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Abstract—Platelets are essential for clotting in the blood and maintenance of normal hemostasis. Under pathologic conditions such as atherosclerosis, vascular injury often results in hyperactive platelet activation, resulting in occlusive thrombus formation, myocardial infarction, and stroke. Recent work in the field has elucidated a number of platelet functions unique from that of maintaining hemostasis, including regulation of tumor growth and metastasis, inflammation, infection, and immune response. Traditional therapeutic targets for inhibiting platelet activation have primarily been limited to cyclooxygenase-1, integrin \( \alpha_{IIb}\beta_3 \), and the \( P2Y_{12} \) receptor. Recently identified signaling pathways regulating platelet function have made it possible to...
develop novel approaches for pharmacological intervention in the blood to limit platelet reactivity. In this review, we cover the newly discovered roles for platelets as well as their role in hemostasis and thrombosis. These new roles for platelets lend importance to the development of new therapies targeted to the platelet. Additionally, we highlight the promising receptor and enzymatic targets that may further decrease platelet activation and help to address the myriad of pathologic conditions now known to involve platelets without significant effects on hemostasis.

I. Introduction

Platelets are anucleate cells produced by megakaryocytes in the bone marrow and lungs (Weyrich and Zimmerman, 2013; Lefrançais et al., 2017) existing in the vessel for 5–10 days before they are removed from circulation by the spleen (Kaplan and Saba, 1978; Kuter, 1996). Their function in the body has predominantly been linked to maintaining normal flow in the blood vessel through a process known as hemostasis. When the vessel integrity is challenged, however, either through vessel injury, atherosclerotic plaque rupture, or chronic inflammatory conditions, platelets respond by clotting to form a thrombus at the site of injury. In addition to normal hemostasis, platelet activation often results in the formation of an occlusive thrombus leading to myocardial infarction and stroke. Due to the high turnover of the platelet in the body and its essential role in hemostasis and thrombosis, the platelet has long been a primary target for therapeutic intervention for the prevention of occlusive thrombotic events. This review is focused on delineating our current understanding of the roles that platelets play in both physiologic and pathophysiological conditions, the various potential drug targets expressed in the platelet or on its surface, and how classic and newly developed therapeutics have taken advantage of these targets to limit platelet activation in a number of pathophysiological conditions.

II. General Function of Platelets

Platelets are thought to be the primary mediators of hemostasis and thrombosis (Semple and Freedman, 2010). Under physiologic conditions, platelets circulate in the blood to maintain the blood constituents within the vessel (Jackson, 2011; Holinstat, 2017; Tomaiuolo et al., 2017) (Fig. 1). Due to the biologic and physical properties of the blood, including the numerous blood cells and plasma (white blood cells, red blood cells, platelets, plasma constituents) and the shear force inside the blood vessel, platelets are physically excluded from the central flow of the vessel and as a result are primarily found near the vessel wall. The location of platelets in the vessel due to this physical constraint enables the platelets to play a principal role in the quick hemostatic response following a vascular injury (Holinstat, 2017). When a vascular insult or injury occurs, platelets initially tether to the subendothelial extracellular matrix (ECM) through multiple receptors, including the collagen receptors α5β1 and glycoprotein (GP)VI and von Willebrand factor (vWF) receptor glycoprotein receptor Ib-V-IX (Holinstat, 2017). Following firm adhesion to the subendothelial ECM, platelets undergo spreading, activation, and eventual aggregation to form a thrombus. Activated platelets also release granules or signals to aid in the recruitment and activation of nearby platelets to the localized thrombus.

Secondary activation via granule secretion and oxygenase catalysis from cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and 12-lipoxygenase (LOX) is mediated through autocrine positive feedback on the platelet and paracrine signaling that stimulates circulating or loosely bound platelets to integrate into the existing clot to form an irreversible platelet plug at the site of injury. Although the platelet contains several types of granules that play unique roles in regulating platelet activity [dense (d), α, and lysosomal], it is the dense granule that releases small molecules such as ATP, ADP, epinephrine, and serotonin, which play a predominant role in granule-dependent paracrine activation of the surrounding platelets in the blood. The most highly investigated small molecule released from the dense granule is ADP, which further signals through the platelet purinergic receptors P2Y1 and P2Y12, and it is this pathway that is targeted clinically for prevention of occlusive thrombosis. Similar to granule secretion, following platelet activation, the bioactive lipid products of free fatty
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acids from arachidonic acid (AA), including prostaglandins (PG)E₂ and thromboxane A₂ (TxA₂), formed via COX-1 and eicosanoids [12(S)-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (12(S)-HETE)], produced via 12-LOX, interact with their respective G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) to reinforce platelet activation (Holinstat, 2017). This complex process of platelet adhesion followed by aggregation and recruitment of platelets to the site of injury is best exemplified by recent studies showing a thrombus composed of an outer loose shell overlaying the densely packed inner core. P-selectin–positive platelets are localized to the inner core area of a thrombus at the site of injury and are tightly packed together in an irreversible clot, whereas the platelets located in the shell of the thrombus are more sensitive to the inhibition of positive feedback signaling through the thromboxane receptor (TPʻ) and the ADP receptor (P₂Y₁₂) (Stalker et al., 2013; Welsh et al., 2014, 2016).

Beyond the well-established role for platelet regulation of hemostasis and thrombosis, it has been proposed that platelets have distinctive roles in regulating and assisting immune responses and inflammatory reactions (von Hundelshausen and Weber, 2007). The adhesive molecule P-selectin that is highly expressed on the platelet surface following activation regulates the extent of interaction between activated platelets and P-selectin glycoprotein ligand–1–expressing immune cells (lymphocytes, neutrophils, and monocytes), leading to micro-aggregate formation and leukocyte rolling and arrest (Diacovo et al., 1996a,b). Other platelet surface receptors, including α₁β₃ (Oki et al., 2006), CD40 ligand (Prasad et al., 2003), intercellular adhesion molecule 2 (Diacovo et al., 1994), junctional adhesion molecules (Prota et al., 2003), and chemokine receptors (Murphy et al., 2000), have been proposed to link the immune system to platelets. Additionally, all nine Toll-like receptors (TLRs) were found to be expressed on platelets (Holinstat and Tourtrot, 2015; Koupenova et al., 2015). These receptors are known to be involved in innate immunity against viral, bacterial infection, and even tumors, providing evidence that platelets are an integral component of the immune reporting system. To this end, platelets have previously been reported to exhibit autophagy in activation (Ouseph et al., 2015). Additionally, platelets are known to sample the blood environment and assist other immune cells by presenting foreign pathogens (Assinger, 2014; Holinstat, 2017; Koupenova et al., 2018). Hence, platelet whose function was once thought to be limited to maintaining the vessel integrity through regulation of hemostasis and thrombosis is now known to participate in a number of additional functions, including immunity and inflammation. As we continue to investigate the ever-expanding role of the platelet in circulation, it is likely that its role in the body will likewise expand to include regulation of tumor growth and metastasis and signaling distal tissue beds in the vascular tree through microparticle (MP) communication (Gastpar, 1977; Jurasz et al., 2004; Boilard et al., 2010; Italiano et al., 2010).

III. Platelet Role in Disease

The pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the formation of arterial and venous thrombi are distinct. Arterial clots are formed under high shear stress, typically after rupture of an atherosclerotic plaque or other damage to the blood vessel wall. They are deemed platelet-rich or white clots and are generally treated with antiplatelet drugs (see Platelet Pharmacological Targets and Interventions). In contrast, venous thromboses are largely fibrin-rich or red clots formed under low shear stress on the surface of largely intact endothelium, and anticoagulants are clinically used to treat patients with venous thromboembolism (VTE). There is accumulating evidence that whereas the venous thrombotic clot is a condition rich in red blood cells, platelets represent a major component in the
development of VTE (Fig. 1) as well as their active participation in immune response to foreign substances, including drug, bacteria, and viruses (Fig. 2).

There is also a growing body of evidence that activated platelets contribute to other pathophysiological disease states, including arterial and venous thrombosis, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), cancer, and sickle cell disease (Italiano et al., 2010), through shedding of MPs or vesicular membrane fragments. Platelet MPs are highly heterogeneous in size, ranging from 0.05 to 1 μm, organelle content, and phosphatidylserine surface expression (Italiano et al., 2010; Boilard et al., 2015). Interestingly, several studies have shown platelet MPs can engulf as well as transfer their contents (proteins or nucleic acids) to nearby cells, most notably tumor cells (Best et al., 2015; Michael et al., 2017). Depending on the contents, platelet MPs can either suppress or enhance the metastatic capabilities of tumor cells (Dashevsky et al., 2009; Varon et al., 2012; Michael et al., 2017). Despite their apparent participation in these physiologic or pathophysiologic processes, the fundamental aspects underlying their mechanisms remain largely unexplored. Currently, there are ongoing efforts to improve the methodologies in characterizing the diversity of MPs to aid in platelet-related disease biopsies or diagnosis. This section will detail the various pathophysiological conditions in which platelets play an important role and for which antiplatelet drugs are likely to represent a significant treatment option in the patient.

A. The Role of Platelets in Arterial Thrombosis

The pathogenesis of arterial thrombosis is complex and dynamic. Arterial thrombosis is initiated following endothelial damage from vascular injury or pathologic atherosclerotic plaque rupture under conditions of high shear rates (Fig. 1). Circulating platelets are rapidly decelerated and transiently interact with the damaged and exposed subendothelial connective tissue containing immobilized vWF bound to collagen (types I, III, and VI). vWF-collagen interacts with the platelet glycoprotein receptor Ib-V-IX complex, allowing platelets to translocate along the vessel wall and engage their receptors, GPVI and integrin α3β1, with the subendothelial fibrillar collagen. This firm interaction also facilitates platelets to associate with fibronectin through its engagement with integrin α5β1.

