serotonergic development is not possible at present (Avishai-Eliner et al., 2002). Importantly, the distribution of serotonergic neurons in rats is similar on G19 to adult groupings (Lidov and Molliver, 1982). Gingrich and colleagues investigated the use of serotonin reuptake inhibitors during postnatal development and find significant, long-term behavioral alterations in mice (Ansorge et al., 2004, 2008). Importantly, these studies employ a dosing regimen (i.e., daily administration) during the postnatal period (PND4–21) that may not adequately recapitulate human in utero exposure. To their credit, the dosing regimens used by Gingrich and colleagues postnatally lead to clinically relevant levels of SERT transporter occupancy, and drug exposure is maintained throughout their studies. However, the use of postnatal dosing may not be fully relevant to humans because, after birth, exposure in humans via lactation is low and infants are unlikely to receive any type of therapeutic dose of antidepressants for medical reasons for the first few years of life. Additionally, daily dosing does result in transient peaks that can be suprapharmacological, possibly toxic, and may be partially responsible for their observations.

### III. Human Exposure Studies

Postmarketing surveillance and retrospective human studies examining the effects on the fetus and/or offspring of prenatal antidepressant exposure are the most common methods to assess possible adverse effects of perinatal exposure. Similarly, case reports and case series provide initial avenues for re-examination of existing data sets and future investigations. These data should contribute to delineation of potential mechanisms that can subsequently be tested in preclinical and clinical investigations with appropriate controls.

#### A. Defining the Clinical Problem

Pregnancy expands a woman’s health considerations beyond herself to include her unborn child. In the case of a woman diagnosed with major depressive disorder, which has been reported to affect up to 10–20% of pregnant women (Gavin et al., 2005), the deleterious effects of untreated depression on the offspring can be profound and long lasting. Although the literature is replete with studies suggesting adverse effects, it is noteworthy that the definition of maternal depression has varied significantly across the investigations. Maternal depression and stress are often considered synonymous, making it difficult to delineate the impact, if any, of depressive symptoms from a clinical diagnosis of major depression. Depression during pregnancy has been associated with poor maternal health behaviors, including tobacco use, alcohol and drug use, and poor compliance with prenatal care (Zuckerman et al., 1989). Maternal depressive symptoms during pregnancy are associated with a higher risk of low birth weight and preterm delivery (Steer et al., 1992; Orr and Miller, 1995; Halbreich, 2005; Dunkel Schetter and Tanner, 2012). Behaviorally, neonates (8–72 hours postnatal) of depressed mothers are more inconsolable and cry excessively as assessed by the Neurologic and Adaptive Capacity Scale (Zuckerman et al., 1990). Children also exhibit increased internalizing behavior such as emotional reactivity, depression, anxiety, irritability, and withdrawal (Misri et al., 2006; Tronick and Reck, 2009). In a detailed prospective investigation conducted at 6 months postpartum, salivary cortisol reactivity was elevated in infants born to mothers with a history of major depression during pregnancy (Brennan et al., 2008; Field, 2011). Other groups have also demonstrated...
HPA axis abnormalities associated with maternal depression (Field, 2011). Additionally, mothers with a history of an affective disorder have a 2.5- to 5-fold increased risk of giving birth to a child with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (Figueroa, 2010). Pregnant women who experienced a significant psychosocial stress exposure during pregnancy are reported to have an increased risk of giving birth to children later diagnosed with schizophrenia or shortened leukocyte telomere length (Khashan et al., 2008; Entringer et al., 2011). Stress during pregnancy has also been associated with an increased risk of developing an autism spectrum disorder in the offspring (Kinney et al., 2008). Human studies have shown that maternal depression, anxiety, and stress during pregnancy are associated with a greater risk of poor maternal health behaviors, obstetrical complications, offspring with HPA axis abnormalities, and neonatal and later behavioral aberrations. These data underscore the potential risks of untreated maternal symptoms during pregnancy, although the severity of symptoms warranting intervention remains obscure.

Preclinical studies typically use stress paradigms to model the potential impact of maternal depression during the perinatal period (see Newport et al., 2001 for review). Rodent studies utilizing prenatal stress exposures to the pregnant dam show disruption of stress responsivity in the offspring when examined at postnatal day 70 (Mueller and Bale, 2008). Chronic stress during pregnancy alters expression of key regulators and mediators of the stress pathway in the offspring, including decreased protein expression of the mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptor in the hippocampus and prolonged increases in serum corticosterone concentrations in response to a stressor suggestive of impaired negative feedback (Maccari and Morley-Fletcher, 2007). Disruptions due to prenatal stress have been shown to persist via epigenetic miRNA mechanisms to offspring, and these may be passed on to subsequent generations (Darnaudery and Maccari, 2008; Dunn et al., 2011; Morgan and Bale, 2011).

Defining comparable stressor paradigms in preclinical models that appropriately reflect the clinical scenario of maternal depression is difficult at best. The key intersection might be summarized as “significant perinatal stress is associated with potentially quantifiable long lasting changes that may influence the developmental trajectory of the offspring.” Consistent with this area of concern is the American Congress of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) practice bulletin for psychotropic medications in pregnancy citing evidence that untreated maternal depression may pose significant risks (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2007; ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins–Obstetrics, 2008). Very recently, adult offspring (18 years of age) were at a significantly greater risk of having a diagnosis of depression if their mothers had depression during the pregnancy for that child (Pearson et al., 2013).

The use of antidepressants in the perinatal period appears to be increasing. For example, in the Netherlands, based on patient health records, 2% of all pregnant women were prescribed antidepressants (SSRIs or TCAs) during the 1st trimester of pregnancy (Ververs et al., 2006). In the United States of America, the number of women filling antidepressant prescriptions during pregnancy is as high as 8.7% (Cooper et al., 2007). The National Birth Defects Prevention Study in the United States has also observed that antidepressant use during pregnancy has increased 300% from 1998 to 2005 (Alwan et al., 2011). Given the increased use of antidepressants during pregnancy (Fig. 3), there is a great need for more rigorous studies that control for the potential confounds of other exposures and maternal symptoms to delineate potential adverse effects, if any, on the child. At present, a lack of clear, long-term consequences that coincide in preclinical and clinical studies should be acknowledged before a treatment decision is made and further argues for additional studies. Care

Fig. 3. Use of antidepressants during pregnancy: 1996–2005. The line with diamonds indicates any antidepressant use, the line with squares indicates SSRI use, the line with triangles indicates tricyclic antidepressant use, the line with crosses indicates tetracyclic antidepressant use, the line with asterisks indicates monoamine oxidase inhibitor use, and the line with circles indicates other miscellaneous antidepressant use. Less than 0.1% of pregnant women were exposed to tetracyclic antidepressants and MAO inhibitors. The pregnancy period is considered to be the period from 1 to 270 days before delivery, with 39-day trimesters: first trimester incorporates the period from 181 to 270 days before delivery; second trimester incorporates the period from 91 to 180 days before delivery; third trimester incorporates the period from 1 to 90 days before delivery. Data for 2005 are not available for 1 of the 7 sites included in the analyses. Antidepressant use in the seven health plans for the period 1996–2000 were calculated using data from an earlier CERT study by Andrade et al. (2008) that evaluated medication use during pregnancy using similar methods (definitions and measures) as the present study. Adapted from Andrade et al. (2008).
providers need to be aware of the baseline percentage of untoward outcomes that naturally occur rather than attribute any untoward effects to prenatal medication exposure (Kaye and Weinstein, 2005).

