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I. Introduction

Pioneering studies showed more than 60 years ago that dopamine (DA) is not just a metabolic intermediate in the synthesis of norepinephrine but a neurotransmitter in itself (Carlsson and Waldeck, 1958). The DA system has since been the subject of numerous studies, and it is now fully established that DA is an essential and dominant neurotransmitter within the central nervous system (CNS), modulating a wide array of physiologic functions, such as motor control, reward-based motivation, memory, learning, decision making, and neuroendocrine secretion (Björklund and Dunnett, 2007; Berke, 2018). The DA field has been further fostered by the realization that imbalances in DA function still appear remarkably poorly understood. This situation has called for the implementation of new genetic tools, such as chemogenetics, has led to an entirely new era for functional studies of neuronal signaling. By exploiting receptors that are engineered to respond selectively to an otherwise inert ligand, so-called Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs), chemogenetics enables pharmacological remote control of neuronal activity. Here we review the recent, extensive application of this technique to the DA field and how its use has advanced the study of the DA system and contributed to our general understanding of DA signaling and related behaviors. Moreover, we discuss the challenges and pitfalls associated with the chemogenetic technology, such as the metabolism of the DREADD ligand clozapine N-oxide (CNO) to the D2 receptor antagonist clozapine. We conclude that despite the recent concerns regarding CNO, the chemogenetic toolbox provides an exceptional approach to study neuronal function. The huge potential should promote continued investigations and additional refinements to further expound key mechanisms of DA signaling and circuitries in normal as well as maladaptive behaviors.

Abstract—For more than 60 years, dopamine (DA) has been known as a critical modulatory neurotransmitter regulating locomotion, reward-based motivation, and endocrine functions. Disturbances in DA signaling have been linked to an array of different neurologic and psychiatric disorders, including Parkinson’s disease, schizophrenia, and addiction, but the underlying pathologic mechanisms have never been fully elucidated. One major obstacle limiting interpretation of standard pharmacological and transgenic interventions is the complexity of the DA system, which only appears to widen as research progresses. Nonetheless, development of new genetic tools, such as chemogenetics, has led to an exceptional approach to study neuronal function. The huge potential should promote continued investigations and additional refinements to further expound key mechanisms of DA signaling and circuitries in normal as well as maladaptive behaviors.

ABBREVIATIONS: AAV, adeno-associated virus; AC, adenylyl cyclase; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; BNST, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; CAV2, canine adeno virus type 2; CNO, clozapine N-oxide; CNS, central nervous system; CPP, conditioned place preference; D₄, dopamine receptors 1–5; DA, dopamine; DAT, dopamine transporter; DIO, double-floxed inverse open reading frame; dMSN, direct medium spiny neuron; DREADDs, designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; Flp, flippase; GIRK, G-protein coupled inward-rectifying potassium channels; Gqi/gs, regulatory G-protein subunit; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; hM3Dq, Gq DREADD; hM4Di, Gi DREADD; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; iMSN, indirect medium spiny neuron; KO, knockout; KORD, kappa-opioid receptor-DREADD; i-DOPA, 1-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; MSN, medium spiny neuron; NAc, nucleus accumbens; 6-OHDA, 6-hydroxy-dopamine; PD, Parkinson’s disease; PFC, prefrontal cortex; PKA, protein kinase A; rM3Darr, beta-arrestin based DREADD; rM3Ds, Gs DREADD; SaIB, salvinorin B; SN, substantia nigra; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase; VTA, ventral tegmental area; WT, wild type.
novel techniques in the field encompassing particular tools that can harness specific manipulations of neuronal circuits to elucidate mechanisms of homeostatic and pathologic phenotypes. The advancements of transformative molecular-genetic manipulation technologies, such as chemogenetics and optogenetics, are altering the course of behavioral neuroscience with the potential to unprecedentedly advance our knowledge about how DAergic signaling and its complex organization mediates behavior. It is therefore of no surprise that these technologies already have been widely used as strategies to study and dissect DA-related functions. Despite their many obvious advantages, such techniques inevitably also embrace a substantial number of pitfalls and limitations that must be considered when interpreting experimental results.

In this review, we carry out a comprehensive review of studies over the last few years of the DA system in which chemogenetic approaches have been employed; that is, studies, that have taken advantage of DREADDs (“Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs”) and thereby used the possibility of activating discrete G protein-coupled signaling cascades in selected target cells to manipulate neuronal functions. We believe that the technique possesses huge potential and can be a powerful approach if used in the appropriate manner. We will provide a short overview of the DA system before introducing the chemogenetic approach and critically reviewing areas of DA research in which chemogenetic approaches have been applied. Next, we will specifically describe pitfalls and limitations, as well as discuss future directions for refining the technology. We hope thereby to generate a detailed overview of what we have learned from the use of chemogenetics and a framework for how chemogenetics can fruitfully be applied to the DA system to continue the exploration of this fascinating neurotransmitter.

A. A Portrait of the Dopamine System

1. Dopamine Synthesis and Neurotransmission. DA neurotransmission depends on DA synthesis that takes place within a restricted set of neurons expressing the enzymes involved in the biosynthetic pathway of DA from dietary tyrosine sources. Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting enzyme in DA production, converts tyrosine to L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA), which in turn is converted by aromatic amino acids decarboxylase to DA. Cytosolic DA is sequestered into synaptic vesicles by the action of the vesicular monoamine transporter 2, acting as a proton antiporter that takes advantage of the low intravesicular pH to transport DA into the vesicles against a large concentration gradient (German et al., 2015). After fusion of these vesicles with the plasma membrane and release from presynaptic terminals, DA exerts its actions via five distinct, but highly homologous, G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). The DA receptors are divided into two subclasses based on their pharmacological profile and coupling: the D1-like receptors (D1R and D5R) and the D2-like receptors (D2R, D3R, and D4R) (Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011). The D2R exists in a short or long variant (D2S and D2L) of which D2S constitutes the primary presynaptic autoreceptor in the DAergic neurons (Lindgren et al., 2003). D1-like receptors are generally characterized by displaying one to two orders of magnitude lower affinity to DA compared with the D2-like receptors, meaning that the latter are much more likely to be saturated with DA during tonic release conditions (Richfield et al., 1987). The coupling of the D1-like and D2-like receptors to intracellular signaling pathways are distinct and generally oppositely directed. D1-like receptors couple to G_αi and G_αolf leading to stimulation of adenyl cyclase (AC), which results in increased levels of cAMP and activation of protein kinase A (PKA). In contrast, D2-like receptors couple to G_αi and G_αar leading to inhibition of AC, lower cAMP levels, and less PKA activation. Via the G_βγ subunits of the heterotrimeric G protein, the D2-like receptors can also regulate ion channel function, including G protein-coupled inward-rectifying potassium channels (GIRK) (Kuzhikandathil et al., 1998; Lavine et al., 2002) and voltage-gated Ca^{2+}-channels (Yan et al., 1997). DA receptors, and in particular D2-like receptors, also signal through G protein-independent pathways. The D2-like receptors can, for example, operate through β-arrestin-dependent pathways involving activation of Akt (also called protein kinase B) and glycogen synthase kinase 3 (Beaulieu et al., 2004, 2005, 2007). For further details on DA receptors, including a more in-depth description of their functional coupling and distribution in the brain, we kindly refer to other reviews (Neve et al., 2004; Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011; Tritsch and Sabatini, 2012; Beaulieu et al., 2015).

To understand the complex regulation of DA signaling, it is essential to highlight the DA transporter (DAT). DAT is expressed in DA neurons and mediates rapid reuptake of released DA. In doing so, DAT plays an essential role in controlling extracellular levels of DA and thereby DA signaling (Jones et al., 1998). DAT belongs to the large family of neurotransmitter:sodium symporters, and thus the transporter utilizes the transmembrane sodium gradient to translocate effectively DA across the membrane against its concentration gradient. Importantly, DAT is the prime target for the stimulatory action of psychostimulants such as cocaine and amphetamine. Whereas cocaine acts as a high-affinity, competitive inhibitor, amphetamine is a substrate that upon entry through the transporter promotes the release of DA via DAT, a process known to involve activation of protein kinases, such as Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II and phosphorylation of the transporter itself (Kristensen et al., 2011). DAT is also a target for commonly used mediation used against ADHD such as methylphenidate and modafinil. For further
details on DAT, we kindly refer to other excellent reviews (Torres and Amara, 2007; Kristensen et al., 2011; Sulzer, 2011).

2. Dopamine Pathways and Circuits. The majority of the brain’s DAergic transmission is organized into four neural pathways that we now know partake in distinct, but also sometimes seemingly overlapping behaviors. 1) The nigrostriatal pathway projects from the midbrain substantia nigra (SN) pars compacta to the dorsal striatum and exerts both facilitatory and inhibitory regulation of movements and is involved in habitual and compulsive responses. 2) The mesolimbic pathway projects from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) to limbic brain regions, including the amygdala and the nucleus accumbens (NAc) of the ventral striatum. This pathway is involved in motivation, goal-directed behaviors, attributing incentive values of reward-related environmental stimuli and labeling pleasurable emotions with motivational salience. 3) The mesocortical pathway projects from the midbrain VTA to the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and chiefly mediates executive function such as selective and sustained attention, flexibility in responding to stimuli, planning and goal-directed behavior, and monitoring and inhibitory control. 4) The tuberoinfundibular pathway projects from the hypothalamus to the pituitary gland, where DA inhibits the secretion of the hormone prolactin (Volkow et al., 2007; Arias-Carrión and Pöppel, 2007; Björklund and Dunnett, 2007).

Although the separation of the DA system into the above-mentioned pathways is still relevant, it is important to emphasize that recent investigations have led to a significantly more complicated picture of the DAergic system with heterogeneous populations of neurons that express and release DA via different mechanisms in different brain regions (Morales and Margolis, 2017). For example, labeling studies have revealed a complex heterogeneity of the midbrain DAergic system in terms of projection patterns, firing and release probability, and intracellular molecular characteristics and properties (Lammel et al., 2008, 2014; Apuschkin et al., 2015; Poulin et al., 2018). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that some midbrain DA neurons are capable of releasing other neurotransmitters, such as 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) (Zhou et al., 2005), glutamate (Chuhma et al., 2004; Nair-Roberts et al., 2008; Hnasko et al., 2010; Stuber et al., 2010), and GABA (Tritsch et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015; Berrios et al., 2016). Finally, complex input networks projecting onto DAergic neurons, as well as output target areas (e.g., Beier et al., 2015; Poulin et al., 2018), emphasize a major role of DA as a modulator rather than a regulator of neuronal excitability in target areas. Once DA is released in target areas, DA receptors expressed on postsynaptic membranes convey and determine the further processing of the DAergic signal, which is dependent on the DA receptor profile. While target areas such as the dorsal striatum, comprised mainly of GABAergic medium spiny neurons (MSNs), have been found to be rather ordered in terms of expressing either D1- or D2-like receptors and with distinct projection patterns, other target areas such as the NAc and PFC lack such architecture or remain to be equally characterized (Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011; Kupchik et al., 2015). Altogether, the DA system displays an anatomic, as well as molecular, complexity, which precludes standard pharmacologic or genetic approaches for deconstructing DA signaling in normal and maladaptive behavioral states. However, these obstacles can be circumvented if DAergic pathways are precisely defined and/or DAergic signaling can be both spatially and temporally controlled. Furthermore, if maladaptive DA states are reversible, a technique that would allow for bidirectional regulation would be a huge advantage for exploring new therapeutic avenues.

B. Chemogenetics—Pharmacological Remote Control of Neuronal Activity

The DREADD technology was developed by Bryan Roth and colleagues at the University of North Carolina and was published for the first time in 2007 (Armbruster et al., 2007). This methodological approach extends from other chemogenetic platforms described in the field of GPCRs, such as allele-specific activation of genetically encoded receptors (Strader et al., 1991), receptors activated solely by synthetic ligands (Conklin et al., 2008) and neocoeptors (Jacobson et al., 2001). The development of DREADDs enabled new study designs as it allowed neuroscientists to bidirectionally manipulate intracellular G protein-coupled signaling of neuronal populations of interest and at sufficient strength—acting like a device for remote control of neuronal signaling. We will briefly describe the rationale behind the DREADDs technique and elaborate on its suitability for studying the DA system. For more information on DREADDs development and structures, see Roth (2016) and Armbruster et al. (2007).

The original DREADDs are mutated muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, which are insensitive to their natural ligand, acetylcholine, and instead respond to an otherwise inert compound, clozapine N-oxide (CNO), a metabolite of the atypical antipsychotic clozapine (Roth, 2016). The basic idea was to genetically introduce and express the various DREADD variants within cells of interest and thereby allow targeted manipulation of specific intracellular signaling cascades through the coupling of the distinct receptors to either excitatory (Gs, Gq) or inhibitory (Gi) signaling cascades upon CNO binding (see Fig. 1). Specifically, the Gq-coupled hM3Dq DREADD leads to activation of the phospholipase C cascade and increases intracellular calcium to promote neuronal burst firing (Armbruster et al., 2007), while the Gs-coupled rM3Dq DREADD increases cAMP-mediated depolarization and thus mimics the signaling...
pathway activated by D1-like receptors (Farrell et al., 2013). The Gi-coupled hM4Di DREADD causes membrane hyperpolarization through activation of GIRK and decreases cAMP signaling (Armbruster et al., 2007) analogous to D2Rs. Like other GPCRs, activated DREADDs may recruit arrestins, which are able to convey delayed G protein-independent receptor signaling (Roth, 2016). A beta-arrestin based DREADD (rM3Darr) has been generated to explore the physiologic relevance of these arrestin-dependent signaling pathways, but overall this receptor has been used much less than the other aforementioned variants (Nakajima and Wess, 2012; Roth, 2016). Finally, a new DREADD variant, the kappa-opioid receptor DREADD (KORD), constitutes a mutated kappa-opioid receptor that exerts inhibitory actions via a Gi-coupled signaling pathway. This receptor is activated by salvinorin B (SalB), an inert metabolite of the psychotropic salvinorin A (Vardy et al., 2015). This new variant can be used alone, as well as opening up the possibility for combinatorial DREADD studies, where KORD can be applied together with CNO-sensitive DREADDs. Of further interest, the pharmacokinetics of KORD activation by SalB differs greatly from that of CNO-sensitive DREADDs, as it possesses a much more rapid onset of action and response extinction timeframe within 1 hour in contrast to several hours for the effects of CNO (Vardy et al., 2015).