The engagement of platelet receptors with collagen also induces an inside-out cellular signaling cascade that leads to integrin αIIbβ3 activation (Coller and Shattil, 2008; Shattil et al., 2010). This process involves intermediary proteins, talin and kindlin, which bind to the cytoplasmic domain of β3 integrin to shift αIIbβ3...
from an inactive to active state (Tadokoro et al., 2003; Moser et al., 2008). Active $\alpha_{IIb} \beta_3$ conformation increases its affinity for adhesive proteins, vWF, fibrinogen, fibrin, and fibronectin. These interactions are essential for the platelets to form stable aggregates with other activated platelets to promote thrombus growth.

Following firm adhesion and aggregate formation, platelets also release or locally generate soluble agonists, such as ADP, TxA$_2$, and thrombin, to mediate feed-forward autocrine and paracrine platelet activation via their respective GPCRs. The activation of GPCRs initiates a series of intracellular signaling events, including generation of second messengers [diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol triphosphate (IP$$_3$)]. Eventually, the cascade of downstream signaling events culminates in the secretion of soluble factors, platelet spreading, and integrin activation. The secreted soluble agonists act on circulating platelets to be recruited and incorporated into a growing thrombus.

**B. The Role of Platelets in Venous Thrombosis**

VTE comprises deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. The underlying pathophysiology of venous thrombosis has predominantly been attributed to Virchow’s Triad: hypercoagulability, alterations in blood flow (stasis and turbulence), and endothelial dysfunction (Mackman, 2012). Platelets have traditionally not been thought to be a major player of venous thrombosis; however, increasing experimental evidence has demonstrated an important platelet contribution to the pathophysiology of venous thrombosis (Montoro-García et al., 2016). Platelet membranes are thought to contribute approximately 95% of the MPs found in circulation. Elevated MPs have been extensively described in patients with VTE, especially in cancer patients (Chirinos et al., 2005; Ay et al., 2009; Tessleraar et al., 2009; Zwicker et al., 2009; Garcia Rodriguez et al., 2010; Manly et al., 2010). In an ectopic cancer model, mice treated with clopidogrel, a P2Y$_{12}$ inhibitor, decreased tumor size and restored hemostasis by preventing the accumulation of cancer cell–derived MPs at the site of thrombosis (Mezouar et al., 2015). The in vivo use of antiplatelet drugs, clopidogrel and acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), in dogs, rat, rabbits, as well as the in vitro models, by which they reduced venous thrombus formation, has supported the role of platelets in the pathophysiology of venous thrombosis (Herbert et al., 1993; Bernat and Herbert, 1994; Savi et al., 1994, 2000; Imbault et al., 1996; Arroyo et al., 2001; Moore and Deschler, 2007; Wang et al., 2007). Deletion of the P2Y$_1$ receptor, a purinergic receptor expressed in the platelet, also showed reduced venous thrombosis formation (Bird et al., 2012). Furthermore, the ASPIRE and WARFASA clinical trials have provided strong evidence that ASA after initial anticoagulation is ceased reduces the rate of recurrence of VTE in patients with a prior unprovoked VTE (Becattini et al., 2012; Brighton et al., 2012). In fact, aspirin was shown to reduce recurrent events by more than one-third without significantly increasing the risk of bleeding.

**C. The Role of Platelets in Immune Responses**

Extending beyond the classic roles of hemostasis and thrombosis, platelets are increasingly being recognized for their functions in immune-pathologic disorders in the blood, such as inflammation and non- or proinfectious immunologic functions (Sullam et al., 1988; Pampolina and McNicol, 2005; Fitzgerald et al., 2006b; Arman et al., 2014; Boilard et al., 2014). Although there is developing understanding on the mechanisms by which foreign substances initiate host immune response via platelet interactions, the role of platelets in immunity is complex. Depending on the type of foreign agent, platelet activation can be enhanced, leading to either prothrombotic events or dampened platelet response, resulting in bleeding complications. In this section, an overview of platelet overlap with the immune response following bacterial, viral, or drug exposure will be discussed briefly.

Human and murine platelets express all nine TLRs (Koupenoiva et al., 2018) in addition to Fc$\gamma$ receptors that are used by the innate and adaptive immune cells (macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells) (Shiraki et al., 2004) to induce platelet response that indirectly bridges communication between platelets and other myeloid progenitor cells. For instance, Gram-negative bacteria-derived endotoxin, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), plays a fundamental role in sepsis through the activation of the TLR4 on neutrophils. Similarly, LPS induction of TLR4 on platelets can lead to platelet–neutrophil aggregate formation and subsequent neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) activation known as NETosis (Clark et al., 2007). NETosis is a dynamic process that may either have a beneficial effect for the host in isolating and preventing the spread of invading bacteria or detrimental outcome by which platelet-induced activation of neutrophils promotes injury to the host. Platelet TLR4 activation by LPS has also been shown to induce in vivo microvascular thrombosis in mice, resulting in thrombocytopenia (Zhang et al., 2009), as well as enhanced ex vivo platelet secretion and aggregation.

TLR4 also plays a role in hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS), characterized by nonimmune microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and renal failure (Prohászka, 2008). Human platelets have been demonstrated to bind to the O157:H7 LPS serotype derived from enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) through TLR4 (Stähl et al., 2006). Such binding of LPS is shown in platelets from children with HUS after EHEC infection, but not in children who did not develop HUS after EHEC infection, suggesting that platelet–LPS interaction may contribute to thrombocytopenia during HUS. In addition, platelets from HUS patients show elevated platelet markers [P-selectin, platelet factor 4 (PF4)], MPs, and $\beta$-thromboglobulin (Appiani et al., 1982; Katayama et al., 1993; Galli et al., 1996).
Besides TLR4, platelets can interact with various bacteria (*Staphylococci* family, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, and *Helicobacter pylori*) (Fitzgerald et al., 2006a; Yeaman, 2010; Hamzeh-Cognasse et al., 2015) by using their FcγRIIa (Fc fragment of IgG receptor IIa), complement receptors, or glycoprotein receptors. Following bacterial interaction, activated platelets enhance P-selectin expression to mediate its association with the P-selectin receptor on neutrophils as well as secrete the antimicrobial peptide, β-defensin, to induce NET formation (Kraemer et al., 2011). Similar to HIT, a life-threatening disorder that is characterized by low platelet count and thromboembolic complications, circulating PF4 can also recognize Gram-negative bacteria, leading to the formation of PF4/heparin-like epitopes. Exposed PF4/bacterial epitopes are recognized by autoantibodies, which in turn can bind to the platelet FcγRIIa and induce platelet activation or destruction by opsonization mediated by neutrophils (Krauel et al., 2011, 2012). Viruses also rely on the same family of receptors as the bacteria to mediate platelet–virus interaction. Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) bound to platelet TLR2 results in the release of proinflammatory CD40L, interleukin-1β, and vascular endothelial-derived growth factor (VEGF). Although HCMV does not induce platelet adhesion or aggregation, enhanced platelet–neutrophil heterotypic aggregates and neutrophil activation are observed in the presence of HCMV-treated platelets (Assinger et al., 2014). In addition, antibodies developed against influenza H1N1 virus have been shown to activate human platelets independently through both FcγRIIa signaling and thrombin generation (Boillard et al., 2014). Finally, dengue virus infection is characterized by profound hemorrhagic fever and thrombocytopenia in humans. In a rhesus macaque model infected with dengue virus, platelets were shown to be engulfed by monocytes with observed increased permeability of the endothelium (Onlamoon et al., 2010). In general, these studies provide evidence that by recognizing bacterial, drug, or viral components, platelets can mediate prothrombotic or proinflammatory pathways through varying host defense mechanisms (Fig. 2).

### D. Platelets in Cancer Metastasis

Although not originally appreciated as being associated with platelet function, it is now well recognized that the progression of tumors in cancer patients is accompanied by increased risk of thrombotic episodes (Khorana and Fine, 2004; Khorana et al., 2008; Khorana and Connolly, 2009; Lyman and Khorana, 2009). Current evidence supports an important role for platelet regulation of tumor growth and metastasis with platelet–tumor cross-talk contributing to increased tumor metastasis, angiogenesis, growth, proliferation, and even enhanced platelet activation. Platelets are now recognized to be a major source of metastatic and proangiogenic or survival growth factors (Assoian et al., 1983), such as transforming growth factor-β1 and VEGF.

Mechanistically, platelet-derived transforming growth factor-β1 has been observed to act through the p-Smad signaling pathway to induce phenotypic conversion in cancer cells, such as the transition from epithelial to mesenchymal-like cells. Mice exposed to human colon carcinoma cells (HT29) cocultured or primed with human platelets exhibited a higher incidence of lung metastases compared with untreated HT29 cells. Interestingly, ASA administration to mice has been shown to prevent the increased rate of lung metastasis in vivo as well as downregulating E-cadherin and upregulating transcription factor Twist1 that is associated with metastatic cancer (Guillem-Llobat et al., 2016). In addition to the prometastatic role of platelets, platelets also promote angiogenesis, a fundamental process for tumor growth and survival, through the secretion of VEGF released from α-granules, which acts on the VEGF receptor, VEGF-R2, on endothelial cells (Möhle et al., 1997; Italiano et al., 2008). Successful metastasis is now known to rely on cancer cell adhesion to platelets, which can be mediated by surface proteins, including P-selectin and integrin αIIbβ3.