Although increased usage during pregnancy is occurring, discontinuation of antidepressant treatment during pregnancy is also increasing, and antidepressant use decreases over each trimester (Ververs et al., 2006; Alwan et al., 2011). Although many medications may be used transiently, affective disorders belong to a class of complex diseases that typically are best controlled by continuous treatment (pharmacotherapy or proven psychotherapy techniques) (Kupfer et al., 2012). Pregnancy itself is a major determinant of antidepressant treatment discontinuation, and confirmation of pregnancy is associated with a 1.8- to 3.5-fold increase in antidepressant discontinuation, leading to a steady decline in antidepressant use over the course of pregnancy (Ramos et al., 2007; Bennett et al., 2010; Petersen et al., 2011).

The efficacy of the newer antidepressant medications in the treatment of major depression in non-pregnant populations has undergone increasing scrutiny relative to the treatment effects compared with placebo over the past decade (Thase, 2008). This raises important issues with respect to the potential use of antidepressants during pregnancy: are they efficacious in the treatment of depression during pregnancy? There are no randomized clinical trials of antidepressants during pregnancy despite agreement that such trials are warranted and satisfy ethical critique (Coverdale et al., 2008; Howland, 2013). As such, the only insights into the expected benefits of antidepressant treatment, defined as a reduction in depressive symptoms, are obtained from the studies of depression recurrence across pregnancy. A high relapse rate was observed in a prospective study in women who discontinued antidepressants (Fig. 4) and suggests efficacy in reducing risk for recurrence (Cohen et al., 2006). However, this was not confirmed in a community-derived sample (Yonkers et al., 2011). Unfortunately, neither study commented on whether reintroduction of antidepressant medication ameliorated symptoms. It is noteworthy, that in the Cohen study, the recurrence rate in women continuing antidepressants during pregnancy exceeded 25% over a 40-week period. This recurrence rate is higher than typically reported in nonpregnant samples. This lack of sustained efficacy may be attributable to changes in plasma concentrations of antidepressants across pregnancy (Sit et al., 2008). It is also plausible that pregnancy represents a unique medical condition in which antidepressants are less effective over time as reported in patients with diabetes. In the absence of randomized clinical trials, the efficacy of antidepressants in the short-term treatment of depressive episodes during pregnancy is unknown, although there is evidence supporting a decreased risk for recurrence in clinical populations.

The limited studies and apparent contradictory results raise questions with respect to the historical premise that pregnancy protects the mother from the psychiatric disorders (Zajicek, 1981; Kendell et al., 1987). Proper counseling by providing balanced information is vital to making an informed decision about continuation and/or initiation of antidepressant treatment through pregnancy. The FDA safety classifications for pregnancy are shown in Table 1. The majority of antidepressants are currently category C; although there are animal studies indicating an adverse effect on the fetus, there are no adequate and well-controlled human studies, and the drug can be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus (Fig. 5). Paroxetine and the TCAs are rated category D; there are adequate and well-controlled human studies indicating a risk to the fetus, but the use of the drug in the pregnant woman may be acceptable despite the risk (Food and Drug Administration, 2011). Overall, the FDA recommends antidepressant use in pregnancy based on a risk-benefit decision on a case-by-case basis.

Investigators in the MotheRisk study in Toronto have commented on the absence of evidence-based information in the assessment of treatment decisions (Einarson, 2009). “Positive” studies that have an outcome or purported association of prenatal antidepressant exposure with some kind of malformation and/or adverse event are quickly reported by news outlets and disseminated to the lay public. Even more problematic in informing clinical decision making is the selective reporting of antidepressant effects and an apparent de-emphasis on the impact of maternal depression as was seen in the study by Oberlander and colleagues (2006).
More recently, Domar and colleagues (2013) provided a selected review and noted the potential benefits of psychotherapy and exercise in nonpregnant groups as alternative treatment strategies. Although this article was quoted on several news media, they failed to note any alternative treatment strategies. Although this article was quoted on several news media, they failed to note any alternative treatment strategies.

Negative studies that fail to identify any adverse effects garner far less media attention. This dichotomy in informing the lay public as well as bringing research data to the attention of clinicians contributes to the clinical conundrum and may lead to clinical decisions lacking evidence-based information (Einarson and Koren, 2007). Patients routinely overestimate the risk to the fetus when not presented with proper medical information (Einarson et al., 2005). The potential impact of reporting bias is illustrated in a study by Bonari and colleagues (2005) (see Table 4). For example, gastrointestinal medications, antibiotics, and antidepressants may have similar overall risks to the fetus, and in most cases, the antidepressant literature is more extensive. However, 87% of women believe that for antidepressants, the risk to the fetus is higher than the actual risk (Table 4) (Bonari et al., 2005).

B. Quantification of Fetal and Nursing Infant Antidepressant Exposure

The extent of fetal psychotropic drug exposure is governed by the pharmacokinetic properties of the maternal, placental, and fetal compartment. The primary routes of fetal exposure are through umbilical circulation and amniotic fluid. All psychotropics studied in vivo to date cross the placenta and are found in amniotic fluid (Hostetter et al., 2000; Loughhead et al., 2006a,b). Yet there are significant differences in placental passage rates. Bidirectional placental transfer is primarily mediated by simple diffusion, and the determinants of the transplacental diffusion rate include molecular weight, lipid solubility, degree of ionization, and plasma protein binding affinity (Moore et al., 1966; Pacifici and Nottoli, 1995; Audus, 1999).

In addition, placental P-glycoprotein (multidrug resistance protein 1), which actively transports substrates from the fetal to the maternal circulation, is likely a partial determinant of fetal psychotropic exposure. Consequently, agents with greater affinity for P-glycoprotein may be associated with lower fetal-to-maternal medication ratios, as demonstrated in an investigation of antipsychotic placental passage (Newport et al., 2007). Further clarification of the factors governing placental passage may ultimately contribute to the development of psychotropic agents with minimal rates of placental transfer (Wang et al., 2007).

Ex vivo studies of isolated human placentas show that tricyclic antidepressants and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors pass across the placental barrier. Steady-state placental perfusion studies of amitriptyline, citalopram, or fluoxetine and their metabolites can reach up to 10% (Heikkinen et al., 2001, 2002b). In contrast, in vivo studies of umbilical cord medication distribution show much higher transfer of antidepressants as predicted for lipophilic substances.

Collection of paired maternal/umbilical cord blood at delivery as an index of placental passage has produced some interesting results. The TCAs nortriptyline, clomipramine, and their metabolites pass readily into umbilical cord serum in vivo studies (Loughhead et al., 2000b). SSRI exposure results in higher umbilical cord transfer rates compared with TCAs. SSRI cord serum: maternal serum ratios are between 0.52 and 1.1 depending on the compound, illustrating significant placental transfer. Metabolites, which in some cases (e.g., norfluoxetine, desmethylvenlafaxine) are also active at inhibiting the serotonin transporter, readily transfer across the placental barrier (Rampono et al., 2004, 2009; Kim et al., 2006). Maternal/cord serum ratios have been rated as follows sertraline < paroxetine < fluoxetine < citalopram (Hendrick et al., 2003b). In a study of prenatal antidepressant exposure and pharmacogenetics, human infant drug concentrations were virtually undetectable and no relationship...
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was associated with the drug-metabolizing enzymes CYP2D6 or CYP2C19 genotypes (Berle et al., 2004). Antidepressants are also present in human amniotic fluid (Loughhead et al., 2006a), providing additional routes of exposure.

