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Abstract—Before it was molecularly cloned in 1994, acute-phase response factor or signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT3) was the focus of intense research into understanding the mammalian response to injury, particularly the acute-phase response. Although known to be essential for liver production of acute-phase reactant proteins, many of which augment innate immune responses, molecular cloning of acute-phase response factor or STAT3 and the research this enabled helped establish the central function of Janus kinase (JAK) family members in cytokine signaling and identified a multitude of cytokines and peptide hormones, beyond interleukin-6 and its family members, that activate JAKs and STAT3, as well as numerous new programs that their activation drives. Many, like the acute-phase response, are adaptive, whereas several are maladaptive and lead to chronic inflammation and adverse consequences, such as cachexia, fibrosis, organ dysfunction, and cancer. Molecular cloning of STAT3 also enabled the identification of other noncanonical roles for STAT3 in normal physiology, including its contribution to the function of the electron transport chain and oxidative phosphorylation, its basal and stress-related adaptive functions in mitochondria, its function as a scaffold in inflammation-enhanced platelet activation, and its contributions to endothelial permeability and calcium efflux from endoplasmic reticulum. In this review, we will summarize the molecular and cellular biology of JAK/STAT3 signaling and its functions under basal and stress conditions, which are adaptive, and then review maladaptive JAK/STAT3 signaling in animals and humans that lead to disease, as well as recent attempts to modulate them to treat these diseases. In addition, we will discuss how consideration of the noncanonical and stress-related functions of STAT3 cannot be ignored in efforts to target the canonical functions of STAT3, if the goal is to develop drugs that are not only effective but safe.

Significance Statement—Key biological functions of Janus kinase (JAK) and signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)3 signaling can be delineated into two broad categories: those essential for normal cell and organ development and those activated in response to stress that are adaptive. Persistent or dysregulated JAK/STAT3 signaling, however, is maladaptive and contributes to many diseases, including diseases characterized by chronic inflammation and fibrosis, and cancer. A comprehensive understanding of JAK/STAT3 signaling in normal development, and in adaptive and maladaptive responses to stress, is essential for the continued development of safe and effective therapies that target this signaling pathway.

I. Molecular and Cellular Biology of Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling

A. Canonical Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling

The Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signal transduction pathway is an evolutionarily conserved pathway present in Drosophila melanogaster through Homo sapiens (Hou et al., 2002). This pathway is activated in response to many protein ligands, including cytokines, growth factors, interferons (IFNs), and peptide hormones, where it regulates a wide range of cellular processes, including cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (Rawlings et al., 2004; O’Shea et al., 2013). Protein ligands bind to the extracellular domains of their receptors, which transmit signals into the cytoplasm through a series of conformational changes and post-translational modifications, notably tyrosine phosphorylation, leading to reprogramming of the targeted cells. Most cytokine receptors lack intrinsic kinase activity; consequently, central to their signaling is a family of protein tyrosine kinases known as JAK that are constitutively associated with the cytoplasmic region of the receptors and provide tyrosine kinase activity. The binding of cytokines to cognate receptors leads to a conformational change within the receptor complex that repositions membrane-proximal, receptor-bound JAKs into an active orientation, resulting in mutual transphosphorylation that increases their activity to-ward tyrosine sites within the receptor. Specific phosphotyrosine (pY)–peptide motifs then act as recruitment sites for specific STAT proteins, via their Src homology 2 (SH2) domains, leading to their being phosphorylated at key tyrosine residue within a loop domain located immediately C-terminal to the SH2 domain, followed by their SH2-to-SH2 homodimerization. These activated homodimers accumulate in the nucleus, where they bind to promotor regions of many genes and activate their transcription.

1. Janus Kinases. The human genome encodes four JAKs—JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, and tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2)—that associate selectively (Fig. 1) with different receptors (Wilks, 1989; Firbach-Kraft et al., 1990;
Wilks et al., 1991; Harpur et al., 1992). Their essential role in developmental biology is underscored by the fact that deficiency in JAK1 and JAK2 is embryonically lethal due to neurologic defects and deficiencies in erythropoiesis, respectively, whereas deficiencies in JAK3 and TYK2 are associated with a variety of severe immunodeficiency syndromes in animal models and humans (Ghoreschi et al., 2009).

JAKs have a unique architecture (Fig. 2) that is distinguishable from other protein tyrosine kinases. Traditionally, JAK structure has been described based on its distinct regions of high homology consisting of seven JAK homology (JH) domains. Recent X-ray crystal structural studies have provided a clearer delineation of JAK structural architecture, with four distinct domains: 1) N-terminal band 4.1, ezrin, radixin, moesin (FERM) domain; 2) SH2 domain; 3) the catalytically active JH1 kinase domain located at the C-terminal end; and 4) the pseudo-kinase domain (JH2), located adjacent to it, which is enzymatically inactive but has a crucial regulatory role as a repressor of kinase activity (Lupardus et al., 2014). Not surprisingly, the majority of disease-causing mutations in JAKs are located in the JH2 domain. The N-terminal FERM and SH2 domains are tightly associated to form a single module that is required for the binding of JAKs to the cytoplasmic portion of cytokine receptors. The FERM–SH2 module has been shown to mediate specific association with two receptor motifs known as Box1 and Box2. Despite having structural data, it is still not clear how specificity for different groups of receptors is achieved (Yamaoka et al., 2004; Ferrao and Lupardus, 2017).

Many cytokines bind to receptors composed of heterogeneous polypeptide chains, and several of the polypeptide chains are common or shared. In addition, a single or combination of two to three JAKs selectively associates with each receptor (Lin et al., 1995; Baker et al., 2007; Kiu and Nicholson, 2012) (Fig. 2). The consequence is that a combination of different STATs can be activated by a single cytokine, resulting in a broad range of signaling outcomes.

2. Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription Proteins. STAT3 is a member of a family of seven proteins known to play critical roles in cytokine and growth factor signaling (Darnell, 2002). More than 30 different cytokines in various tissue and cell systems have been implicated in the canonical signaling pathways of STAT3, involving nuclear translocation and activation of transcription of its target genes (Bharadwaj et al., 2016b). Similarly, multiple growth factors also signal via activation of STAT3 (Bharadwaj et al., 2016b). Latent STAT3 is predominantly localized in the cytoplasm as homotypic N-terminal domain (NTD)-to-NTD dimers. STAT3 activation is achieved when cytokines or peptide hormones bind to cell surface receptors, orchestrating a series of events, including phosphorylation at key sites, primarily tyrosine (Y) 705, which leads to activation or rearrangement of the dimer from NTD-to-NTD to SH2-to-SH2, nuclear localization, and transcriptional activation of specific genes by binding to respective DNA elements at their promoter sites.

STAT3 is structured into seven functional domains (Fig. 3): 1) the globular N-terminal domain, 2) coil-coiled domain, 3) DNA-binding domain, 4) linker domain, 5) SH2 domain, 6) loop domain, and 7) transactivation domain, all of which play a role in STAT3 function (Shao et al., 2004). The N-terminal and SH2 domains are essential for the dimerization that, respectively, stabilizes the inactive and active conformations of STAT3.
The SH2 domain is also required for docking to tyrosine-phosphorylated receptors (Vogt et al., 2011). In addition to recognizing specific DNA elements, the DNA-binding domain, in conjunction with the coil-coiled domain, participates in binding to the nuclear trafficking apparatus with both domains containing nuclear localization signals. The loop domain contains Y705, which loops into the SH2 domain of its dimerizing partner. The transactivation domain (TAD) plays a role in the recruitment of coactivators with histone acetyltransferase activity to facilitate transcriptional activation. This domain is missing in the major alternative isoform of STAT3, STAT3b, due to alternative mRNA splicing that shifts the reading frame and replaces the 55-amino-acid residue TAD with seven distinct residues that confer prolonged nuclear retention to STAT3b compared with STAT3bΔ (Shao et al., 2001).

3. Negative Regulation of Canonical Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling. Canonical STAT3 signaling is regulated by several feedback-inhibitory loops (Schmitz et al., 2000). The phosphatase tyrosine–protein phosphatase non-receptor type 11, also known as protein–tyrosine phosphatase 1D or Src homology protein tyrosine phosphatase (SHP)-2, is recruited to the pYSTV motif of gp130 and phosphorylated on tyrosine residues by JAKs, which increases its tyrosine phosphatase activity for STAT3, which reduces pY-STAT3 levels (Schmitz et al., 2000). Other phosphatases have been implicated in the modulation of STAT3 signaling, for example, phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10 (PTEN), which has both lipid and protein phosphatase activity, has been shown to act as a negative regulator of STAT3 (Sun and Steinberg, 2002; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2010), where its protein phosphatase activity negatively affects phosphorylation of STAT3. However, this modulation of STAT3 activity is context dependent, as it has been shown to also positively regulate STAT3 in certain tumors such as gliomas (de la Iglesia et al., 2008). Another inhibitory loop is mediated by suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS)3 (Yoshimura et al., 2007). SOCS3 protein interacts with pYSTV motif of gp130 through its SH2 domain and inhibits JAK activity through its kinase-inhibitory region, thereby restricting further STAT3 activation (Babon et al., 2012; Kershaw et al., 2013). The importance of SHP-2 goes beyond its tyrosine phosphatase activity, as it has been known to affect other signaling pathways, including extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)1/2, ERK5, and Akt strain transforming (Akt)-mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathways (Kan et al., 2018) and is also known to be a proto-oncogene involved in K-RAS–mediated transformation (Kan et al., 2018).

4. Post-Translational Modifications of Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3. In addition to tyrosine phosphorylation, STAT3 also undergoes a variety of other post-translational modifications, including methylation, acetylation, ubiquitination, IFN-stimulated gene (ISG)-ylation, and small ubiquitin-related modifiers (SUMOs)-conjugation (SUMOylation) (Kojima et al., 2013; You et al., 2015; Zouein et al., 2015; Avalle and Poli, 2018) with various effects on both transcriptional and nontranscriptional functions of STAT3, as stated below.

a. Serine 727 phosphorylation. In addition to phosphorylation on Y705, STAT3 can be phosphorylated on Ser727 within its TAD (Wen et al., 1995) by various serine/threonine kinases, e.g., mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) like MAPK kinase/ERK (Chung et al., 1997; Stephens et al., 1998; Ng et al., 2011), c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) (Zhang et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2012), p38 (Gollob et al., 1999; Lim and Cao, 1999; Turkson et al., 1999), casein kinase 2 (CK2) (Rozovski et al., 2015), protein kinase C (PKC)ε (Aziz et al., 2007a,b), mTOR (Kim et al., 2009), or cdk5 (Lam et al., 2015), depending on the following: 1) the stimulus, e.g., STAT3-activating cytokines/growth factors, e.g., interleukin (IL)-6 (Jain et al., 1999), other cellular stress signals, e.g., UV (Zhang et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2012), and nerve injury (Dubový et al., 2018); 2) the upstream signaling pathway, e.g., phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) (Kim et al., 2009) and Ras proteins (Saitoh et al., 2016); and 3) cell type (Boulton et al., 1995; Chung et al., 1997; Jain et al., 1999; Yokogami et al., 2000; Abe et al., 2001; Bavilés et al., 2001; Schmitz et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2001).
Wierenga et al., 2003; Fu et al., 2004; Ohkawara et al., 2004; Kojima et al., 2005; Sato et al., 2005).

The effect of S727 phosphorylation (pS) on STAT3 gene transcription is varied and depends on the phosphorylating kinase, as well as the cell type, which likely dictates the availability of other transcription factors and proteins that help STAT3 bind to kinase (Avalle and Poli, 2018). Generally, pS is suggested to work with pY to activate STAT3-mediated transcription (Wen et al., 1995; Abe et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2004) most likely through recruiting coactivator proteins (Schuringa et al., 2001; Lufei et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2009a), e.g., JNK1/2-mediated pS-STAT3 following UVA irradiation, enhanced STAT3–DNA binding in epidermal JB6 cells (Zhang et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2012). In the human bronchial epithelial line, BEAS-2B, arsenic exposure induced vascular endothelial growth factor to promote cell migration through JNK-mediated Ser727 phosphorylation of STAT3 (Sun et al., 2014). However, there are instances when pS727 appears to counteract pY705 function. e.g., cdk5-mediated pS727 repressed FoxP3 upregulation, thereby limiting T regulatory cell (Treg) development (Lam et al., 2015), and repressed FoxP3 upregulation, thereby limiting T reg-counteract pY705 function. e.g., cdk5-mediated pS727, arsenic exposure induced vascular endothelial growth factor to promote cell migration through JNK-mediated Ser727 phosphorylation of STAT3 (Sun et al., 2014).

However, there are instances when pS727 appears to counteract pY705 function. e.g., cdk5-mediated pS727 repressed FoxP3 upregulation, thereby limiting T regulatory cell (Treg) development (Lam et al., 2015), and reduction in pS727 by CK2–protein phosphatase 2A enhanced pY-STAT3–induced tumorigenic potential of glioma cells (Mandal et al., 2014). Similarly, pS727 mediated by UV-induced JNK activation (Lim and Cao, 1999), IL-6–mediated PKCδ activation (Jain et al., 1999), and JNK activation (Gkouveris et al., 2016) opposes Y705-mediated transcription. Mechanistically, pS727 in the nucleus is required for the recruitment of histone lysine methyltransferase SET9, which dimethylates STAT3 at Lys140, leading to pY705 inhibition (Yang et al., 2010). Furthermore, pS727 increases STAT3 binding to nuclear tyrosine phosphatase TC45 (Wakahara et al., 2012), leading to rapid dephosphorylation of pY705, thereby shortening the duration of STAT3 activity. Others have implicated pS-STAT3 in a distinct negative regulatory role (Chung et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2010) and in the mitochondrial function of Ras-transformed cells (Gough et al., 2009). STAT3 can also be activated independent of pY705 phosphorylation by simultaneous phosphorylation of Thr-714 and Ser727 (Waitkus et al., 2014) and upon engagement of CD44 through Lys-685 acetylation (Lee et al., 2009b).