In addition to regulation of tumor function and metastasis by platelets, tumor cells can also directly trigger platelet activation, through either the induction of agonists (TxA2 and ADP) or direct physical contact with platelets (Grignani et al., 1989; Zucchella et al., 1989; Kato et al., 2005; Mitrugno et al., 2014). Podoplanin (PDPN), a transmembrane sialoglycoprotein, is highly expressed on metastatic tumor cells and can interact with C-type lectin-like receptor 2 (CLEC-2), a hemi-immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM) on the platelet surface, to induce platelet activation and in turn further promote tumor growth and metastasis. Mice administered with anti-PDPN antibody, MS-1, which prevented PDPN/CLEC-2 interaction, exhibited a significant reduction in tumor metastasis and growth (Takagi et al., 2013). In summary, the mechanistic and cellular contributions of platelets to tumor survival and metastasis suggest the validity of targeting platelets in cancer as a new avenue for therapy.

### IV. Regulators of Platelet Activation and Signaling

#### A. G Protein–Coupled Receptors

GPCRs are seven-transmembrane receptors with an intracellular C terminus and an extracellular N terminus (Dohlman et al., 1987; Kroese et al., 2003; Woulfe, 2005). GPCRs signal through physical interaction with heterotrimeric G proteins (Moers et al., 2003), which are located on the surface of the internal membrane (Wong et al., 1990). G proteins associated with GPCRs can be categorized into four families, Ga, Gb, Gv, and G12/13, according to the α subunit identities and functions (Wettschureck et al., 2004). G protein selectivity and resultant function in the platelet are not always obvious based on receptor activation, because many GPCRs can...
be stimulated by more than one platelet agonist and coupled to single or multiple G protein families (Woulfe, 2005; Li et al., 2010). A number of GPCRs are expressed on the surface of the platelet, and activation of these receptors by their respective ligands dictates the extent of activation (Fig. 3) or inhibition of platelets in the vessel.

1. Protease-Activated Receptors 1 and 4. Protease-activated receptors (PARs) are widely expressed on platelets and are primarily activated by the potent serine protease thrombin (Ossovskaya and Bunnett, 2004; Arachiche and Nieman, 2017). In addition to thrombin, other serine proteases, such as calpain, granzyme, and factor Xa, are able to activate PAR1 and PAR4 (Zhao et al., 2014). The PAR family of GPCRs is comprised of four members: PAR1, PAR2 (not expressed on platelets), PAR3 (not expressed on human platelets), and PAR4; and the general mechanism of PAR activation is summarized as follows: 1) the N terminus is proteolytically cleaved to expose the tethered ligand, and 2) the newly formed N-terminal exodomain bends over and binds to extracellular loop 2 of the receptor, leading to receptor activation, G protein activation, and downstream signal transduction (Seeley et al., 2003). Part of the challenge in studying platelet activation is that human platelets express both PAR1 and PAR4 on its surface, whereas most vertebrates below primate express PAR3 and PAR4 on their platelet surface (Ossovskaya and Bunnett, 2004).

Whereas PAR1 and PAR4 are activated by the same ligand and share similar binding to Goαq and Goα13, they only share 27% amino acid sequence identity, suggesting that PAR1 in the human cannot be directly compared with PAR4 in either the human or mouse models of platelet activation and signaling (Xu et al., 1998). Compared with PAR1, PAR4 cleavage by thrombin is not as efficient if expressed by itself and needs 10 times more thrombin to be activated (Jacques and Kuliopulos, 2003; Nieman, 2008). However, the inherent coexpression of PAR1 and PAR4 on human platelets is postulated to potentially enhance the PAR4 cleavage rate by approximately 6- to 10-fold, suggesting that dimerization or oligomerization of the PARs on the surface of the platelet may play an important role in regulation of platelet activation (Jacques and Kuliopulos, 2003; Nieman, 2008; Arachiche et al., 2013).

PAR1 and PAR4 in human platelets signal through the α subunit of heterotrimeric Gq and G13 proteins (Gαq and Gα13); however, the coupling of PARs to Goi in platelets has not been confirmed to date (Holinstat et al., 2006, 2009; Kim et al., 2006; McCoy et al., 2012; Arachiche and Nieman, 2017). Gαq transmits its signal primarily through the activation of phospholipase C (PLC)β, which subsequently induces second messenger signaling through formation of IP3 and DAG (Hung et al., 1992; Offermanns et al., 1997; Holinstat et al., 2009; Stalker et al., 2012; Edelstein et al., 2014). IP3 stimulates the intracellular calcium mobilization, and
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**Fig. 3.** GPCR signaling in platelet function. Platelets express thrombin (PAR1/PAR4) and purinergic (P2Y1 and P2Y12) receptors. Each receptor is coupled to either Goi, Goq, or G13, which is involved in platelet activation (granule release, integrin activation).
DAG activates protein kinase C, respectively (Hung et al., 1992; Offermanns et al., 1997). As a result, a downstream integrin activation pathway is generated, including a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rap1, an adaptor Rap1-GTP–interacting adapter molecule, and the proteins kindlin and talin that directly bind to the cytosolic domain of the integrin \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) (Shattil et al., 2010). In addition to activation of \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\), a number of other platelet responses are regulated by the \(\alpha_q\)-mediated pathway, including granule secretion and platelet aggregation (Ossovskykaya and Bunnett, 2004; Arachiche and Nieman, 2017). The signal transduced through \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) activates the Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor p111RhoGEF, resulting in the activation of RhoA, and its effectors, including Rho-activated kinase and LIM-kinase, to stimulate platelet shape change (Moers et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2007). Although shape change is thought to be predominantly regulated by \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\), activation of \(\alpha_q\) has also been shown to be involved in platelet shape change downstream of myosin light chain kinase in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner (Offermanns, 2001).

Whereas PAR1 and PAR4 share some overlapping signaling events, each receptor appears to signal platelet activity through a unique set of pathways with differing kinetics. The intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling duration differs dramatically between PAR1 and PAR4 (Covic et al., 2000). Compared with PAR1, PAR4 has sustained Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling, which is beneficial for stable clot formation and fibrinogen fully spreading (Mazharian et al., 2007). Membrane lipid signaling identified on platelets is regulated via PAR1 and PAR4 differently. Membrane-bound neutral sphingomyelinase, which regulates platelets by inducing the mitogen activated protein kinase pathway, is increasingly associated with PAR4, but not PAR1, in human platelets when responding to thrombin (Chen et al., 2013). Compared with PAR1, stimulation of PAR4 induces significantly higher TxA2 formation, which is a metabolite of lipid membrane AA and activates platelets via TP\(\alpha\) (Holinstat et al., 2011). Integrin \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) is activated by PAR1, instead of PAR4, through phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) to induce platelet aggregation (Holinstat et al., 2007; Voss et al., 2007). All of the above leads to the rejection of the original hypothesis that PAR4 is just a redundant receptor for PAR1, reinforcing the concept that pharmacological perturbation of each receptor is warranted to develop therapeutic target (to be discussed in section Platelet Pharmacological Targets and Interventions of this review).

2. Purinergic Receptors P2Y\(_1\) and P2Y\(_{12}\). The purinergic receptors can be classified as either adenosine receptors (P1) or nucleotide receptors (P2). Ligand-gated ion channels (P2X) and GPCRs (P2Y) are the two major members of the P2 family (Murugappa and Kunapuli, 2006). Human platelets express the two P2Y receptor subtypes, P2Y\(_1\) and P2Y\(_{12}\), that are activated by ADP (Fig. 3) and are prime targets for antiplatelet therapy (Murugappa and Kunapuli, 2006; Yeung and Holinstat, 2012). Optimal platelet activation by ADP requires both receptors, by which each receptor subtype contributes uniquely through its associated G proteins. P2Y\(_1\) is a GPCR coupled to \(\alpha_q\) that mediates activation of PLC\(\beta\) and subsequent production of IP\(_3\) and DAG, causing Ca\(^{2+}\) release and protein kinase C activation (Ayyananathan et al., 1996; Murugappa and Kunapuli, 2006). Interestingly, shape change is also observed in P2Y\(_1\) activation, suggesting \(\alpha_q\) is also involved in shape change as observed in \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\). This is most likely due to calcium or calmodulin, or Rac-dependent contractile signaling in the \(\alpha_q\) pathway (Offermanns et al., 1997; Soulet et al., 2005).

In contrast to the wide tissue distribution of P2Y\(_1\), being expressed in platelets, central nervous system, and peripheral tissues, P2Y\(_{12}\) is only found in platelets and brain, which has made it an appealing antithrombotic drug target (Murugappa and Kunapuli, 2006). P2Y\(_{12}\) is coupled to both \(\alpha_q\) and \(\alpha_i\), which results in not only PLC\(\beta\) activation, but also inhibition of adenylyl cyclase (Hollopeter et al., 2001; Kauskot and Hoylaerts, 2012). The activation of P2Y\(_{12}\) has been demonstrated to induce G\(\beta\gamma\) activation that mediates dense granule secretion through the PI3K/Akt pathway (Kauffenstein et al., 2001; Murugappa and Kunapuli, 2006). Although many GPCR targets exist on the surface of the platelet, at least four Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved drugs have been developed to target P2Y\(_{12}\), as a standard-of-care treatment of a number of thrombotic conditions, used either as monotherapy or polytherapy in conjunction with ASA (referred to as dual antiplatelet therapy).