1. Specific Spatial Resolution of Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs Activation. A major advantage of chemogenetics, compared with classic pharmacological manipulations, is the specificity by which activation of the receptors can be achieved. The spatial resolution of DREADD-induced manipulations is determined by the ectopic expression of DREADDs in desired neurons, and this can in principle be controlled by the researcher’s choice of approach: either directly by placing the DREADD transgene downstream of a specific promoter in transgenic animals or virus vectors (Farrell et al., 2013; Ferguson et al., 2013) or indirectly through Cre-lox-mediated recombination using double-floxed inverse open reading frame (DIO) DREADD-encoding viruses in combination with rodent Cre-driver lines or a second Cre-expressing virus (Bock et al., 2013; Carter et al., 2013; Schwarz et al., 2015). Figure 2 demonstrates how various viral-transgenic approaches can be applied to target midbrain DA neurons and here exemplified how to obtain gradually better selective expression toward only NAc-projecting neurons. While viral delivery of a DREADD transgene may limit expression to the target area e.g., VTA (Fig. 2A), the DREADD transgene could be introduced downstream of promoters, regulating genes that are selectively expressed within the DA system to obtain more desirable expression. Such an approach has been applied selectively to target DREADDs in dorsostriatal MSNs belonging to either the striatonigral direct
Fig. 2. Viral approaches to obtain increased specificity of chemogenetic manipulations within the DA system. (A–D) Illustrates different viral-transgenic approaches to obtain chemogenetic control of midbrain DA neurons with increasing specificity of DREADD expression toward NAc-projecting neurons (shown for hM4Di-mCherry). (A) The viral approach that limits DREADD expression primarily toward the injection site; a viral vector carrying the DREADD transgene is injected into the target area of a WT mouse. Increased selectivity can be obtained by placing the DREADD transgene downstream of a neuron-specific promoter (e.g., Ferguson et al., 2011; Farrell et al., 2013; Ferguson et al., 2013). (B) The viral Cre-dependent approach is by far the most commonly used to obtain chemogenetic control of a neuronal population of interest; a single Cre-dependent DREADD vector is injected into the target area of a Cre-driver mouse and restricts the expression toward Cre-expressing neurons within the injection site (e.g., Lindeberg et al., 2004; Savitt et al., 2005; Bäckman et al., 2006; Runegaard et al., 2018). (C) The dual viral Cre-dependent approach has been applied to
pathway (i.e., D₁R expressing) or the striatopallidal indirect pathway (i.e., D₂R expressing) by using herpes simplex virus carrying dynorphin and enkephalin promoters, respectively (Ferguson et al., 2011). Targeting of midbrain DA neurons has been successful using a combinatorial approach, with the most commonly applied approach taking advantage of transgenic Cre-driver lines (Fig. 2B). More specifically, injection of a viral vector (e.g., AAV), carrying a DIO-DREADD (the expression of which relies on the presence of Cre), into the target area (e.g., VTA) of Cre-driver lines exploiting classic genetic markers of DA neurons, confines DREADD expression to putative DA neurons in the midbrain. Classic markers include the DA transporter (DAT) and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), and both DAT-Cre and TH-Cre driver lines have been used to confine DREADD expression to midbrain DA neurons (Lindeberg et al., 2004; Savitt et al., 2005; Bäckman et al., 2006; Runegaard et al., 2018) (Fig. 2B). Alternatively, the Cre-recombinase can be delivered by viral means in a dual viral approach in wild-type (WT) mice (Fig. 2C), as recently demonstrated by use of retrogradely transported recombinant canine adenovirus (CAV2) (Hnasko et al., 2006; Boender et al., 2014; Boekhoudt et al., 2016) or retrograde adeno-associated virus (AAV2-retro) (Tervo et al., 2016). The dual viral approach can even provide extra target specificity toward individual DA projections in Cre-driver lines. In such a setup, combinatorial viral expression determined by a retrograde vector encoding Cre-dependent recombinase flippase (Flp) and a second viral vector encoding Flp-dependent DREADD can confine the expression further (Fig. 2D) (Boender et al., 2014; Runegaard et al., 2018). In addition, although less well characterized for achieving high DA neuron specificity, an AAV vector delivering Cre-recombinase under the control of a fragment of the TH promoter is another option (Stauffer et al., 2016). With a new delivery platform allowing for intravenous AAV delivery, it appears that DA neurons can even be selectively targeted by systemic application (Chan et al., 2017). These two latter viral approaches are interesting, as they technically permit DREADD targeting toward DA neurons in species other than rodents (Stauffer et al., 2016). Taken together, the molecular-genetic toolbox for chemogenetics is large and ideally suited for targeting and studying the DA system (Whissell et al., 2016). Since the signaling cascade of DREADDs and DA receptors are conveyed through the same downstream molecules, it is also noteworthy to mention that activation of DREADDs possesses the potential ability to mimic, mitigate, or override endogenous DA signaling.

2. Specific Temporal Resolution of Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs Activation.

The temporal resolution of DREADDs activation represents another important variable. Indeed, as mentioned above, the DREADD and KORD agonists, CNO and SalB, respectively, display distinct temporal dynamics (Roth, 2016). Furthermore, the route of administration can strongly influence the pharmacokinetics and thereby the temporal dynamics of the manipulation. Systemic administration of CNO by intraperitoneal injection causes plasma levels to peak within 30 min and decline over the subsequent 2 h (Guettier et al., 2009). Although plasma levels of CNO decline quickly, behavioral effects may be longer lasting for up to 6–10 h (Alexander et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2013). It is likely that this is linked to the recently reported possible conversion of CNO to clozapine following in vivo administration in rodents (Maclaren et al., 2016; Gomez et al., 2017). Strikingly, it appears that clozapine, but not CNO, crosses the blood-brain barrier, and clozapine binds to and activates DREADD receptors with higher affinity and potency than CNO (Gomez et al., 2017). As clozapine is a D₂R antagonist, this underscores the importance of including CNO-treated non-DREADD-expressing animals as controls, particularly in studies focusing on the DA system. Along these lines, it should be mentioned that the resulting concentrations of clozapine, however, are predicted to be too low to affect D₂R function at the most commonly used concentrations of CNO in behavioral studies (Gomez et al., 2017; Mahler and Aston-Jones, 2018). Nonetheless, these findings support the use of subthreshold doses of clozapine as the DREADD-activating agent instead of high doses of CNO (Gomez et al., 2017). In contrast to CNO, the pharmacokinetics of SalB have not been equally well studied, but behavioral effects after intraperitoneal administration of SalB indicates a 10–15 min onset of action time and roughly 1 h duration depending on the behavior investigated, thus providing both a

obtain selective expression within neurons projecting to a certain area. In WT mice, a Cre-dependent DREADD vector is injected in the area containing the cell nuclei and a retrogradely transported vector, such as CAV2-Cre, is injected in the terminal area of the neurons of interest (e.g., Hnasko et al., 2006; Boender et al., 2014; Boekhoudt et al., 2016; Tervo et al., 2016). One limitation here is that the DREADD may be expressed in any neuron projecting from the target area (e.g., VTA) and traveling along or in proximity to the target area of the neurons of interest where the retrograde vector is injected (e.g., NAc) containing the cell nuclei and a retrogradely transported vector, such as CAV2-Cre, is injected in the terminal area of the neurons of interest (e.g., VTA) of Cre-driver lines exploiting classic genetic markers of DA neurons, confines DREADD expression to putative DA neurons in the midbrain. Classic markers include the DA transporter (DAT) and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), and both DAT-Cre and TH-Cre driver lines have been used to confine DREADD expression to midbrain DA neurons (Lindeberg et al., 2004; Savitt et al., 2005; Bäckman et al., 2006; Runegaard et al., 2018) (Fig. 2B). Alternatively, the Cre-recombinase can be delivered by viral means in a dual viral approach in wild-type (WT) mice (Fig. 2C), as recently demonstrated by use of retrogradely transported recombinant canine adenovirus (CAV2) (Hnasko et al., 2006; Boender et al., 2014; Boekhoudt et al., 2016) or retrograde adeno-associated virus (AAV2-retro) (Tervo et al., 2016). The dual viral approach can even provide extra target specificity toward individual DA projections in Cre-driver lines. In such a setup, combinatorial viral expression determined by a retrograde vector encoding Cre-dependent recombinase flippase (Flp) and a second viral vector encoding Flp-dependent DREADD can confine the expression further (Fig. 2D) (Boender et al., 2014; Runegaard et al., 2018). In addition, although less well characterized for achieving high DA neuron specificity, an AAV vector delivering Cre-recombinase under the control of a fragment of the TH promoter is another option (Stauffer et al., 2016). With a new delivery platform allowing for intravenous AAV delivery, it appears that DA neurons can even be selectively targeted by systemic application (Chan et al., 2017). These two latter viral approaches are interesting, as they technically permit DREADD targeting toward DA neurons in species other than rodents (Stauffer et al., 2016). Taken together, the molecular-genetic toolbox for chemogenetics is large and ideally suited for targeting and studying the DA system (Whissell et al., 2016). Since the signaling cascade of DREADDs and DA receptors are conveyed through the same downstream molecules, it is also noteworthy to mention that activation of DREADDs possesses the potential ability to mimic, mitigate, or override endogenous DA signaling.

2. Specific Temporal Resolution of Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs Activation.

The temporal resolution of DREADDs activation represents another important variable. Indeed, as mentioned above, the DREADD and KORD agonists, CNO and SalB, respectively, display distinct temporal dynamics (Roth, 2016). Furthermore, the route of administration can strongly influence the pharmacokinetics and thereby the temporal dynamics of the manipulation. Systemic administration of CNO by intraperitoneal injection causes plasma levels to peak within 30 min and decline over the subsequent 2 h (Guettier et al., 2009). Although plasma levels of CNO decline quickly, behavioral effects may be longer lasting for up to 6–10 h (Alexander et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2013). It is likely that this is linked to the recently reported possible conversion of CNO to clozapine following in vivo administration in rodents (Maclaren et al., 2016; Gomez et al., 2017). Strikingly, it appears that clozapine, but not CNO, crosses the blood-brain barrier, and clozapine binds to and activates DREADD receptors with higher affinity and potency than CNO (Gomez et al., 2017). As clozapine is a D₂R antagonist, this underscores the importance of including CNO-treated non-DREADD-expressing animals as controls, particularly in studies focusing on the DA system. Along these lines, it should be mentioned that the resulting concentrations of clozapine, however, are predicted to be too low to affect D₂R function at the most commonly used concentrations of CNO in behavioral studies (Gomez et al., 2017; Mahler and Aston-Jones, 2018). Nonetheless, these findings support the use of subthreshold doses of clozapine as the DREADD-activating agent instead of high doses of CNO (Gomez et al., 2017). In contrast to CNO, the pharmacokinetics of SalB have not been equally well studied, but behavioral effects after intraperitoneal administration of SalB indicates a 10–15 min onset of action time and roughly 1 h duration depending on the behavior investigated, thus providing both a
faster onset and elimination of its effect compared with CNO (Vardy et al., 2015; Marchant, et al., 2016b).

II. Chemogenetic Approaches for Dissecting Dopamine-Mediated Behaviors

In the following sections, we will review how the DREADD technology has been applied to investigate DA circuitries and signaling pathways in an array of different behaviors and pathologic states, including locomotion, PD, reward-motivated behaviors, addiction, and mood disorders. Figure 3 shows an anatomic overview of selected DA-related studies where the DREADD technology has been employed and which approaches those that have been used to target selective regions, neurons, or projections of the DA system. A more extensive and elaborate overview of all DA-related chemogenetic studies published so far (77 papers in total), covering the period from 2011 till June 2018, is provided in Table 1, including information regarding area of research, test model, chemogenetic vector, target area/cell, strain, and major findings for easy comparison between studies. Considering that DREADDs have demonstrated their usefulness for studying neurotransmitter systems other than DA, we refer to excellent recent reviews covering a broader use
TABLE 1
Elaborate overview of DA-related chemogenetic behavioral studies categorized by area of research and test model applied and sorted by year of publication