The relative functional consequences of the two (pY and pS) phosphorylation events are discussed in following sections on STAT3 functions and its relevance in various diseases. Following is an example of how the information on these contrasting roles could be important. Constitutively activated pY-STAT3 seems to control oncogenic functions of most tumor cells (Bharadwaj et al., 2016b). Exceptionally, pS-STAT3, and not pY-STAT3, seems to be oncogenic in metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma (Lorente et al., 2019) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (Frank et al., 1997; Hazan-Haley et al., 2010; Lupardus et al., 2014; Antosz et al., 2015; Rozovski et al., 2016, 2017a,b; Kondo et al., 2018). CK2, in the presence of increased levels of CD5 and B cell linker protein, both of which are low to absent in normal B cells, phosphorylates STAT3 at S727 (Rozovski et al., 2015) in CLL cells. In the hypothalamus, bradykinin, through epidermal growth factor receptor and ERK1/2, increased pS-STAT3 and resultantly STAT3-reporter activity, similar to IFN-γ–mediated pY-STAT3 (Breit et al., 2015), but the two differ in their specific functional consequences. Epidermal growth factor/ERK/pS-STAT3, but not IFN-γ/pY-STAT3, enhanced STAT3-regulated thyroglobulin-releasing hormone expression, while only transiently expressing SOCS3, which, in contrast, had a prolonged expression through IFN-γ/pY-STAT3, which failed to upregulate thyroglobulin-releasing hormone. pS-STAT3 also interacts with other transcription factors, e.g., nuclear factor κB (NF-κB), to transcribe differing but overlapping sets of genes (Kim et al., 2018; Coorens et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019), with varying transcriptional responses in endothelial cells thought to correlate with increasing stress and increased levels of pS727 phosphorylation (Kurdi and Booz, 2010). For example, in conditions of minimal stress, there are minimal levels of pS727, and pY705-mediated transcription dominates. In the case of mild inflammation as observed during oxidative stress or in the presence of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, which activates NF-κB, the transcriptional output reflects that more pS727-STAT3 is associated with p65, and, with markedly increased pS727, it leads to the additional recruitment of transcription factor Sp1.

b. Methylation. Post-translational methylation of various lysine residues (K49, K140, and K180) in the NH2 terminus of STAT3 (Fig. 3) also is a mechanism by which STAT3 transcriptional activity is regulated in some cancer cells (Yang et al., 2010; Dasgupta et al., 2014). K49 and K140 methylation occurs after Y705 phosphorylation and binding to promoters (Yang et al., 2010; Stark et al., 2011; Dasgupta et al., 2015). Dimethylation of K49 and K140 by the histone-modifying enzymes EZH2 and SET9, respectively, regulate increased expression (K49) or decreased expression (K140) of many IL-6–dependent genes in colon cancer cells (Yang et al., 2010, Dasgupta et al., 2015). In this study, Y705 phosphorylation of STAT3 occurs first, and then S727 is phosphorylated, followed by binding of SET9 and dimethylation of K140. STAT3 is demethylated by lysine-specific demethylase 1 when it is bound to the SOCS3 promoter (Yang et al., 2010). Trimethylation of K180 by EZH2 enhanced pY-STAT3 in glioblastoma cells, presumably, by blocking access of a tyrosine phosphatase (Kim et al., 2013a). Methylation of the R31 arginine by protein arginine 2 contrastingly attenuated leptin-induced STAT3 activation in the hypothalamus (Iwasaki et al., 2010). Thus, the role of arginine methylation in regulating STAT3 activity is complex and cell-type specific (Komyod et al., 2005).
c. Acetylation. Reversible acetylation is also a contributor to STAT3 activity (Fig. 3). In addition to Y705 and S727 phosphorylation, cytokine treatment also acetylates STAT3 on the lysine residue, K685, within the SH2 domain (Yu et al., 2014). Acetylation at this site is mediated by the transcriptional coactivator and acetyltransferase, cAMP response element-binding protein-binding protein/p300, and is reversed by type I histone deacetylase (HDAC). Acetylation of two N-terminal lysines, K49 and K87, seems to be required for p300 binding and transcriptional activation by STAT3 (Ray et al., 2005; Hou et al., 2008), as well as HDAC1 binding and termination of transcription (Ray et al., 2008). Acetylated K685 also has been reported to be the initial contact of p300 with STAT3 (Ray et al., 2002, 2005, 2008; Hou et al., 2008). Furthermore, K685 acetylation has been suggested to be critical for forming stable STAT3 dimers that can efficiently bind to DNA and effectively transcribe cell growth–related genes in response to cytokines, e.g., oncostatin M (Yuan et al., 2005), as well as activation of the noncanonical NF-κB signaling pathway, by mediating the proteolytic processing of NF-κB p100 to p52 (Nadiminty et al., 2006). K685 acetylation also promotes STAT3 interaction with the nuclear exporter, exportin 7 (Herrmann Hua Yu, 2014), facilitating its nuclear egress; blocking acetylation results in nuclear retention of STAT3. K685 acetylation also has been implicated in gene silencing by STAT3 via targeting of DNA methyl transferase 1 to certain promoters (Lee et al., 2012; Thomas, 2012) because STAT3–DNA methyl transferase 1 binding is regulated by K685 acetylation (Lee et al., 2012). Although STAT3 deacetylation seems to be involved in termination of STAT3–mediated transcription, the overall impact of HDAC–STAT3 interactions remains controversial. HDAC5 directly regulates STAT3 localization and transcriptional activity via reciprocal STAT3 deacetylation at K685 and phosphorylation at Y705 in the hypothalamus (Kabra et al., 2016). Repression of STAT3 transcriptional activity by the HDAC, Sin3a, depends on deacetylation of K87, which is the main regulator of STAT3–Sin3a interaction (Icardi et al., 2012). Additionally, suppression by STAT3 of gluconeogenesis gene expression in the liver in the fed state is dependent on pY-STAT3 and acetylation of the C-terminal lysine residues K679, K685, K707, and K709, whereas it is opposed during the fasting state by the NAD-dependent deacetylase, sirtuin-1 (Nie et al., 2009). Therefore, the exact nature, extent, and effect of STAT3 acetylation may depend on tissue-specific interaction partners and differential transcriptional requirements for specific genes, as well as differential deacetylation kinetics (Hu et al., 2018).

d. Ubiquitination, ISGylation, and SUMOylation. Like most proteins, STAT3 also becomes conjugated to ubiquitin and undergoes proteasomal degradation through the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway (Aggarwal et al., 2009). The cysteiny1–aspartate proteases, i.e., caspases, also proteolytically process full-length STAT3α, thus reducing STAT3 expression and modulating its transcriptional activity via the formation of cleavage fragments (Darnowski et al., 2006). ISG15, a ubiquitin-like eukaryotic protein, can also modify the function and localization of its target proteins after covalent attachment (Seif et al., 2017). The ISGylation pathway is similar to ubiquitin conjugation, with differences being in the enzymes involved. However, in contrast to ubiquitination, ISGylation serves as a positive-feedback regulator of the JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway (Shuai and Liu, 2003). SUMO, another ubiquitin-related molecule that conjugates to STAT3 at lysine residue K451 in a process called SUMOylation, appears to negatively regulate the JAK/STAT3 pathway (Benekli et al., 2009) by promoting its binding to the phosphatase TC45, through the SUMO-interacting motif of TC45, leading to pY-STAT3 dephosphorylation and loss of activity (Hu et al., 2018). A major component of cigarette smoke and a potent carcinogen, 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butaneone, induced rapid generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which increased expression of the sentrin-specific protease 3 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) cell lines (Hecht, 2003). Sentrin-specific protease 3 deconjugated SUMO2/3 modification at the K451, thus weakening STAT3 binding to the nuclear phosphatase, TC45, which led to enhanced pY-STAT3.

B. Noncanonical Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Activities

In addition to its canonical transcriptional activity, STAT3 has activities that are independent of its transcriptional role.

1. Phosphotyrosine 705–Unphosphorylated Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3. A mutant of STAT3, in which the Y705 is replaced with phenylalanine (Y705F), was shown to shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Liu et al., 2005) and transcribe multiple STAT3 target genes (Yang et al., 2005). S727 phosphorylation is not required for unphosphorylated STAT3 (U-STAT3)–p65 interaction (Yang et al., 2007a) and seems to be unimportant for U-STAT3–mediated gene transcription (Yang et al., 2007a; Yue et al., 2010). Not much is known about how U-STAT3 activates other target genes that do not require NF-κB (Cheon et al., 2011); presumably, binding of U-STAT3 to an IFN-γ activation site (GAS) or GAS-like element activates these genes. K685 acetylation is reported to enhance DNA binding, transactivation activity, and nuclear localization of STAT3 (Ray et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2005), although the conclusion that K685 is important for stable STAT3 dimer formation has been questioned based on structural considerations (O’Shea et al., 2005). Recently, evidence was provided that K685 acetylation is more important for gene expression by U-STAT3, rather than in response to tyrosine-phosphorylated STAT3.
Mitochondrial (mt) STAT3 promotes cell survival under stress, e.g., heart ischemia and Ras-mediated transformation (Gough et al., 2009; Wegryn et al., 2009; Szczepanek et al., 2011). pSTAT3 interacts with electron transport chain (ETC) complex I (I) and ETCII to preserve optimal ETC activity, increase membrane polarization and ATP production, and enhance the activity of lactate dehydrogenase, thereby increasing aerobic glycolysis and decreasing ROS production (Avalle and Poli, 2018). mtSTAT3 also protects from apoptosis by inhibiting mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening, presumably through its interaction with cyclophilin D (Boengler et al., 2010), which heightens the requirement for calcium influx from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Boengler et al., 2010). While increasing ETC activity, mtSTAT3 seems to reduce ROS production (Sarafian et al., 2010; Mantel et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2015), probably through formation of ETC supercomplexes, which, by optimizing coupling, are known to reduce electron leakage (Yang et al., 2015), and/or through increased synthesis of ROS scavengers, e.g., glutathione (Garama et al., 2015).

In addition to modulating its canonical activity, acetylation of STAT3 also seems to contribute to mitochondrial localization (Xu et al., 2016). STAT3 is acetylated in starved cells after serum reintroduction or insulin stimulation, and this acetylated STAT3 goes into the mitochondria, where it associates with the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex E1 and subsequently accelerates the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA, elevates the mitochondrial membrane potential, and promotes ATP synthesis (Xu et al., 2016). These observations suggest that STAT3 might control both energy metabolism and cell death through nontranscriptional effects; however, it should be noted that transcriptional regulation of both processes by STAT3 also has been suggested (Xu et al., 2016).

3. Scaffold Function in Platelets. A nontranscriptional role of pY-STAT3 in platelets during inflammation also has been suggested (Zhou et al., 2013). pY-STAT3 dimers acting as a protein scaffold have been shown to enhance collagen-induced intracellular signaling, resulting in platelet activation, calcium mobilization, and aggregation of IL-6/IL-6 receptor (R) (and probably IL-15/IL-15R). Activated pY-STAT3 dimers interact directly with spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) and phospholipase C (PLC)γ2 to form a trimolecular complex, which enhances the catalytic interaction between Syk kinase and its substrate PLCγ2. Activated PLCγ2 hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate to produce inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate, leading to calcium mobilization. This novel nontranscriptional activity of STAT3 enhances collagen-induced signaling in platelets, making platelets hyperactive under inflammatory conditions and linking proinflammatory signals to increased hemostasis and thrombosis (Yuan et al., 2015a).

4. Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 in the Endoplasmic Reticulum. STAT3 has recently been found to translocate to the ER (Avalle et al., 2019), where it interacts with the calcium channel inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor, type 3 (IP3R3), facilitating its degradation, possibly through the ubiquitin E3 ligase FBXL2 (Kuchay et al., 2017). The decrease in IP3R3 reduces calcium efflux from ER to the cytoplasm and mitochondria. Because excessive cytoplasmic calcium causes mitochondrial calcium overload, the opening of the mitochondrial transition pore, and the initiation of the intrinsic apoptosis program, STAT3-mediated IP3R3
downregulation contributes to resistance to apoptosis induced by oxidative stress in STAT3-dependent mammary cells. Tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3 does not seem to be required for STAT3 ER localization or IP3R3 interaction. However, pS727 appears to be involved, as mouse embryonic fibroblast cells expressing a STAT3 mutated at S727 displayed excessive calcium release and increased apoptotic cell death to H2O2 (Avalle and Poli, 2018).

II. Biologic Functions of Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 in Basal Conditions

The effect of global deletion of JAKs in mice and humans is outlined above. Similar to global deletion of JAK1 and JAK2, global deletion of STAT3 proved to be lethal at the embryonic stage day 6.5 (Takeda et al., 1997), indicating its prominent role in various key developmental processes. Generation of cell-specific STAT3 knockouts (KO) in mice (Table 1) has yielded information on contributions of STAT3 to cell- and organ-specific development, including contributions to the development of innate immunity (Welte et al., 2003); its role in the commitment of common lymphoid and myeloid (CMP) progenitors to the dendritic cell (DC) lineage during hematopoiesis (Laouar et al., 2003), Th17 cell development (Takeda et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2007b), B cell development (Chou et al., 2006; Fornek et al., 2006), and macrophage and neutrophil function (Takeda et al., 1999); and its role in liver regeneration (Inoue et al., 2004; Moh et al., 2007), heart muscle development and function (Jacoby et al., 2003; Hilfiker-Kleiner et al., 2004), development of neuronal cells (Gao et al., 2004), musculoskeletal system (Guadagnin et al., 2018), and mammary glands (Haricharan and Li, 2014). These KO studies also revealed the role of STAT3 in wound healing of all epithelial cells (Pickert et al., 2009), including cutaneous (Sano et al., 1999) and respiratory epithelium (Hokuto et al., 2004), and its role in lipid metabolism (Cernkovich et al., 2008) and reproduction (Robker et al., 2014). At the completion of embryogenesis and organ system development, the actions of STAT3 largely focus on stress, e.g., its role in cardiomyocyte resistance to inflammation and acute injury (Zouein et al., 2015) and in the pathogenesis of age-related diseases, such as those involving the heart (Jacoby et al., 2003; Zouein et al., 2015), as well as injury responses in other organs, as outlined below. Some of the functions of STAT3 are mediated by canonical pY-STAT3–dependent transcriptional activation of STAT-regulated genes, whereas others are modulated by other post-translational modifications, including Ser727 phosphorylation, acetylation, and sumoylation, which appear to work in tandem.