3. Prostaglandin Receptors TP\(\alpha\), IP, EP, and DP. Prostaglandins (PGs) are lipid-derived autacoids formed by a 20-carbon unsaturated fatty acid, AA, sequential metabolism catalyzed by COX, and prostaglandin synthase enzymes (Hata and Breyer, 2004). TxA\(_2\), PGD\(_2\), PGE\(_2\), and prostacyclin (PGI\(_2\)) are four predominant bioactive prostaglandins formed in vivo that are known to regulate platelet function through their respective receptors, TP\(\alpha\), prostaglandin D\(_2\) receptor 1 (DP\(_1\)), prostaglandin E\(_2\) receptors (EP\(_{1-4}\)), and prostacyclin receptor (IP) (Fig. 4). TxA\(_2\), derived from COX-1 oxidation of AA following phospholipase A\(_2\) activation, is a potent agonist for platelet shape change and aggregation (Woulfe, 2005). Although there are two variants of the TP\(\alpha\), TP\(\alpha\) and TP\(\beta\) (Habib et al., 1999), TxA\(_2\) interacts with the TP\(\alpha\) receptor coupled to \(\alpha_q\) and \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) on platelets (Rajchowdhury et al., 1994) to mediate the PLC\(\beta\) and RhoA pathways (Woulfe, 2005; Gong et al., 2010). In contrast, PGD\(_2\) activates DP\(_1\), coupled to \(\alpha_q\), that stimulates adenylyl cyclase to enhance cAMP production to inhibit platelet activation.

Interestingly, PGE\(_2\) exhibits a biphasic, dose-dependent effect on platelet function through its receptors, EP\(_1\), EP\(_2\), EP\(_3\), and EP\(_4\). Each of the EP receptors is unique such that
it has different binding affinity for PGE2 as well as coupled to different G proteins, allowing PGE2 to either activate or inhibit platelet function at low or high concentrations, respectively (Kauskot and Hoylaerts, 2012). Whereas EP1 and EP2 are low-affinity receptors (\(K_d > 10 \text{ nM}\)), EP3 and EP4 bind to PGE2 with higher affinity (\(K_d < 10 \text{ nM}\)) (Abramovitz et al., 2000). Furthermore, EP2 and EP4 are coupled to \(G_{\alpha_s}\), which activates adenylyl cyclase to evoke cAMP generation, resulting in inhibition of platelet function. EP1 is reported to couple to \(G_{\alpha_q}\) based on the observation of increased calcium mobilization (Sugimoto and Narumiya, 2007). Although EP3 has been predominantly demonstrated to associate with either \(G_{\alpha_i}\) or \(G_{\alpha_q}\), there are reports of \(G_{\alpha_i}\) or \(G_{\alpha_{13}}\) coupling. Thus, the exact G proteins involved in EP3 signaling are still unclear. Overall, the dual effect regulated by at least four GPCRs gives the platelet a significant level of control over the overall PGE2 effect on platelet function and activation.

PGI2 or prostacyclin is a known inhibitor of platelet activation derived from AA (Kauskot and Hoylaerts, 2012). PGI2 maintains platelets in a quiescent state in the absence of vascular injury or agonist activation (Cheng et al., 2002; Woulfe, 2005; Tourdot et al., 2017) by activating its receptor, IP, coupled to \(G_{\alpha_s}\) to stimulate adenylyl cyclase and subsequent cAMP formation and protein kinase A activation (Kauskot and Hoylaerts, 2012).

**B. Integrin Receptors \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3, \alpha_2\beta_1\)**

In addition to GPCRs, platelets express multiple non-GPCRs, including several integrin receptors, such as fibrinogen receptor, \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\); collagen receptor, \(\alpha_2\beta_1\); and laminin receptor, \(\alpha_6\beta_1\), which share similar signaling mechanisms (Li et al., 2010). The fibrinogen receptor \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) is the most abundant surface integrin (40,000–80,000 copies per cell) with a 148-kDa \(\alpha_{IIb}\) and a 95-kDa \(\beta_3\) subunit (Kauskot and Hoylaerts, 2012). Normally, \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) is at low affinity or resting state; however, upon platelet response to activating agonists, \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) undergoes an inside-out process by which it switches to an activated state with high affinity (Li et al., 2010) for fibrinogen. The shift in integrin affinity or conformational change is facilitated by the binding of talin and kindlin, to the intracellular \(\beta_3\) domain (Shattil et al., 2010). The underlying mechanism accommodating the talin and kindlin binding is attributed to Rap1 activation and Rap1-GTP–interacting adaptor molecule mediated by Ca²⁺-dependent guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rap1 (Lafuente et al., 2004). In addition, the platelet can be secondarily activated by direct \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\) interaction with fibrinogen, vWF, and other matrix proteins, to transmit signals to the cytoplasmic and cytoskeletal domains. This process is termed outside-in signaling, by which matrix proteins directly activate \(\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3\).

Furthermore, integrin \(\alpha_2\beta_1\) is one of two receptors expressed on the platelet that binds with high affinity to collagen (Cosemans et al., 2008). \(\alpha_2\beta_1\) has primarily been recognized in providing platelets firm adhesion to the subendothelial wall following platelet translocation along the vessel wall (see section **General Function of Platelets**). The role played by \(\alpha_2\beta_1\) in the collagen-induced platelet activation still remains unclear. Many of the contradicting results on whether collagen binding to \(\alpha_2\beta_1\) is critical for platelet activation were due to differing experimental conditions. In contrast, one general consensus was that genetic ablation or pharmacological inhibition of \(\alpha_2\beta_1\) integrin in platelets...
delayed platelet response to collagen without affecting the final extent of activation compared with controls. It has been demonstrated that, analogous to $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ binding to fibrinogen, $\alpha_2\beta_1$ integrin undergoes a shift from low- to high-affinity state for collagen following stimulation (Jung and Moroi, 1998), supporting an inside-out signaling mechanism. In contrast, an outside-in model has also been demonstrated by which platelets spread on GFOGER motifs. Platelet spreading on $\alpha_2\beta_1$ recognition motif, GFOGER, induced activation of the Src kinases and subsequent Syk recruitment and PLC$\gamma_2$ activation (Inoue et al., 2003). This observation was also supported by PLC$\gamma_2$-deficient mouse platelets, which exhibited limited spreading on GFOGER-coated surface. Interestingly, this study also provided insights to the underlying intracellular signaling events involved in $\alpha_2\beta_1$-mediated platelet adhesion and spreading. $\alpha_2\beta_1$-mediated spreading was demonstrated to share similar signaling pathways as the outside-in models of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and Fc$\gamma$RIIa.

C. Immunoreceptor Tyrosine-Based Activation Motif Receptors

Platelets express three (hemi)ITAM receptors, GPVI, Fc$\gamma$RIIa, and CLEC-2 (Fig. 5). Although both GPVI and Fc$\gamma$RIIa belong to the Ig family of receptors and signal through the dual ITAM consensus sequence (L/I/V/S)-X-Y-X-X-(L/V) (Kauskot and Hoylaerts, 2012) in platelets, they are structurally distinct in their relation to ITAM localization and activation. GPVII noncovalently associates with the Fe receptor $\gamma$-chain (Fc$\gamma$R) containing the ITAM, whereas Fc$\gamma$RIIa possesses the ITAM consensus sequence in its cytoplasmic tail (Clemetson and Clemetson, 2001; Bergmeier and Stefanini, 2013). Upon GPVI and Fc$\gamma$RIIa cross-linking or clustering, the ITAM is phosphorylated by Src family kinases, Lyn and Fyn, followed by recruitment and activation of proximal effector tyrosine kinase Syk. Syk initiates a downstream signaling cascade that includes the phosphorylation of transmembrane adapter linker for activated T cells (LAT) and assembly of a signalosome. The core of the signalosome consists of phosphorylated transmembrane adapter LAT (Pasquet et al., 1999) and cytosolic adaptors, Src homology 2 domain-containing leukocyte phosphoprotein of 76 kDa bound to Gads or Grb2 (Judd et al., 2002; Hughes et al., 2008). These three proteins associate with a number of signaling molecules, including Bruton tyrosine kinase (Quek et al., 1998), GTP exchange factors (Vav1 and Vav3) (Pearce et al., 2002, 2004), small GTPTase Rac, and the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ isoforms of PI3K, which are critical for the recruitment and activation of PLC$\gamma_2$. For instance, the pleckstrin homology domain of PLC$\gamma_2$ facilitates its recruitment to the plasma membrane through its binding of the PI3K product, phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-triphosphate. This binding plays an important role in the maximal activation of PLC$\gamma_2$, which liberates second messengers DAG and IP$_3$ from phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate.

CLEC-2 is a novel member of the ITAM platelet receptor family that has a carbohydrate-like extracellular domain. Currently, CLEC-2 is found to be important in lymphatic development and thrombosis. Mice lacking CLEC-2 in platelets exhibit impaired platelet aggregate formation and lower susceptibility to arterial thrombosis (May et al., 2009; Suzuki-Inoue et al., 2010; Herzog et al., 2013). Unlike the ITAM receptors, CLEC-2 has a single YxxL motif in its cytoplasmic tail that also employs the same signaling effectors as the ITAMs; however, differences of ITAM and hemi(ITAM) signaling have been reported. Syk has been shown to phosphorylate the hemi(ITAM), followed by Src family kinase recruitment and activation of LAT (Stegner et al., 2014). TxA$_2$ has been shown to play an important role in CLEC-2–induced Syk and PLC$\gamma_2$ phosphorylation. In addition, activation of ADP and PAR receptors potentiate CLEC-2 signaling (Badolia et al., 2017).