For each study, the chemogenetic vector, target area/cell, rodent species/strain utilized is listed, together with the behavioral effect mediated by each chemogenetic intervention to allow comparison between studies. The respective DREADDs were activated by injection of CNO/SalB, unless stated otherwise. Codes in the index are abbreviated as in the manuscript. The various promoters used in the chemogenetic vectors are denoted by their commonly applied abbreviation. AAV<sup>NA</sup>; AAV serotype was not reported by authors in the original manuscript.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Test Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chemogenetic Vector</th>
<th>Target Area (Cells)</th>
<th>Rodent Species/Strain</th>
<th>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locomotor activity</td>
<td>Novelty induced locomotor activity and habituation</td>
<td>Farrell et al. (2013)</td>
<td>BAC: Adora2A-rM3Ds-IRES-mCherry</td>
<td>Global expression (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: transgenic (Adora2a-rM3Ds)</td>
<td>↓ locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013)</td>
<td>AAV2/9-CAG-DIO-hM3dq-2A-nmCherry</td>
<td>VTA/SN (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: DAT-Cre</td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vardy et al. (2015)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-KORD-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>VTA/SN (GABAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: VGAT-Cre</td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parker et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV2-CaMKII-hM4di-mCherry</td>
<td>Thalamus (glutamatergic thalamostriatal neurons)</td>
<td>Mouse: Dorsolateral striatum, 6-OHDA-lesioned</td>
<td>Locomotor recovery of parkinsonian mice; ↑ ambulatory time and average velocity (both by intraperitoneally and intra-dorsolateral striatum CNO); ↓ freezing (intraperitoneally only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bellocchio et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV1/2-CAG-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorsal striatum (dMSN or iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;-Cre, D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;-Cre</td>
<td>Acute ↓dMSN/iMSN D&lt;sub&gt;q&lt;/sub&gt;-stimulation: ↑/↓ locomotor activity, Chronic iMSN D&lt;sub&gt;q&lt;/sub&gt;-stimulation: ↑ locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lemos et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV2/5-hSyn-DIO-hM4di-mCherry, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-KORD-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>Dorsal striatum/NAc (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: iMSN- D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt; KO (Adora2a-Cre background)</td>
<td>Locomotor recovery of D&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;R-KO mice mimicking parkinsonian mice (↑ locomotor activity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carvalho Poyraz et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4di-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorso medial striatum or NAc (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;-Cre (D2R-Cre background), D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;-Cre overexpressing D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt; in iMSN</td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dobbs et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4di-mCherry</td>
<td>NAc (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: Adora2aCre</td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marchant et al. (2016b)</td>
<td>AAV9-CaMKIIa-HA-KORD-IRES-mCitrine, AAV9-CaMKIIa-HA-HM4di-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>VTASN (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>↓ locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chu et al. (2017)</td>
<td>BAC: Adora2A-rM3Ds-IRES-mCherry</td>
<td>Global expression (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: transgenic (Adora2a-rM3Ds)</td>
<td>Acute: ↓ locomotor activity Repeated (2 to 3 days): ↓ reduced vesicular glutamate transporter expressing cortico-subthalamic nucleus axon terminals (i.e. parkinsonian phenotype) Inhibits D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;-induced increase in miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current frequency – supports important role of muscarinic receptor type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moehle et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4di-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorsal striatum (dMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;-M4 KO (D&lt;sub&gt;R&lt;/sub&gt;-Cre background)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Research</td>
<td>Test Model</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Target Area (Cells)</td>
<td>Rodent Species/Strain</td>
<td>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemogenetic Vector</td>
<td>DIO: double-floxed inverted open reading frame (Cre-dependent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Gi-signaling in dMSN neurons by regulating locomotor activity via control of AC/AMP. N.B. no behavioral experiments performed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runegaard et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-rM3Dq-mCherry, AAV6-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic) Mouse: TH-Cre Di-/Ds-stimulation: ↓/↑ locomotor activity during habituation ↓ locomotor activity (associate with excitation of iMSN and inhibition of globus pallidum neuronal firing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhu et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV1/2-Eifla-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry-WPRE</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic) Mouse: DAT-Cre ↑ locomotor activity, ↑ ultradian day rhythms by increased active-phase (CNO applied in drinking water)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouabdil and Zhou (2018)</td>
<td>BAC: Adora2A-rM3Dq-IRES-mCherry</td>
<td>Global expression (iMSN) Mouse: transgenic (Adora2a-rM3Dx)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ locomotor activity, ↑ ultradian day rhythms by increased active-phase (CNO applied in drinking water)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blum et al. (2014)</td>
<td>AAV8-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>VTASN (DAergic) Mouse: DAT-Cre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boender et al. (2014)</td>
<td>AAV8A-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry (VTA), CAV2-Cre (NAc)</td>
<td>VTA→NAc (DAergic) Rat: WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity VTA and VTA→NAc Dq-stimulation: ↑ long-lasting locomotor activity, SN and SN→dorsomedial striatum stimulation modest ↑ locomotor activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson et al. (2015)</td>
<td>AAV8A-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>Lateral hypothalamus (neurotensin releasing) VTA, SN, (DAergic) VTA→NAc, SN→Dorsomedial striatum</td>
<td>Mouse: neurotensin-Cre</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boekhoudt et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-DIO-hSyn-hM3Dq-mCherry (VTASN), CAV2-Cre (NAc/dorsomedial striatum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>NAc (dMSN or iMSN) Mouse: D1R-Cre, D2R-Cre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity VTA and VTA→NAc Dq-stimulation: ↑ long-lasting locomotor activity, SN and SN→dorsomedial striatum stimulation modest ↑ locomotor activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualls-Creekmore et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>Lateral hypothalamus (galaninergic, GABAergic) Mouse: GalaninCre, VGAT-Cre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ locomotor activity and running Galanin Dq-stimulation: ↑ locomotor activity and running Galaninergic GABA Dq-stimulation: ↑ locomotor activity and compulsive-like behaviors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dell’Anno et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Lentivirus-hM3Dq-IRES-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorsal striatal transplanted DA neurons induced from fibroblast Rat: SN 6- OHDA-lesioned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ DA release, excitability and motor recovery of hemi-parkinsonian mice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor coordination and performance assays (Rotarod, cylinder, rotational locomotion, corridor tests, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Test Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chemogenetic Vector</th>
<th>Target Area (Cells)</th>
<th>Rodent Species/Strain</th>
<th>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chen et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV1-CAG-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV1-CAG-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorsal striatum transplanted DA neurons differentiated from human embryonic stem cells</td>
<td>Mouse: SN 6-OHDA-lesioned</td>
<td>Dq/Ds-stimulation: ↑/↓ graft cell DA release, excitability and motor recovery of hemi-parkinsonian mice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aldrin-Kirk et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-rM3Ds-mCherry-WPRE, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-HA, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-KORD-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>Dorsal striatum transplanted DA neurons from TH-Cre rat embryos</td>
<td>Rat: striatal 6-OHDA-lesioned</td>
<td>Q and Ds-combination stimulation: ↑ DA release, excitability and motor performance but also ↑ graft-induced dyskinesia KORD-stimulation: ↓ graft-induced recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcaer et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV5-hSyn-DIO-rM3Ds-mCherry</td>
<td>Unilateral dorsolateral striatum (dMSN or iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: Adora2aCre, D1R-Cre</td>
<td>Dq-stimulation: ↑/↓ locomotor activity, ipsi/contralateral rotations enhanced iMSN\dMSN Dq-stimulation in hemi-parkinsonian mice: prevent/mimic L-DOPA's effect on contralateral forelimb use: ↑/↑ L-DOPA induced dyskinesia dMSN Dq/Ds-stimulation induces abnormal involuntary movements lower than/similar to L-DOPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lopez-Huerta et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV10\hSyn-hM4Di-mCherry, 5selective towards neurons of matrix compartment in striatum</td>
<td>Dorsolateral striatum (matrix neurons)</td>
<td>Mouse: WT</td>
<td>↓ accuracy in learned task assaying skilled motor performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aldrin-Kirk et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-rM3Ds-mCherry-WPRE, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-HA, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-KORD-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>Unilateral dorsal striatum (cholinergic interneurons)</td>
<td>Rat: striatal 6-OHDA-lesioned Choline acetyltransferase Cre</td>
<td>Dq/Ds-stimulation: potentiated low dose L-DOPA to reverse parkinsonian phenotype in stepping task (not cylinder task) and increased abnormal involuntary movement following mid and high dose of L-DOPA (via iMSN output)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation and reward guided behaviors</td>
<td>Boender et al. (2014)</td>
<td>AAV\hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry (VTA), CAV2-Cre (NAe)</td>
<td>VTA—NAe (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>↑ effort exertion in progressive ratio task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boekhoudt et al. (2017a)</td>
<td>AAV2/5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA, SN pars compacta (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: TH-Cre</td>
<td>↑ sucrose responding through ↑ initiations of reward-seeking actions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Test Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chemogenetic Vector</th>
<th>Target Area (Cells)</th>
<th>Rodent Species/Strain</th>
<th>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Action outcome learning task           | Ferguson and Neumaier (2012)                                                | AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry                                              | NAc (neuronal nitric oxide synthase (NOS) interneurons)                              | Mouse: NOS1-Cre                                                                  |                      | † sucrose seeking after extinction  
† cue-induced reinstatement of sucrose seeking |
| High vs. low reward discrimination task | Ferguson et al. (2013)                                                       | HSV-pDYN-HA-rM3Ds, HSV-pDYN-HA-hM4Di                                     | Dorsomedial striatum (dMSN)                                                         | Rat: WT                                                                          |                      | † acquisition of lever pressing for a reward reinforcer  
† motivation to work for reward in a simple must touch test, no effect on reward preference |
| Sign-tracking                          | Chang et al. (2015)                                                          | AAV8-hSyn-hM4Di-mCitrine                                                 | Ventral pallidum                                                                   | Mouse: D2R-Cre                                                                   |                      | † acquisition of sign-tracking behaviors  
† motivation in D2R-Cre mice and control littersmate in PR and hold-down sessions |
| Progressive ratio schedule, progressive hold-down, outcome devaluation | Carvalho Poyraz et al. (2016)                                               | AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry                                              | Dorsomedial striatum or NAc (iMSN)                                                  | Mouse: D2R-Cre.Overexpressing D2R in iMSN                                         |                      | † motivation to work for a salient reward by D2R stimulation of iMSNs projecting to the ventral pallidum |
| Progressive lever-pressing task        | Gallo et al. (2018)                                                          | AAVYN/A. +DIO-hM4Di-mCherry                                              | NAc (iMSN)                                                                          | Mouse: Drd2-Cre                                                                  |                      | † stable retention of high-reward optimized strategies |
| Feeding                                | Zhu et al. (2016)                                                            | AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry                                              | NAc (dMSN or iMSN)                                                                 | Mouse: D2R-Cre, D2R-Cre                                                          |                      | † food intake, food anticipatory activity, prevented activity-based anorexia weight loss |
| Home-cage food intake measurement      | Boekhoudt, Roelofs et al. (2017)                                            | AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry                                              | VTA, SN, (DAergic), VTA→NAc, VTA→PFC, VTA→amygdala                               | Rat: TH-Cre, WT with CAV2Cre                                                       |                      | † food intake, high energy expenditure conditions:  
† meal size, † locomotor activity. VTA→NAc  
Dq-stimulation: † mean meal size and eating duration, † mean meal frequency, † locomotor activity |
| High fat vs. regular chow diet choice model | Wang et al. (2015)                                                          | AAVYN/A-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry                                        | VTA→NAc (DAergic)                                                                  | Mouse: Phox2b-Cre                                                                |                      | VTA Dq-stimulation: † food intake, food intake  
VTA Dq-stimulation: † high-fat food intake (also by intra-VTA CNO) |
| Intermittent high fat diet exposure or | Xu et al. (2017)                                                             | AAVYN/A-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry                                        | Nucleus tractus solitarius (glucagon-like peptide 1 expressing neurons)            | Mouse: DATCreER<sup>*</sup> Tamoxifen inducible                                  |                      | VTA Dq-stimulation: † binge-like eating |