Pregnancy can affect the metabolism and apparent clearance of medications. The clearance of several SSRIs has been shown to increase over the course of pregnancy (Sit et al., 2008), and our group demonstrated an increase in depressive scores for some women requiring dose adjustment during pregnancy (Hostetter et al., 2000). Similarly, postpartum, mothers taking citalopram, escitalopram, or sertraline have also been shown to be in a refractory metabolic state (Sit et al., 2008).

The majority of professional and lay organizations support breast milk as the "ideal" form of nutrition for the neonate through the first year of life. Studies of antidepressant exposure in breast milk are extensive relative to other classes of nonpsychotropic medications. Postpartum TCA exposure through breastfeeding results in a low exposure to the infant compared with in utero exposure. TCAs and their metabolites pass readily into breast milk, but because of the low absolute amounts (typically nanograms per milliliter range, with an estimated daily consumption of 100 ml/kg body weight), the nursing infant is exposed to a relatively small dose (Brixen-Rasmussen et al., 1982; Stancer and Reed, 1986; Wisner and Perel, 1991; Breyer-Pfaff et al., 1995; Yoshida et al., 1997). In many studies of TCAs, infant serum concentrations for drug or metabolite are undetectable at the level of analytic sensitivity used in those studies. Wisner et al. (1997) performed a controlled study of mother-infant breastfeeding pairs who had not received antidepressants during pregnancy. Nortriptyline and its metabolites were measured in the serum of six mother-infant pairs given nortriptyline 24 hours after birth for 4 weeks. Although nortriptyline and its hydroxylated metabolites were measured in maternal serum, infant serum concentrations were low or non-detectable (<4 ng/ml) compared with the typical clinical range of 50–150 ng/ml used in adults (Wisner et al., 1997).

SSRIs appear to transfer into breast milk at a much higher rate than TCAs, but exposure to the infant is still minimal. The first step in lactational exposure is transfer from the maternal serum to the breast milk. Breast milk has a natural gradient of fat content, with increasing fat content as one goes from fore to hind milk, and this can affect drug concentrations within the milk available at a feeding (Stowe et al., 1997, 2000, 2003). Additionally, breast milk concentrations change in direct relationship to maternal serum concentrations over the course of the day. The presence of both a temporal and fore to hind milk gradients for antidepressants should be kept in mind when examining the methodology of various research reports. Newport et al. (2009) examined the excretion of venlafaxine into breast milk. The infant/maternal plasma ratio was 0.062:1 for venlafaxine and 0.58:1 for the active metabolite desvenlafaxine, indicating significant exposure through lactation, although the effect was primarily driven by an extremely high ratio in a particular mother-infant pair from a cohort of five pairs. Otherwise, the ratios for desvenlafaxine in four other mother-infant pairs were 0.006, 0.009, 0.24, and 0.6:1 (Newport et al., 2009). Examination of other antidepressants has shown that the maternal serum and milk concentration ratio was paroxetine (0.7) < sertraline (1.8) < citalopram (2.1) < venlafaxine (2.4). Notably, the increasing milk: plasma ratios roughly correlate with the degree of protein binding for individual medications. Our previous work utilizing octanol partition coefficients as an index of lipophilicity of antidepressants (Capello et al., 2011) suggests that venlafaxine should have the lowest transfer into breast milk if driven primarily by lipophilicity.

Following transfer into breast milk, the question arises of whether the infant is exposed to an appreciable dose. Several antidepressants are detectable in human breast milk but are low or undetectable in infant serum (Altshuler et al., 1995; Epperson et al., 1997; Mammen et al., 1997; Stowe et al., 1997; Wisner et al., 1998; Heikkinen et al., 2002a; Briggs et al., 2009). A single study of potential functional consequences of nursing infant exposure estimated infant platelet serotonin uptake, measured by whole-blood serotonin concentrations, were shown to be unaffected in mother-infant breastfeeding pairs (Epperson et al., 2001). Weissman et al. (2004) conducted a large scale meta-analysis of 57 studies that examined mother-infant breastfeeding pairs to determine the amount of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of Risk (Pre-counseling) *</th>
<th>Perception of Risk (Post-counseling)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87% of depressed women rated risk of antidepressants as greater than 1–3%</td>
<td>12% of depressed women rated risk of antidepressants as greater than 1–3%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56% of women with gastric problems rated risk of medications as greater than 1–3%</td>
<td>4% of women with gastric problems rated risk of medications as greater than 1–3%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22% of women with infections rated the risk of medications greater than 1–3%</td>
<td>2% of women with infections rated the risk of medications greater than 1–3%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Actual baseline rate for major malformations in the general population is 1–3%
antidepressant exposure via lactational transfer. Overall, nortriptyline, sertraline, and paroxetine usually had undetectable concentrations in infant serum. Typically, fluoxetine, citalopram, and venlafaxine-exposed infants have detectable serum concentrations, but these concentrations are typically less than 10% of those clinically observed in the mother (Weissman et al., 2004). The extant literature indicates that fetal exposure in utero is substantially more pronounced than exposure via breast milk.

Atypical antidepressants (e.g., bupropion, trazodone, and mirtazapine) distribute into breast milk at a similar ratio as TCAs and SSRIs. Mother-infant breastfeeding pairs exposed to bupropion had zero detectable drug in the serum of infants (Baab et al., 2002). A very small milk/plasma ratio was observed (0.142), indicating that the infant would be exposed to less than 1% of the maternal dose (Verbeeck et al., 1986). A single patient dosed with mirtazapine had detectable concentrations in milk, but no drug was detectable in the plasma of the infant (Klier et al., 2007). A similar study (Kristensen et al., 2007) administered a dosing regimen of mirtazapine that reached steady-state concentrations. Breast milk mirtazapine and desmethylmirtazapine concentrations were 1.5% and 0.4% of those observed in maternal serum (Kristensen et al., 2007). Although the number of studies investigating exposure to atypical antidepressants is small, there appears to be a similar level of exposure via breast milk among the different classes of antidepressants.

In summary, all antidepressants studied to date cross the human placenta and are found in amniotic fluid and human breast milk; therefore the baby is exposed. However, fetal/neonatal exposure and subsequent plasma concentration analyses may underestimate the extent of exposure in the developing brain. The human fetus/neonate has several physiologic attributes, including 1) high cardiac output; 2) increased blood-brain barrier permeability; 3) low plasma protein concentrations and plasma protein binding affinity; and 4) low hepatic enzyme activity (Morgan, 1997; Oesterheld, 1998; Bertossi et al., 1999) that may conceivably lead to relatively higher fetal central nervous system (CNS) psychotropic concentrations. To delineate the extent and potential consequences of CNS exposure during early development warrants an appreciation of the preclinical data.