D. Enzymes Targeted for Regulation of Platelet Function

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), including AA, docosahexaenoic acid, docosapentaenoic acid, dihomoy–linolenic acid (DGLA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), and linoleic acid, are hydrolyzed by cytocolic phospholipase A$_2$ (cPLA$_2$) in platelets, and the subsequent free fatty acids are metabolized by COXs, LOXs, and cytochrome P450 (CYP450) to generate structurally distinct oxylipins that exhibit either pro- or antiplatelet functions (Yeung et al., 2017).

1. Cyclooxygenase-1. COX-1 is highly expressed in platelets and generates a number of PGs, including TxA$_2$, through PUFA metabolism (Rouzer and Marnett, 2009). Through COX-1, AA is transformed to series 2 PGs (including TxA$_2$, PGD$_2$, PGE$_2$, and PGI$_2$), which are either prothrombotic or antithrombotic (Ricciotti and FitzGerald, 2011; Chandrasekharan et al., 2016; Yeung et al., 2017). In addition, COX-1 converts the $\omega$-6 PUFA, DGLA, to series 1 PGs (including TA$_1$, PGD$_1$, and PGE$_1$) that are known to inhibit platelet activity both in vivo and in vitro (Lagarde et al., 2013; Sergeant et al., 2016). Similarly, the $\omega$-3 PUFAs, EPA, reacts with COX-1 to form series 3 PGs (including TA$_3$, PGI$_3$, and PGE$_3$) to inhibit platelet functions (Fischer and Weber, 1985; Kramér et al., 1996).

2. 12-Lipoxygenases. 12-LOX can be categorized into one of three forms (platelet, leukocyte, and epithelial) based on its cellular expression, and, among which, the platelet-type 12-LOX is found in all mammalian species (Funk et al., 1990). 12(S)-LOX, rather than 12(R)-LOX, is the predominant 12-LOX protein reported to regulate platelet function (Yeung et al., 2017). 12-LOX converts AA to 12(S)-hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid, which is then quickly reduced to 12(S)-HETE (Yeung et al., 2017). 12(S)-HETE has been shown to have contradicting roles in
platelet function. 12(S)-HETE has been reported to exert antiplatelet effects by inhibiting cPLA2 (Chang et al., 1985; Yamamoto, 1992) and preventing TxA2 binding to its TP receptor (Fonlupt et al., 1991). Conversely, 12(S)-HETE has been reported to be proaggregatory or prothrombotic by inducing TxA2 and dense granule secretion, as well as inhibiting PGE1-induced cAMP formation (Calzada et al., 1997; Yeung et al., 2012, 2016; Adili et al., 2017). Moreover, other 12-LOX–derived metabolites, including 12(S)-hydroxyeicosapentaenoic acid from EPA, 11/14-hydroxydocosahexaenoic acid from docosahexaenoic acid, 11/14-hydroxydocosapentaenoic acid from docosapentaenoic acid, and 12-hydroxyeicosatrienoic acid from DGLA, are shown to have antithrombotic effect in vivo and in vitro (Takenaga et al., 1986; Sun et al., 2015; Yeung et al., 2016).

3. Cyclic Nucleotide Phosphodiesterases.

There are at least seven isoenzymes of phosphodiesterases (PDEs) distinguished by their structural and enzymatic properties; however, platelets express PDE2, PDE3, and PDE5. PDEs regulate intracellular levels of cyclic nucleotides, such as cGMP and cAMP, in the platelets through hydrolysis (Cheung et al., 1996; Degerman et al., 1997). As a result of decreased cGMP or cAMP, platelets are prevented from being inhibited. Thus, PDEs are major targets of antiplatelet therapy to regulate platelet function through modulation of endogenous cAMP or cGMP (Conti et al., 1995; Manganiello et al., 1995).

V. Platelet Pharmacological Targets and Interventions

Antiplatelet agents, whether administered as a mono- or polytherapy, are the cornerstone of clinical treatment of arterial thrombotic events and ischemic stroke. Although antiplatelet targets have been limited to primarily COX-1, P2Y12 receptor, and integrin αIIbβ3, recent advances have revealed a number of newer targets that have led to novel antiplatelet drugs, either currently in use or in preclinical or early clinical stages of development. These agents target surface receptors (glycoproteins and GPCRs), oxygenases, and PDEs (Fig. 6; Table 1). With the availability of several antiplatelet agents with different safety and efficacy profiles, finding the best antithrombotic drug to rapidly and potently curtail thrombotic-associated events without increasing serious bleeding is becoming an attainable goal.

A. Oxygenase Inhibitors

Platelets express two classes of oxygenases, COX-1 and 12-LOX, which generate an assortment of unique lipid mediators (oxylipins) from PUFAs that exhibit pro- or antithrombotic activity. The most abundant PUFA in the lipid bilayer is AA, which is acted upon by both oxygenases to form the prothrombotic oxylipins, TxA2 and 12(S)-HETE. Significant efforts have resulted in a number of therapeutic drugs being developed to target the formation of these prothrombotic oxylipins to prevent their potentiation of platelet activation.

Aspirin or ASA, one of the first drugs developed for prevention of thrombosis, irreversibly targets COX-1 in the platelets to block the prostanoid production from AA (Capone et al., 2010). ASA is rapidly absorbed in the upper gastrointestinal tract following oral administration, leading to measurable platelet inhibition within 60 minutes. The plasma half-life of ASA is approximately 15 minutes, and peak plasma levels are achieved 30–40 minutes after ingestion (Patrone et al., 2005; Capodanno and Angiolillo, 2016). The ISIS-2 clinical trial showed ASA therapy was associated with a significant reduction in vascular mortality in patients
with suspected acute MI, either as a stand-alone therapy or in a combination with streptokinase. This established ASA as the first-line therapy in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction, and recommended to be taken when presented with symptoms and indefinitely irrespective of treatment strategy (Levine et al., 2011; O’Gara et al., 2013). More recently, ASA has been used as a dual antiplatelet therapy with P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitors (clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor) to prevent blood clots after percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs). Although ASA has effectively reduced morbidity and mortality, an increase in bleeding has also been associated with its use, especially gastrointestinal hemorrhage (Huang et al., 2011).

Another approach to antiplatelet therapy is targeting 12-LOX from forming 12(S)-HETE. Initial 12-LOX inhibitors (baicaelin, nordihydroguaiaretic acid, 5,8,11,14-eicosatetraynoic acid, OPC-29030, L-655,238, and BW755C) were found to be nonselective, as they were found to target cPLA₂, COX-1, COX-2, and other LOXs (15-LOX-1, 15-LOX-2, 5-LOX) in addition to 12-LOX. Recently, a more selective 12-LOX inhibitor, ML355, has been developed with no inhibitory activity identified for 15-LOX, 5-LOX, or COX, which exhibits potent inhibition of platelet activation in vivo (Luci et al., 2010; Adili et al., 2017). Moreover, ML355, which was given orally twice per day for 2 days to wild-type mice dose dependently (1.88, 3.75, 7.5, 15, and 30 mg/kg), inhibited thrombus growth and vessel growth following FeCl₃-induced mesenteric and laser-induced cremaster arteriole injury. ML355 has a favorable pharmacokinetic profile in which the maximal concentration of ML355 in plasma was approximately 57 μmol/l within 30 minutes, and approximately 5 μmol/l was detected in plasma at 12 hours following oral administration of 30 mg/kg for 2 days. ML355 was also able to inhibit human platelet adhesion and thrombus growth over collagen-coated ex vivo flow chambers, confirming the in vivo observations (Adili et al., 2017). Importantly, bleeding diathesis based on in vivo hemostatic plug formation and tail bleeding in mice orally gavaged with 30 mg/kg ML355 was not altered. Additionally, the utility of ML355 for inhibition of a variety of thrombotic diseases was established through inhibition of immune-mediated platelet activation and prevention of HIT in an ex vivo mouse model (Yeung et al., 2014). Taken together, these preclinical studies demonstrated the potential therapeutic benefits of targeting 12-LOX with ML355 for effectively preventing thrombotic events with minimal impact on bleeding.

B. ADP Receptor Inhibitors

P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitors are divided into prodrug and active antagonists. The thienopyridines (ticlopidine, clopidogrel, and prasugrel) are FDA-approved prodrugs, whereby the active metabolites of the thienopyridine prodrugs covalently and irreversibly bind to the receptor during the entire life span of the platelets (7–10 days). Although the thienopyridines require CYP450 isoenzyme metabolism for the generation of active metabolites, the pathways leading to their active metabolites differ between the prodrugs. In contrast, the nucleoside analogs (ticagrelor and cangrelor) are active P2Y₁₂ receptor antagonists that do not necessarily require metabolic conversion. The nucleoside analogs are reversible inhibitors due to their distinct binding site from ADP binding domain.