<sup>*</sup> Tamoxifen inducible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Test Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chemogenetic Vector</th>
<th>Target Area (Cells)</th>
<th>Rodent Species/Strain</th>
<th>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>continuous chow/high fat diet exposure</td>
<td>Progressive ratio schedule</td>
<td>Qualls-Creekmore et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>Lateral hypothalamus (GABAergic, GABA subpopulation with galanin)</td>
<td>Mouse: VGAT-Cre, Galanin-Cre</td>
<td>Dq-VGAT/Galanin stimulation: ↑ operant food-seeking behaviors, Dq-VGAT stimulation: ↑ food consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salt-depletion model</td>
<td>Sandhu et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV1/2-EF1a-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry-WPRE</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>DAT-Cre</td>
<td>No effect on salt intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mating</td>
<td>Behavioral observations during mating, CPP test</td>
<td>Goto et al. (2015)</td>
<td>AAVNA-hSyn-DIO-rM3Ds-mCherry, AAVNA-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorsal striatum (dMSN or iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: transgenic biosensor (PKA or ERK) in D1R- or D2R-Cre</td>
<td>dMSN/IMSN stimulation: ↑ mating behaviors, DMSM/IMSN Dq stimulation: ↑ mating behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beloate et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: TH-Cre</td>
<td>↓ mating-induced cFos in VTA but no effect on initiation, expression and facilitation of sexual behavior, ↓ subsequentamphetamine CPP following withdrawal in sex experienced rats (cross-sensitization of reward)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep-wake patterns and arousal</td>
<td>Polysomnography recordings</td>
<td>Bellochio et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAVNA-CAG-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorsal striatum (dMSN or iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: D1R-Cre, D2R-Cre</td>
<td>Acute iMSN-Dq stimulation: ↑ slow-wave phase sleep, Chronic iMSN-Dq stimulation: ↑ wakefulness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eban-Rothschild et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-EF1a-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: TH-Cre</td>
<td>↓ wakefulness, ↑ pre-sleep-related behaviors, ↓ wakefulness even in the presence of relevant salient-stimuli cues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cho et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorsal raphe nuclei (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: TH-Cre</td>
<td>↓ wakefulness, ↑ sleep duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oishi et al. (2017b)</td>
<td>AAV-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>NAc core (iMSN), Ventral pallidum (GABAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: A2aR-Cre, GAD2-Cre</td>
<td>A2a-Dq/Di indirect pathway stimulation in NAc core: slow-wave sleep induction/inhibition of induction, Ventral pallidum-Dq stimulation: ↑ slow-wave sleep, neuronal disinhibition, VTA Dq stimulation: ↑ wakefulness duration, ↓ number of wakefulness episodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oishi et al. (2017a)</td>
<td>AAV10-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV10-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA, SN (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: DAT-Cre</td>
<td>(continued)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 1—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Test Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chemogenetic Vector*</th>
<th>Target Area (Cells)</th>
<th>Rodent Species/Strain</th>
<th>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sun et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV10-hSyn-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV10-hSyn-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA</td>
<td>Mouse: WT</td>
<td>Dq-stimulation: ↑ wakefulness duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Luo et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV10-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV10-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>NAc</td>
<td>D1R-Cre</td>
<td>Dq-stimulation: ↑ arousal/wakefulness, ↓ food intake, nesting behaviors, Di-stimulation: ↑ non-REM sleep, nesting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ben-Shaanan et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: TH-Cre</td>
<td>↑ innate and adaptive immunity responses against bacterial challenges, mediates CNO-induced CPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CPP, immune response assays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ferguson et al. (2011)</td>
<td>HSV-pDyn-HA-hM4D1i, HSV-pEnk-HA-hM4D1</td>
<td>Dorso medial striatum (dMSN or iMSN)</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>dMSN/iMSN Di-stimulation: ↑/↓ behavioral sensitization to amphetamine, no effect on acute response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Farrell et al. (2013)</td>
<td>BAC: Adora2a-rM3Ds-IRES-mCherry</td>
<td>Global expression (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: transgenic (Adora2a rM3Ds)</td>
<td>↓ amphetamine-induced locomotor activity and sensitization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kerstetter et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry (medial PFC), CAV2-Cre (NAc)</td>
<td>Medial PFC→NAc</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>↓ amphetamine-induced locomotion during initiation of sensitization, but no effect on expression, ↓ conditioned locomotor response in amphetamine pre-treated rats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dobbs et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>NAc (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: iMSN-D1R KO (Adora2a-Cre background)</td>
<td>Rescues cocaine-induced locomotor response of mice with no D1Rs in iMSN neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marchant et al. (2016b)</td>
<td>AAV9-CaMKIIa-HA-KORD-IRES-mCitrine, AAV9-CaMKIIa-HA-hM4Di-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>VTASN (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>↓ cocaine-induced hyperlocomotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wasserman et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV10A-CAG-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV10A-CAG-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Rostromedial tegmental area (GABAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: GAD2Cre</td>
<td>Dq/Di stimulation: ↑/↑ morphine-induced locomotor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Runegaard et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: TH-Cre</td>
<td>↓ cocaine-induced hyperlocomotion (acute), but no effect on cocaine-induced locomotion in sensitized mice and repeated Gi stimulation prior to cocaine do not prevent behavioral sensitization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pina et al. (2015)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-HA-hM4Di-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>BNST</td>
<td>Mouse: WT</td>
<td>↓ expression of ethanol place preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calipari et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>NAc core (dMSN or iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: D1R-Cre, D2R-Cre</td>
<td>dMSN Di-stimulation: ↓ cocaine-induced place</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Test Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chemogenetic Vector</th>
<th>Target Area (Cells)</th>
<th>Rodent Species/Strain</th>
<th>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▲ DIO: double-flanked inverted open reading frame (Cre-dependent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calipari et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: TH-Cre</td>
<td>Preference (sustained effect)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pina and Cunningham (2017)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry (BNST), HSV-Cre (VTA)</td>
<td>BNST→VTA</td>
<td>Mouse: WT</td>
<td>↑ cocaine CPP in male and diestrus female mice (mimicking increased reward processing in estrus female mice)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runegaard et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: TH-Cre</td>
<td>Disrupt expression of ethanol place preference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayman and Woodward (2018)</td>
<td>AAV8-EF1a-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry or AAV8-EF1a-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry (IL), CAV2-Cre (NAc core or NAc shell)</td>
<td>IL→NAc core IL→NAc shell</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>Cocaine CPP unaffected but extinction prolonged</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-administration drug/ethanol paradigm</td>
<td>Bock et al. (2013)</td>
<td>AAV1/2-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>NAc core (iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: Adora2a-Cre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassataro et al. (2014)</td>
<td>AAV2/5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry, AAV2/5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV2/5-hSyn-Cre</td>
<td>NAc</td>
<td>Mouse: WT</td>
<td>↑ motivation to obtain cocaine during progressive ratio testing, no effect on cocaine intake during training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull et al. (2014)</td>
<td>AAV5-GFAP-HA-hM3Dq-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>NAc core (astrocytes)</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>Di-stimulation: ↓ ethanol consumption (limited access model)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahler et al. (2014)</td>
<td>lentivirus-hSyn-HA-hM4Di-GFP, lentivirus-DIO-hSyn-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Rostral and caudal ventral pallidum, VTA/SN (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: WT, TH-Cre</td>
<td>Facilitates intracranial self-stimulation ↓ ethanol self-administration after 3 wk abstinence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scofield et al. (2015)</td>
<td>AAV5-GFAP-HA-hM3Dq-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>NAc core (astrocytes)</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>Rostral/caudal ventral pallidum Di-stimulation: ↓ cue-prime induced cocaine reinstatement respectively D1 in rostral ventral pallidum (unilateral) + VTA (contralateral); ↓ cue-induced cocaine reinstatement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pascoli et al. (2015)</td>
<td>AAV1-CamKIIα-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Orbitofrontal cortex (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: DAT-Cre</td>
<td>↓ cue-induced cocaine reinstatement (via mGlurR2/3 signaling), no effect on cue-induced reinstatement of sucrose seeking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Research</th>
<th>Test Model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Chemogenetic Vector</th>
<th>Target Area (Cells)</th>
<th>Rodent Species/Strain</th>
<th>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DIO: double-boxed inverted open reading frame (Cre-dependent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Augur et al. (2016)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>AAV2-hSyn-HA-hM3Dq-IRES-mCitrine (ventromedial PFC), CAV-2-cre (NAc shell),</td>
<td>Ventromedial PFC → NAc shell</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>self-stimulation despite punishment ↓ cue-induced cocaine reinstatement after extinction, no effect on prime-dose-induced reinstatement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cheng et al. (2017)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Dorso medial striatum (dMSN or iMSN)</td>
<td>Mouse: D_{1R}-Cre, D_{2R}-Cre</td>
<td>dMSN Dq/Di stimulation: ↓/↑ ethanol consumption and preference, iMSN Dq/Di stimulation: ↑/↑ ethanol consumption and preference</td>
<td>Di-stimulation during progressive ratio testing: no effect on progressive ratio responding for cocaine but ↓ motivation to seek cocaine during extinction and cocaine-primed reinstatement. Di-stimulation prior to reinstatement: ↓ cocaine-primed reinstatement - only in rats that had Di-stimulation during progressive ratio (normalize)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kerstetter et al. (2016)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry (medial PFC), CAV-2-Cre (NAc)</td>
<td>Medial PFC→NAc</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>Di-stimulation during progressive ratio testing: no effect on progressive ratio responding for cocaine but ↓ motivation to seek cocaine during extinction and cocaine-primed reinstatement. Di-stimulation prior to reinstatement: ↓ cocaine-primed reinstatement - only in rats that had Di-stimulation during progressive ratio (normalize)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marchant et al. (2016a)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>AAV1-hSyn-DIO-HA-KORD-IRES-mCitrine (ventral subiculum), AAV5-Cre (NAC shell)</td>
<td>Ventral subiculum → NAc</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>↓ context-induced ethanol reinstatement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prasad and McNally (2016)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-HA-hM3Dq-IRES-mCitrine, AAV5-hSyn-HA-hM4Di-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>Ventral pallidum → STN, VTA</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>Ventral pallidum Di-/Ds-stimulation: ↓/↑ cue- and prime-induced reinstatement of extinguished alcohol seeking Di in ventral pallidium (unilateral) + VTA or STN (contralateral); ↓ cue- and prime-induced alcohol reinstatement dMSN/IMSN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heinsbroek et al. (2017)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry, AAV2-hSyn-DIO-rMs3Dm-mCherry, AAV2-hSyn-HA-hM4Di-IRES-mCitrine</td>
<td>NAc for Cre-dependent vectors (dMSN or IMSN). Ventral pallidum for the non-Cre dependent vector</td>
<td>Mouse: D_{1R}-Cre, D_{2R}-Cre</td>
<td>dMSN/IMSN Ds-stimulation in NAc: ↑/no effect on cue-induced reinstatement iMSN Di-stimulation in NAc: ↑ cue-induced reinstatement *reversed by simultaneous Di-stimulation in ventral pallidum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Research</td>
<td>Test Model</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Chemogenetic Vector</td>
<td>Target Area (Cells)</td>
<td>Rodent Species/Strain</td>
<td>Effect Mediated by Chemogenetic Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood, nociception, and cognition</td>
<td>Open-field, elevated-plus maze, novelty suppressed feeding, sucrose preference test, forced swim test</td>
<td>Zhong et al. (2014)</td>
<td>AAV8-hSyn-DIO-rM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: Cdk5 KO (DAT-Cre background)</td>
<td>↓ anxiogenic-like and depressive-like phenotype of Cdk5 KO mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathic pain model, von Frey and thermal stimulation pain assays</td>
<td>Wakaizumi et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV10-hSyn-hM4Di-mCherry (VTA); NeuRet-Cre* (NAc)</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic), VTA→NAc</td>
<td>Mouse: DAT-Cre, WT</td>
<td>↓ exercise-induced hypoalgesia (following partial sciatic nerve ligation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot plate, tail-flick assays</td>
<td>Li et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV8-Ef1a-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>Ventral periaqueductal gray/dorsal raphe region (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: TH-Cre</td>
<td>↓ supraspinal nociceptive effect in hot-plate test, no effect on locomotion and tail-flick test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPP test</td>
<td>Fritz et al. (2016)</td>
<td>AAV8- hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>VTA (DAergic)</td>
<td>Mouse: DATCreER*</td>
<td>↓ conditioned place aversion to a systemic inflammation agent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-choice serial reaction time task</td>
<td>Boekhoudt, Voets et al. (2017)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>VTAASN pars compacta (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: TH-Cre</td>
<td>VTA or SN pars compacta Dq-stimulation: ↓ trial omissions, SN pars compacta Dq-stimulation: ↓ task accuracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes maze, Acoustic startle reflex, Social approach test</td>
<td>Locke et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAVNA-DIO-hM4Di-YFP</td>
<td>Lateral cerebellar nucleus (D1R expressing neurons)</td>
<td>Mouse: D1R-Cre</td>
<td>↓ spatial navigation, working memories, ↓ prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrophysiology</td>
<td>Robinson and Sohal (2017)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry, AAV5-hSyn-DIO-rM3Dq-mCherry, AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Medial PFC (D2R expressing neurons)</td>
<td>Mouse: D2R-Cre</td>
<td>D2R-induced activation-elicits afterdepolarizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear conditioning paradigm</td>
<td>Mayer et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV8-CaMKIIa-hM4Di-mCherry</td>
<td>Posterior medial VTA → NAc shell (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: WT</td>
<td>Inhibition of relief learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bouchet et al. (2018)</td>
<td>AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry</td>
<td>SN pars compacta (DAergic)</td>
<td>Rat: TH-Cre</td>
<td>No effect on fear extinction acquisition, but enhanced fear extinction memory and blocked the renewal of fear in a novel context</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* DIO: double-floxed inverted open reading frame (Cre-dependent)
of chemogenetics (Smith et al., 2016; Whissell et al., 2016).

A. Locomotor Activity

1. Dopamine Activity Correlates with Locomotor Activity—Proof-of-Concept Studies. Classic behavioral pharmacology experiments have indicated that locomotor hyperactivity can be attributed to increased striatal DA levels (Pijnenburg et al., 1976). Therefore, initial DREADD investigations were carefully applied to behavioral neuroscience with conceptual proof-of-concept studies in which researchers applied DREADDs to confirm pharmacologic manipulation of general neuronal populations with immediate effects on behaviors such as locomotor activity. A direct relationship between the brain DA system and regulation of locomotor activity in rodents was supported by expression of hM3Dq within midbrain (VTA/SN) DAergic neurons of DAT-Cre mice (Wang et al., 2013). CNO application increased the firing frequency of DA neurons in midbrain slices, and this finding was paralleled by strong dose-dependent hyperactivity in mice challenged with CNO (Wang et al., 2013). Likewise, in DAT-Cre mice also with hM3Dq expressed in DA midbrain neurons, the active phase of locomotor day rhythms was extended following CNO administration. This result resembled the same hyperdopaminergic phenotype that has been observed following amphetamine administration or modeled in the DAT-knockout (KO) mouse (Blum et al., 2014). With the use of a dual viral strategy to allow better spatial resolution of specific DA projections, analogous results were demonstrated in rats (Boender et al., 2014). Here, projection-specific expression of hM3Dq in VTA-NAc neurons was obtained by combined use of the retrogradely transported CAV2-Cre virus injected into the NAc and an AAV-encoding Cre-dependent DREADD vector injected into the VTA of WT rats. This study also showed long-lasting increases in locomotor activity (3–7 h). These findings were subsequently substantiated by selective expression of viral hM3Dq in TH-Cre rats, and engagement of TH-positive (i.e., considered DAergic) neurons of the VTA, but not the SN pars compacta, demonstrated similar long-lasting hyperlocomotion (Boekhoudt et al., 2016). This is consistent with older pharmacological data and literature supporting a key role of VTA DA neurons in the regulation of hyperactivity, while SN DA neurons appear to be more involved in other aspects of locomotion such as motor coordination (Delfs et al., 1990; Barter et al., 2014). We also recently demonstrated clear bidirectional regulation of locomotor activity during habituation to a novel environment in TH-Cre mice injected in VTA with Cre-dependent rM3Ds and hM4Di (Runegaard et al., 2018). In this study, CNO pretreatment increased and reduced locomotor activity in rM3Ds- and hM4Di-expressing mice, respectively, while CNO had no effects in WT animals (Fig. 4). Exploratory locomotor activity has also been shown to be reduced by SalB or CNO in WT rats expressing KORD or hM4Di, respectively, in the midbrain (Marchant et al., 2016b). Locomotor activity can be indirectly manipulated by expressing KORD in midbrain GABAergic interneurons, which upon SalB administration resulted in disinhibition of VTA DA neurons and increased locomotor activity (Vardy et al., 2015). Summarized, these studies substantiate already compelling evidence that DA signaling directly regulates locomotor activity and confirms well-established pharmacological evidence that the mesolimbic pathway, comprising VTA to NAc projecting DA neurons, enforces this effect (Delfs et al., 1990; dela Peña et al., 2015). Also, the studies support local circuits within the VTA that act as central regulatory components of locomotor activity.