C. Growth, Developmental, Gross Anatomical, and Physiological Outcomes

Birth weight and gestational age have been examined in relation to prenatal SRI (serotonin reuptake inhibitor) exposure, because low birth weight has been associated with impaired development (Vohr et al., 2000). Several studies have shown an association of prenatal SRI use (may be dependent on trimester of SRI use) with low birth weight, shortened gestational length, or small size for gestational age (Ericson et al., 1999; Costei et al., 2002; Källén, 2004; Zeskind and Stephens, 2004; Malm et al., 2005; Oberlander et al., 2006; Lenneståhl and Källén, 2007; Diav-Citrin et al., 2008; Lund et al., 2009; Toh et al., 2009; Reis and Källén, 2010). One of these studies reported a higher risk of shortened gestational length due to prenatal TCA exposure compared with prenatal SRI exposure (Källén, 2004). However, a conflicting study reported that shortened gestational length was associated with SRIs but not TCAs, although TCAs can share the same SERT antagonism properties (Simon et al., 2002). Several other studies reported no association of prenatal SRI use and adverse birth outcomes (Nulman et al., 1997; Kulin et al., 1998b; Cohen et al., 2000; Einarson et al., 2001; Suri et al., 2004; Lund et al., 2009). Only a few of these studies control for the mental health status of the mother in their analyses, and the outcomes differ between studies (Suri et al., 2004; Lund et al., 2009). A population-based study of 860,215 women in the Swedish medical birth registry observed an increased risk for preterm birth, defined as less than 37 weeks, correlating with antidepressant prescription redemption. Preterm birth risk was increased for selective-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) versus SSRIs and late versus early exposure (Lenneståhl and Källén, 2007). Until quality meta-analyses are performed examining gestational length, it is not possible to conclude with any certainty the effect of prenatal antidepressant exposure on gestational length. It is noteworthy that maternal depressive symptoms have been consistently associated with similar outcomes. It is not known whether women with a history of major depression who remain asymptomatic and do not use antidepressants in pregnancy have the same outcomes.

Sporadic reports linking other symptoms and prenatal antidepressant exposure have been reported but have not been replicated. These include an association with jaundice and jitteriness (Diav-Citrin et al., 2008) and infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis (Bakker et al., 2010). Infant jaundice has been reported in certain cases of prenatal SRI exposure (Costei et al., 2002), although another study found no effect (Källén, 2004). As birth registry information becomes more expansive and readily available, studies using this endpoint and others, may become better statistically powered.

Several studies investigating prenatal exposure to SRIs have used Appearance pulse grimace activity respiration (Apgar) scores as outcomes, because the longstanding Apgar test is typically applied to every neonate (Casey et al., 2001). Studies have reported decreased Apgar scores due to prenatal SRI exposure (Casper et al., 2003), prenatal SRI and NRI exposure (Lenneståhl and Källén, 2007; Reis and Källén, 2010),
and some studies found this association remains when controlling for maternal psychiatric history (Lund et al., 2009). Prenatal TCA exposure has been shown to increase risk of low Apgar scores compared with prenatal SRI exposure (Källén, 2004). Two of these studies reported decreased Apgar scores due to prenatal SRI exposure when controlling for maternal mood (Casper et al., 2003; Lund et al., 2009), but another study did not show an association when controlling for maternal mood (Suri et al., 2004). As noted above, quality meta-analyses of these datasets are sorely needed, although methodological differences may hinder conclusions.

The neonatal intensive care unit in hospitals admits infants that are typically premature or congenitally ill. Admission into the neonatal intensive care unit is therefore an easily identifiable endpoint that has been examined in the context of prenatal antidepressant exposure. One study by Casper and colleagues (2003) found that longer exposure to prenatal SSRIs correlated with increased neonatal intensive care unit admission. Timing of exposure appeared to be an important variable because third trimester exposure increased the risk of neonatal intensive care unit admission compared with first trimester exposure (Malm et al., 2005). This association of SRI exposure and neonatal intensive care unit admission is still correlated even when controlling for maternal psychiatric condition (Sivojelezova et al., 2005). As noted above, a conflicting study reported that when controlling for maternal mood, prenatal SRI exposure did not increase the risk for neonatal intensive care unit admission (Suri et al., 2004). Recently, a study of birth registries in Nordic countries between 1996 and 2007 found no significant association between SRI use in pregnancy and risk for stillbirth or postnatal mortality (Stephansson et al., 2013).

D. Associations with Respiratory Distress and Pulmonary Hypertension

Serotonin plays an important modulatory role in respiratory control, and much of the extant literature indicates that the serotonin system produces an inhibitory effect on respiration, although the meaning of “inhibitory” is not entirely clear (Mueller et al., 1982). Case reports have called attention to respiratory problems in infants prenatally exposed to TCAs, characterized by respiratory acidosis, cyanosis, and/or tachypnea (Ostergaard and Pedersen, 1982; Schimmel et al., 1991; Bloem et al., 1999; Frey et al., 1999). Oberlander’s group used birth registry data from 120,000 patients and found an association of respiratory distress in newborns with prenatal SRI exposure even when controlling for severity of mental illness (Oberlander et al., 2006). Others have reported that an association with respiratory distress is dependent upon the antidepressant type: risk of respiratory distress was higher for prenatal TCA exposure compared with prenatal SRI exposure in two studies (Källén, 2004; Lenneståhl and Källén, 2007), but prenatal exposure to SRIs still has an increased risk for respiratory distress (Costei et al., 2002; Diav-Citrin et al., 2008). Although another study confirmed that SRI-exposed infants exhibited mild respiratory distress, outcomes were normal at 2 and 8 months of age (Oberlander et al., 2004). Serotonin plays a significant role in vasoconstriction and has been hypothesized to play a role in pulmonary arterial hypertension (Maclean and Dernpsee, 2010). The role of the serotonin system in hypertension pathology has led to the focus on this endpoint in infants prenatally exposed to antidepressants. The Chambers study (Chambers et al., 2006) has been frequently cited to illustrate the danger of prenatal antidepressant exposure. In this retrospective study, authors observed an increased risk for persistent pulmonary hypertension only during late pregnancy prenatal SSRI exposure (odds ratio 6.0) (Chambers et al., 2006). A second study found a more modest (odds ratio 2.0) association of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN) with SRIs and NRIs (Reis and Källén, 2010). More recently, a study of birth registries in Nordic countries between 1996 and 2007 found an association of PPHN and SSRIs (adjusted odds ratio 2.1), a similar effect to the Reis and Källén study (Kieler et al., 2012). Although these studies may elucidate an important area to examine in the future, mental state and other potential exposures were not controlled for and may alter this association. The issue of antidepressants and the risk for PPHN was recently reviewed in detail (Occhiogrosso et al., 2012).

E. Associations with Congenital Malformations

The best established endpoints of teratology are congenital malformations that may be indicative of a significantly disrupted fetal environment. The extant literature indicates that congenital malformations are not associated with prenatal exposure to TCAs or SRIs (McElhatton et al., 1996; Ericson et al., 1999; Djulus et al., 2006; Lenneståhl and Källén, 2007), SRIs alone (Pastuszak et al., 1993; Goldstein et al., 1997; Kulin et al., 1998b; Simon et al., 2002; Hendrick et al., 2003a; Yarits et al., 2004; Malm et al., 2005; Sivojelezova et al., 2005; Källén and Otterblad Olausson, 2007; Pedersen et al., 2009), or atypical antidepressants (Einaron et al., 2003; Yarits et al., 2004; Chun-Fai-Chan et al., 2005). A meta-analysis of first trimester exposure to several classes of antidepressant confirmed these observations (Einaron and Einaron, 2005). Additionally, a meta-analysis found an increased risk of major malformations with fluoxetine and paroxetine exposure specifically in the first trimester (Myles et al., 2013). Although studies continue to examine this endpoint, the data do not point to a significant
association of prenatal antidepressant exposure and a specific pattern of congenital malformations.