One of the first thienopyridines, ticlopidine (trade name Ticlid), which has an onset of action of 1–2 hours after a single oral dose (250 mg), was initially shown to be useful for preventing coronary stent occlusions and strokes. Due to the serious side effects [bone marrow suppression, thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura
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**Fig. 6.** The major antiplatelet targets. Drugs inhibit surface receptors, GPCRs (PAR1/4, P2Y12) and glycoproteins (integrin α₁β₃, GPVI, and Glib-IX-V), oxygenases (COX-1, 12-LOX), and phosphodiesterases to regulate platelet function. Agents coded in black are currently FDA approved, whereas drugs labeled as blue are under investigation in preclinical or clinical phases.
# FDA approved and investigational antiplatelet drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target(s):</th>
<th>Oxygenase</th>
<th>Integrin α&lt;sub&gt;IIb&lt;/sub&gt;β&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Collagen receptor</th>
<th>Thrombin receptors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>ReoPro Abciximab</td>
<td>Tirofiban Eptifibatide</td>
<td>Revacet Soluble dimeric glycoprotein VI-Fc fusion protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade name</td>
<td>Bayer Aspirin</td>
<td>Humanized mouse monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>Nonpeptide RGD mimetic</td>
<td>Nonpeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecule type</td>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
<td>Nonpeptide</td>
<td>Bicycllic amidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding type</td>
<td>Irreversible IV</td>
<td>Reversible IV</td>
<td>Reversible IV</td>
<td>Reversible Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation</td>
<td>Oral 15–20 min</td>
<td>IV 1.5–2 h (De Luca, 2012)</td>
<td>IV 2–2.5 h (De Luca, 2012)</td>
<td>Oral 22–26 h (Tello-Montoliu et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>2.5 h (murine) (Adili et al., 2017)</td>
<td>2–30 min (De Luca, 2012)</td>
<td>1.5–2 h</td>
<td>1–2 h (Tello-Montoliu et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prodrug</td>
<td>No N.D.</td>
<td>No Within 20 min (King et al., 2016)</td>
<td>No Within 20 min (King et al., 2016)</td>
<td>No No No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of action</td>
<td>1 h (Eikelboom et al., 2012)</td>
<td>&gt;4 h (De Luca, 2012)</td>
<td>&gt;4 h (De Luca, 2012)</td>
<td>4–8 weeks (Kosogoulu et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset of action</td>
<td>7–10 days N.D.</td>
<td>&gt;4 h (De Luca, 2012)</td>
<td>4–8 h</td>
<td>24 h (Wilson et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication(s)</td>
<td>Nonfatal thrombotic events; patients with STEMI (Franchi et al., 2017)</td>
<td>Prevention of ischemic complications due to PCI and patients with UA/NSTEMI (Amsterdam et al., 2014; Jneid et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Reduction of acute cardiac ischemic events (death and/or MI) for PCI, coronary stents, patients with UA/NSTEMI (Amsterdam et al., 2014; Jneid et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Under investigation for treatment of patients with ACS and stroke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target(s):</th>
<th>PDE</th>
<th>ADP Receptors</th>
<th>GPIb-IX-V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>Dipyridamole</td>
<td>Ticlopidine</td>
<td>Brilinta, Brilique, Possia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade name</td>
<td>Persantine</td>
<td>Ticlid</td>
<td>Kengreal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecule type</td>
<td>Pyrimido-pyrimidine derivative</td>
<td>Thienopyridine</td>
<td>ATP analog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding type</td>
<td>Reversible Oral</td>
<td>Reversible Oral</td>
<td>Reversible IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
<th>Prodrug</th>
<th>Onset of action</th>
<th>Offset of action</th>
<th>Indication(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dipyridamole</td>
<td>10 h (Gregov et al., 1987)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1–2 h (Gregov et al., 1987)</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>With oral anticoagulant in the prevention of postoperative thromboembolic complications (Faxon et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilostazol</td>
<td>10–11 h (Eikelboom et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Yes (CYP3A5 and CYP2C19) (Yoo et al., 2009, 2010)</td>
<td>1–3 h (Eikelboom et al., 2012)</td>
<td>12–16 h (Yamamoto et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Used as an adjunct to PCI for reducing the risk of periprocedural MI, repeat coronary revascularization, and stent thrombosis in patients with NSTEMI and STEMI (Franchi et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticlopidine</td>
<td>24–36 h (Eikelboom et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2–8 h (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>7–10 days (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Commonly used with aspirin for dual antiplatelet therapy to reduce MI and stroke in patients with NSTEMI or acute STEMI (Franchi et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td>20 min (Umemura and Iwaki, 2016)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30 min to 4 h (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>7–10 days (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Reduce rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events, including stent thrombosis, in ACS patients who are undergoing PCI, with UA/NSTEMI, STEMI (Franchi et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasugrel</td>
<td>30 min to 7.5 h (Umemura et al., 2016)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30 min to 4 h (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>3–5 days (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Reduce rate of rate of stent thrombosis, cardiovascular death, MI, and stroke in patients with ACS or a history of MI (Franchi et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticagrelor</td>
<td>7–9 h (Teng and Butler, 2013)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Seconds (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>1 h (Capodanno et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Used as an adjunct to PCI for reducing the risk of periprocedural MI, repeat coronary revascularization, and stent thrombosis in patients with NSTEMI and STEMI (Franchi et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cangrelor</td>
<td>3–5 min (Sible and Nawarskas, 2017)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>Preclinical data support treatment of ischemic events and TTP (Zheng et al., 2016); still under investigation in patients with NSTEMI and STEMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anfibatide</td>
<td>5–7 h (murine) (Zheng et al., 2016)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>Preclinical data support treatment of ischemic events and TTP (Zheng et al., 2016); still under investigation in patients with NSTEMI and STEMI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPTP, cyclopentyl-triazolo-pyrimidine; NSTEMI, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction.
(TTP), neutropenia), use of ticlopidine was limited to patients for whom ASA was not tolerated. Because of ticlopidine’s limited tolerability and significant side effects, an alternative thienopyridine analog was developed [clopidogrel (Plavix)]. Clopidogrel is currently the most widely used oral antithrombotic agent. Its irreversible effect on the P2Y_{12} receptor is due to its covalent binding to the cysteine sulphhydril residues within the receptor. Although it is well established that clopidogrel is effective in providing significant protection against thrombotic episodes when administered in combination with ASA (Fox et al., 2004), clopidogrel does have some drawbacks. Its onset of action is relatively slow following initiation of standard dosing, and inhibitory effects on platelet function may be highly variable. A major contributing factor to clopidogrel’s variable response is the polymorphism of the requisite CYP450 isoenzyme, CYP2C19, that is required for its conversion from prodrug to active drug. Furthermore, gender, ethnicity, body mass index, polymorphism in paraoxonase-1, and comorbidities, such as liver disease and insulin resistance, can significantly affect clopidogrel efficacy.

High on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity or poor responder to clopidogrel, especially due to the described factors above, has been shown to be an important predictor of adverse thrombotic outcomes. Thus, prasugrel (trade name Effient), a newer irreversible agent and third generation thienopyridine, has been developed to accommodate patients with high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity. Prasugrel has a higher bioavailability profile compared with clopidogrel, resulting in faster onset of action, enhanced platelet inhibition, and lower interindividual variability of platelet response. Based on the TRITON-TIMI 38 clinical trial, prasugrel was shown to significantly reduce the primary efficacy end point (composite cardiovascular deaths, nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI), or nonfatal stroke) in patients with moderate- to high-risk acute coronary syndrome (ACS) undergoing PCI by 19% compared with clopidogrel over a medium follow-up of 14.5 months (Wiviott et al., 2007). Overall, due to significant bleeding risk in prasugrel compared with clopidogrel, its use is restricted to patients who are less than 75 years old and exceed a body weight of 60 kg.

Ticagrelor (trade names Brilinta, Brilique, Possia), which belongs to the cyclopentyl-triazolo-pyrimidine class, was the first oral allosteric and reversible inhibitor of the P2Y_{12} receptor and was approved for use to prevent thrombotic events in patients with ACS or MI with ST elevation. Unlike the thienopyridines, ticagrelor does not require metabolic conversion; however, it can be metabolized to AR-C124910XX by CYP3A4. Its rapid on-rate of platelet inhibition is due to its quick absorption following oral administration. Ticagrelor shows a higher consistent and more predictable level of platelet inhibition compared with clopidogrel. Although ticagrelor is reversible, its off-rate is quite slow, by which 20% of platelet inhibition still remains 3 days after administration. Ticagralor’s slow off-rate is thought to be due to its binding to circulating plasma proteins, which lowers its rate of clearance. According to the PLATO clinical trial, ticagrelor was shown to be more efficacious than clopidogrel and reduced primary endpoint (composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, and stroke) in ACS patients (James et al., 2009; Wallentin et al., 2009).

Whereas ticagrelor is deemed to be more preferable than clopidogrel, absorption of oral P2Y_{12} inhibitors prior to PCI is still an issue. Thus, an i.v. and reversible formulation, cangrelor (trade name Kengreal in the United States and Kangrexal in Europe) was developed to expedite platelet inhibition. Cangrelor is a reversible P2Y_{12} platelet inhibitor that blocks ADP-mediated platelet activation and is currently FDA approved as an adjunct to PCI for reducing the risk of periprocedural MI, stent thrombosis, and repeat coronary revascularization (Franchi et al., 2017) in patients who have not been treated with other P2Y_{12} inhibitors or glycosylphosphatidylinositols (GPIs). Like ticagrelor, it has a chemical structure that resembles ATP and does not require metabolic conversion by CYP450 to become active. The half-life of cangrelor is very short (3–5 minutes), and its inhibitory effects on platelet function disappear within minutes following infusion (Storey et al., 2001; Sible and Nawarskas, 2017).

C. Integrin α_{IIb}β_{3} Receptor Inhibitors

Receptor α_{IIb}β_{3} is targeted by a class of inhibitors categorized into small (tirofiban, eptifibatide) and nonsmall (abciximab) molecules. These molecules exert their antithrombotic effects by preventing the binding of fibrinogen to the most abundant glycoprotein on the platelet surface (60,000–80,000 copies per platelet) (Wagner et al., 1996), α_{IIb}β_{3}, thereby blocking platelet aggregation. According to the guidelines of American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association, α_{IIb}β_{3} inhibitors are recommended for patients with unstable angina/non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (UA/NSTEMI) who cannot tolerate clopidogrel and are undergoing PCI (Jneid et al., 2012; Amsterdam et al., 2014b). The most serious complications of the GPs are bleeding and thrombocytopenia. Thrombocytopenia typically occurs within 24 hours of i.v. administration; thus, platelet counts are frequently monitored following infusion.