Clear insight into the contributions of STAT3 in humans is provided by reviewing the features of patients with autosomal-dominant hyper-IgE syndrome (AD-HIES) or Job’s syndrome (Yong et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018). AD-HIES is a rare multisystem disorder classified as a primary immunodeficiency disease, usually of children, in which patient’s cells have diminished STAT3 activity due to an autosomal-dominant, loss-of-function STAT3 mutation that leads to STAT3 protein instability and reduced half-life (Bocchini et al., 2016). Their primary immune defects are as follows: 1) impaired barrier functions, especially of the skin and respiratory tract, due to delayed wound healing (Table 1); 2) decreased generation of Th17 cells and production of IL-17 and IL-22, which reduces epithelial production of chemokines and defensins (Yong et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018); and 3) intrinsic impairments in neutrophil chemotaxis (Hill and Quie, 1974; Hill et al., 1974; Panopoulos et al., 2006; Mintz et al., 2010; Nguyen-Jackson et al., 2010; Mogensen, 2013). AD-HIES patients do not demonstrate quantitative defects in

| Table 1 Summary of findings in cell-specific STAT3-KO mice |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| STAT3 KO in Cell | Phenotype | Reference |
| All cells | Embryonic lethal at 7 days | Takeda et al., 1997 |
| Keratinocytes | Impaired hair cycle and skin wound healing | Sano et al., 1999 |
| Respiratory epithelium | Impaired injury tolerance | Hokuto et al., 2004 |
| Intestinal epithelium | Impaired epithelial wound healing | Pickert et al., 2009 |
| Cardiomyocyte | Increased presbycardia and MI susceptibility | Jacoby et al., 2003; Hilfiker-Kleiner et al., 2004 |
| Hepatocytes | Insulin resistance; impaired liver regeneration | Inoue et al., 2004; Moh et al., 2007 |
| Adipocyte | Increased body weight and adiposity | Cernkovich et al., 2008 |
| Neural cells | Obesity, diabetes, infertility, and thermal dysregulation | Gao et al., 2004 |
| Hematopoietic progenitors | Unaltered basal hematopoiesis; impaired stem cell regeneration after 5-FU | Lee et al., 2002; Chung et al., 2006 |
| Hematopoietic-derived cells | Impaired DC function: defective transition of CMP/CLP to common DC precursors | Laouar et al., 2003 |
| Bone marrow cells | Impaired myeloid cells resulting in Crohn’s disease-like pathology | Welte et al., 2003 |
| T cells | Impaired apoptosis and impaired Th17 development | Takeda et al., 1998b; Yang et al., 2007b |
| B cells | Reduced B cell compartments and plasma cells | Chou et al., 2006; Fornek et al., 2006 |
| Macrophages and neutrophils | High susceptibility to endotoxin shock, ↑ TNF-α, IL-1, and IFN-γ | Takeda et al., 1999 |

CLP, common lymphoid progenitor; 5-FU, fluorouracil.
basal or stress hematopoiesis, including the production of neutrophils, total lymphocyte numbers, red blood cells, or platelets (Zhang et al., 2010). Patients most commonly present with moderate-to-severe eczema, bacterial pneumonia, staphylcoccal abscesses, mucocutaneous candidiasis, elevated serum IgE levels, and eosinophilia, all consistent with the consequences of impaired mucosal barrier defenses. Infectious diseases form the main basis for morbidity and mortality, with death in most patients, resulting from chronic fungal infections of the lung. Management of infections includes careful maintenance of hygiene and use of preventive and treatment courses of antimicrobials. In addition to the immune system, AD-HIES patients have defects in the development and maintenance of bone, connective tissue, teeth, and vasculature. These defects manifest as asymmetric facies with prominent forehead, chin, and wide-set eyes, along with high arched palate, retention of primary teeth, and abnormalities of middle-sized arteries. The molecul basis for these abnormalities is not well understood and is managed symptomatically or, where necessary, surgically.

Following is a focused overview of the biologic functions of STAT3 under normal and stressed conditions. We have attempted to summarize the role of STAT3 in each of these functions and also to indicate, where information is available, whether it is mediated through canonical and/or noncanonical activities of STAT3. Table 2 indicates how an increase or decrease in these activities leads to disease, whether STAT3 augmentation or inhibition would be desirable to manage the disease, and at what stages in clinical development these interventions are. Additional information also is provided below.

### A. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 in Hematopoiesis and Immune Cell Function

The JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway is well characterized in hematopoiesis and immune cells, where it contributes to proliferation, differentiation, activation, and regulation of multiple types of hematopoietic and immune cells in both the normal and stress conditions.

#### 1. Granulopoiesis

Although STAT3 was found not to be required for basal granulopoiesis (Lee et al., 2002), STAT3 was shown to regulate critical steps in emergency granulopoiesis (Hiller et al., 2016). Specifically, granulocyte cell-stimulating factor–mediated activation of STAT3 induces granulocytic progenitor proliferation through transcriptional upregulation of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP)β and c-Myc (Johansen et al., 2001; Hirai et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010). In addition, STAT3 activation mediates neutrophil mobilization in the bone marrow (Cheers et al., 1988; Lieschke et al., 1994; Boettcher et al., 2014), neutrophil release into the circulation (Panopoulos et al., 2006; Nguyen-Jackson et al., 2010), and neutrophil chemotaxis (Panopoulos et al., 2006; Nguyen-Jackson et al., 2010) by upregulating CXC chemokine receptor 2/IL8rb and macrophage-inflammatory protein-2/Cxcl2 (Nguyen-Jackson et al., 2010, 2012). These effects of STAT3 are mediated by the transcriptional activity of pY-STAT3. However, U-STAT3 and not pY-STAT3 (or pS-STAT3) recently was found to transcriptionally activate Fanconi C, a DNA repair protein that is expressed during early phases of granulopoiesis (Shah et al., 2018).

#### 2. Platelets

Placentas from pregnancies complicated by hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelet count were found to have very low expression of IL-6, STAT3α, STAT3β, and Bel-2, a STAT3-regulated gene, which implicates the JAK/STAT3 pathway in aberrant platelet biology (Cecati et al., 2013). Several protein tyrosine kinases play major roles in platelet physiology (Melford et al., 1997; Ezumi et al., 1998; Laffargue et al., 1999; Pasquet et al., 2000), including JAK3, which is constitutively active in human platelets (Rodriguez-Linares and Watson, 1994; Witthuhn et al., 1999; Imada and Leonard, 2000), Thrombin treatment activates STAT1 and STAT3 (Tibbles et al., 2001); platelets from JAK3-deficient mice displayed reduced thrombin-induced increases in pY-STAT1 and pY-STAT3. Pretreatment of human platelets with the JAK3 inhibitor, WHI-P131, markedly diminished pY-STAT1 and pY-STAT3 levels and diminished all markers of platelet activation, including shape change, pseudopod formation, degranulation, serotonin release, as well as platelet aggregation. Importantly, in a mouse model of thromboembolism induced by administration of thromboplastin, treatment of mice with WHI-P131 prolonged bleeding time and improved event-free survival (Tibbles et al., 2001).

Thrombopoietin activates the JAK/STAT3 pathway in megakaryocytes (Broudy and Kaushansky, 1995; Kaushansky, 1995; Drachman and Kaushansky, 1997; Drachman et al., 1997), leading to upregulated expression of multiple genes required for platelet production (Xu et al., 2017). Thrombopoietin synthesis in the liver is regulated by Jak2/STAT3 (Grozovsky et al., 2015; Hoffmeister and Falet, 2016). In addition, the IL-21/JAK3/STAT3 signaling pathway promotes generation of megakaryocytes from CD34+ cells (Benbarche et al., 2017). As outlined above, STAT3 forms a complex with Syk and PLCγ2 to mediate platelet activation and aggregation (Zhou et al., 2013) that may also include JAK2 (Lu et al., 2014). IL-9 through a JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway facilitated platelet function and promoted development of deep venous thrombosis (Feng et al., 2018). Several JAK inhibitors (Jakinibs), e.g., AG490 (Lu et al., 2014) and TG101348 (Lu et al., 2014), and several STAT3 inhibitors, e.g., piperlongumine (Yuan et al., 2016) and SCC99 (Xu et al., 2017), have been found to modulate platelet activation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>JAK/STAT3 Signaling Pathway</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thrombopoietin</td>
<td>JAK3/STAT3</td>
<td>Platelet activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombopoietin</td>
<td>JAK3/STAT3</td>
<td>Platelet production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-21</td>
<td>JAK3/STAT3</td>
<td>Megakaryocyte generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombopoietin</td>
<td>Jak2/STAT3</td>
<td>Liver regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>JAK2/STAT3</td>
<td>Platelet activation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** The JAK/STAT3 Signaling Pathway in Hematopoiesis and Immune Cell Function.