GlaxoSmithKline conducted their own study of first trimester paroxetine exposure compared with other antidepressants. An increased risk (adjusted odds ratio = 1.89) of congenital malformations was associated with paroxetine exposure (Cole et al., 2007). This observational study was conducted from patient medical records of 791 mothers in the absence of controls for potential confounding variables such as maternal mental state and other exposures. Venlafaxine, a dual SRI/NRI antidepressant, was compared in the MotheRisk study to determine any associated risk compared with other SRIs or nonteratogenic drugs. No increased risk of malformations or any other health outcomes was observed (Einarson et al., 2001). The Toronto MotheRisk Study also observed no association with congenital malformations and overall prenatal antidepressant exposure (Einarson et al., 2009). A meta-analysis has been conducted of first trimester fluoxetine exposure. Using the Chambers study and three others, the authors found no association of malformations with fluoxetine use. Importantly, based on the power calculations within this study, 26 controlled studies would be needed to reverse this finding of no association between antidepressants and congenital malformations (Addis and Koren, 2000).

Cardiovascular defects, a subset of congenital malformations, have also been investigated in the context of prenatal antidepressant exposure due to serotoninergic regulation of cardiovascular function. Birth registry studies have shown an increased risk of cardiovascular defects (ventral/atrial septum defects) in infants prenatally exposed to TCAs. This effect was predominately attributed to prenatal exposure to clomipramine (Källén and Otterblad Olausson, 2003). A follow up study showed that prenatal exposure to paroxetine or clomipramine, but not other SRIs or TCAs, was associated with an increased risk of ventricular/atrial septum defects using birth registries but not controlling for mental state (Källén and Otterblad Olausson, 2006, 2007). Septal heart defects typically resolve during early life, but may require surgery in some cases. A follow up study found that this observed effect was primarily due to clomipramine exposure (Reis and Källén, 2010). GlaxoSmithKline's own study of first trimester paroxetine exposure compared with other antidepressants showed an increased risk of cardiovascular malformations, mostly composed of ventricular septal defects, associated with paroxetine exposure (Cole et al., 2007; Louik et al., 2007) but another study found the opposite effect; first trimester paroxetine exposure resulted in fewer cardiovascular malformations than unexposed infants (Einarson et al., 2008). A study of birth registries in Finland found an increased risk for septal defects for both paroxetine and fluoxetine exposure, but not SSRIs as a whole (Malm et al., 2011). Prenatal exposure to the atypical antidepressant bupropion showed an increased risk for left outflow tract heart defects (Alwan et al., 2010). A study of Danish birth registries found an association of SSRI prescription redemption and septal heart defects (Pedersen et al., 2009). A meta-analysis of seven studies in the United States and Europe report an overall increased risk for cardiovascular malformations (Bar-Oz et al., 2007). Functional studies using echocardiograms have shown a twofold increased risk for mild nonsyndromic heart defects due to prenatal exposure to SRIs (Merlob et al., 2009).

There are several mitigating factors that should be considered in the context of the evidence of potential associations of congenital malformations and prenatal antidepressant exposure. Although a Finnish study of congenital malformations found craniofacial malformations (cleft palate/hydrocephalus) due to an imipramine/chloropyramine combination, it is interesting to note that the incidence was not above the national average of 1.25% (Idänpään-Heikkilä and Saxén, 1973). A study of the Danish population examining prescription redemption showed an increased risk of congenital malformations and SRI prescription redemption; however, there was a significant number of congenital malformations in women who discontinued SSRI use before pregnancy (4.5% compared with 4.9% in early and 6.8% in mid/late), indicating mental state may be involved (Wogelius et al., 2006).

F. Monoamine and Hormone Studies

Examination of 5-HT concentrations in infants reveals some short-term effects of in utero antidepressant exposure. SSRI exposure in utero yields a cord blood 5-HT concentration of 25% compared with controls and represents the inhibition of the platelet SERT and the platelet's capacity to take up and store serotonin. Platelet serotonin represents >99% of whole-blood serotonin. Whole-blood 5-HT in newborns is correlated with their mothers’ ($r = 0.42, P = 0.02$). This was a short-term effect because infants older than 4 weeks had 5-HT concentrations similar to adult concentrations as predicted based upon the pharmacology and clearance of the drug (Anderson et al., 2004). This effect is isolated to the prenatal period of exposure when fetal exposure is considerable compared with SSRI exposure postpartum in mother-infant breastfeeding pairs that results in no changes in concentrations of 5-HT in newborns (Epperson et al., 2003).

Although the field is still in its infancy, biochemical monitoring in maternal and fetal biologic fluids after antidepressant treatment may provide an alternative to blood concentrations of SSRIs. S100β protein concentrations, a regulator of neurite outgrowth modulated
by 5-HT_{1A} receptor activation, are decreased in the cord serum after prenatal exposure to SSRIs (Pawluski et al., 2009).

Studies investigating the effects of prenatal antidepressant exposure on hormone systems are currently limited. Davidson and colleagues (2009) investigated 21 infants exposed to SSRIs throughout pregnancy compared with 20 unexposed controls. SSRI exposure in utero decreased cord blood cortisol concentrations, whereas thyroid stimulating hormone was increased compared with unexposed controls. Placental insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor expression, which participates in fetal growth and has significant cross-talk with the HPA axis, was higher in SSRI-exposed groups. The Finnegan score, as a measure of gross CNS and respiratory function, positively correlated with placental insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor expression and cord cortisol concentrations. Finnegan scores also correlated with dehydroepiandrosterone and its metabolite, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, which play an important role in regulating serotonin, dopamine, glutamate, and GABA neurotransmitter systems through modulation of receptor activation (Pérez-Neri et al., 2008). These correlations were only observed in SSRI-exposed groups and not healthy control groups (Davidson et al., 2009). Mental health was not controlled for in the mothers, so it is not possible to determine whether the observed effects were due to maternal depressive symptoms or SSRI exposure. Another study examined the cortisol response of second and third trimester SSRI-exposed infants. Although mothers had higher anxiety and depressive-like symptoms, at 3 months of age SSRI-exposed infants had lower evening basal salivary cortisol (~0.9 ng/ml) compared with controls (~2.2 ng/ml) (Oberlander et al., 2008b). These studies indicate a potential role of prenatal SSRI exposure in the regulation of fetal/infant adrenal output and/or responsivity.

G. Genome Association Studies

The advent of shotgun sequencing demonstrated by Venter and colleagues (2001) led to a rapid rise in genetic studies investigating the susceptibility to disease. The genome era has significantly affected the field of psychiatry and a large number of genome-wide association studies have been performed, although important outcomes have been less than originally hoped. Only a few studies have investigated the prenatal environment in the context of genomics. One study investigated 20 children (age 2–6 years old) exposed to citalopram or fluoxetine during pregnancy or via lactation in patients (i.e., mothers) diagnosed with major depressive disorder or panic disorder. This study found significant associations with genes coding for the degradation of monoamines such as monoamine oxidase (Maoa) and catechol-O-methyltransferase (Comt). High-activity Maoa alleles correlated with high cord blood concentrations of the norepinephrine metabolite dihydroxyphenylglycol and serotonergic symptoms in newborns (see Hegerl et al., 1998 for description of these symptoms). The high-activity Comt alleles correlated with high prolactin. Serotonin receptor or transporter gene polymorphism genotypes did not correlate with cord monoamine concentrations or serotonergic symptoms (Hilli et al., 2009). Although this study was part of a larger study with a control group (Laine et al., 2003), no control group was used so it is unclear whether in utero SSRI exposure interacted with genetics to produce these outcomes. However, a mechanistic explanation of how SSRI exposure could lead to genomic changes in allelic status in these studies is not at all clear or even possible.