2. The Relative Output-Activity from Direct Medium Spiny Neurons and Indirect Medium Spiny Neurons Determines Locomotor Activity. A simple direct relationship between DA and locomotor activity, as described above, is, however, challenged by the hypofunctional DA system seen in ADHD patients, although this has been suggested to be explained by alterations in postsynaptic DA receptor signaling (Volkow et al., 2009; Napolitano et al., 2010). Accordingly, many chemogenetic studies have focused on mimicking and/or manipulating DAergic receptor signaling directly in striatal MSN subpopulations, encompassing Gs-coupled D1R expressing striatonigral neurons (dMSNs), belonging to the direct pathway, and Gi-coupled D2R expressing striatopallidal neurons (iMSNs), belonging to the indirect pathway. In a transgenic mouse expressingGs-coupled rM3Ds throughout all iMSNs, exploratory locomotor activity was strongly reduced upon CNO administration (Farrell et al., 2013; Chu et al., 2017; Bouabid and Zhou, 2018). This was recently linked to inhibition of globus pallidus neuronal firing as a consequence of rM3Ds-mediated increased excitation of the iMSNs (Bouabid and Zhou, 2018). This suggests that AC/cAMP signaling in MSNs plays a significant role in regulating locomotor activity, which is in line with a chemogenetic study demonstrating an important role of Gi-coupled signaling in dMSNs in regulating locomotion (Moehle et al., 2017). It was correspondingly shown that hM4Di stimulation in iMSN of anatomically defined striatal areas, including the dorsomedial striatum and NAc, increased locomotor activity (Carvalho Poyraz et al., 2016). Moreover, acute CNO administration led to increased and decreased locomotor activity in mice with dorsal striatal expression of hM3Dq in dMSNs of D1R-Cre mice and in iMSNs of D2R-Cre or A2a-Cre mice, respectively (Belloccio et al., 2016; Alcacer et al., 2017). Interestingly, dissection of accumbal efferents revealed a stronger influence of the indirect pathway on locomotor activity. While significant bidirectional control of basal locomotor activity was...
demonstrated by NAc iMSNs with reduced and increased activity following hM3Dq or hM4Di stimulation, respectively, only slight increases in locomotion were observed with hM3Dq activation of dMSNs in NAc (Zhu et al., 2016). These observations collectively support a major influence of D2R activation in iMSNs on the activity of dMSNs and thereby on locomotor activity. Notably, this is consistent with a new theory of lateral inhibition of iMSNs onto dMSNs (Dobbs et al., 2016) and also with evidence from rodent KO studies, indicating that MSN D1R and D2R interactions control locomotor activity in a synergistic, balanced manner (Welter et al., 2007). For a comprehensive review of DA receptor KO mouse behaviors, see Holmes et al. (2004).

3. Locomotor Activity—A Simple Behavior with Multiple Levels of Regulation. Midbrain DA neurons receive and integrate inputs from multiple brain regions, as well as from local interneurons. DREADD-induced manipulations of different midbrain DA-projection neurons confirm the importance of these neurons for regulating DA activity, release, and behaviors including locomotion. As mentioned above, chemogenetic inhibition by KORD in VTA GABAergic interneurons increased locomotor activity as a consequence of VTA DA disinhibition (Vardy et al., 2015). GABAergic neurons residing within the lateral hypothalamus were recently found to alter locomotor activity via direct and indirect regulation of the VTA, i.e., hM3Dq stimulation of both VTA-projecting (i.e., GABA-expressing) neurons and non-VTA-projecting (i.e., galanin-expressing) neurons increased locomotor activity (Qualls-Creekmore et al., 2017). Similarly, CNO-induced release of neurotensin in the mouse VTA, with hM3Dq expression confined to lateral hypothalamic neurons expressing neurotensin, induced prolonged DA-dependent locomotor activity and DA release in the NAc via neurotensin receptor.
shown that L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia or involuntary movements are caused by maladaptive, relative regulation of the direct and indirect pathways of basal ganglia circuitry, rather than by reduced absolute DA levels (Parker et al., 2016). The severity of motor deficits observed in 6-OHDA-lesioned mice was correlated with a reversed relative strength of excitatory thalamic drive onto direct and indirect pathway MSNs with a bias toward the indirect pathway. Inhibition of this thalamostriatal input, which drives maladaptive asymmetric activation of basal ganglia by activating hM4Di in thalamostriatal terminals, restored motor function in DA-depleted mice (Parker et al., 2016). Likewise, in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats, stimulation of cholinergic interneurons in the striatum via hM3Dq/rM3Ds potentiated the therapeutic effects of low-dose L-DOPA (i.e., 1 mg/kg) and facilitated L-DOPA-induced abnormal involuntary movement after moderate and high doses (i.e., 3 and 9 mg/kg) of L-DOPA—effects that appeared to be driven primarily through the iMSN pathway since the same effect was induced by the D2 agonist quinpirole (Aldrin-Kirk et al., 2018). Further support for maladaptive regulation between the direct and indirect pathways was achieved in a study in which bradykinesia was modeled in a genetic mouse model with selective deletion of the D2R in iMSNs (Lemos et al., 2016). The degree of...
bradykinesia correlated with the enhanced GABAergic transmission downstream of iMSNs, and GABAergic tone and locomotor control were restored through stimulation of Gi-signaling in iMSNs by activating hM4Di expressed in iMSNs of the dorsal striatum and NAc to mimic D2R function (Lemos et al., 2016). Lastly, a chemogenetic approach has been applied to investigate the role of striatal striosome and matrix compartments in procedural learning and use of motor skill. The study supported that there is no synaptic connectivity between these two compartments. Nevertheless, by selective transduction of matrix neurons only with hM4Di, evidence was obtained for a role of dorsolateral striatal matrix neurons in forepaw use (Lopez-Huerta et al., 2016).

B. Motivation and Reward-Guided Behaviors

Dopaminergic-mediated regulation of motivation and reward-guided behaviors has garnered much attention not least due to its importance in pathologic states such as addiction and obesity (Baik, 2013). The below mentioned DREADD studies have assessed DA behavioral regulation in rodent test models in both homeostatic and maladaptive reward-related states that take advantage of an organism’s desire to survive (Salamone et al., 2012). As such, operant conditioning and learning tasks involve reward reinforcement and punishment processes that lead to behavioral acquisition, which regulates the probability, proximity and availability of relevant stimuli in rodent tasks such as progressive ratio scheduling (Richardson and Roberts, 1996), operant learning (Todd et al., 2014), and cue/sign-tracking (Salamone et al., 2012).

1. Substantiating Dopamine as a Key Regulator of Motivation. Motivation deficits represent a key feature of psychiatric disorders with DA being a critical neural substrate (Baik, 2013). Through the use of progressive ratio scheduling to assess motivational behaviors, Boender et al. (2014) demonstrated that m3Dq-mediated activation of the mesolimbic DAergic pathway, as targeted through use of a Cre-recombinase expressing CAV2 vector, increased progressive ratio performance, and hence effort exertion. The same research group refined their methodological approach to show that this enhanced motivation was specifically due to increased action response initiation and not due to other forms of motivational behaviors (Boekhoudt et al., 2018).

2. The Role of Direct Medium Spiny Neurons and Indirect Medium Spiny Neurons in Regulating Reward-Motivated Behaviors. Given its importance in mediating DAergic neurotransmission, the dorsal striatum is known to play a critical role in reward-guided decision making, but the precise circuits involved have not been fully elucidated. In one study by Ferguson and Neumaier (2012), it was observed that transient hM4Di activation of dMSNs within the rat dorsal striatum impaired acquisition of an operant learning task assessing reward reinforcement learning, as supported by significantly lower lever pressing rates for a reward. In another study, the same group further investigated the role of the dorsomedial striatum in action-outcome learning using a high-versus-low reward discrimination task (Ferguson et al., 2013). Rats learned to associate lever presses with one daily alternating lever for a high-reward outcome and the other for a low-reward outcome. Upon chemogenetic modulation of dMSN signaling via activation of hM4Di or rM3Ds during training sessions, no effect was seen on task acquisition or subsequent performance, i.e., 24 h after the last training session. However, hM4Di activation during training sessions significantly impaired stable retention of task information, as these rats demonstrated a reduced preference for the high-reward lever and needed more trials to reach criterion, that being the choice of the high-reward lever 75% of all trials, 1 week after the last training session. Activation of rM3Ds in dMSNs produced the opposite effects and improved stable retention of this decision-making strategy 1-week posttraining. These findings provided strong evidence that activation of dMSNs in the dorsomedial striatum is essential for retaining test-specific information regarding reward-guided behaviors. Moreover, the fact that these chemogenetic manipulations of dMSNs affected behaviors 1 week post-CNO administration suggested that significant neuronal plasticity changes took place within these neurons during acquisition of this task (Ferguson et al., 2013).

DREADD approaches, together with an operant lever-pressing task with progressive ratio schedules, were used to assess the influence of iMSNs in NAc and dorsomedial striatum on motivational processes. Activation of hM4Di expressed in iMSNs of either the dorsomedial striatum or NAc reversed motivational deficits in mice genetically manipulated to overexpress D2Rs and also in WT controls. These effects were due to enhanced response initiation without goal-guided efficiency, motivational vigor, or sensitivities relating to up- or down-valuation of reward being affected (Carvalho Poyraz et al., 2016). Hence, Gi-signaling in iMSNs appeared to increase motivation to work for reward, probably as a result of reduced GABAergic tone in target areas or directly onto neighboring dMSNs causing disinhibition (Dobbs et al., 2016). This is further supported by a study by Gallo et al. substantiating a role of the ventral pallidum. It was shown in this study that activation of hM4Di expressed in NAc iMSNs projecting to the ventral pallidum increased motivation to work for a salient reward in a lever-pressing task with progressive ratio schedule (Gallo et al., 2018). Of additional interest, selective hM3Dq-stimulation of NAc interneurons expressing nitric oxide synthase was demonstrated to increase sucrose seeking after extinction and cue-induced reinstatement (Bobadilla et al., 2017).
Together, these studies suggest that DA-dependent, reward-motivated behaviors are regulated by multiple pathways that depend on the output of both dorsal striatal and NAc MSNs. Importantly, while the chemogenetic manipulations of MSN activity described above tend to be considered a direct reflection of DA transmission with the Gi- and Gs-coupled DREADDs directly modulating DA receptor signaling, it should be noted that these neurons express multiple different GPCRs that signal through similar cascades. Accordingly, the behaviors studied are not regulated solely by DA transmission but also by other transmitter systems acting through different sets of GPCRs.

3. Feeding. Food activates neural circuits associated with reward and DAergic signaling, particularly in the NAc, midbrain, and amygdala. It is widely believed that the DA mesolimbic pathway facilitates associative learning between food-related rewards and feeding-related environments, with food or food-related cues initiating phasic mesolimbic DAergic firing and behaviors associated with acquiring food (Baik, 2013). Boekhoudt et al. (2017a) investigated whether chemogenetic activation of midbrain DAergic neurons promoted or reduced feeding to establish a causal relationship between DAergic activity and food intake. They measured home-cage feeding patterns while concurrently stimulating hM3Dq in the SN pars compacta or selective VTA subpopulation projections toward the NAc, amygdala, or PFC. They found that general stimulation of hM3Dq in VTA reduced meal size, while at the same time increasing locomotor activity. Specific mesolimbic pathway DA activation disrupted feeding patterns by reducing mean meal size and duration but increased the frequency of meal initiations (Boekhoudt et al., 2017a). Although, the mesolimbic DA pathway seemed to both promote and reduce food intake in that study by facilitating feeding initiation and cessation behaviors (Boekhoudt et al., 2017a), chemogenetic stimulation in the same mesolimbic pathway in another study prevented anorexic weight loss in an activity-based rat model of anorexia (Foldi et al., 2017). When rats were given time-limited daily access to food, hM3Dq stimulation of VTA DAergic neurons projecting to the NAc increased food intake and food anticipatory activity and blocked anhedonia-associated weight loss and subsequent death (Foldi et al., 2017).

Specific chemogenetic activation of dMSNs or iMSNs within the NAc was done to assess food intake under both low and high-energy expenditure (i.e., a running wheel was placed in the home cage) conditions. The data showed that hM3Dq and hM4Di activation of NAc dMSNs enhanced and diminished food intake, respectively, in high-expenditure conditions when the running wheel was placed in the animals’ home cages for 14 days. Interestingly, the same study found that NAc dMSN hM3Dq-stimulation reduced overall food intake in low expenditure conditions (i.e., open field test) over the course of 24 h (Zhu et al., 2016). These studies support and complement the hypothesis that DAergic pathways, particularly the mesolimbic, regulate homeostatic energy expenditure and feeding patterns. In addition, altered DAergic signaling patterns, induced by chemogenetic manipulations, were consistently found to disrupt feeding patterns, indicating that tightly controlled and optimal DA neurotransmission exists to regulate feeding.

As outlined below, there is strong evidence suggesting that serotonin directly acts on VTA DA neurons to reduce food intake, linking the two transmitters together in regulation of feeding behaviors. As well as finding that stimulation of hM3Dq expressed in VTA DA neurons inhibited binge-like eating in mice on a high-fat diet, Xu et al. (2017) demonstrated that the 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor agonist lorcaserin, which has been approved for obesity treatment (Martin et al., 2011), and the 5-HT-releasing agent fenfluramine inhibited these eating patterns via 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor activation. This effect was directly linked to an increase in VTA DAergic signaling and further supported by the finding that VTA DA hM4Di activation prevented fenfluramine from suppressing binge-like eating patterns (Xu et al., 2017). The same group subsequently demonstrated that hM3Dq-mediated activation of VTA 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor-expressing neurons reduced ad libitum food intake, as well as lowered progressive ratio responding for sugar pellets and incentive value for food (Valencia-Torres et al., 2017). The studies substantiate that 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> agonism inhibits food-related motivational processes at least in part via direct effects on midbrain DAergic transmission.

Application of chemogenetics has also shown that neurons within the nucleus tractus solitarius in the brain stem can regulate food intake by affecting VTA DA neuronal activity. Activation of hM3Dq in these neurons suppressed high-fat chow diet intake by diminishing signaling of VTA DAergic neuron projecting to the NAc shell (Wang et al., 2015). Notably, it was found that this likely was the result of increased release of glucagon-like-peptide 1 acting through GLP-1 receptors on DA VTA neurons, leading to reduced excitatory synaptic strength (Wang et al., 2015). Likewise, hM3Dq-mediated activation of the lateral hypothalamic GABAergic neurons caused an overall increase in chow diet consumption as a consequence of direct VTA innervation (Qualls-Creekmore et al., 2017). These studies highlight how different brain regions and neurochemical systems can modulate DA-dependent signaling and behaviors and how chemogenetics can aid elucidation of previously unknown pathways.