...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Localization: Modification</th>
<th>Activation/Repression</th>
<th>Overall Role of STAT3</th>
<th>Perturbation Leading to Disease</th>
<th>Suggested Intervention</th>
<th>Stage of Drug Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency granulopoiesis</td>
<td>N: pY, U</td>
<td>STAT3 positively regulates granulocytic progenitor proliferation, neutrophil release, and chemotaxis during emergency granulopoiesis following microbial infection (Hillmer et al., 2016)</td>
<td>STAT3 AD-HIES patients, having diminished STAT3 activity from AD-LOF STAT3 mutations, show neutrophil-migration impairments and increased risks of skin and lung infections (Zhang et al., 2010)</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet function</td>
<td>C: pY</td>
<td>Constitutive JAK3/STAT3, essential for platelet function. Pretreating platelets with JAK3i WHI-P131 pY-STAT1/pY-STAT3 and platelet activation</td>
<td>Treatment of mice with Jak3i, WHI-P131 prolonged bleeding time and improved event-free survival in a mouse model of thromboplastin-induced generalized and fatal thromboembolism (Tibbles et al., 2016)</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N: pY</td>
<td>IL-21/Jak3/STAT3 helps generation of megakaryocytes from CD34⁺ cells</td>
<td>IL-9/Jak2/STAT3 helps platelet function, promoting development of DVT (Feng et al., 2018)</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC function</td>
<td>N: pY</td>
<td>Fli3L-STAT3-Tcf4 positively regulates immature pDC and conventional DC development and functions, but activated STAT3 blocks DC maturation in cancer</td>
<td>Sustained IL-6/IL-10 activity in cancer could lead to more tolerogenic iDCs, contributing to loss of immune surveillance phenotype</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophage functions</td>
<td>N: pY</td>
<td>IL-10/STAT3 anti-inflammatory role in macrophages through suppression of TLR-NF-κB/MAPK axis (El Kasmi et al., 2007)</td>
<td>STAT3 AD-HIES patients with STAT LOF mutations, display bursal TLR4-mediated proinflammatory cytokines in neutrophils and mononuclear cells (Holland et al., 2007) and severely impaired IL-10 responses</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell functions</td>
<td>N: pY</td>
<td>STAT3- Fli3L promotes transition of Fli3⁺ pre-pro-B cell HPCs to subsequent precursors, e.g., CLPs (Chou et al., 2006)</td>
<td>Leptin/Jak2/STAT3 stimulates B cells to induce proinflammatory IL-6, TNF-α in obese individuals exacerbating adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺ T cell function</td>
<td>N: pY, pS</td>
<td>IL-6/STAT3-mediated T cell survival independent of Bel-2</td>
<td>IL-6/STAT3-mediated resistance to apoptosis of lamina propria CD4⁺ T cells perpetuates IBD (Atreya et al., 2017)</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N: pS</td>
<td>Cdk5/p35-STAT3 inhibits generation of CD4⁺ Treg from naive CD4⁺ precursors by suppressing Treg-specifying transcription factor</td>
<td>In the setting of GVHD, STAT3 deficiency promotes inducible Treg generation, restrains GVHD, and improves survival, suggesting that</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(continued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Localization: Modification</td>
<td>Activation/Regression Overall Role of STAT3</td>
<td>Perturbation Leading to Disease</td>
<td>Suggested Intervention</td>
<td>Stage of Drug Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N: pY</td>
<td>STAT3, Foxp3 coregulate IL-10 in Tregs, maintaining ability of Foxp3 Tregs to inhibit inflammatory Th17 cells</td>
<td>STAT3 blockade in CD4+ T cells may be useful in treating GVHD</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N, pY</td>
<td>IL-10/IL-21/STAT3-Eomes/BCL6/ Blimp1 helps generate stable, long-lived memory CD8+ T cells</td>
<td>STAT3-AD-HIES have memory CD8+ T cells and memory CD4+ T cells, relative to healthy controls, impairing their ability to manage chronic infections</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N, mt: pY, pS</td>
<td>IL-6/β-STAT3 helps early transcription of IL-4/IL-21 in CD4 cells (Yang and Rincon, 2016); mtSTAT3 contributes to maintain their late expression through increases in mitochondrial Ca2+ levels and ATP (Yang et al., 2015b)</td>
<td>Vascular inflammation–mediated endothelial STAT3 activation increases vascular leakage through downregulating tight junction proteins (Yun et al., 2017). mt-STAT3 is essential for immune-mediated degranulation of mast cells and basophils, thus making it a candidate for blocking in any allergy scenario (Erlich et al., 2014)</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N, M: pY, pS</td>
<td>Leptin-LepRb-Jak2-STAT3 regulates energy homeostasis, glucose and lipid metabolism, and immune function</td>
<td>Leptin-STAT3 causes immune aging, tumor progression, and PD1/PDL1-mediated T cell dysfunction in obese cancer patients, making them better suited to PD1/PDL1-targeted immunotherapy (Wang et al., 2019)</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N: pY, pS</td>
<td>STAT3-C/EBP/PPARγ regulates adipogenesis from preadipocytes. PPARγ agonist reverses STAT3 inhibition–induced adipogenesis</td>
<td>CLL cells adopt to oxidize FFA with constitutively pS-STAT3, which transcriptionally upregulates LPL, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of triglycerides into FFA (Rozovski et al., 2015), making targeting STAT3 a viable strategy to kill CLL cells</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N, M: pY, pS</td>
<td>Adipocyte-specific STAT3-KO mice have ↓body weight, ↓adipose tissue mass, but not adipocyte hyperplasia, hyperphagia, or reduced energy expenditure, implicating STAT3 in lipolysis, through ↑ATGL expression, ↓fatty acid synthase, ↓acetyl-CoA carboxylase, ↓AOX</td>
<td>Prolonged IL-6 exposure under chronic inflammation leads to insulin resistance and glucose intolerance in human adipocytes, hepatocytes (Gurzov et al., 2016), and skeletal muscles. Blocking IL-6 in diet-induced obese mice represses hepatic inflammation via inhibition of the IL-6/JAK2/STAT3 pathway (Park et al., 2010), thereby increasing insulin sensitivity and resultanty decreasing diet-induced obesity (Priceman et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Activated by RS. Protects from IR and non-IR, e.g., UV-induced cell death</td>
<td>Chronic UV- meditated STAT3 activation key step in UV-induced skin cancer</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
3. Dendritic Cells. STAT3 also plays major roles in immature DC development and function (Laouar et al., 2003) both professional IFN-1–producing plasmacytoid DCs and conventional DCs (Merad and Manz, 2009; Merad et al., 2013). Fms-related tyrosine kinase 3 ligand, the major DC growth factor, activates STAT3 to stimulate DC progenitor proliferation (Esashi et al., 2008; Li et al., 2012). Tcf4/E2-2, another transcriptional regulator of plasmacytoid DC development, is also transcriptionally upregulated by STAT3 (Panopoulos et al., 2002; D’Amico and Wu, 2003; Onai et al., 2006; Hegde et al., 2009). Contrarily, IL-6– and IL-10–stimulated STAT3 is also known to suppress DC maturation and activation and promote immune tolerance (Cheng et al., 2003; Nefedova et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004b; Bharadwaj et al., 2007; Lunz et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2010) through the following: 1) inhibition of major histocompatibility complex class II and costimulatory molecule expression; 2) upregulation of myeloid-related protein SA100A9, which suppresses DC function; 3) induction of inhibitory programmed death ligand-1 on DCs; 4) negatively regulating Toll-like receptor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Localization: Modification</th>
<th>Activation/Repression Overall Role of STAT3</th>
<th>Perturbation Leading to Disease</th>
<th>Suggested Intervention</th>
<th>Stage of Drug Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N, mt: pY, pS, Ac</td>
<td>Both activated and repressed by OS. Activation seems to be protected from ROS-mediated damage</td>
<td>Asbestos, cigarette smoke–activated pY-STAT3 protects cells from ROS-mediated apoptosis, leading to carcinogenesis</td>
<td>Augment</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>N, mt: pY, pS</td>
<td>Activated by GS. Augments DNA repair genes, e.g., MDC1-Atm-chk2 pathway helping in DNA repair and cell protection</td>
<td>Cancer cells escape IR through upregulation of pY-STAT3 that protects from IR leading to radio- or chemoresistance, e.g., HNSCC</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senescence</td>
<td>N, mt: pY, pS</td>
<td>JAK-STAT3 promotes replicative (Kojima et al., 2005) and oncogene-induced senescence (Kuilman et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Senescence is implicated in age-related diseases, including renal dysfunction, T2D, IPF, cardiovascular disease, and age-related cachexia (McHugh and Gil, 2018), suggesting use of STAT inhibition as therapy</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autophagy</td>
<td>N, mt: pY, pS</td>
<td>Nuclear STAT3 can be both proautophagic, e.g., LIF/STAT3 in mammary glandular cells through PPIK3R/1/p55α and PPIK3R1/p50α (Pensa et al., 2014) or antiautophagic through antiautophagy-related genes, e.g., BCL2, BCL2L1, and MCL1 (Fukuda et al., 1996; Bromberg et al., 1999; Bowman et al., 2000) Loss of mSTAT3, which interacts with complex I/II of the ETC to modulate their activities, results in ROS (Szczepanek et al., 2012), inducing mitophagy (Schwarz-Shouval and Elazar, 2007).</td>
<td>mSTAT3 suppresses autophagy induced by oxidative stress and effectively preserves mitochondria from mitophagy, protecting from ischemia (Szczepanek et al., 2011)</td>
<td>Augment</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound healing</td>
<td>N: pY, pS</td>
<td>STAT3 is involved both in the initial inflammatory stages following tissue injury (Dauer et al., 2005) as well as later re-epithelialization stage of wound healing (Sano et al., 1999). Both pY and pS (Shen et al., 2004; Lim et al., 2006; Dunkel et al., 2012) STAT3 involved</td>
<td>Persistently activated STAT3, independently as well as in conjunction with TGF-β, drives unregulated wound healing, leading to fibrosis through overexpression of ECM components, e.g., COLIA2 (Papaionannou et al., 2018), MMPs (Matsui et al., 2017), and promoting apoptosis resistance of fibroblasts (Moodley et al., 2003; Habiel and Hogaboam, 2014; Xu et al., 2014a; Milara et al., 2018), aberrant EMT (Kasembeli et al., 2018), etc.</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ac, acetylation; AOX, acyl-CoA oxidase; ARDS, adult respiratory distress syndrome; ATGL, adipose triglyceride lipase; C, cytoplasmic; COL1A2, collagen type I alpha 2 chain; CLP, common lymphoid progenitor; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; EMT, epithelial to mesenchymal transition; FFA, free fatty acids; FLT3L, FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand; G6Pase, glucose-6-phosphatase; GS, genotoxic stress; HPCs, hematopoietic progenitor cells; iDC, immature DC; IP3, inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; Ld, lipoprotein lipase; N, nuclear; LOF, loss of function; OS, oxidative stress; pDC, plasmacytoid DC; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; pS, pS727; pY, pY705; RS, radiation stress; T2D, type 2 diabetes; TPO, thrombopoietin.
(TLR)–induced proinflammatory mediators (Park et al., 2004; Kitamura et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2008; Melillo et al., 2010; Wölfle et al., 2011); and 5) increased tryptophan-catabolizing enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxigenase and resultant T cell apoptosis mediated by kynurenine, a tryptophan metabolite (Barton, 2006). These STAT3 effects are mediated by phospho-Y-STAT3; inhibition of JAK/STAT3 signaling improves mature DC function and augments antitumor immunity (Nefedova et al., 2004, 2005a,b), although attenuating STAT3 and NF-κB signaling in immature DCs leads to systemic DC dysfunction in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (Li et al., 2017).

4. Phagocyte Function. STAT3 suppresses TLR signaling in mature phagocytes (Takeda et al., 1999; Melillo et al., 2010) by suppressing NF-κB and MAPK, which majorly regulate inflammatory gene expression downstream of TLR activation (El Kasmi et al., 2007), through direct transcriptional repression of E2 ubiquitin–conjugating enzyme required for TLR signaling (Zhang et al., 2014). STAT3-deficient macrophages, neutrophils, and DCs (Takeda et al., 1999; Melillo et al., 2010) and hematopoietic-specific STAT3-KO mice have increased production of inflammatory cytokines (Takeda et al., 1999; Welte et al., 2003; Alonzi et al., 2004; Watowich and Liu, 2010), due to loss of regulation by IL-10 (Kühn et al., 1993; Takeda et al., 1999; Melillo et al., 2010), other transcriptional repressors (El Kasmi et al., 2007), or other anti-inflammatory effectors (Schaljo et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2012; Gaba et al., 2012; Hutchins et al., 2012, 2013; Curtale et al., 2013), and/or increased IL-12–activated T cell–induced IFN-γ (Takeda et al., 1999; Melillo et al., 2010). Macrophages produce both proinflammatory, e.g., IL-6, and anti-inflammatory cytokines, e.g., IL-10. Although both IL-6 and IL-10 activate STAT3, they confer opposite effects because of differential effects of SOCS3, induced by each (Yasukawa et al., 2003). SOCS3 blocks IL-6 signaling by binding to gp130, thus limiting the IL-6/phospho-Y-STAT3 activation, but it does not inhibit IL-10/phospho-Y-STAT3 axis, inducing prolonged STAT3 activation, thereby promoting its anti-inflammatory functions (Nakata et al., 2016).

5. Natural Killer Cell Function. Activation of STAT3 also promotes transcription of IL-2 and perforin genes, thereby promoting natural killer cell activation, which plays a major role in innate immunity (Zhao et al., 2003).

6. B Cells. STAT3 is required for developmental transition of pre-pro-B cell progenitors to subsequent precursor populations and their survival (Chou et al., 2006) through Fms-related tyrosine kinase 3 ligand in Flt3–positive hematopoietic precursor cells, including common lymphocyte progenitor cells (McKenna et al., 2000; Esashi et al., 2008). T cell–secreted IL-21 activates STAT3, which facilitates differentiation of later-stage B-lineage–committed CD19+ precursors to IgG-secreting plasma cells (Fornek et al., 2006). Leptin activates the JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway in human B cells to induce IL-6 and TNF-α (Esashi et al., 2008), making it a target for reducing adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance in obese patients (Frasca et al., 2016).

7. CD4+ T Cells. Naive CD4+ T cells, responding to T cell receptor–mediated antigen presentation or other cues, develop into distinct effector subsets with unique functions, including CD8+ T cell activation, stimulation of innate immune cells, or induction of B cell responses (Kanno et al., 2012). T lymphocyte lineage-specific STAT3-KO mice studies revealed the crucial role of IL-6/STAT3–mediated T cell survival, independent of Bcl-2 (Schindler et al., 1992; Takeda et al., 1998). STAT3 is also essential for Th17 differentiation mediated by IL-6/transforming growth factor (TGF)-β/IL-21 (Laurence et al., 2007; Nurieva et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2007b; Zhou et al., 2007) and by IL-23/STAT3 (Ivanov et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2008b; Gaffen, 2009, 2011). Th17 express the transcription factors retinoic acid–related orphan receptor gamma t (RORγt) and RORα (Ivanov et al., 2006). STAT3 activated by several proinflammatory cytokines, e.g., IL-6, IL-21, and IL-23, upregulates RORγt, RORα, IL-21, IL-23R, and IL-17, thereby helping in the development and stabilization of Th17 cells (Laurence et al., 2007; Nishihara et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2007b, 2008b; Zhou et al., 2007). IL-6/TGF-β combination, in contrast, helps Th17 differentiation through upregulating the ectonucleotidases, CD39 and CD73 (IL-6/STAT3 action), which cleave extracellular ATP to produce immunosuppressive adenosine production and TGF-β–mediated downregulation of the transcriptional repressor growth factor independent-1 (Rébé et al., 2013). CD39-pos Th17 cells promotes tumor growth, suggesting their immunosuppressive role in cancer (Chalmin et al., 2012).

Th17 cells play crucial roles in host defense to bacteria and fungi and inflammatory and autoimmune disorders. Th17 produces IL-17, which stimulates production of antimicrobial peptides, chemokines, and granulopoietic cytokines (Gaffen, 2009, 2011). STAT3 also controls development of CXCL chemokine receptor 5–expressing T follicular helper cells, their localization to the B cell follicle within germinal centers of secondary lymphoid organs, and their IL-21 secretion, which mediates B cell help in germinal centers by stimulating proliferation and antibody affinity maturation (Leonard et al., 2008; Ding et al., 2013). STAT3 also transcriptionally upregulates anti-inflammatory transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 and IL-10 in CD4+ T cells (Kinjo et al., 2006) and hence helps in IL-10–dependent induction of Th3 cells. Interestingly, STAT3 inhibits generation of CD4+ Tregs from naive CD4+ precursors by suppressing the Treg-specifying transcription factor Foxp3 in mature Tregs (Yang et al., 2008a; Laurence et al., 2012). In the setting of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), STAT3 deficiency promotes inducible Treg generation, restrains
GVHD, and improves mouse survival (Laurence et al., 2012). These data suggest STAT3 blockade in CD4+ T cells may be useful in treating GVHD. Moreover, STAT3 and Foxp3 appear to coregulate IL10 in T-regs (Chaudhry et al., 2011) through histone acetyl transferase-1/FoxP3-mediated epigenetic modification of the IL-10 promoter (Chaudhry et al., 2009, 2011; Chaudhry and Rudensky, 2013), thus maintaining the ability of Foxp3+ Tregs to inhibit inflammatory Th17 cells (Chaudhry et al., 2009, 2011; Chaudhry and Rudensky, 2013). STAT3–HDAC6 transcriptionally upregulates IL-10, preventing effective Ag-specific CD4+ T cell activation in tumor-bearing mice (Cheng et al., 2014); however, use of a HDAC inhibitor enabled effective T cell priming and antitumor response (Wang et al., 2011a; Cheng et al., 2014).