Oberlander and colleagues in Canada performed extensive work associating maternal conditions, genetics, and endpoints in the child. These studies are in the minority that control for maternal mood or diagnosis of an affective disorder in relation to prenatal antidepressant exposure. Oberlander’s group investigated the behavior of the infant as it relates to prenatal antidepressant exposure but also genotyped the offspring to examine the serotonin transporter 5’-promoter region. The serotonin-transporter-linked polymorphic region (5HTTLPR) in the 5’-promoter region had originally been proposed to modulate the expression of the serotonin transporter. A 43-base pair insertion/deletion polymorphism in this region was previously reported to affect serotonin transporter expression and treatment response to antidepressants (Heils et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2000; Pollock et al., 2000). The short variant (ss) in this region has been reported to reduce expression of the serotonin transporter in vitro, and the short variant or heterozygous genotypes (ss, ls) correlate with anxiety-related traits (Lesch et al., 1996). However, association of the 5HTTLPR genotype and anxiety-like behavior has not been replicated in subsequent studies or through a meta-analysis (Sen et al., 2004). Oberlander’s group examined infants prenatally exposed to antidepressant and correlated infant behavior with the 5HTTLPR variants. In a model controlling for maternal depressed mood, higher internalizing behaviors in the infant were only associated with maternal mood and not SSRI exposure. Externalizing behaviors classified as attention and aggression (Oberlander et al., 2010) in the infant were associated with anxious or depressed mothers and interacted with anxiety and the ll 5HTTLPR variant. Child scores for traits of anxiety and depression, as measured by the Child Behavior Checklist and reported by the mother, increased because of maternal anxiety and the ss 5HTTLPR variant. Internalizing behaviors were classified as anxious/depressed, emotionally reactive, somatic complaints, sleep problems, and withdrawn. Further studies replicating these findings and expanding upon
the interaction of the 5HTTLPR and behavioral outcomes may be valuable to understanding the etiology of infant behavior and the relationship with the serotonin system and potential impact, if any, of prenatal medication exposure.

An additional study by Oberlander’s group examined respiratory measures at birth as they relate to maternal mood, prenatal antidepressant exposure, and the 5HTTLPR variants. This study examined women using the SRIs paroxetine, fluoxetine, sertraline, venlafaxine, and citalopram for an average of 220 days during pregnancy. When controlling for maternal mood, Apgar scores [Appearance Pulse Grimace Activity Respiration (Apgar, 1953)] were reduced in 5HTTLPR genotype ss. Exposed infants with heterozygous ls genotypes had lower birth weight. Disrupted respiration was observed in exposed ll genotypes, whereas ss neonates had an increased risk of neuromotor symptoms when exposed to SRIs in utero (Oberlander et al., 2008a). Although empirical data are absent, Oberlander and colleagues postulate that the combination of prenatal SRI exposure and presumably low expression of the serotonin transporter leads to an overabundance of serotonin in the pre-synaptic cleft as well as hypersensitivity of postsynaptic serotonin receptors and thus produces neuromotor irritability.

In a genomewide study of infant DNA methylation, Schroeder et al. (2012) suggest that there are no large effects of maternal psychiatric illness, depressive symptoms, or prenatal exposure to antidepressants on neonatal DNA methylation in 201 infant DNA samples collected at delivery from peripheral blood.

H. Behavioral Studies in Neonates and Children

The concept of behavioral teratogenicity denotes that prenatal exposure to xenobiotics may manifest as subtle changes in infant behavior that may point to novel neurotoxic effects or systems to explore. Although several animal studies (covered later in this review) explored behavioral teratogenicity in the context of prenatal antidepressant exposure, this is an area that warrants greater exploration in human studies of prenatal psychotropic exposure. Heel lances are typically performed in neonates to diagnose phenylketonuria and are thus a convenient and efficient way to test the behavioral response to pain. Oberlander’s group has assessed prenatal exposure to fluoxetine, paroxetine, or sertraline (n = 22) and SSRI + clonazepam (n = 16) compared with 23 unexposed controls and reported a decreased facial action to the pain of a heel lance in the recovery period. Facial action was assessed by the Neonatal Facial Coding System (Grunau and Craig, 1987; Grunau et al., 1990). Mean heart rate after heel lance displayed a blunted increase in the SRI-exposed offspring (Oberlander et al., 2002). The same group replicated this study, again finding a decreased facial action to the pain of a heel lance in the recovery period. Somewhat surprisingly, the combination of prenatal and postnatal (breast milk) exposure, but not prenatal exposure alone, to these medications resulted in greater parasympathetic control of heart rate variability in the recovery period after heel lance (control ~175 beats per minute, prenatally exposed ~145 beats per minute, prenatally and postnatally exposed ~155 beats per minute) (Oberlander et al., 2005). The clinical significance of heart rate response to painful stimuli remains obscure.

Rating scales of infant development have also been used to assess the behavioral effects of prenatal SRI exposure. Utilizing the Brazelton Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale (Als et al., 1977) in a group of 64 infants, Suri and colleagues (2011) failed to find any effects of prenatal antidepressants and maternal depression at 6–8 weeks of age. In contrast, a second study using the Brazelton Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale reported that exposed neonates (determined by clinically established steady-state serum drug concentrations in the pregnant women) had lower habituation, social-interactive, motor, and autonomic behavior, although maternal mood was not controlled for (Rampono et al., 2009). Although neurologically normal as assessed by a pediatric neurologist, children examined at 12–40 months of age utilizing Bayley Scales of Infant Development showed that length of exposure, assessed by number of trimesters of SRI use, increased the risk for lower Psychomotor Developmental Index and Behavioral Rating Scale scores (Casper et al., 2003). The investigators also reported that prenatal exposure to SRIs (sertraline, fluoxetine, paroxetine, or fluvoxamine) resulted in lower psychomotor development at ages 6–40 months. This effect was still significant when controlling for maternal mood and comparing antidepressant-exposed children to children born to women with major depressive disorder (Casper et al., 2003). Sleep has also been considered to be modulated by prenatal SRI exposure, because a known side effect of current SSRIs use is disruption of normal sleep patterns (Sharpley and Cowen, 1995; Trivedi et al., 1999). A study using median and higher doses of SRIs observed increased fetal motor activity in first and second trimesters compared with control or unmedicated, depressed mothers. Additionally, SRI exposure increased fetal movements in the 3rd trimester; purported to be indicative of disrupted non–rapid eye movement sleep. Covarying for mental state also resulted in an association with the observed results and potentially confounds the association with SRI exposure (Mulder et al., 2011). Examination of neonates prenatally exposed to SRIs showed disrupted rapid eye movement sleep, slightly disrupted autonomic homeostasis, and increased
motor activity in 1- to 2-day-old neonates (Zeskind and Stephens, 2004). Some animal studies, especially in sheep, have observed a similar disruption in sleep patterns (vide infra). SRIs are known to produce some withdrawal symptoms upon discontinuation; it is not clear whether that is what is being observed in this study.