4. Mating. During sexual behaviors, VTA µ-opioid receptor-expressing neurons are activated and DA is released into NAc and striatum (Damsma et al., 1992; Bassareo and Di Chiara, 1999; Balfour et al., 2004). In rodents, sexual behavior is normally assessed by
pairing and allowing a male to mate with a female subject. The latency and number of investigations, intromissions, and ejaculations allow for measurement of sexual motivation, performance, memory, and reward. In rats with hM4Di expression confined to TH-positive neurons in the VTA, CNO did not affect, however, such sexual behavior (Beloate et al., 2016). Moreover, although CNO prevented VTA DA neuron activation and C-fos induction in these neurons in response to sexual behaviors, CNO had no effect on mating-induced C-fos expression in VTA projection areas, including NAc, PFC, and basolateral amygdala. CNO was nonetheless found to impair sexual experience-induced cross-sensitization of amphetamine conditioned place preference (CPP). Thus, activation of VTA DA neurons appears not to be critical for initiation or expression of sexual behavior. Rather such activation, and thereby mesolimbic DA, increases the sensitivity to addictive drugs upon loss of the natural reward via mesolimbic plasticity established during the natural reward experience. Together, the study substantiates how DAergic VTA neurons can regulate the cross-sensitization of natural (i.e., sexual experience) and drug-related rewards (Beloate et al., 2016).

In another study, the importance during sexual behavior of dorsostriatal dMSN and iMSN activity was assessed by using genetically encoded, fluorescence resonance energy transfer-based PKA and ERK sensors together with DREADDs. The sensors were expressed in dMSNs and iMSNs, and it was found that PKA and ERK activities in these neurons rapidly switched when the male mice shifted between active and inactive phases of mating reactions. To investigate the mechanism underlying this rapid change, hM4Di or rM3Ds DREADDs were expressed in striatal dMSNs and iMSNs together with the PKA biosensor. In hM4Di-expressing dMSNs or iMSNs, CNO reduced PKA activity and concomitantly caused suppression or augmentation of positive mating reactions, respectively. In rM3Ds-expressing dMSNs or iMSNs, CNO increased PKA activity concomitantly with enhancement or suppression of positive mating reactions, respectively. Hence, the dMSNs and iMSNs of the dorsal striatum appear to differentially control mating reactions in male mice by directly regulating PKA activity (Goto et al., 2015).

5. Sleep-Wake Patterns and Arousal. Activation of VTA DAergic neurons by hM3Dq was shown to increase wakefulness periods while reducing sleep-associated behaviors, such as nest building, in both stages of the light/dark cycle in a D2R-dependent manner (Oishi et al., 2017a; Sun et al., 2017). Further investigations revealed that NAc D1R- and NAc core adenosine A2a receptor-expressing neurons also regulated these sleep-wake patterns (Oishi et al., 2017b; Luo et al., 2018). Activation of D1R expressing neurons in the NAc by hM3Dq-stimulation prolonged wakefulness and concurrently reduced food intake, while stimulation of the inhibitory hM4Di suppressed arousal and induced sleep behaviors (Luo et al., 2018). Another approach, albeit with the same chemogenetic tools, demonstrated that adenosine A2a receptor-expressing indirect pathway neurons in the NAc core can regulate slow-wave sleep, as hM3Dq or hM4Di stimulation of these neurons either induced or prevented slow-wave sleep, respectively (Oishi et al., 2017b). The studies highlight the importance of midbrain DA neuronal circuits for regulating arousal, both discovering new DA-dependent neural circuits critical for the induction and maintenance of wakefulness, projecting to the lateral hypothalamus (Luo et al., 2018) and ventral pallidum (Oishi et al., 2017b), respectively.

It was furthermore observed that acute hM3Dq-activation in dorsostriatal dMSNs increased wakefulness while reducing the time spent in the slow-wave and rapid eye movement sleep stages. Acute hM3Dq-activation of iMSNs, however, increased slow-wave phase sleep while chronic activation resulted in enhanced wakefulness (Bellocchio et al., 2016). Expectedly, one’s arousal state affects motivation with the above studies revealing a direct effect of DA activity on wakefulness and sleep-wake patterns. More direct evidence for DA as a substrate integrating reward-based motivation and arousal was obtained from data indicating that DA is critical for maintaining wakefulness even in the presence of relevant salient stimuli (Eban-Rothschild et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2017).

By using fiber photometry to measure calcium activity and polysomnographic recordings to assess wakefulness, it was observed that hM4Di-mediated inhibition of DAergic neurons in the VTA and dorsal raphe nuclei decreased wakefulness and increased sleep-related behaviors and length of sleep. This decrease in arousal occurred even when stimuli, such as accessible palatable food, a female mouse, or predator odors, were present in the same or in a neighboring cage (Eban-Rothschild et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2017). The data emphasize a plausible role governed by the DAergic system in regulating the interaction between sleep-wake patterns and reward-based motivation.

6. Immunity. When VTA neurons were acutely activated via stimulation of hM3Dq receptors expressed in these neurons 24 h before an *Escherichia coli* immune challenge, enhanced innate and adaptive immune responses were exhibited 3- and 7-days postchallenge. In addition to increased host defense capabilities, the same VTA DA neuronal activation resulted in enhanced CPP toward the conditioned chamber. These findings indicate that VTA DAergic neurons balance their control of reward-guided behaviors with immunity or, at least, that there might be a causal relationship between enhanced VTA activity and the host’s immune response to a bacterial infection (Ben-Shaanan et al., 2016).
C. Addiction

Drugs of abuse, including the psychostimulants cocaine and amphetamine that act directly on the DA system, induce substantial synaptic modifications that hijack the natural reward system and cause the transition to substance addiction (Baik, 2013). Increased DA levels within the NAc have been linked to hyperlocomotion as well as to an initial perception of reward and subsequent reward prediction error guiding goal-directed behaviors (Schultz, 2007). Although the elevations in accumbal DA levels are related to both psychostimulant-induced hyperactivity (acute as well as sensitized) and general reward perception (which in rodents can be assessed indirectly by CPP or self-administration paradigms), these behaviors are likely segregated because not all addictive drugs induce hyperactivity. It has been hypothesized, however, that psychostimulant-induced locomotor hyperactivity in rodents reflects the perception of reward and could be reflective of addiction vulnerability (Deminiere et al., 1989; Piazza et al., 1989). Consistent with this hypothesis, a greater acute locomotor response to psychostimulants was found to be predictive of psychostimulant self-administration (Piazza et al., 1989) and high drug intake (Piazza et al., 2000). The rewarding effect/additive properties of drugs of abuse and their influence on subsequent drug-related behaviors, including drug-seeking and reinstatement, are commonly assessed by CPP and self-administration paradigms. In addition to assessing relapse following withdrawal (induced by either a cue or a prime-injection of the rewarding stimuli), the self-administration paradigm may assess compulsive drug intake (continued self-administration despite negative consequences, such as foot shock), which is considered a major hallmark of addiction. Of further interest, we recently published a single exposure place preference protocol that allows assessment of the initial perception of reward in rodents and thereby represents an attractive model for further investigating initial drug effects and dissect reward and locomotor-enhancing responses to various drugs (Runegaard et al., 2017a). Chemogenetics has been used widely in various experimental set-ups to further dissect and shed light on behaviors and underlying changes to neural networks related to addiction including acute locomotor response, behavioral sensitization, CPP, and self-administration.

1. Locomotor Sensitization. Locomotor sensitization is a lasting, increased locomotor response observed following repeated, noncontingent administration of psychostimulants. This is believed to reflect the synaptic adaptive changes that occur with repeated drug intake and to be associated with the transition from initial drug use to addiction (Hooks et al., 1991a,b; Piazza et al., 1991; Belin and Deroche-Gamonet, 2012). Not surprisingly, DREADDs have been applied to the DA system to examine the influence of dMSNs and iMSNs during repeated drug administrations and after drug-induced behavioral sensitization. The results confirmed previous pharmacological and transgenic pieces of evidence on the opposing roles of the indirect and direct MSN pathways (Lobo and Nestler, 2011). Indeed, activation of hM4Di in iMSNs or dMSNs enhanced or attenuated, respectively, behavioral sensitization to amphetamine (Ferguson et al., 2011). Similarly, behavioral sensitization was prevented when Gi-induced signaling was opposed by activating rM3Ds in iMSNs (Farrell et al., 2013).

The findings support that iMSN activity is a driving force underlying behavioral sensitization (Farrell et al., 2013), that is, the experiments indicate that locomotor sensitization, similarly to general locomotor activity (see section II.A.2) depends on a relative output signal from striatal MSNs following repeated drug administration. Interestingly, acute and sensitized responses to psychostimulants seem to be affected differently by the same DREADD manipulations. Activation of hM4Di in VTA neurons strongly inhibited the acute response to cocaine, but this neither prevented the development nor the expression of a sensitized response (Runegaard et al., 2018). On the contrary, hM4Di activation in iMSNs during amphetamine sensitization had no effect on the acute response or during initiation, but prevented expression of behavioral sensitization during a challenge dose 1 week later (Ferguson et al., 2011). This suggests that the responses are driven by separate and to some extent independent mechanisms, possibly segregated by pre- and postsynaptic effects. Moreover, the potential influence and role of coreleased neurotransmitters from heterogeneous populations of midbrain DA neurons must be taken into consideration to understand fully the mechanisms underlying the segregation of these behaviors (Poulin et al., 2018).

2. Reward Perception, Drug-Cue Associations, and Relapse Behaviors. The perception of reward is linked to increases in DA levels, and such increases are considered the common mechanism responsible for the addictive properties of drugs of abuse (Wise and Bozarth, 1985; Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Koob and Le Moal, 1997). How the initial increase in DA conveys the force underlying behavioral sensitization (Farrell et al., 2013). Chemogenetics has been used widely in various experimental set-ups to further dissect and shed light on behaviors and underlying changes to neural networks related to addiction including acute locomotor response, behavioral sensitization, CPP, and self-administration.

1. Locomotor Sensitization. Locomotor sensitization is a lasting, increased locomotor response observed following repeated, noncontingent administration of psychostimulants. This is believed to reflect the synaptic adaptive changes that occur with repeated drug intake and to be associated with the transition from initial drug use to addiction (Hooks et al., 1991a,b; Piazza et al., 1991; Belin and Deroche-Gamonet, 2012). Not surprisingly, DREADDs have been applied to the DA system to examine the influence of dMSNs and iMSNs during repeated drug administrations and after drug-induced behavioral sensitization. The results confirmed previous pharmacological and transgenic pieces of evidence on the opposing roles of the indirect and direct MSN pathways (Lobo and Nestler, 2011). Indeed, activation of hM4Di in iMSNs or dMSNs enhanced or attenuated, respectively, behavioral sensitization to amphetamine (Ferguson et al., 2011). Similarly, behavioral sensitization was prevented when Gi-induced signaling was opposed by activating rM3Ds in iMSNs (Farrell et al., 2013).

The findings support that iMSN activity is a driving force underlying behavioral sensitization (Farrell et al., 2013), that is, the experiments indicate that locomotor sensitization, similarly to general locomotor activity (see section II.A.2) depends on a relative output signal from striatal MSNs following repeated drug administration. Interestingly, acute and sensitized responses to psychostimulants seem to be affected differently by the same DREADD manipulations. Activation of hM4Di in VTA neurons strongly inhibited the acute response to cocaine, but this neither prevented the development nor the expression of a sensitized response (Runegaard et al., 2018). On the contrary, hM4Di activation in iMSNs during amphetamine sensitization had no effect on the acute response or during initiation, but prevented expression of behavioral sensitization during a challenge dose 1 week later (Ferguson et al., 2011). This suggests that the responses are driven by separate and to some extent independent mechanisms, possibly segregated by pre- and postsynaptic effects. Moreover, the potential influence and role of coreleased neurotransmitters from heterogeneous populations of midbrain DA neurons must be taken into consideration to understand fully the mechanisms underlying the segregation of these behaviors (Poulin et al., 2018).
increased activity of VTA DA neurons, via hM3Dq activation, and the enhanced affinity of cocaine for DAT, subsequent reward processing, and increased CPP (Calipari et al., 2017). In an earlier study, the same research group linked chronic cocaine exposure to dysregulated dMSNs signaling, impaired extinction, and facilitated cocaine-prime reinstatement. Specifically, it was found that hM4Di-mediated inhibition of dMSNs attenuated the strength of drug association and prevented relapse (Calipari et al., 2016).

The bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) has been implicated in cue-induced cocaine-seeking behaviors, and hM4Di-mediated inhibition of this region suggested that it is involved in ethanol-seeking behaviors (Pina et al., 2015). By using a dual viral chemogenetic approach, it was demonstrated that BNST neurons projecting to the VTA are involved in cue-induced ethanol-seeking behavior, as hM4Di activation in these neurons prevented alcohol CPP (Pina and Cunningham, 2017). Although ethanol has a different pharmacological profile compared with psychostimulants (Vengeliene et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2009), the influence of DA in mediating the transition to addiction appears similar (Soderpalm and Ericson, 2011; Sulzer, 2011). Interestingly, Cheng et al. (2017) demonstrated an alcohol-induced enhancement of glutamatergic and GABAergic transmission onto dMSNs and iMSNs, respectively. That is, in vivo chemogenetic manipulation of dMSN or iMSN via hM3Dq or hM4Di, mimicking glutamatergic or GABAergic enhancement, respectively, affected alcohol consumption with hM3Dq activation in dMSNs or hM4Di activation in iMSNs promoting alcohol consumption, and oppositely reducing consumption through hM3Dq activation in iMSNs or hM4Di in dMSNs (Cheng et al., 2017). Anatomically distinct functions of the NAc core and shell in alcohol consumption were revealed in a limited access mouse model where alcohol consumption was reduced or increased following hM3Dq or hM4Di stimulation, respectively, of the NAc core but not accumbal shell (Purohit et al., 2018). It has also been observed that activation of KORD in neurons projecting to the NAc from the ventral subiculum decreased context-induced relapse of alcohol self-administration (Marchant et al., 2016a). While the mechanism of action of inhalant drugs of abuse has lagged behind, one recent chemogenetic study revealed an important role of infralimbic cortical projections to the NAc (Wayman and Woodward, 2018). The study described a toluene-induced (i.e., glue sniffing) reduction of excitability in rat infralimbic cortical neurons projecting to the NAc shell and inhibition of toluene-induced place preference after stimulating hM4Dq in this pathway (Wayman and Woodward, 2018).