8. CD8+ T Cells. Cytotoxic CD8+ T cells are critical for clearing cells infected with intracellular pathogens, typically viruses, as well as cells expressing aberrant host proteins, e.g., oncoproteins. Functional cytotoxic CD8+ T cells in tumors are frequently associated with better prognosis and improved tumor clearance. Naive CD8+ T cells differentiate into armed effector cells, which in turn generate long-lived memory cells. IL-10– and IL-21–mediated STAT3 activation (Cui et al., 2011) helps generate stable, long-lived memory cells (Cui et al., 2011; Kaech and Cui, 2012) through regulating CD8+ T cell transcriptional regulators (Eomes, BCL6, and Blimp1). IL-6–activated pY-STAT3 transcriptionally induces early production of IL-4 and IL-21 in CD4+ T cells (Yang and Rincon, 2016), but mtSTAT3 contributes to maintaining their late expression through increases in mitochondrial calcium levels and ATP (Yang et al., 2015). AD-HIES patients demonstrate reduced numbers of memory CD8+ T cells, as well as fewer memory CD4+ T cells, relative to healthy controls (Siegel et al., 2013), contributing to their impaired ability to manage chronic infections (Siegel et al., 2013).

9. Anaphylaxis. The observations that clinical food allergy and anaphylaxis are decreased in patients with Job’s or AD-HIES, despite a significant burden of eczematous skin disease and increased levels of IgE, prompted Siegel et al. (2013) to explore these patients for aberrant mast cell degranulation and/or reduced endothelial cell permeability. Studies in the mut-Stat3 bearing two copies of the V463del STAT3 mutation frequently found in Job’s syndrome patients demonstrated both defective mast cell degranulation and reduced endothelial cell permeability (Siegel et al., 2013; Hox et al., 2016). In contrast, whereas human mast cell function in Job’s syndrome patients was affected somewhat by the reduced STAT3 signaling (Siegel et al., 2013), the STAT3-dependent transcriptional activity within endothelial cells that regulates critical components involved in the architecture and functional dynamics of endothelial junctions, i.e., microRNA17-92, PTEN, Src, E2F, and β-catenin, is a more important contributor to reduced endothelial cell permeability and anaphylaxis protection in these patients (Hox et al., 2016).

B. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 and Metabolism

1. Lipid Metabolism. The anorexigenic hormone, leptin, secreted by adipose tissue, regulates energy homeostasis, glucose and lipid metabolism, immune function, and other systems (Hu et al., 2018). Leptin binds to its specific receptor, LepRb, on cells in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral tissues, including skeletal muscle, placenta, ovary, pituitary gland, and lymphoid tissue (Park and Ahima, 2014). Binding activates several intracellular signaling pathways, including JAK2, STAT3, insulin receptor substrate (IRS), PI3K, SHP-2, MAPK, 5′ adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase, and acetyl-CoA carboxylase. Leptin/LepRb/JAK2/STAT3 signaling plays major roles in energy homeostasis and neuroendocrine function, through upregulation of proopiomelanocortin (POMC), the precursor protein for melanocyte-stimulating hormones; corticotrophin; and β-endorphin, each of which increases appetite (Millington, 2007), and the down-regulation of agouti-related protein (AgRP), which also increases appetite and decreases metabolism and energy expenditure (Millington, 2007). As highlighted above, leptin/STAT3 activation in the CNS ultimately leads to decreases in food intake and body weight and an increase in energy expenditure. Thus, STAT3 deletion in neurons decreases POMC and increases AgRP and neuropeptide Y levels, leading to hyperphagia, obesity, infertility, and thermal dysregulation (Gao et al., 2004). Mice with homozygous knock-in substitution of LepRb tyrosine residue Tyr1138, which is phosphorylated by Jak2 upon Lep/LepRb engagement, had decreased POMC and increased AgRP mRNA levels in the hypothalamus and displayed hyperphagia and decreased energy expenditure, leading to massive early-onset obesity associated with increased serum leptin levels (Bates et al., 2003; Bates and Myers, 2004), which correlated with increased adipose mass in these mice and also indicated resistance to the normal energy homeostatic effects of leptin. The orexigenic hormone ghrelin acts in opposition to leptin to downregulate feeding behavior via the vagal afferent pathways by inducing exchange protein activated by cAMP–mediated increase in SOCS3 expression, which negatively affects leptin-induced pY-STAT3 and blocks neuronal firing in nodose ganglia neurons (Heldsinger et al., 2014). SOCS3 also regulates leptin/pY-Jak2/pY-STAT3 signaling in the hypothalamus, causing leptin resistance (Bjorbaek et al., 1999; Howard et al., 2004; Mori et al., 2004; de Lartigue et al., 2011; Metlakunta et al., 2011). Overall, STAT3 is indispensable for physiologic leptin actions, and STAT3 dysfunction in any direction could cause pathophysiological alterations in
mice and humans (Akira et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2012; You et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2016; Villarino et al., 2017). The importance of the leptin/STAT3 pathway in immune aging, tumor progression, and T cell dysfunction in cancer is suggested by recent findings that obese cancer patients respond better than nonobese patients to checkpoint therapy, especially therapy directed against the programmed cell death protein 1 (PD1)/programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) axis perhaps due to increased leptin signaling (Wang et al., 2019). Th17 cells were shown to be perturbed by the orexigenic adipocyte-derived hormone, Ghrelin (Xu et al., 2015), through its antagonization of Leptin/STAT3 signaling. Ghrelin activates exchange protein activated by cAMP, which, in turn, induces increased SOCS3 expression that negatively affects leptin/STAT3 signaling and neuronal firing in nodose ganglia neurons (Heldsinger et al., 2014).

STAT3 facilitates adipogenesis from preadipocytes (Wang et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2013), through epigenetic activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)$\gamma$ (Cristancho and Lazar, 2011), and transcriptional upregulation of C/EBP$\beta$ (Zhang et al., 2011), which binds to the PPAR$\gamma$ promoter to maintain adipocyte differentiation (Cristancho and Lazar, 2011). Interestingly, following induction of differentiation, relative levels of pS-mtSTAT3 are markedly reduced in contrast to elevated levels of total cellular pS-STAT3 (Kramer et al., 2015), leading to increased complex I activity and resultantly increased ROS, which in turn accelerates mitotic clonal expansion and increases the differentiation efficiency of preadipocytes during adipogenesis.

Adipocyte-specific Stat3-KO mice have increased body weight and more adipose tissue mass due to adipocyte hypertrophy, but do not show evidence of adipocyte hyperplasia, hyperphagia, or reduced energy expenditure (Cernkovich et al., 2008), suggesting a role of STAT3 in lipolysis. Moreover, adipocyte-specific JAK2-KO mice have impaired lipolysis and increased body weight through decreased STAT3 activation, which results in insulin resistance with ageing (Shi et al., 2014). Jak2/STAT3-mediated lipolysis is mediated through its ability to upregulate adipose triglyceride lipase expression (Li et al., 2010b) and reduced levels of fatty acid synthase, acetyl-CoA carboxylase, and acyl-CoA oxidase (Xu et al., 2013). It was recently found that CLL cells modify their metabolism to oxidize free fatty acids with the help of constitutively activated STAT3 (mostly pS-STAT3) through transcriptional upregulation of lipoprotein lipase, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of triglycerides into free fatty acids (Rozovski et al., 2015). IL-10 protects against high-fat diet–induced inflammation and improves glucose tolerance through the activation of STAT3 (Grant et al., 2014).

2. Glucose Metabolism. STAT3 is involved in liver maintenance of glucose homeostasis by adjusting glucose production to achieve energy balance. Insulin increases IL-6 expression in Kupffer cells and activates STAT3 in hepatocytes (Inoue, 2016), which in turn regulates glucose homeostasis by transcriptionally suppressing (Ramadoss et al., 2009) expression of gluconeogenic genes, e.g., glucose-6-phosphatase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Inoue et al., 2004). The leptin/JAK2/STAT3 pathway inhibits insulin synthesis, whereas SOCS3 blocks the STAT3-dependent regulation of the preproinsulin 1 gene promoter (Laubner et al., 2005). STAT3 also plays a key role in amino acid–mediated dampening of insulin signaling in hepatic cells, primarily through a mTOR-mediated pS-STAT3 pathway that is independent of pY-STAT3 (Kim et al., 2009). The STAT3 pathway, thus, is essential for glucose homeostasis and may provide a novel therapeutic target for obesity.

III. Functions of Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 in Stress Conditions

In multicellular organisms, every cell constantly strives to maintain homeostasis in the face of destabilizing factors either external, e.g., toxic chemicals and changes in oxygen tension, or internal, e.g., alterations in pH or osmolarity due to normal cellular metabolism. The STAT group of proteins, especially STAT3, has evolved to be one of the major intracellular messengers involved in the maintenance of homeostasis in response to multiple types of stresses through both its canonical and noncanonical activities.

A. Radiation

Early experiments showed that UV light treatment of mouse embryonic fibroblasts resulted in phosphorylation of S727 in STAT1 via p38 MAPK (Kovarik et al., 1999; Ramsauer et al., 2002). STAT3 also is activated by UV through DNA damage and reactive oxygen species (Shen et al., 2001; Aziz et al., 2007a; Barry et al., 2010; Bito et al., 2010b). Although activated STAT1 seems to move cells toward apoptosis (Shen et al., 2001), STAT3 activation seems to be protective (Shen et al., 2001; Chan et al., 2004b; Sano et al., 2005; Aziz et al., 2007a; Barry et al., 2010; Bito et al., 2010a,b). Acutely, PKC-mediated pS-STAT3 (Aziz et al., 2007a,b) and pY-STAT3 seem to work together to transcribe genes that are UV-protective, whereas persistently elevated pY-STAT3 levels mediated by chronic UV exposure are a key step in UV-induced skin cancer (Chan et al., 2004a,b; Aziz et al., 2007a,b; Barry et al., 2010). Levels of pY-STAT3 also are increased in in vitro models, as well as in patients with ionizing radiation (IR)–resistant tumors, by IR at doses used for radiotherapy (>2 Gy), contributing to selection of IR-resistant cells in many different cancers (Ho et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2014;
Klein et al., 2014; Lau et al., 2015; Zang et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2018). Interestingly, very low doses of radiation, e.g., 0.1 Gy, seem to reduce triple-negative breast cancer progression by deactivating pY-STAT3 through pJAK1 inhibition (Kaushik et al., 2017), leading to reduced progression by deactivating pY-STAT3 through pJAK1 e.g., 0.1 Gy, seem to reduce triple-negative breast cancer progression by deactivating pY-STAT3 through pJAK1 inhibition (Kaushik et al., 2017), leading to reduced progression by deactivating pY-STAT3 through pJAK1...  

B. Oxidative Stress

Reactive oxygen intermediates are produced when cells are subjected to oxidative stress. Although reactive oxygen intermediates are known to contribute to DNA damage and tumorigenesis, they also function as signal mediators in many normal cellular processes. Oxidative stress is implicated in the positive modulation of the activity of a number of protein tyrosine kinases, including those that activate STAT3. Peroxide treatment leads to phosphorylation of STAT3 (pY-STAT3) and its nuclear translocation in human lymphocytes (Carballo et al., 1999), and JAK2, STAT1, and STAT3 are activated by oxidized low-density lipoprotein (Maziere et al., 2001), suggesting a role of these proteins in cellular response to oxidative stress.

STAT3 itself can be subject to direct modification by oxidative stress, as 9 of the 14 cysteine residues within STAT3 have been reported to be redox sensitive. These modifications affect the transcriptional activity of STAT3 through inhibiting Y705 phosphorylation and/or DNA binding, as well as inducing formation of higher order complexes and interfering with the function of the TAD (Li and Shaw, 2004; Xie et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010a; Kurdi et al., 2012; Butturini et al., 2014; Sobotta et al., 2015). Similarly, thiol-targeting agents also inhibited IL-6–induced STAT3 activation by S-glutathionylation in HepG2 cells (Xie et al., 2009), human endothelial cells, neonatal rat cardiomyocytes, and adult mouse cardiac myocytes (Zgheib et al., 2012) presumably through a STAT3–peroxiredoxin-2 association (Sobotta et al., 2015) and/or steric hindrance. JAK2-mediated Y705 phosphorylation also was blocked (Butturini et al., 2014) by S-glutathionylation of C328 and C542 within the DNA binding domain and Linker domain of STAT3, respectively.

Peroxide treatment induced homodimerization of STAT3 formation through a disulfide bonding of cysteines within the amino terminus of STAT3 (Li and Shaw, 2004). Cysteine residues within the DNA binding domain and the transactivation domain appear to be involved in peroxide-induced STAT3 higher-order complex formation (Sobotta et al., 2015). ROS also may differentially affect STAT3 binding to various STAT3-binding elements, suggesting oxidative stress may alter the profile of genes activated by STAT3 (Li et al., 2010a). STAT3 activation in cardiac myocytes was impaired by glutathione depletion (Kurdi et al., 2012), which could be reversed by glutathione monoethyl ester, which is cleaved intracellularly to glutathione, as well as by the reducing agent, N-acetyl-cysteine.

S-nitrosylation is another redox-related post-translational modification that inhibits STAT3 activation. In microglial cells, endogenous nitric oxide (NO) produced by inducible NO synthase (iNOS) or by treatment with s-nitrosoglutathione led to s-nitrosylation of STAT3 on C259, which inhibited JAK2-STAT3–induced gene expression and cell proliferation (Kim et al., 2014).