Only a few studies have examined long-term neurodevelopment in relation to prenatal SRI exposure. A separate study evaluating fluoxetine or TCA-exposed infants (ages 1–7 years old at follow up, n = 135 exposed infants) revealed no differences in perinatal complications, gestation age, cognition, IQ, or behavior compared with unexposed controls (Nulman et al., 1997). A series of studies utilizing a small cohort of infants from well-characterized women has produced some interesting results. Misri et al. (2006) evaluated both prenatal exposure to fluoxetine, paroxetine, or sertraline and maternal mood and observed no changes in internalizing behavior compared with controls for prenatal SRI exposure. Exposure was defined as enrollment in the Reproductive Mental Health Program at British Columbia Women’s Hospital. Maternal anxiety and depressive symptoms did correlate with increased internalizing behavior in the offspring (Misri et al., 2006). Oberlander et al. (2007) conducted a 4-year follow up study of infants exposed prenatally to sertraline, paroxetine, or fluoxetine (N = 22) to examine internalizing and externalizing behaviors. Umbilical cord serum concentrations of antidepressants correlated with increased externalizing behaviors, but this effect was lost when controlling for maternal mood. Increased measures of aggressive behavior were correlated with maternal stress levels and poor neonatal adaptation. Controls were not exposed to prenatal antidepressants, and the maternal mood was neither depressed nor anxious (Oberlander et al., 2007). These studies illustrate an important role(s) of maternal mood in discriminating the effects of prenatal antidepressant exposure from prenatal stress/maternal affective disorders.

Increased awareness of childhood neurodevelopmental disorders in recent years has prompted further investigation in relation to prenatal antidepressant exposure. Using the MarketScan database, which uses insurance claims data, exposure to bupropion during the second trimester has been shown to be correlated with increased risk of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children, but SRI exposure as a whole was not correlated with increased risk. Psychiatric disorders exhibit differing degrees of heritability and, as might be predicted, psychiatric disorders in the mother were also positively correlated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder risk in the child (Figueroa, 2010). A population-based case control study reported an increased risk of developing childhood autism spectrum disorder after prenatal antidepressant exposure (Croen et al., 2011). Further studies of these behavioral endpoints will be valuable as more attention is given to this disorder.

I. Summary of Human Exposure Studies

Human studies over the last 20 years have steadily incorporated more rigorous designs that define exposure and have increasingly recognized the potential impact of maternal illnesses and other exposures. Problems with current human studies arise from issues regarding a proper control group of nonexposed, depressed, pregnant mothers (Tuccori et al., 2010). Because most of these studies are case control or cohort studies, there are a large number of confounding factors that may influence the endpoint such as tobacco use during pregnancy, alcohol and drug exposure, and consistent prenatal care. Likewise, the literature on the potential adverse effects of maternal depression in pregnancy may be equally confounded. This, in fact, may explain the overlap in purported outcomes such as preterm delivery, low birth weight, and neonatal complications. Two recent studies from our group underscore these limitations. Retrospective recall at 6 months postpartum versus prospective documentation indicates that women have poor recall of depressive symptoms in pregnancy and exposure to nonpsychotropic medications (Newport et al., 2008). In a second prospective study, we found a significant association of maternal depressive symptoms and anxiety in pregnancy with exposure to nonpsychiatric medications, increased tobacco use, and poor compliance with prenatal vitamins (Newport et al., 2012). Despite these limitations and other potential confounds, the reproductive outcome literature on antidepressants in pregnancy and breast feeding is very large compared with other classes of medications used during the perinatal period such as antiemetics and pain medications.

Numerous reviews cite the apparent safety of antidepressants during pregnancy (Koren et al., 1998; Kulín et al., 1998a; Davanzo et al., 2011). Others point out that the risk of administering these medications during pregnancy is still uncertain (Tuccori et al., 2009; Ellfolk and Malm, 2010). The issue sparks intense debate, and some point out that the risk is too great and psychotherapy should supplant antidepressants (Campagne, 2007), although this is contested by others who conduct large center studies investigating these issues (Campagne, 2008; Einsson and Eberhard-Gran, 2013; Einsson, 2009). Nevertheless, informed consent is advised in all circumstances, and antidepressant use should be considered on a case by case basis (Gupta et al., 1998). The clinical conundrum of balancing the risks and benefits of antidepressant use in the perinatal period is often distilled to decisions being made on a "case by
case" basis in the majority of review articles cited above and others. Unfortunately, this is not always a meaningful guide to the clinician. In many cases, alternative nonpharmacological treatments may not be available or affordable. There is no current gold standard test for the level of maternal depression associated with adverse outcomes that would guide the need for intervention.

To complement the information in the clinical decision process in light of the inconclusive clinical literature, the incorporation of results from preclinical studies may be of benefit. For example, antidepressants share many common pharmacological properties and if the primary mechanism of action is responsible for the potential impact, more consistency between individual medications and broader classes (SSRI and TCA) would be expected. Scrutiny of the preclinical literature for lines of convergence and agreement may serve to substantiate concerns in light of contradictory literature for lines of convergence and agreement may serve to substantiate concerns in light of contradictory data as well as direct future investigations.

III. Conclusions and Future Directions

The use of antidepressants as a primary treatment modality during pregnancy will continue to generate debate. Fortunately, the ongoing scrutiny has generated a relatively enormous literature compared with other classes of medication that are often used in the prenatal period. Unfortunately, the literature remains laden with methodological differences that preclude definitive conclusions and clinical recommendations. Tragically, the studies reporting adverse effects tend to garner greater coverage in the "lay" media and even notoriety in the scientific literature relative to those studies that have failed to identify adverse effects even when these "negative" studies have been rigorously designed. This has all too often resulted in the "reflexive" changes in clinical care (e.g., abruptly discontinuing antidepressants at knowledge of conception) that are not evidence based and may pose potential risks for both the mental health of the mother and overall outcome. For example, the rate of inadvertent conception is over 50%, hence, changing treatment at knowledge of conception would not reduce the potential liability for birth defects, if any, related to organogenesis. Similarly, there is not uniform availability or affordability of nonpharmacological interventions for depression even during the perinatal period—an issue beyond the scope of this review.

The standard recommendation of providing a risk-benefit assessment is not unique to antidepressant medications. The potential risks to the fetus versus benefits of intervention are default in the FDA category and extend to all classes of medications. For example, a risk-benefit assessment is advised before prescribing many drugs such as antihistamines or proton pump inhibitors to a pregnant woman (Gilbert et al., 2005; Majithia and Johnson, 2012). Antidepressant use during the perinatal period should be considered carefully, weighing all the evidence equally and impartially. Definitive conclusions from the available evidence are limited by the potential confounds and methodological limitations of the individual studies.