Chemogenetics has further been employed to obtain evidence that astrocytes modulate the sensitivity and behavioral responses to reinforcing stimuli. Activating hM3Dq specifically in the astrocytes of the NAc core increased intracranial self-stimulation, as well as reduced motivation to self-administer ethanol after 3 weeks abstinence (Bull et al., 2014). Similar chemogenetic activation of astrocytes expressing hM3Dq was shown to inhibit cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking (Scofield et al., 2015). By the use of glutamate biosensors, Scofield et al. (2015) found that hM3Dq activation in the NAc astrocytes elevated glutamate levels as well as they obtained evidence that this glutamatergic gliotransmission inhibited cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking as a result of activating neurotransmitter release-regulating metabotropic glutamate 2/3 autoreceptors.

The relative output from dMSNs and iMSNs, residing within the NAc, is likely directly to influence relapse since both rM3Ds-mediated stimulation of dMSN and hM4Di-mediated inhibition of iMSNs led to an increase in cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine self-administration (Heinsbroek et al., 2017). This effect was reversed with simultaneous hM4Di-mediated inhibition of ventral pallidum neurons (Heinsbroek et al., 2017). Consistent with these findings, ventral pallidum neurons have been associated with reward-cue pairing and reinstatement, and chemogenetic inhibition of ventral pallidum neurons impaired the ability of rats to acquire sign-tracking behaviors in response to a learned natural reward-associated cue (Chang et al., 2015). Interestingly, different areas of the ventral pallidum seem to regulate different forms of reinstatement. Local application of CNO in VTA of mice expressing hM4Di in either the rostral or caudal ventral pallidum revealed that only cue-induced reinstatement was suppressed when inhibiting rostral ventral pallidum-VTA projecting neurons, whereas caudal ventral pallidum-VTA inhibition had no effect on reinstatement. Conversely, systemic CNO was found to block primed reinstatement in caudal ventral pallidum-hM4Di rats, indicating that although caudal ventral pallidum is necessary for cocaine-primed reinstatement, its VTA targets are not essential for this action (Mahler et al., 2014). This suggests a complex contribution of specific subnuclei projections and circuits involving the NAc and ventral pallidum in drug-seeking behaviors. In addition, application of chemogenetics has also pointed to a role of midline thalamic nuclei neurons in drug-seeking behaviors. Interestingly, reducing activity of midline thalamic nuclei neurons by hM4Di stimulation inhibited both cue-induced and cocaine-primed reinstatement, whereas chemogenetic inhibition specifically of efferent projections from the anterior portion of midline thalamic nuclei to the NAc only blocked cocaine-primed reinstatement and enhanced cue-induced reinstatement (Wunsch et al., 2017). Similar to lesions of the NAc, suppressing the activity of accumbal neurons with hM4Di reduced ethanol consumption in a limited access model. In contrast,
increasing NAc activity with hM3Dq had no effect on ethanol intake (Cassataro et al., 2014). In an alcohol self-administration paradigm, the ventral pallidum was again demonstrated to influence reinstatement with hM4Di or rM3Ds stimulation, resulting in reduced or increased context-induced, as well as prime-induced, reinstatement of extinguished alcohol seeking, respectively (Prasad and McNally, 2016).

Lastly, the medial PFC is an area implicated in compulsive behaviors and has also been associated with relapse behaviors (Kalivas, 2008). Functional dissection of the ventro- and dorsomedial PFC areas has shown anatomically distinct influences on relapse behaviors. For example, the dorsomedial PFC region promoted relapse, while the ventromedial PFC region regulated extinction-like reductions in cocaine seeking (Peters et al., 2009; Moorman et al., 2015). In cocaine self-administering rats, hM4Di activation of medial PFC afferents to the NAc had no effect on motivation for taking cocaine during progressive ratio scheduling but increased subsequent drug-seeking behavior during extinction. Furthermore, primed reinstatement was significantly higher in rats that had been treated with CNO during progressive ratio scheduling. Strikingly, CNO treatment prior to a priming injection attenuated this response (Kerstetter et al., 2016). The authors suggested that this indicated a strengthening of the associative processes that linked cocaine with surrounding stimuli, which may seem counterintuitive when dampening medial PFC afferent activity to the NAc. However, the authors further speculated that late-stage beta-arrestin-mediated recruitment of mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling modulated long-term alterations in neuronal plasticity and memory formation (Kerstetter et al., 2016). The specific role of the ventromedial PFC in extinction behaviors was confirmed through a chemogenetic approach aiming at activating ventromedial PFC neurons in a self-administration reinstatement model (Augur et al., 2016). By activating hM3Dq in ventromedial PFC neurons projecting to the NAc shell, Augur et al. (2016) demonstrated that these neurons played a role following cue-extinction learning, as they observed that cue-, but not prime-induced, reinstatement of cocaine seeking was reduced postextinction. Furthermore, they observed no effect following abstinence where the rats had not been through the “de-learning” phase of the cue-drug pairing (Augur et al., 2016). Altogether, neuronal adaptations seem to occur during extinction, which involves an active process in terms of context extinction memory, making drug-seeking behaviors dependent on ventromedial PFC activity.

3. Compulsive Drug Taking. The resistance to punishment after the acquisition of drug self-stimulation was recently found to be associated with enhanced neural activity in the orbitofrontal cortex. Chemogenetic inhibition of this region reduced this form of compulsivity and prevented persevered self-stimulation despite punishment (Pascoli et al., 2015). Previously, cocaine-induced perseverative behaviors in mice were associated with altered synaptic plasticity in accumbal iMSNs. A potentiation of glutamatergic input to iMSNs was, contrary to potentiation of dMSNs, observed only in mice that showed resilience toward compulsive cocaine seeking. Chemogenetic inhibition of NAc iMSNs enhanced this motivation to obtain cocaine without affecting drug intake (Bock et al., 2013). The data provide a possible input-output link of a natural protective mechanism against compulsive drug intake, with cocaine inducing an enhanced activity of orbitofrontal cortex circuits synapsing onto iMSNs within the NAc.

Summarized, the studies described above on the role of the DA system in addiction reveal how certain neuronal populations and regions already associated with the DA reward circuitry system can influence addictive behaviors. Although several findings are not surprising as they support previous hypotheses, they provide a novel and unique spatial-selective map. Since regions and specific neuronal populations can be linked together, this combination reveals an immensely complex reward system, with pathways projecting from multiple brain regions that either directly or indirectly regulate prime regions of DA transmission including the VTA and NAc.

D. Mood, Nociception, and Cognition

Anxiety and depression are among the most common neuropsychiatric disorders, but the underlying neurobiology is poorly understood. Although 5-HT dysfunction is classically associated with mood disorders, the possible contribution of DAergic neurons in mood regulation has been examined using DREADDs. Zhong et al. (2014) induced an anxiogenic-like and depressive-like phenotype by selectively deleting expression of Cdk5, a kinase involved in the phosphorylation of TH, in the VTA of mice. This deletion caused reduced TH phosphorylation and VTA cAMP levels and NAc DA release. Selective rM3Ds activation of VTA DA neurons in this genetically modified mouse strain restored TH phosphorylation and DA levels, as well as rescued the behavioral deficits induced by deletion of the kinase (Zhong et al., 2014). DAergic neurons were also implicated in depressive-like behaviors under systemic inflammation conditions. When discomfort associated with a systemically induced inflammation state was investigated in the CPP paradigm, mice demonstrated an aversion to the inflammation-paired chamber and preferred the neutral chamber (Fritz et al., 2016). Chemogenetic activation of hM3Dq-expressing mice in midbrain DAergic neurons abolished this systemic inflammation place aversion, suggesting that this aversion is mediated via or at least integrated into midbrain DAergic neurotransmission.
Other studies have focused on the regulation of D2ergic neurons under pain conditions. Chemogenetic suppression of VTA D2ergic activity offset the phenomenon of exercise-induced hypoalgesia in partially sciatic nerve-ligated mice (Wakaizumi et al., 2016). Furthermore, specific hM3Dq activation of D2ergic neurons in the ventral periaqueductal gray/dorsal raphe neurons was found to induce an anti-nociceptive effect in the hotplate, but not the tail flick assay, proposing that DA released from the periaqueductal gray/dorsal raphe is associated with supraspinal, but not spinal, nociception (Li et al., 2016).

DA was also shown to play a crucial role in fear learning, and therefore anxiety disorders and posttraumatic stress disorder (Lee et al., 2016; Bouchet et al., 2018; Mayer et al., 2018). Yet how D2ergic neurons modulate these processes is unclear. Chemogenetic tools have facilitated investigations into this subject area, with hM4Di-mediated inhibition of a specific part of the mesolimbic system, projecting from the posterior medial VTA to the NAc shell, blocking relief learning following an aversive electrical stimulus cue (Mayer et al., 2018). There is ever growing data implicating the nigrostriatal pathway in this form of emotion since hM3Dq-mediated activation of SN D2ergic neurons improved fear extinction memories and prevented future fear renewals (Bouchet et al., 2018). These early data propose an important role of D2ergic pathways in the processing of signals predicting fear and relief, and therefore may represent a potential target for improving extinction-based therapies in anxiety and trauma-related disorders (Lee et al., 2016).

DA is known to play key roles in PFC-mediated cognition with system deficits apparent in ADHD and schizophrenia (Arnsten and Pliszka, 2011). To elucidate the cellular mechanisms governing D2R-mediated enhancement of PFC pyramidal neuron excitability, each CNO-sensitive DREADD was probed in an in vitro electrophysiological set up. Strikingly, the results showed that only Gs-DREADD stimulation of the medial PFC mimicked this phenomenon implicated in schizophrenia (Robinson and Sohal, 2017). It is not only the higher cortical areas where DREADDs have been applied to study DA-regulated cognition. The importance of D3Rs located in the lateral nucleus of the cerebellum was demonstrated using hM4Di-mediated cerebellar stimulation in D1R-Cre mice to induce deficits on working memory and prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response (Locke et al., 2018).

The five-choice serial reaction time task assesses attentional, impulsive, and motivational control in rodents, and it is well-known that the D2ergic system is centrally implicated in regulating all these behaviors, as also previously reviewed (Robbins, 2002; Jørgensen et al., 2017). In short, food-restricted subjects must wait and attend to a brief light stimulus in one of five apertures to receive a reward. The number of premature responses, before the light appears, represents a measure of impulsivity, while incorrect or omitted responses are considered a measure of attention impairments. Interestingly, activation of hM3Dq in the rat VTA and SN pars compacta was shown to cause an increase in omitted responses, while only SN pars compacta activation decreased the number of correctly responded trials and mean correct latency (Boekhoudt et al., 2017b). As no effect on premature responses was observed, the authors suggested that increased midbrain DA neuronal activity caused attentional, but not impulsive, deficits.

### III. Discussion and Future Directions

Summarized, it seems very clear that use of DREADDs in the area of DA research has advanced substantially our understanding of the DA system and led to many new discoveries. Indeed, the D2ergic system is complex, consisting of a heterogeneous population of DA neurons and output cells that are intermingled and difficult to target in behavioral studies. By providing the spatial specificity that standard pharmacologic interventions lack, the chemogenetic toolbox has shown its potential to dissect the DA system and enlighten its influence on behavior. The DREADDs technique allows for relatively noninvasive regulation of neuronal signaling and depends largely on the intrinsic ability of neurons to regulate their own activity, as DREADDs work through endogenous intracellular signaling mechanisms. Once a chemogenetic receptor is expressed in neuronal populations/projections of interest, systemic administration of the inert ligand, CNO/clozapine or SalB, permits transient and repeated perturbations of neural activity. This can even be accomplished bilaterally in large or elongated brain structures, which can be extremely difficult with microinjections of pharmacologic compounds or other molecular-genetic approaches such as optogenetics (Smith et al., 2016).

Despite its far-reaching usefulness and potential, the DREADDs technology is still in its infancy, and we are only beginning to realize the true nature of this toolbox’s contents. However, as any other technique, chemogenetic interventions are not without limitations and challenges, and a lot of effort should be put into understanding the actual effects of injecting, expressing, and activating the various DREADDs, as well as possible adaptive changes occurring due to repeated activation.

#### A. Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated By Designer Drugs Effects in Theory and in Reality

1. Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated By Designer Drugs Expression—The Meaning of Inertness

The chemogenetic toolbox contains mutated GPCRs that are inert until application of their agonist (see
Fig. 1). Supposing that the DREADD agonists CNO and SalB are also inert and do not target endogenous receptors, their use provides the neuroscience field with, in theory, an ideal system for pharmacological remote control of any neuronal population of interest. However, there are no guarantees that this inertness applies in reality, and, as mentioned in the introduction (section I.2), recent evidence has emerged, indicating that at least under some circumstances in certain systems, extra caution should be exerted when interpreting chemogenetic experimental data (Maclaren et al., 2016; Gomez et al., 2017; Padovan-Hernandez and Knackstedt, 2018). CNO was previously reported to back-metabolize to clozapine in humans, nonhuman primates, and guinea pigs, but has generally been considered inert in rats and mice (Jann et al., 1994; Chang et al., 1998; Guettier et al., 2009; Loffler et al., 2012). More recently, however, MacLaren et al. (2016) described multiple dose-dependent effects in vivo in rats as a consequence of the back-metabolism of CNO to its bioactive parent compounds clozapine and N-desmethylclozapine. Still, at CNO doses most commonly applied (1 to 2 mg/kg), there were no measurable effects on basal locomotion, nor amphetamine-induced DA release or hyperactivity. The acoustic startle reflex was the only tested measure that was affected by 1 or 2 mg/kg CNO (Maclaren et al., 2016). In the last decade, DREADDs have been applied in hundreds of laboratories to study various different neuronal circuits reporting no effects of CNO in non-DREADD-expressing mice. Accordingly, the concerns regarding the use of DREADDs to functional studies in vivo seem to be replaced with prudent caution and refinement (Mahler and Aston-Jones, 2018). To what extent SalB is inert remains to be determined. As a general recommendation, researchers should include and carefully plan control studies to exclude potential undesirable effects caused by DREADD ligands themselves.