Increasing oxygen concentration is a mainstay for therapy of lung failure, e.g., adult respiratory distress syndrome, but hyperoxia also may contribute to the pathogenesis of acute lung injury (Altemeier and Sinclair, 2007), which is characterized by noncardiogenic pulmonary edema, inflammation, and respiratory failure. Respiratory epithelial cell–specific STAT3-KO mice progressed more rapidly than STAT3 wild-type mice to lung injury after exposure to 95% oxygen (Hokuto et al., 2004), indicating a hyperoxia-protective role for STAT3. Levels of surfactant protein B, a lipid-associated protein essential for surfactant function that is STAT3 regulated (Yan et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2004), were reduced in the bronchial lavage fluid of the respiratory epithelial cell–specific STAT3-KO mice. Correspondingly, overexpressing of STAT3C (a constitutively active form of STAT3) in respiratory epithelial cells (Lian et al., 2005) improved animal survival following hyperoxia and protected the lung from inflammation and injury through reducing mRNA levels of matrix metalloproteases, e.g., matrix metalloproteases (MMP)9 and MMP12, and levels of adhesion molecules, which facilitate migration of neutrophils into the lung. STAT3 also is essential for the protective effects of heme oxygenase-1 in oxidant-induced lung injury (Zhang et al., 2006). IL-11 and IL-6 pretreatment protected endothelial cells from H2O2–induced cell death reportedly through the activation of both MAPK and STAT3 signaling pathways (Waxman et al., 2003).

Many other toxins, e.g., asbestos, silica, cigarette smoke, airborne particulate matter, diesel exhaust, and ozone, cause lung injury through ROS generation (Mossman et al., 2006), which in turn induces production of chemokines or cytokines mediating inflammation. Cigarette smoke extract (CSE) induced IL-6 expression and increased levels of pY-STAT3 and STAT3-DNA binding in human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) (Liu, 2007), which protected these cells from apoptosis. Anti–IL-6 neutralizing antibody, as well as STAT3 small interfering RNA (siRNA), rendered HBECs sensitive to CSE-induced DNA damage, suggesting that STAT3 mediates HBEC survival in response to CSE-induced DNA damage (Liu, 2007).
C. Genotoxic Stress

The fact that STAT3 protects from apoptosis by DNA-damage agents, e.g., radiation (Shen et al., 2001; Chan et al., 2004b; Sano et al., 2005; Aziz et al., 2007a; Barry et al., 2010; Bito et al., 2010a,b) and ROS (Hokuto et al., 2004), suggests a direct protective role STAT3 from genotoxic stress. In fact, cells lacking STAT3 are less efficient in repairing damaged DNA due to reduced activity of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM)-Chk2 and ATM and RAD3-related (ATR)-Chk1, two major pathways involved in sensing DNA damage (Barry et al., 2010). STAT3 transcriptionally regulates mediator of DNA damage checkpoint 1, the master regulator of the ATM-chk2 pathway (Barry et al., 2010). The epidermal growth factor receptor/STAT3 pathway upregulates the endonuclease, Eme1, to reduce DNA damage after topoisomerase I inhibition (Vigneron et al., 2008). JMJD2B, a histone-deacetylase 1a–regulated master regulator of DNA repair genes, which maintains histone methylation balance important for the transcriptional activation of many oncogenes, acts through activating STAT3 (Chen et al., 2014).

Some of the DNA-protective functions of STAT3 now clearly are established to be dependent on pS-STAT3. Following DNA damage induced by topoisomerase I inhibition, STAT3 is phosphorylated by cdk5 at S727, but not Y705; pS-STAT3 transcriptionally upregulates Eme1, the endonuclease involved in DNA repair, which reduces DNA damage (Courapied et al., 2010).

D. Cell Senescence

Both normal and tumor cells undergo senescence in response to various insults that cause persistent DNA damage (Kuilman et al., 2010; Rodier and Campisi, 2011; Sikora et al., 2011; Ohtani and Hara, 2013); senescence is characterized by the inability to proliferate despite sufficient nutrients and mitogens while maintaining cell viability and metabolism (Kuilman et al., 2010; Rodier and Campisi, 2011; Sikora et al., 2011; Ohtani and Hara, 2013). There are three different types of senescence: replicative senescence, oncogene-induced senescence, and stress-induced senescence. Senescence is implicated in many age-related diseases, including renal failure, type 2 diabetes mellitus, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, cardiovascular disease, and age-related cachexia. There is a close relationship between elevated proinflammatory factors e.g., IL-1α, IL-6, TNF, and NF-κB, which tend to increase in tissues with senescence and age (McHugh and Gil, 2018).

JAK/STAT signaling has long been linked to senescence (Kojima et al., 2013). Induction of C/EBPβ and IL-6 was shown to be required for B-raf proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase-induced senescence (Kuilman et al., 2008). Also, embryonic lung fibroblast TIG3 cells, after 55 or more passages, expressed greater levels of IL-6, IL-6Rα, and pY-STAT3 compared with TIG3 cells at earlier passages (≤33). IL-6 plus soluble IL-6Rα treatment of young TIG3 cells (passage ≤33) resulted in growth arrest and senescence-associated-β-galactosidase activity, mimicking a p53/ROS driven DNA damage response. STAT3 was similarly described to be essential for both the early ROS increase, as well as the later senescence-associated-β-galactosidase activity, through STAT3-regulated soluble factor insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 in both human fibroblasts (Udono et al., 2012) and vascular endothelial cells (Kim et al., 2007).

IL-6 and pY-STAT3 induced by chemotherapy promoted premature senescence in lymphoma cells in a paracrine manner, which maintained a minimal residual number of chemoresistant cells that could lead to relapse. Antibody against IL-6, gp130 siRNA, or dominant-negative STAT3 enhanced chemo-induced death in lymphoma (Gilbert and Hemann, 2010), as well as colon cancer (Yun et al., 2012), indicating that targeting IL-6/STAT3 signaling may be a viable strategy for bypassing senescence-induced chemoresistance. Interestingly, senescent breast cancer cells were better able to elicit antitumor immunity through cytotoxic natural killer cells and CD4+ T cells following treatment with STAT3 inhibitor (Tkach et al., 2012).

E. Autophagy

Autophagy is a process used by cells to disassemble unnecessary or dysfunctional organelles that are critical for cell survival and regulated by a network of signaling pathways, including STAT3 (Kroemer et al., 2010; Pietrocola et al., 2013). STAT3 transcriptionally activates genes of several anti-autophagy–related proteins e.g., BCL2, BCL2L1, and MCL1 (Fukada et al., 1996; Bromberg et al., 1999; Bowman et al., 2000). These proteins bind competitively to BECN1 and disrupt formation of BECN1/PIK3C3 complexes, which are essential for autophagy. Induction of autophagy occurs when these proteins are competitively displaced by proapoptotic BCL-2 homology 3-containing proteins (Kroemer et al., 2010). Sorafenib, a small-molecule kinase insert domain receptor inhibitor, activates autophagy in multiple liver cancer cell lines through targeting STAT3 and reducing MCL1 expression (Tai et al., 2013). STAT3 also negatively regulates both PIK3C3 (Yamada et al., 2012) and BECN1 (Miao et al., 2014) through recruitment of HDAC3 on its promoter (Miao et al., 2014).

STAT3 also promotes autophagy, e.g., leukemia inhibitory factor/STAT3 and oncostatin M/STAT3 signaling promote autophagy in mammary gland cells by upregulating both the p55 and p50 isoforms of PI3K regulatory subunit 1, PIK3R1 (Pensa et al., 2014). Furthermore, STAT3 upregulates microRNAs that target autophagy-related genes, thus promoting autophagy, e.g., STAT3 upregulates MIR17HG (miR-17-92 cluster), members of which target autophagy-related
genes ULK1, BECN1, and BCL2L11 (Wu et al., 2012; Chatterjee et al., 2014; Spaccarotella et al., 2014), through a highly conserved STAT3 binding site in the promoter (Brock et al., 2009).

In contrast to nuclear STAT3, cytoplasmic STAT3 inhibits autophagy by inhibiting eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-α kinase 2 activity, by binding to its catalytic domain, thereby inhibiting its enzymatic activity, thereby preventing formation of phosphorylated eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-α, a known autophagy activator (Shen et al., 2012). The loss of mtSTAT3 results in a significant increase in ROS (Szczepanek et al., 2012), which is implicated in the induction of autophagy, especially the selective autophagic degradation of mitochondria, or mitophagy (Scherz-Shouval and Elazar, 2007). Mitochondrial translocation of STAT3 also suppresses autophagy during ischemia by inhibiting cytochrome c, somatic release, and preventing opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (Szczepanek et al., 2011).

F. Ischemia and Reperfusion Stress

Increased production of IL-6 and granulocyte cell–stimulating factor and activation of STAT3 was found to occur early in rat lungs following resuscitation from hemorrhagic shock, a whole-body ischemia–reperfusion injury (Hierholzer et al., 1998b,c; Meng et al., 2000, 2001). Examination of hemorrhagic shock in induced iNOS-KO mice or following administration of an iNOS inhibitor demonstrated that both NF-κB and STAT3 were activated in the lungs and livers in an iNOS-dependent manner (Hierholzer et al., 1998a), and that iNOS inhibition resulted in a marked reduction of lung and liver injury. In addition, administration of a NO scavenger also resulted in reduced lung injury and reduced activation of NF-κB and STAT3 after resuscitation from hemorrhagic shock (Hierholzer et al., 2002), presumably through downregulation of acute inflammation (Hierholzer et al., 2002). Importantly, resuscitation from hemorrhagic shock also resulted in apoptosis of lung type I alveolar endothelial cells (Moran et al., 2009), liver hepatocytes (Moran et al., 2008), and cardiomyocytes (Thacker et al., 2013), which resulted in increased susceptibility to pneumonia (Thacker et al., 2014) and sepsis following intraperitoneal bacterial challenge (Arikan et al., 2006). Importantly, apoptosis of these critical parenchymal cells in the lung, liver, and heart and the increased susceptibility to pneumonia and bacterial peritonitis could be reversed by administration of IL-6 at the start of resuscitation; this action of IL-6 was mediated by activation of STAT3. Thus, STAT3 augmentation may be a viable strategy to prevent hemorrhagic shock–mediated pathologies, resulting in decrease in mortality, and its timing early in resuscitation may mitigate against it, which contributes to the deleterious acute inflammatory response that ensues following parenchymal cell apoptosis.

Ischemia–reperfusion (I/R) lung injury is a significant source of morbidity following cardio-pulmonary bypass and lung transplantation (Ng et al., 2006). Under ischemic conditions, activated neutrophils infiltrate the inflamed lung and produce ROS, leading to release of lysosomal enzymes, which contribute significantly to I/R lung injury (Ng et al., 2006). Ischemia followed by 15 minutes of reperfusion in the lung induces STAT3 and NF-κB nuclear translocation (Farivar et al., 2006). In studies of lung models of in vitro anoxia–reoxygenation and in vivo I/R, carbon monoxide attenuated endothelial cell apoptosis presumably through enhanced STAT3 activation via PI3K/Akt and p38 MAPK pathways (Zhang et al., 2003, 2005). IL-6 treatment also reduced endothelial disruption and neutrophil sequestration in lung and alveolar spaces, resulting in improved oxygenation after I/R (Zhang et al., 2016).

Leukemia inhibitory factor binding to its receptor and gp130 activates Akt, STAT3, and ERK1/2 and protects cardiomyocytes from oxidative and ischemic stress by acting on mitochondria and inducing gene transcription (Zouein et al., 2013b). JAK-induced pY-STAT3 and ERK1/2-activated pS-STAT3 seem to work together to increase transcription of antiapoptotic, antioxidative stress, and proangiogenic genes (Kurdí and Booz, 2007; Zouein et al., 2013a). Studies with cardiomyocyte–targeted STAT3-KO mice reveal that although cardiac STAT3 depletion did not affect infarct size, STAT3 is crucial for cardiac preconditioning (Smith et al., 2004). Following I/R injury (Hilfiker-Kleiner et al., 2004), cardiomyocyte-specific STAT3-KO mice had greater infarct size and cardiomyocyte apoptosis presumably due to increased mRNA levels of the proapoptotic and proautophagy gene, BNIP3, and decreased mRNA levels of the prosurvival gene, heat shock protein 70, as well as decreased mRNA encoding antiapoptotic proteins (Mcl-1, Bcl-xL, c-FLIPL, and c-FLIPS) and cardioprotective proteins (cyclo-oxygenase-2 and heme oxygenase-1) linked to cardiac preconditioning (Bolli et al., 2011). STAT3 in cardiomyocytes also helps heart remodeling during the subacute phase of myocardial infarction (MI); STAT3 deletion during days 11 to 24 after MI resulted in the following: 1) worsened cardiac function and increased mortality, 2) exacerbated cardiac fibrosis through upregulation of fibrosis-related genes, 3) increased death of cardiac myocytes, and 4) cardiac hypertrophy, which reduced capillary density in the border zone (Enomoto et al., 2015). However, persistently activated STAT3 within cardiomyocytes resulted in a worse outcome following MI due, in part, to increased inflammation (Hilfiker-Kleiner et al., 2010). Of note, pS-STAT3 optimizes mitochondrial respiration and limits ROS formation from complex I following cardiac ischemia (Szczepanek et al., 2012).

G. Hyperosmotic Stress

Hyperosmotic stress can also activate STATs. In the slime mold Dictyostelium, hyperosmotic stress led to
increased levels of pY-STAT1 without any known involvement of JAK or MAPK (Araki et al., 2003). In mammalian cells, sorbitol-induced hyperosmolarity resulted in phosphorylation of JAK1, JAK2, and TYK2 and led to activation of STAT1 and STAT3, independent of gp130, leading to formation of STAT1/STAT3 heterodimer complexes and increased DNA binding (Gatsios et al., 1998). Hyperosmotic shock in COS-7 cells led to MKK6/p38 activation and pY-STAT1 (Bode et al., 1999). Prolonged elevated glucose could also signal as a cell stressor through multiple pathways, including hyperosmolarity (McGinn et al., 2003), protein kinase C (PKC) activation (Mandarino, 1992), and oxidative damage (Nishikawa et al., 2000), likely involving pS-STAT3, as well as pY-STAT3.

**IV. Targeting Aberrant Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Activity in Inflammatory, Fibrotic, Metabolic, and Oncological Diseases**

As is evident from the above discussions and Table 2, diseases may result from both diminished and aberrantly augmented STAT3 activity in various cells, tissues, and organs. In the following sections, we discuss the following: 1) how JAKs and STAT3 are targeted to decrease their activity; 2) how augmented JAK/STAT3 activity leads to disease, focusing on asthma, inflammatory bowel disease, cachexia, fibrosis, metabolic disorders, and cancer; and 3) progress to this point in using strategies that target JAKs and STAT3 in treating these diseases.