Abciximab (trade name ReoPro), a recombinant monoclonal antibody recognizing the epitope of the β_{3} motif, was the first α_{IIb}β_{3} inhibitor to be approved by the FDA for use in the clinic for PCI. Clinical trials (EPIC, EPILOG, EPISTENT) have shown that abciximab treatment reduced all-cause mortality by approximately 20% during long-term follow-up after PCI. The Fab fragment of abciximab was also found to cross-react with α_{V}β_{3} and α_{V}δβ_{3} (Mac-1, CD11b/CD18), which are expressed by endothelial, smooth muscle cells, and
leukocytes, respectively. Due to its cross-reactivity, which may be responsible for its unique anti-inflammatory properties, abciximab may provide additional benefits compared with the other GPIs. Abciximab has a slow off-rate because it remains bound to the platelets for an extended time (12–24 hours) and may dissociate and reassocate with new platelets for as long as 21 days after cessation of the agent (Kereiakes et al., 2000).

Unlike abciximab, eptifibatide (trade name Integrilin) and tirofiban (trade name Aggrastat) are smaller molecules with a lesser degree of affinity for the receptor and shorter duration of action. Tirofiban is a synthetic non-peptide tyrosine derivative that acts as a RGD (arginine-glycine-aspartic acid) mimetic that has a plasma half-life of 1.5–2 hours and a short biologic half-life, which reflects its reversible and relatively low-binding affinity. After cessation of tirofiban, partial platelet function is recovered within 4 hours. Comparative studies have shown that abciximab and eptifibatide were more effective than tirofiban in inhibiting platelet aggregation (Topol et al., 2001). Interestingly, whereas the TARGET clinical trial also showed abciximab was more effective than the standard dose of tirofiban in preventing ischemic events (Herrmann et al., 2002), a subsequent clinical trial (ADVANCE) suggested that tirofiban was not inferior to abciximab when given at a higher dose (Schneider et al., 2003; Valgimigli et al., 2008).

Eptifibatide, a cyclic heptapeptide, has been shown to rapidly inhibit platelet aggregation, attaining maximal effect within 15 minutes after its bolus injection and maintaining its potent inhibition during infusion. Whereas eptifibatide has a long plasma half-life of ~2.5 hours, the platelet-bound half-life is short (seconds). Eptifibatide is a reversible inhibitor, as observed by platelet function returning to normal function within 4–8 hours following cessation of drug. Both PURSUIT and ESPRIT clinical trials have shown the efficacy of eptifibatide at higher doses in reducing mortality by 25%–35% with a modest increase in bleeding compared with the placebo-treated group (Harrington, 1997; ESPRIT Investigators: Enhanced Suppression of the Platelet IIb/IIIa Receptor with Integrilin Therapy, 2000; Lincoff et al., 2000; O'Shea et al., 2001; O'Shea and Tcheng, 2001). Importantly, eptifibatide is currently the most widely used of the αIIbβ3 inhibitors for ACS patients in PCI, coronary stents, and UA/NSTEMI pre-PCI, and for medical stabilization of UA/NSTEMI.

D. Collagen Receptor Inhibitors

GPVI-Fc (tradename Revacept) is a recombinant GPVI mimic by which a fusion protein was formed between the extracellular collagen-binding domain of GPVI and the C-terminal of human Ig Fc domain to form a soluble GPVI. The GPVI-Fc predominantly targets the collagen found on the exposed subendothelial ECM at the injured vascular site and prevents the ability of platelet GPVI to bind to the exposed subendothelial ECM. Preclinical studies have successfully proven that injection of GPVI-Fc improved endothelial dysfunction and vascular in atherosclerotic rabbits (Ungerer et al., 2013) as well as reduced arterial thrombus formation, cerebral infarct size, and edema after stroke (Goebel et al., 2013), with improved functional and prognostic outcome with intracranial bleeding. A phase I clinical trial shows infused GPVI-Fc (10, 20, 40, 80, or 160 mg) doses efficiently inhibit collagen-induced platelet aggregation with no alteration in primary hemostasis compared with predosing. Both tumor necrosis factor–related activation protein– and ADP-induced platelet aggregation were not affected by GPVI-Fc treatment. Revacept could still be detected 3 days after administration of the 10 mg and higher doses, indicating drug elimination is slow. The terminal half-life of the lower doses (10 and 20 mg) was lower than in the groups receiving higher doses (40, 80, and 160 mg) (Ungerer et al., 2011). Platelet inhibition occurred at least 2 hours after infusion of Revacept, and the inhibitory effects remained up to 24 hours after infusion of higher doses (40, 80, and 160 mg). Ten and twenty milligrams Revacept weakly inhibited collagen-induced platelet aggregation 7 days after drug administration, whereas higher doses of Revacept prolonged inhibition of collagen-mediated platelet aggregation at later time points. These data support the safety and efficacy of Revacept in people, but have yet to be verified in patients with ACS. A phase II clinical trial is currently investigating Revacept in stable coronary artery disease patients scheduled for PCI. A composite of death and/or MI injury will be assessed as the primary endpoint in this ongoing trial.

E. Thrombin Receptor Inhibitors

Thrombin is a potent agonist that not only activates platelets through the proteolytic cleavage of thrombin receptors PAR1 and PAR4, but also plays a key role in the coagulation cascade, which is essential for hemostasis. An appeal to targeting PARs is that thrombin is the most potent agonist, and therefore blocking PAR activation would also block the release of secondary mediators (ADP and TxA3) from activated platelets. In addition, targeting PARs would avoid directly interfering with thrombin-induced fibrin production involved in hemostatic plug formation. Thus, inhibiting PAR1- or PAR4-induced platelet activation while leaving the other functions of thrombin intact may mitigate the risk of bleeding and provide a more selective effect for prevention of arterial thrombosis.

One of the first PAR antagonists, atopaxar, a small-molecule and reversible inhibitor of PAR1, derived from bicyclic amidine, was shown to competitively bind at or near the tethered ligand binding site within the second extracellular loop of the receptor. In a guinea pig model of photochemically induced thrombosis, orally administered atopaxar (30 mg/kg) prolonged the time to vessel occlusion by approximately 2 hours compared with control. The pharmacokinetic assessment showed that
atopaxar was metabolized by CYP3A4, and the onset of action occurred after 3.5 hours. However, the agent exhibited a slow rate of elimination with a half-life of 23 hours. A phase I study demonstrated that a single dose of 50, 100, and 200 mg drug significantly reduced thrombin-induced platelet aggregation with no severe adverse events reported. Two clinical trials (LANCELOT-ACS and LANCELOT-CAD) were conducted to assess the safety of atopaxar in ACS and chronic coronary artery disease. These trials showed relevant bleeding increased numerically but not significantly in the ACS trial; however, the bleeding rates increased in the CAD trial. Adverse events, such as liver function abnormalities and QT interval prolongation, were observed with higher doses (>200 mg) of atopaxar administration. Currently, there are no phase 3 trials registered to further investigate atopaxar.

Vorapaxar (trade name Zontivity), a synthetic tricyclic 3-phenylpyridine derivative from himbacine, has been FDA and EMA approved for the reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with previous MI or peripheral arterial disease (Déry et al., 2016). Vorapaxar is a reversible, competitive antagonist of PAR1 that binds at or near the tethered ligand binding site within the second extracellular loop of PAR1 (Kosoglou et al., 2012) with an estimated Kd of 1.2 ± 0.3 nM (Hawes et al., 2015). Preclinical studies show vorapaxar can successfully block thrombin- and PAR1-induced human platelet aggregation with an IC50 of 47 and 25 nM. Additionally, vorapaxar is shown to have a high oral bioavailability pharmacokinetic profile by which its onset of action occurs 1–2 hours after oral ingestion (5–40 mg) and has a terminal plasma half-life of 125–269 hours. Platelets from healthy subjects orally administered with a single loading dose of vorapaxar ranging from 5 to 40 mg showed PAR1-induced inhibition greater than 90% for more than 72 hours following administration of the drug (Becker et al., 2009).

Vorapaxar was assessed for efficacy and safety in two large phase 3 clinical trials that included more than 40,000 patients enrolled with ACS (TRACER clinical trial) and stable atherosclerosis (TRA 2°P-TIMI 50 clinical trial). The TRACER trial concluded that vorapaxar in combination with the standard antiplatelet therapy provided no significant net clinical benefit in patients with ACS, but significantly increased the risk of major bleeding, most notably intracranial hemorrhage in a subset of patients. This was most apparent in patients with prior history of stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA), by which bleeding was observed to increase incrementally over time, leading to early termination of the TRACER trial. TRA 2° P-TIMI 50 clinical trial was then modified to enroll patients with stable atherosclerosis and a history of MI or ischemic stroke (between 2 and 12 months before enrollment) and/or peripheral arterial disease (PAD) (either after revascularization or with an ankle–brachial index of <0.85), but excluded subset of patients with bleeding risk (history of stroke or TIA) described in TRACER. Unlike TRACER, TRA 2°P-TIMI 50 excluded the 40 mg single loading dose, but maintained the daily dose of 2.5 mg. Both the primary (composite of cardiovascular death, MI, or stroke) and secondary (composite of cardiovascular death, MI, stroke, or urgent revascularization) endpoints TRA 2°P-TIMI 50 were reduced compared with the placebo group; however, as observed in TRACER, bleeding complications were increased in patients receiving vorapaxar. As a result of these trials, the FDA approved vorapaxar for the prevention of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with a history of MI or PAD.