The perfect perinatal exposure study does not exist in the clinical or preclinical literature. The major limitations within the clinical literature are seldom incorporated in the discussion and/or conclusions of these studies and include, but are not limited to 1) assessment and verification of antidepressant use; 2) control for exposure to other substances; 3) control for maternal psychiatric illness using validated instruments of symptoms and/or diagnoses; and 4) defining appropriate control/comparison groups. Briefly, there is considerable evidence that patients are not 100% compliant with antidepressant medications, even in clinical trials where the patient knows that some assessment will be conducted. Noncompliance or poor compliance in patients receiving treatment of psychiatric disorders has been shown to be as high as 60% (Colom et al., 2000). For our conclusions, we have defined “reported antidepressant use” as those studies where the assessment was either prospective or retrospective patient reporting or medical record documentation. “Probable antidepressant use” are for those studies that used pharmacy or prescription database information. “Confirmed antidepressant use” is reserved for those studies that obtained objective laboratory confirmation of exposure, and this is by far the smallest number of studies. The same criteria could be applied to the use of concomitant substances such as nicotine, drugs of abuse, and other medications; however, such rigor would essentially negate all the clinical literature. It is well documented that nicotine use during pregnancy contributes significantly to long-term diseases and disorders in the offspring (Bruin et al., 2010) and 12.4–21.7% of pregnant women in the United States smoke during pregnancy (Goodwin et al., 2007). Investigators have shown that maternal reporting of smoking does not consistently reflect measures of urine cotinine in late pregnancy. Studies of the effects of antidepressants and maternal depression have often relied on measures (retrospective and cross-sectional) of depressive symptoms, and although there have been studies examining the validity of such measures across pregnancy with respect to meeting diagnostic criteria (Ji et al., 2011), it is unclear whether these symptoms influence the outcome relative to a lifetime diagnosis of major depression. Defining appropriate control groups is one of the greatest challenges. Controlled, randomized trials for antidepressants in pregnancy do not exist. Similarly, comparing antidepressant exposure to a group of women without a history of depression fails to account for the illness.
are aware of no data on obstetrical outcome in women with a history of depression that remain well and off medications during pregnancy. Controlled studies that use pregnant women with a concurrent history of mental illness and antidepressant use compared with unmedicated pregnant women with a history of mental illness are necessary to determine possible ill effects (Fig. 6). The need for this important control group becomes evident when examining the effects of prenatal stress exposure. There are more studies of the effects of stress during pregnancy than studies examining the effects of prenatal antidepressant exposure that predominately indicate a detrimental effect of prenatal stress on behavior and aspects of stress physiology. Controlling for illness, symptoms, and maternal stress will be essential in future clinical studies if we hope to definitively develop clinical guidelines for antidepressant use in pregnancy.

The current preclinical investigations of prenatal antidepressant exposure also have several limitations that warrant attention, including 1) dosing models; 2) species differences; and 3) comparable outcome variables. The majority of animal studies use short-term dosing paradigms to examine adverse effects in the fetus and/or offspring. The clinical relevance of these studies may be of limited clinical import if exposures do not mirror those seen in humans (Fig. 6). Species differences in the transporter affinity of individual antidepressants are minimal (Owens et al., 1997); however, animal drug clearance is typically much greater than that of humans, precipitating a need for a much higher dose in animals than humans to produce equivalent serum concentrations/transporter occupancy. When the logistics of conducting animal experiments and the actual physiochemical properties of the medications themselves are taken into account, many extant dosing strategies lead to a pronounced bolus effect in animals that may lead to transient toxic serum concentrations (Fig. 1). Human dosing regimens and pharmacokinetics lead to much shallower peak and trough serum concentrations of psychotropics. The advent of continuous drug delivery systems such as osmotic minipumps or dosing in chow or water can circumvent these concerns by delivery of a more consistent dose (i.e., exposure) of medication over a long period of time. These continuous delivery systems begin to achieve clinically relevant, documented levels of exposure to enhance the clinical import of preclinical studies.

The decision of a model species to use for testing teratogenicity is central to the investigation of the effects of prenatal antidepressant exposure. Current studies have used sheep, guinea pigs, rabbits, nonhuman primates, rats, and mice, but the majority of animal studies have relied on rats as the model species. Unfortunately, the majority of the endpoints examined in rats are not dose dependent or reproducible. In contrast, studies in mice have often shown toxicity. The difference(s) between rat and mouse studies may be important and may be related to species differences in maternal and other behaviors, at baseline or in response to stressful environments. Indeed, it is clear that even strain differences within species exist in animal behavior studies. Until definitive human data are obtained, it seems prudent to use multiple species in experimental studies.

We sought to provide a synopsis of both the clinical and preclinical data with the hopes of identifying lines of convergence between the clinical and preclinical literature to assist in the clinical decision process. These are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. The conclusions across species for perinatal antidepressant exposure are limited, but include 1) confirmed antidepressant use in pregnancy results in significant fetal exposure across the placenta that has been confirmed preclinically; 2) umbilical cord nursing infant serum antidepressant concentrations do not accurately reflect the extent of CNS exposure and preclinical data would indicate significant transporter binding; 3) reported antidepressant use in pregnancy results in short-term changes in indices of monoamine systems and neuroendocrine systems that do not persist after discontinuing exposure—the preclinical literature confirms alterations albeit not consistent for the neuroendocrine effects; 4) reported antidepressant use in pregnancy

Fig. 6. Teratological relevance of animal studies versus clinical relevance of human studies. The majority of human studies investigating prenatal antidepressant exposure compare medicated and depressed pregnant women to unmedicated and nondepressed control groups to investigated clinical outcomes. The majority of animal studies investigating prenatal antidepressant exposure compare a medicated animal to an unmedicated animal to determine teratological consequences.
is associated with decreased birth weight but it is not clear that this is a clinically significant effect (e.g., use of weight as a continuous variable is positive, but negative when defined categorically)—this is also reflected in the preclinical literature; 5) reported antidepressant use during pregnancy is associated with alterations in sleep architecture in the neonatal period in the limited number of both clinical and preclinical studies; 6) reported and confirmed antidepressant use during pregnancy is associated with increased motor activity and/or jitteriness in a subgroup of neonates—this has been confirmed in the preclinical literature, but it remains unclear if this is driven by short-term exposure, withdrawal, and/or potential toxicity; and 7) reported antidepressant use has been associated with an increased risk for persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN), although subsequent studies in larger populations with improved methodology have demonstrated a steadily decreasing odds ratio compared with the initial retrospective report. The preclinical literature has suggested that there may be more subtle effects that may potentially contribute to this risk. The convergence of these data indicates that the majority of effects are associated with the short-term effects of antidepressant exposure and, with the exception of the potential risk for PPHN, there is no evidence supporting adverse consequences.

In contrast, the clinical and preclinical literature on antidepressant use in pregnancy have failed to identify an increased risk for birth defects, a consistent pattern of organ dysgenesis, persistent aberrations in behavior and/or development in the neonatal period, and the limited long-term outcome data are devoid of consistent adverse effects.

In summary, the decision to use antidepressants during pregnancy either as a short-term intervention and/or preventative strategy for recurrent illness remains controversial and is hindered by the lack of evidence-based studies. The relative reproductive safety data compared with other classes of medication used to treat conditions of far less severity than depression are promising and support them as a viable treatment option. The importance of serotonin and other neurotransmitter systems in development underscore the need for more rigorous methodology to delineate the impact, if any, of confirmed antidepressant exposure in pregnancy. Future preclinical investigations with clinically relevant exposures and clinical studies with improved methodology provide the requisite foundation to develop scientifically derived guidelines for the treatment of these women.
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