So far, there have been no reports of constitutive activity of DREADDs expressed within neurons. Nonetheless, logically such activity should correlate with not just the number of DREADDs but also the endogenous signal transduction machinery, which varies between different populations of cells and neurons. Some constitutive activity of the rM3Dq DREADD in transfected cells has been reported and possibly explains the modest basal phenotype described in pancreatic beta cells (Guettier et al., 2009). Hence, chemogenetic receptors may not have profound basal activity in neuronal systems tested, but it cannot be ruled out that they can present with constitutive activity in certain cells of the CNS or when expressed by certain approaches. Low levels of constitutive activity might not cause a detectable phenotype right away but instead induce subtle alterations in homeostasis and long-term behaviors.

Another important issue is potential concerns relating to the method used to introduce DREADDs into neurons and how this might differentially affect the function of the cells. It has, for example, been shown in optogenetic studies that the route of administration of the exact same opsin using identical AAV vector backbone, but encapsulated with various capsids (i.e., serotypes 1, 5, 8, 9), affected the efficacy of neuronal activity differently (Jackman et al., 2014). If this also applies to DREADDs, then even the choice of AAV serotype can determine how neurons respond to DREADD ligands. While such administration-related phenomena might not be a concern for interpreting one single DREADD study, it could impede comparisons between studies across the same scientific objectives in which the expression of DREADDs in identical cells were obtained by different viral serotypes and/or transgenic animals.

2. Interpretation of Activating Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated By Designer Drugs with Clozapine N-Oxide. Interpretation of chemogenetic manipulations affecting behavior is often condensed to activation or silencing of a specific neuron population/projection by hM3Dqr/rM3Ds and/or hM4Di/KORD, respectively, but this is possibly an extreme simplification of what CNO actually does to neurons expressing DREADDs. Firstly, the effect of activating a certain DREADD may differ between neurons and even subpopulations of neurons, depending on the intracellular signal machinery, as mentioned above. Hence, the effect of activating hM4Di in different populations of neurons or even within the same neurons at different times can be quite different. For example, the activation of neurons by hM4Di inhibits presynaptic firing by hyperpolarizing neurons via GIRK (Roth, 2016), but some neurons may possess low expression of GIRK, and, consequently, the effect of CNO in these neurons may be negligible or dominated by other downstream mediators. In addition, GIRK levels may change because of previous drug exposure. Both cocaine and methamphetamine have been reported to inhibit midbrain GIRK-mediated currents in DAergic brain neurons for up to 2 weeks post-psychostimulant administration (Kobayashi et al., 2007; Padgett et al., 2012; Sharpe et al., 2014). This psychostimulant-related effect on GIRK is important to consider when utilizing chemogenetic tools, especially hM4Di, in DA-based research, given how psychostimulants alter DAergic neural circuitry and contribute to locomotion and reward-related processes. Recently, we demonstrated that following withdrawal of repeated cocaine, hM4Di-stimulation of VTA DA neurons no longer influenced cocaine-induced locomotion (Runegaard et al., 2018). Therefore, it will be important to investigate further whether and to what degree various drugs, including cocaine and amphetamine, affect the efficacy of chemogenetic modulation on neuronal activity and behavior, including how DREADD expression affects ligand-receptor binding interactions.
3. Considerations for Repeated Activation of Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated By Designer Drugs. 

CNO-induced activation of DREADDs depends on endogenous GPCR signaling cascades, and although these designer receptors are always available and should not desensitize, downstream effectors may limit the neuronal effect upon repeated activation (e.g., GIRK desensitization) (Roth, 2016). Due to the high expression levels of chemogenetic receptors, and the subsequent amount of receptor reserve, substantial desensitization by CNO or SalB causing a blunted or absent effect is obviously less likely. However, this is an issue that has yet not been satisfactorily addressed (Roman et al., 2016; Roth, 2016). So far, it has been shown that mice expressing hM4Di in the dorsomedial striatum or NAc developed a tolerance toward CNO following 2 weeks of chronic administration. However, that tolerance disappeared 48 h after chronic administration stopped (Carvalho Poyraz et al., 2016). Bellochio et al. (2016) also found that chronic activation of hM3Dq with a high CNO dose of 10 mg/kg altered behavioral responses and induced cell death in vivo, possibly due to the unnatural, intense signal cascades activated by CNO, which caused neuronal damage. They discovered that chronic hM3Dq activation in iMSN caused enhanced locomotor activity and neuronal death via the c-Jun N-terminal kinase pathway (Bellochio et al., 2016). Accordingly, it is important when designing long-lasting DREADD experiments to exclude possible adaptations caused by repeated administration of DREADD ligands.

4. Can Selective Dopamine Expression Be Achieved? 

As previously mentioned, one major advantage of DREADDs, but not limited to this specific technology, is the spatial selectivity by which the manipulation can be performed. Although the theoretical foundation of selective DREADD expression by Cre-dependency and/or promoter-regulated expression appears solid, practical issues such as leaky expression of Cre and concerns regarding promoter specificity may hamper the actual specificity and confound interpretations. In addition, the transgenic Cre-driver lines applied to specify DREADDs expression have not all been validated, which could also confound interpretation of DREADDs data. Thus, it seems important that researchers validate their transgenic Cre-driver line before proceeding with chemogenetic manipulations—in particular when WT littermates (non-DREADD expressing) are included as a control for CNO’s own effects. Importantly, we recently characterized the commonly used TH-Cre driver mouse line (Savitt et al., 2005) and found neither alterations in DA system-related function nor in behavior (Runegaard et al., 2017b).

Recent studies have revealed a higher degree of structural, as well as functional heterogeneity, in the DA system than previously assumed. This calls for increased anatomic specificity when manipulating specific DA neurons and projections to provide reliable and accurate interpretations. Indeed, concerns have been raised regarding the use of a single gene marker to define the DAergic phenotype (Björklund and Dunnett, 2007; Apuschkin et al., 2015; Lammel et al., 2015; Stuber et al., 2015), and the DAT- and TH-Cre driver lines used to specify expression to DAergic neurons may target different but overlapping populations of DAergic neurons. Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that effector gene expression in transgenic TH-Cre mice is not limited to DAergic neurons but also seen ectopically such as in TH-transcript positive GABAergic VTA neurons projecting to the lateral habenula (Lammel et al., 2015; Stuber et al., 2015). This knowledge emphasizes the need for an updated characterization of the DA phenotype, or rather a dissection of the different phenotypes of this heterogeneous population of neurons. Specifically, it might be necessary to redefine the hallmarks of a DAergic neuron, considering whether it is defined by its synthesis, release, and/or reuptake mechanisms (Berke, 2018). It is, moreover, essential to achieve a better understanding of the DAergic subpopulations coreleasing other neurotransmitters such as glutamate (Morales and Root, 2014) and GABA (Barker et al., 2016) to guide us in our understanding of DAergic neuronal and projection patterns.

5. Can Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated By Designer Drugs Help Dissect the Dopaminergic Pathways? 

As emphasized above, a fair interpretation of the chemogenetic manipulations relies on the thorough validation of targeted neurons, projections, and an understanding of their characteristics. Independent of the definition of DAergic neurons, refinements of the chemogenetic technique are also important. These include improvements in projection-specific expression by the use of retrogradely transported viral vectors (e.g., CAV-2-Cre) (Boender et al., 2014), recombinant AAVs carrying tissue- or cell-specific promoters (Gompf et al., 2015), or by double-recombination approaches through the introduction of an additional recombinase, such as Flp (Stamatakis et al., 2013). This latter approach could be even more sophisticated if introduced under an activity-dependent promoter, e.g., mediated by C-fos activation (Garner et al., 2012; Kawashima et al., 2014; Roy et al., 2016). Additionally, the temporal and spatial resolution can be improved further via photo-caging DREADDs or creating DREADD antagonists, which could become highly useful and emphasize the continuous development and refinement of the DREADD technology (Roth, 2016). Of further interest, refinement of viral genetic tracing techniques has recently allowed systematic mapping of the input-output circuitry of neuronal nuclei (Beier et al., 2015; Schwarz et al., 2015; Cardozo Pinto and Lammel, 2018). Beier et al. (2015) demonstrated that VTA DA neurons projecting to different forebrain regions exhibited specific biases in
their input selection, and VTA DA neurons projecting to the lateral and medial NAc innervated largely non-overlapping striatal targets, with the latter also sending extrastriatal axon collaterals.

B. Have Chemogenetic Studies Advanced Our Understanding of Dopamine-Related Behaviors?

While the chemogenetic toolbox has facilitated studies previously not possible, it is evident that some of the results obtained by application of chemogenetics do not provide true novel insights but rather confirm what has previously been shown by lesion studies and by use of transgenic or pharmacologic approaches. However, as described in this review, the improved specificity of the chemogenetic manipulations has in many cases enabled much more refined conclusions in terms of spatial and specific influences of distinct neuronal populations on behavioral outputs. We believe accordingly that future chemogenetic studies have the potential to significantly further advance our understanding of the complex role of DA in regulating the multiple behaviors discussed here. Indeed, the wide use of chemogenetics in laboratories all over the world directly reflects the vast potential of the technology to progress our understanding of neuronal signaling and behavior. Nonetheless, when interpreting chemogenetic data with a wish to conclude about DA-related behaviors, it is highly important to consider the immense heterogeneity of the DA system. Not least, the still poorly understood importance of coreleased neurotransmitters, such as GABA and glutamate, must be taken into account (Poulin et al., 2018). Moreover, and as also mentioned earlier, it has to be considered that when chemogenetically manipulating, e.g., MSNs, the outcome might not necessarily solely reflect DA transmission, because neurons receive metabotropic input from multiple GPCRs and not only G protein-coupled DRs. In context of these challenges, it will be highly important to combine the specificity by which DREADDs can be expressed and activated with advanced techniques assessing neurotransmitter release, activity, and dynamics, such as the recently published DA biosensors (Patriarchi et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018) or two-photon excitation microscopy technologies (Svoboda and Yasuda, 2006). Such approaches should indeed enable better comprehension of the multifaceted action governed by DA and its circuitry in the near future.

C. Future Directions

Besides the use of DREADDs in biologic and disease research, this technique possesses tremendous potential in translational research and clinical therapies (Roth, 2016). As well as developing multiple designer receptors and agonists, the fast-paced DREADDs field has dissected the mesocorticolimbic network with positron emission tomography (Michaelides et al., 2013) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (Roelofs et al., 2017) and has already been used in nonhuman primates (Eldridge et al., 2016; Grayson et al., 2016). While the idea of gene therapy and thereby expressing DREADDs in humans may not be a major issue, it is the ligand CNO that arouses most worry because of the back-metabolization of CNO to clozapine in several species, potentially limiting its use in patients. However, the newer KORD variant is activated by a different ligand, SalB, which has not yet been associated with such issues (Vardy et al., 2015). In addition, structure-activity relationship studies were applied to develop alternative ligands for activating DREADDs, leading to the identification of a new potent hM3Dq agonist that is neither back-metabolized nor activating endogenous receptors (Chen et al., 2015). Development of more sophisticated DREADD variants will thereby probably allow for the use of DREADDs in humans in the future (Urban and Roth, 2015; Smith et al., 2016).

IV. Conclusion

A plethora of novel techniques has become available within the field of neuroscience in recent years. Together these have revolutionized neuroscience and dramatically improved our understanding of complex processes taking place in the brain and how such processes might change during disease states. One technique of particular interest is chemogenetics that, by allowing pharmacological remote control of neuronal activity, have opened up for entirely new possibilities for dissecting neuronal circuits and deciphering the role of diverse neuronal populations. Chemogenetics has already been extensively used for studying the DA system, and, as reviewed here, multiple different components of the DA system, including DA-releasing neurons, striatal postsynaptic MSNs conveying DAergic signals, and other neural regions/substances synapsing onto the DA neurons, have been targeted by DREADDs to investigate regulation of discrete and multiple behavioral functions. One important lesson is that we should learn and appreciate that behavior is not simply driven by just one single neuronal population or projection pattern, but rather determined by a tightly regulated integration of multiple neuronal inputs. Indeed, behavior is complex and should not be simplified to a binary constituent taking place in response to “activation” or “inhibition” of specific neurons.

The major advancement of chemogenetics, compared with traditional pharmacological interventions, has been the increased anatomic specificity by which the manipulations can be undertaken and thereby dissect not just a biologic heterogeneous population of DA neurons, but also an anatomically important complex projection system. There are no doubts that the potential of chemogenetics is huge, but as with any other technique, there are concerns, some of which need to be further addressed. These include how to target a
heterogeneous DAergic population, the dependency of DREADDs-related effects on endogenous GPCR signaling machinery (which may differ between different neuronal subpopulations), expression-induced adaptations, as well as possible alterations in DREADDs expression and/or adaptive cellular responses with repeated or chronic CNO administration. It should none-theless be appreciated that the chemogenetic technique is still at a relatively early stage, and there is no reason to believe that many of these obstacles cannot be addressed and the technique further developed. With the progress of the CRISPR technology (Wang et al., 2016), one might even consider that DREADDs-like manipulations of any endogenous receptor/transporter of interests soon might become part of the commonly used methodological repertoire. In relation to direct translational application of the DREADD technology, searches for new ligands (Chen et al., 2015; Roth, 2016) and development of new designer receptor variants activated by other ligands (e.g., KORD) (Vardy et al., 2015), may overcome the problem of extensive metabolism of the activating ligand CNO in primates, and thus, chemogenetic therapy to treat neurologic and psychiatric disorders may not be an unrealistic or distant goal.
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