In light of the bleeding complications due to PAR1 inhibition, PAR4 antagonism has also been developed as a potential antiplatelet therapeutic avenue. There are several lines of evidence that suggest targeting PAR4 may also protect against occlusive thrombus formation without interfering hemostasis. For instance, PAR1 and PAR4 signal with different kinetics in human platelet activation, and these differences are thought to contribute to different phases of platelet activation. PAR1-induced activation by low thrombin is thought to contribute to the initial phase of platelet activation involved in hemostasis, whereas later stages of platelet activation mediated by the low-affinity thrombin receptor, PAR4, are thought to be important for occlusive thrombosis. PAR4 activation also induces platelet spreading, contributing to the irreversible formation of a stable thrombus. Therefore, targeting PAR4 while preserving PAR1 signaling may selectively inhibit thrombosis without altering hemostasis.

Anti-PAR4 antibodies were used to validate the efficacy and safety of PAR4 inhibition and were shown to restore carotid blood flow following iron (III) chloride (FeCl3)-induced thrombotic injury compared with the control IgG antibodies. Importantly, anti-PAR4 antibodies did not significantly prolong bleed times in the guinea pig cuticle- and renal-provoked bleeding time models (Wong et al., 2017). These data demonstrated the efficacy and low bleeding liability of PAR4 antagonism, prompting the development of the first, orally bioavailable, small-molecule inhibitor, BMS-986120. BMS-986120 was assessed in a randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled study in healthy cynomolgus monkeys, demonstrating its efficacy in reducing thrombus weight by 83% at 1 mg/kg with a twofold increase in bleeding time. In contrast, 0.3 mg/kg clopidogrel-administered monkeys showed a 48% reduction in thrombus weight, but with a seven- to eightfold increase in bleeding times (Wong et al., 2017). Recently, the completed phase I PROBE clinical trial showed BMS-986120 to exhibit high oral bioavailability in healthy human participants (Wilson et al., 2018). The preclinical and phase I trials have successfully shown the efficacy and limited bleeding risk in reducing thrombosis in healthy animals and volunteers, but these findings have yet to be confirmed in patients at risk for thrombosis.

F. Glycoprotein Ib-IX-V Inhibitors

Anfibatide (also known as agkicetin) is a C-type lectin-like protein derived from the venom of Agkistrodon
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Although anfibatide has completed its clinical phase I for safety and efficacy in patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction, phase II has yet to commence.

G. IP Receptor Inhibitors

Prostacyclin derivatives (PGI2, iloprost, treprostinil) are currently indicated for pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) only. The pathophysiology of PAH is a complex interplay of vasoconstriction, vascular wall hypertrophy, fibrosis, and platelet-associated thrombosis. These effects are mediated by their action on the cognate Gaα-coupled prostacyclin receptor, IP, which is found in endothelial cells, leukocytes, and platelets. One of the first prostacyclin therapies, PGI2 (trade name Epoprostenol), had been shown to be a potent vasodilator, inhibitor of platelet function, inflammation, and smooth muscle proliferation. Due to PGI2 short plasma half-life of 6 minutes, which presents clinical challenges, continuous infusion is needed to improve outcome. Because of the chemical instability, PGI2 was deemed not an ideal therapy for treatment of arterial thrombotic-associated conditions. A slightly longer half-life prostacyclin derivative, iloprost (trade name Ventavis), was also developed for PAH, but administered via oral inhalation. Antagonism of platelet function via Gaα activation is well established to prevent platelet activation and thrombosis. To confirm this effect, iloprost was infused into patients with peripheral vascular disease and monitored for platelet reactivity. Unfortunately, iloprost infusion enhanced blood coagulation and platelet reactivity, representing a risk for thromboembolism (Kovacs et al., 1991) in some patients. Thus, iloprost is contraindicated in patients who are already in prethrombotic conditions. Similar to PGI2, iloprost is found to have a short plasma half-life and observed to be completely metabolized to compounds that are excreted primarily in the urine.

To achieve a longer half-life profile for targeting the IP receptor, treprostinil was developed. Its multiple administration formulation include inhaled (trade name Tyvaso), i.v. (trade name Remodulin), and oral (trade name Orenitram). Interestingly, treprostinil not only binds to IP receptor, but is also found to activate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ. Side effects (flushing, hypotension, nausea, jaw pain, cough, headache, and diarrhea) are commonly associated with prostacyclin derivatives. Due to the lack of selectivity and side effects, beraprost, a stable synthetic prostacyclin analog, is currently undergoing clinical investigation for PAH. Beraprost sodium (BPS) has been monitored for its potential treatment of acute ischemic stroke in combination with ASA; however, BPS and ASA were not observed to significantly reduce the recurrence of cerebral infarction or death compared with ASA alone. Incidence of bleeding risk for BPS- and ASA-treated group was similar to ASA alone. Thus, BPS plus ASA was not found to be superior to ASA alone in the overall improvement or survival of acute ischemic stroke events (Chen et al., 2017). To date, the current prostacyclin derivatives (epoprostenol, treprostinil, and iloprost) are FDA approved for the treatment of PAH only. The existing IP selectivity challenges of the current or ongoing investigational prostacyclin analogs emphasize a clear need for improved targeted drug design.

H. Phosphodiesterase Inhibitors

Elevation of platelet cyclic nucleotides, cAMP and cGMP, results in the activation of protein kinases that phosphorylate a number of substrates, including myosin light chain kinase and vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein. As a consequence of cAMP or cGMP increase, cytoskeletal rearrangement and fibrinogen receptor activation are inhibited, preventing platelet activation. Because platelets express three PDE isoenzymes, PDE2, PDE3, and PDE5, this section will focus on selective PDE inhibitors that are in the clinic to regulate platelet function involved in thrombotic-related diseases.

One of the earlier PDE inhibitors, dipyridamole, was found to inhibit PDE5 and PDE3, resulting in the elevation of intracellular cAMP and cGMP. In addition, dipyridamole exhibited antioxidant activity by which it reduced the superoxide anion that inactivates COX, and thereby increased PGI2 synthesis. The ESPS2 and ESPRIT clinical trials established dipyridamole in combination with low-dose ASA is associated with greater stroke reduction in patients with ischemic cerebrovascular disease (Diener et al., 1996, 2001; Halkes et al., 2006, 2008). However, when dipyridamole was given alone or in combination with another antiplatelet agent, there was no evidence that dipyridamole reduced risk of vascular death in patient with arterial disease compared with placebo (De Schryver et al., 2007). Based on these earlier findings, dipyridamole has been FDA approved in combination with ASA for the secondary prevention of stroke.

Cilostazol (trade name Pletal) is a member of phosphodiesterase III (PDE3) drug class that raises cAMP to inhibit platelet activation as well as inducing vasodilation. Cilostazol is also shown to inhibit adenosine uptake,
thus enhancing adenosine levels, which in turn raises intracellular cAMP. Currently, cilostazol is indicated as a first-line therapy to treat the symptoms of intermittent claudication in patients with PAD, but contraindicated in patients with heart failure due to common adverse effects (tachycardia, palpitations, diarrhea, soft stools, and headache). Clinical trials have demonstrated that cilostazol is rapidly absorbed and primarily metabolized by CYP3A5 and to a lesser extent, CYP2C19, into its active metabolites, dehydrocilostazol (OPC-13015) and monohydroxycilostazol (OPC-13213), respectively (Yoo et al., 2010), reaching averaged peak concentration of 775 ng/ml or 2.09 μM at about 2.5 hours with a half-life of approximately 10 hours. Because of the CYP3A5 and CYP2C19 polymorphisms, there is considerable interindividual variation in cilostazol pharmacokinetic parameters (Yoo et al., 2009) as well as platelet response following administration.

Clinical studies have demonstrated the efficacy and bleeding safety of cilostazol coadministered with clopidogrel and ASA in ACS patients undergoing PCI (Jeong et al., 2010) by which they reduced the composite endpoint of cardiac death, MI, and stroke in comparison with ASA plus clopidogrel therapy (Suh et al., 2011). The CSPS 1 clinical trial also showed that cilostazol significantly reduced the recurrence of ischemic stroke and the incidence of the combined endpoint of MI, TIA, and intracranial hemorrhage without a significant increase of bleeding and fatal intracranial hemorrhage. In the second CSPS 2 trial, patients with noncardioembolic cerebral infarction within the previous 26 weeks were orally administered with 100 mg cilostazol twice daily and showed noninferiority or possibly superiority to 81 mg ASA once daily in preventing recurrence of stroke and cilostazol was associated with fewer bleeding events. In addition, restenosis tended to be lower with cilostazol, but not significantly compared with patients with ticlopidine and ASA in patients undergoing elective coronary stenting. Another small study showed cilostazol administration improved long-term patency after carotid artery stenting in 97 patients with high-grade carotid stenosis monitored for 12 months. In conclusion, several of these clinical trial findings with cilostazol, alone or in combination with other antiplatelet drugs, do show efficacy with limited bleeding risk, but further clinical research would need to be conducted in the United States for the approval of its use for other thrombotic diseases.

VI. Conclusions

Platelets play a critical role in maintaining blood in the clinic. Although inhibition of platelets can now be achieved through traditional interventional approaches, this has led to a significant increase in pathologic bleeding. The current review therefore has given an overview not only of the approved targets for pharmacological intervention in the platelet, but additionally describes the newly identified pharmacological targets in the platelet and how they are being developed as drugs to limit platelet activation and thrombosis while sparing the concomitant bleeding. Development of these targets is essential to attain better control over the numerous functions regulated by the platelet.
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