**A. Strategies to Target Janus Kinases**

All Jakinibs in use or undergoing clinical trials to this point in time are ATP analogs that target the kinase (JH1) domain (Fig. 1). Each of these small-molecule inhibitors has been shown to bind in the ATP-binding cleft located between the β-sheet–rich N-lobe and α-helix–rich C-lobe of the JH1 domain (Alicea-Velázquez and Boggon, 2011). Although the pseudokinase (JH2) domain and FERM domain play an important role in regulating JAK activity and provide a potentially rich source of sites for targeting JAKs, there are no reports of attempts to reduce the activity of JAKs through targeting of these domains.

Excessive JAK activity has been linked to numerous disorders, including inflammatory diseases such as psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease; myeloproliferative diseases, e.g., polycythemia vera (PV); and hematologic malignancies, including myeloid leukemia and multiple myeloma. The development of Jakinibs has focused mainly on treatment of hematologic disorders and inflammatory diseases, as outlined below.

**B. Strategies to Target Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3**

Several approaches to reduce STAT3 activity have been proposed and include targeting of specific receptors or receptor-associated kinases, especially JAKs, upstream of STAT3, in addition to strategies to directly interfere with STAT3 activity by preventing its recruitment to receptors, dimerization, nuclear localization, and DNA binding [see Bharadwaj et al. (2016b)]. Other approaches currently underway involve modulation of autoregulators of STAT3 activity, such as strategies to activate protein phosphatases, members of the protein inhibitors of activated STAT family, or suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) protein members (Heppler and Frank, 2017; Durham et al., 2019).

Substantial effort has focused on identifying a small molecule capable of blocking key residues within the STAT3 SH2 domain (Fig. 3) responsible for the binding of STAT3 to pY-peptide ligands located within ligand-activated receptors and within the loop domain of STAT3 itself. Such a molecule would inhibit the ability of STAT3 to modulate gene transcription by preventing several key steps in STAT3 activation, including its recruitment to activated receptor complexes, its phosphorylation by receptor-intrinsic or receptor-associated tyrosine kinases, its dimerization, its nuclear accumulation, and its DNA binding. Several groups have successfully identified small-molecule inhibitors using structure-based virtual ligand screens, biophysical techniques, and cell-based phenotypic screening that have focused on the STAT3 SH2 domain, either its pY-peptide–binding domain or dimerization interface. STAT3 inhibitors identified by structure-based high-throughput virtual screening include STA-21, Statick (Stat three-inhibitory compound), S3I-201/NSC74859, BP-1-102, OPB-31121, TTI-101 (C188-9), and their analogs. Each demonstrates the ability to inhibit the growth of one or more cancer cell lines owing in some instances to their inhibition of STAT3 binding to its pY-peptide ligands and blocking IL-6–induced STAT3 activation, nuclear translocation, and transcriptional gene activation (Zhang et al., 2013c). It should, however, be noted that not all the molecules identified as STAT3 inhibitors are competitive inhibitors. Some, such as Statick and some members of the S3I-201 family of compounds, inhibit STAT3 by covalently reacting with residues (largely cysteines) at key locations within STAT3, as well as other off-target proteins (Heidelberger et al., 2013; Ball et al., 2016).

Studies investigating the impact of disease-causing mutations on STAT3 suggest that there are long-range communications between domains of STAT3, implying that STAT3 activity may be subject to allosteric modulation (Zhang et al., 2000; Mertens et al., 2015; Namanja et al., 2016). Thus, in addition to orthosteric inhibitors, emerging evidence points to allostery as a mechanism of STAT3 inhibition observed in a number of small-molecule inhibitors. For example, hydrogen–deuterium exchange experiments and other studies show that STAT3 inhibitors—SF-1-066, BP-1-102, and MM206—may, in addition to binding to the SH2 domain, affect...
the function of the SH2 domain by binding to and perturbing the structure of domains of STAT3 at a distance from the SH2 domain, suggesting an allosteric destabilizing effect on the SH2 domain (Resetca et al., 2014; Minus et al., 2015). These findings suggest the possible utility of developing allosteric inhibitors of STAT3. Of note, compounds acting allosterically may be more specific as they tend to bind to less conserved regions of target proteins; this could be of potential benefit when attempting to specifically target a protein such as STAT3 that has a SH2 domain that is structurally very similar to other members of the STAT protein family. However, it is important to note that the toxicity of some STAT3 inhibitory compounds, as well as their antitumor activity, may arise from their ability to cause marked changes in STAT3 structure, leading to its aggregation within critical organelles. For instance, it has been reported that STAT3 forms proteotoxic aggregates in mitochondria when tumor cells are treated with 506 Bharadwaj et al.

A number of inhibitors have been developed that appear to directly target the DNA-binding domain of STAT3. Nucleic acid–based drugs, including decoy oligonucleotides and, perhaps, G-quartet oligonucleotides, have been identified that inhibit STAT3-mediated gene expression and inhibit growth of different cancer cell lines (Leong et al., 2003; Lewis et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2013b; Liu et al., 2014; Sen et al., 2014). Platinum IV compounds—CPA-1, CPA-7, IS3 295, and platinum (IV) tetrachloride—also can inhibit STAT3 DNA-binding activity and inhibit cancer cell line growth (Turkson et al., 2004). Other small-molecule inhibitors besides platinum-based compounds also have been identified, including InS3-54 and HO-3867, which specifically target the DNA-binding domain of STAT3, inhibit its binding to DNA, and block STAT3-mediated gene activation (Rath et al., 2014).

Strategies targeting STAT3 mRNA have been employed to inhibit STAT3 protein expression and thereby all of its activities. Approaches based on siRNA and short hairpin RNA targeting of STAT3 activity have resulted in the development of a naked antisense oligonucleotide–based drug, AZD9150 (IONIS-STAT3-2.5rix or danvatirsen), which has entered Phase II clinical trials in cancer patients (see below).

C. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling and Its Targeting in Asthma

Asthma has traditionally been associated with over-activation of Th (helper) 2 cells, infiltration of the lung with eosinophils (Wenzel, 2006; Fahy, 2009; Lin et al., 2013), and good responses to treatment with corticosteroids. However, higher morbidity and mortality are seen in approximately 10% of asthma patients who are refractory to corticosteroid treatment. These patients show a Th17 cell–driven phenotype and neutrophilic infiltration of the lung (American Thoracic Society, 2000; McKinley et al., 2008; Al-Ramli et al., 2009). STAT3 is known to regulate both Th2 cell recruitment during allergic inflammation and the production of Th17 cells and the expansion and recruitment of neutrophils (Chen et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2008; Milner et al., 2008). Targeting JAK/STAT3 signaling may be of benefit in the treatment of neutrophilic, steroid-resistant asthma. An early example of this approach involved the use of STAT1/3 decoy oligonucleotides in a mouse model of neutrophil-predominant asthma due to intranasal instillation of house dust mite (HDM) extract. Administration of STAT1/3 decoy oligonucleotides or the Jakinib, Tyr1A, was shown to mitigate airway inflammation and airway hyper-responsiveness in lungs of mice challenged with HDM (Simeone-Penney, 2008). Pharmacological blockade of STAT3 in HDM-challenged mice with TTI-101 (C188-9) inhibited allergen-induced STAT3 phosphorylation, resulting in concomitant reduction in airway inflammation and remodeling. These changes were accompanied by normalization of IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, and IL-17A cytokine levels and reduced expansion of Th2 cells and Th17 cells (Gavino et al., 2016). The data summarized above suggest that targeting JAK and STAT3 with small-molecule inhibitors may provide a superior anti-asthma treatment approach compared with standard therapies as, they would target both Th2- and Th17-associated asthmas (Choy et al., 2015).

D. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription Signaling and Its Targeting in Anaphylaxis

The Jakinib, ruxolitinib, was shown to reduce food allergy manifestations in mice through prevention of mast cell hyperplasia and inhibition of mast cell activation (Yamaki and Yoshino, 2014). Similar to findings in mut-Stat3 mice, Hox et al. (2016) found that hematocrit levels and local plasma transudate in response to the IgE/antigen anaphylaxis model and to histamine alone were significantly lower in TTI-101–treated mice compared with untreated mice. These data were supported by a decreased permeability induced by histamine and other vascular permeability–inducing agents in normal human umbilical vein endothelial cells treated in vitro with TTI-101. Together with the findings in the mut-Stat3 mice described above, these results suggest that STAT3 inhibition interferes with a common pathway regulating vascular permeability and raises the possibility of using JAK/STAT3 inhibition to prevent anaphylaxis in those suffering from severe allergies to peanuts or other allergens that are low-level contaminates of many foods.
E. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling and Its Targeting in Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) are the major forms of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Both are idiopathic chronic inflammatory diseases of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract that cause substantial morbidity, occasional mortality, and a 20- to 30-fold increased risk of developing colorectal cancer (CRC) (Kasembeli et al., 2018). UC and CD are distinct in the location of inflammation within the GI tract—the rectum and distal colon are typically involved in UC, whereas any part of the gut can be involved in CD—and the depth of tissue involvement (Kasembeli et al., 2018).

Population-based, genome-wide–association studies followed by subsequent meta-analyses of population-based, genome-wide–association studies and immunochip data have identified more than 160 loci linked to IBD susceptibility (Jostins et al., 2012). Among these genes are included some related to intestinal mucosal immune responses, including STAT3 (Lees et al., 2011; Kasembeli et al., 2018). In addition, a number of cytokines found to be elevated in the plasma and tissue of patients with IBD are known to activate STAT3 and/or its downstream targets, including IL-1α (Herrlinger et al., 2013). Ustekinumab, a human monoclonal antibody that binds to the p40 subunit of both IL-12 and IL-23, causing disruption of their binding to receptor, induced a clinical response within 4 to 6 weeks of start of treatment in two clinical trials involving CD patients (Sandborn et al., 2008; Bruce, 2016). Also, antibodies against IL-12 and IL-23 have been evaluated in early Phase II studies, and these agents have demonstrated efficacy in moderate-to-severe CD patients who had previously failed infliximab (Sandborn et al., 2008; Bruce, 2016).

A selective, small-molecule inhibitor of JAK3, Janex-1, has shown promise as a therapeutic option for UC treatment (Durant et al., 2010; Anderson et al., 2011; De Vries et al., 2017). Furthermore, Phase II and III clinical studies of tofacitinib, an oral JAK3 inhibitor, have been Food and Drug Administration approved as the only nonsteroidal oral treatment that induces remission in moderate-to-severe UC (Sandborn et al., 2012; De Vries et al., 2017). In addition, filgotinib and upadacitinib (ABT-494, AbbVie), which selectively target JAK1, have demonstrated benefit in Phase II clinical studies in CD patients (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02365649; Ananthakrishnan, 2017; De Vries et al., 2017).

F. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling and Its Targeting in Cachexia

Proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-6, and IFN-γ, drive catabolic signaling associated with cachexia (Mantovani et al., 2000; Miyamoto et al., 2016; Porporato, 2016). The link between IL-6/STAT3 signaling and muscle and adipose tissue wasting is well established in mouse models of cachexia (White et al., 2011; Silva et al., 2015) and has been reinforced preliminarily in clinical studies. A Phase II trial of the JAK1/2 inhibitor, ruxolitinib, has been shown to increase body weight, in patients with primary myelofibrosis (PMF) and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Jatoi, 2013; Cerchione et al., 2017). In patients with myelofibrosis,
changes in body weight were shown to closely correlate to changes in plasma levels of leptin and erythropoietin, both of which have been linked to metabolic regulation through STAT3 signaling (Mesa et al., 2015; Dey et al., 2016).

Recent studies have highlighted the direct role of JAK/STAT3 signaling in protein catabolism through muscle-specific proteolytic pathways. STAT3 directly binds to the promoter of caspase-3 in muscle cells, increasing levels of caspase-3 protein; caspase-3 cleaves actinomyosin and myofibrillar proteins, which triggers protein degradation via the ubiquitin/proteasome system. Another proteolytic pathway activated by JAK/STAT3 signaling involves pY-STAT3–mediated transcriptional activation of C/EBPβ, which, in turn, upregulates myostatin, leading to increased expression of the muscle-specific E3 ligases, MAFbx and Atrogin-1, and protein degradation via the ubiquitin/proteasome system. Emerging evidence suggests that STAT3 may also exert its procachexic effects in collaboration with other signaling networks; for instance, its activation has been shown to modulate IFN-γ/TNF-α–induced muscle wasting via the iNOS/NO pathway (Ma et al., 2017).

Accelerated loss of white adipose tissue is a salient characteristic of cachexia. IL-6 signaling through STAT3 has been shown to control this process, as evidenced by inhibition of white adipose tissue lipolysis in mice treated with anti–IL-6R antibody (Babaei et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018a). Additionally, STAT3 is thought to be involved in cachexia-related adipose tissue breakdown by modulating enzymes involved in lipolysis. Results from experiments with bovine adipocytes treated with leptin suggest that there may be a link among lipolysis, adipose triglyceride lipase induction, and STAT3 signaling (Koltes et al., 2017). These observations are further strengthened by the results showing that STAT3 KO in adipose tissues of mice leads to obesity due to hypertrophy of adipose tissue (Cernkovich et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2013).

Levels of pY-STAT3 are increased in skeletal muscle in mouse models of cachexia, including models of chronic kidney disease (Zhang et al., 2013a) and cancer (Silva et al., 2015). Inhibition of STAT3 with TTI-101 reversed muscle loss in both cachexia models by suppressing the expression of MAFbx/Atrogin-1 and MsrF1 and reducing caspase-3 activity (Silva et al., 2015). Recent work by Guo et al. (2017) corroborates the findings of Zhang et al. (2013a) by demonstrating that pantoprazole inhibits JAK2/STAT3 signaling, which leads to reduced expression of MsrF1 and Fbx32 proteins, thereby mitigating the progression of tumor-associated muscle atrophy and protein degradation.

G. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling and Its Targeting in Fibrosis

STAT3 plays a major role in many of the cellular processes that drive the development and progression of fibrosis, including fibroblast and myofibroblast cell proliferation, cell survival, induction of angiogenesis, and cell plasticity (Kasembeli et al., 2018). Fibrotic tissue samples from patients often show elevated levels of pY-STAT3 (Ogata et al., 2006). STAT3 is known to act both independently and in conjunction with other signaling networks such as TGF-β1, which is a well-known master regulator of fibrosis (Chakraborty et al., 2017). In fact, there is ample direct and indirect evidence indicating significant crosstalk between TGF-β1 signaling and STAT3. Studies have shown that TGF-β1 signaling can induce STAT3-dependent fibrotic responses by phosphorylation and activation of JAK2 (Zhang et al., 2015).

Genetic and pharmacological inhibition of STAT3 signaling has been shown to suppress fibrosis in many animal models, including models of lung fibrosis, in which haploinsufficiency of STAT3 eliminated the increase in lung collagen (hydroxyproline) content in bleomycin-treated mice (O’Donoghue et al., 2012). pY-STAT3 activates transcription programs of various cellular processes to drive the development and progression of fibrosis. These include the following: 1) production of extracellular matrix (ECM) by upregulating collagen type I expression; 2) transcriptional control of MMPs, as well as their cognate inhibitor proteins—tissue inhibitors of MMPs—that also are necessary for the maintenance of ECM (Sun et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011b; Giannandrea and Parks, 2014; Matsui et al., 2017); 3) resistance to apoptosis of ECM-producing cells, which slows resolution of the wound-healing process due to myofibroblast persistence (Kuhn and McDonald, 1991; Katzenstein and Myers, 1998; Habiel and Hogaboam, 2014; Xu et al., 2014a; Milara et al., 2018); and 4) contribution to fibroblast plasticity—a defining hallmark of fibrosis (Lamouille et al., 2014; Chakraborty et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Pedroza et al., 2017).

Results from preclinical and clinical studies have confirmed the essential role of JAK/STAT3 signaling in the pathogenesis of fibrosis and generated considerable interest directed at targeting this pathway to develop novel treatments. Indeed, several Jakinibs are undergoing clinical testing in patients with fibrosis (Kasembeli et al., 2018), whereas preclinical studies examining small-molecule STAT3 inhibitors have shown promising results. Both TTI-101 and S3I-201 have been tested in several mouse models of fibrosis, including bleomycin-induced lung and skin fibrosis (scleroderma) and genetically-engineered models of scleroderma, as well as a model mouse of interstitial kidney fibrosis induced by unilateral ureteral obstruction, in which inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation by these compounds blocks the development of organ fibrosis by reducing expression levels of proteins that mediate fibrosis and impairing myofibroblast differentiation (Pang et al., 2010; Pedroza et al., 2016, 2017;
Chakraborty et al., 2017). In addition, cucurbitacin-B attenuates carbon tetrachloride–induced liver fibrosis, which was accompanied by reduced levels of pY-STAT3, along with reduced levels of hydroxyproline, collagen-1α, α-smooth muscle actin, and TGF-β in liver tissue (Sallam et al., 2018). Others have shown that sorafenib, and its derivative SC-1, can ameliorate liver fibrosis through STAT3 inhibition in hepatic stellate cells. In the thioacetamide liver fibrosis mouse model, administration of either sorafenib or SC-1 resulted in activation of SHP-1, which reduced levels of pY-STAT3 (Su et al., 2015). We demonstrated that TTI-101 administration to hepatocyte-specific Pten KO (HepPten-) mice, a model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) progressing to cirrhosis, reduced liver injury and fibrosis (Jung et al., 2017). S3I-201 also has shown activity in mouse preclinical models of carbon tetrachloride–induced fibrosis (Wang et al., 2018b). Zerumbone, a cyclic sesquiterpene derived from rhizomes of wild ginger, inhibits STAT3 phosphorylation and decreases mRNA expression of fibrotic genes such as α-SMA and TGF-β1, but not TGF-β2, in alkali-injured corneas of mice. Treatment with zerumbone reduced corneal neovascularization and fibrosis, thus promoting wound healing (Kim et al., 2017a).

Myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) are a group of Philadelphia chromosome-negative chronic hematologic disorders that include PV, essential thrombocytemia (ET), and PMF. Dysregulation of bone marrow proinflammatory cytokine production leads to chronically elevated levels of circulating inflammatory cytokines, which drives clonal evolution in MPNs and leads to increased deposition of reticulin and collagen-containing bone marrow stromal fibers (Tefferi et al., 2011). Bone marrow fibrosis is a shared feature of all MPNs, but is the defining histopathological feature of PMF, a major diagnostic criterion (Zahr et al., 2016), and has been associated with poor outcomes (Kuter et al., 2007; Byrne et al., 2018).

Soluble factors associated with megakaryocytes and platelets known to signal through STAT3 have been implicated in the modulation of stromal cells and bone marrow fibrosis (Agarwal et al., 2016; Zahr et al., 2016). In addition, the JAK2 gain-of-function mutation (V617F) has been suggested to play a prominent role in MPNs (Baxter et al., 2005; Levine et al., 2005), being present in in ~95% of PV, 50% to 60% of ET, and ~50% of all PMF patients and associated with increased risk of mortality and splenomegaly (Verstovsek, 2010). JAK2 signaling through STAT5, which is constitutively active in JAK2 (V617F) mutant-positive cells, seems to mediate PV, as deletion of either STAT5A or STAT5B genes in JAK2V617F mice prevented the development of PV (Walz et al., 2012).

Currently, the only curative treatment option for PMF is allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation, but long-term survival is usually hampered by disease relapse and/or graft-versus-host disease, leaving the unmet need to develop targeted therapies for PMF, e.g., JAK/STAT pathway inhibition. Ruxolitinib, a JAK1/ JAK2 inhibitor, has demonstrated promising activity in PMF patients, showing increased benefit across several end points, e.g., reduction in splenomegaly, improvement in disease-related symptoms, and increased overall survival, when compared with placebo or best available therapy (Harrison et al., 2012; Verstovsek et al., 2012; Vannucchi et al., 2015). Pacritinib (a JAK2/FLT3 inhibitor) was even more effective than ruxolitinib in reducing splenomegaly and constitutional symptoms (Mascarenhas et al., 2018). Mometinib (a Jak1/2 inhibitor) and Itanicibib (a JAK1 inhibitor) also showed varying degrees of efficacy (Byrne et al., 2018). There is no clear correlation between the presence of a JAK2 V617F mutation and bone marrow fibrosis in MPN. However, when compared with best available therapy, Ruxolitinib and other Jakinibs appeared to reduce the odds of worsening bone marrow fibrosis and greater reduction in spleen size (Kvasnicka et al., 2018), suggesting that long-term JAK inhibition may be disease modifying.

It is important to note, however, that whereas JAK- STAT inhibitor treatment leads to improvement in constitutional symptoms and reduced splenomegaly, they show only modest benefit in reduction of clonal burden (Deininger et al., 2015), suggesting that the bulk of the observed clinical benefit of these inhibitors may arise from modulation of JAK-STAT signaling not associated with the expanded mutant clone(s). In fact, inhibition of JAK signaling in both wild-type and mutant cells was shown to be necessary to achieve improved clinical benefit in MPNs (Kleppe et al., 2015), and abnormal cytokine production continues to persist in plasma of many PMF patients after JAK2 inhibition with ruxolitinib (Fisher et al., 2019). Also, there is a word of caution regarding the use of Jakinibs in PMF as they may increase the risk of secondary malignancy, e.g., lymphoma (Porpaczy et al., 2018).

The BRD4/BET/NF-κB signaling pathway also has been suggested to contribute to MPN, as the addition of BET inhibitors to Jakinibs has been shown to markedly reduce serum levels of inflammatory cytokines, accompanied by reduction in disease burden, and reversal of bone marrow fibrosis in preclinical models (Kleppe et al., 2018). In addition, simultaneous inhibition of STAT5 phosphorylation on tyrosine and serine residues had synergistic effects against JAK2V617F mutant-positive cell lines, suggesting that approaches that target both post-translational modifications of STAT5 may be of benefit to patients with PMF (Bartalucci et al., 2017).

H. Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling and Its Targeting in Metabolic Disorders

Hepatic steatosis is an accumulation of fat in hepatocytes that can arise as a complication of obesity, type 2
diabetes mellitus, and alcohol intoxication. Treatment of mice in a murine model of chronic-binge ethanol feeding with STAT3-inducing cytokines, e.g., IL-6/IL-22, ameliorates fat accumulation in hepatocytes (Wang et al., 2004a; Ki et al., 2010). In morbidly obese individuals with congenital leptin deficiency, leptin replacement dramatically decreases insulin resistance, steatosis, dyslipidemia, and hyperglycemia (Faroqui et al., 2002; Licinio et al., 2004). Moreover, hepatocyte-specific gp130- or STAT3-KO mice exhibit exacerbated steatosis induced by a choline-deficient, methionine-supplemented diet; alcohol-containing diet; or a high-fat diet (Kroy et al., 2010). The antisteatogenic effect of hepatic STAT3 is suggested to be through direct inhibition of lipogenic sterol-regulatory element-binding protein-1 (a master transcription factor that controls lipid synthesis), acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase, and fatty acid synthase, and stimulation of fatty acid oxidation genes, adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase, and carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (Inoue et al., 2004; Ueki et al., 2004; Horiguichi et al., 2008; Kroy et al., 2010). Inhibiting SOCS3 also increases pY-STAT3 levels, which ameliorates hepatic steatosis by downregulating sterol-regulatory element-binding protein-1 (Ueki et al., 2004). Interestingly, most of these mice models recapitulated alcoholic liver disease (ALD), which makes one speculate (Miller et al., 2011) that, probably in ALD, activation of STAT3 reduces hepatic steatosis. In fact, a current paper, which analyzed liver inflammatory and immune responses at early stages of alcoholic liver disease in a cohort of alcohol-dependent patients undergoing a highly standardized alcohol withdrawal program, concluded that in early stages of ALD, deficient IL-6/STAT3 signaling, high TLR7, and type I IFN production were risk factors for developing severe liver damage and fibrosis in these patients (Stärkel et al., 2019).

Recently, it was shown that an oxidative hepatic environment in obesity inactivates the STAT1 and STAT3 phosphatase T cell protein tyrosine phosphatase (TCPTP) and increases STAT1 and STAT3 signaling (Grohmann et al., 2018). Genetic deletion of TCPTP in hepatocytes also promoted T cell recruitment, NASH, and fibrosis, as well as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in obese C57BL/6 mice that normally do not develop NASH, fibrosis, or HCC (Grohmann et al., 2018). Attenuating STAT1 signaling prevented T cell recruitment, NASH, and fibrosis, but did not prevent HCC. In contrast, correcting STAT3 signaling prevented HCC without affecting NASH and fibrosis. However, other studies have clearly established a role of STAT3 in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease proceeds through lipid accumulation in hepatocytes that triggers a series of cytotoxic events that subsequently induces numerous pathologic processes, including insulin resistance, leptin deficiency, oxidative stress, fat accumulation, and liver tissue inflammation (Lonardo et al., 2016). STAT3, Krueppel-like factor 6, PPARs and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase, and various proinflammatory cytokines are involved in the above processes leading to NASH (Sookoian et al., 2008). Activated IL-6/STAT3 in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with increased insulin resistance (Min et al., 2015). In a recent study (Belloni et al., 2018) targeting a phospho-STAT3/miR21 axis using either the STAT3 inhibitor S3I-201 or metformin, reduced STAT3 activation and improved vesicular hepatic steatosis in an in vitro fatty dHepaRG model (hepatic differentiated HepaRG cells treated with sodium oleate, S3I-201) as well as an in vivo high-fat diet induced age-dependent liver steatosis model (metformin).

In a hepatocyte-specific Pten KO mouse model of NASH-mediated HCC, TTI-101 treatment blocked hepatocellular carcinoma tumor development, through reducing liver steatosis, inflammation, and bile ductular reactions, thereby improving all pathologic lesions of NASH. It also greatly reduced liver injury, as measured by serum aspartate aminotransferase and alanine transaminase levels, as well as liver fibrosis, as indicated above. The reason for TTI-101 being able to reduce symptoms of both NASH/fibrosis and HCC might stem from the fact that TTI-101 treatment of HepPten^-™ mice resulted in inhibition of both STAT1 and STAT3 pathways (Jung et al., 2017). Similarly, STAT3 inhibition in adipose tissue and, to a lesser extent, in hepatic tissues (Hua et al., 2018) was known antilipemic agents (PPARγ agonists, gemfibrozil, and fenofibrate) attenuated obesity, high blood pressure, and insulin resistance in a PPARα-null mouse model of metabolic syndrome.

STAT3 also has been reported to play a definite detrimental role in development of insulin resistance. Prolonged IL-6 exposure leads to insulin resistance and glucose intolerance in human adipocytes, hepatocytes (Gurzov et al., 2016), and skeletal muscles. Blocking IL-6 in diet-induced obese mice represses hepatic inflammation via inhibition of the IL-6/JAK2/STAT3 pathway (Park et al., 2010), thereby increasing insulin sensitivity and resultant decreasing diet-induced obesity (Priceman et al., 2013). TCPTP in the liver dephosphorylates the insulin receptor, JAK1, and STAT3 and negatively regulates both IFN regulatory factor/P13K and STAT3 signaling pathways, thereby modulating expression of gluconeogenic genes and hepatic glucose output (Fukushima et al., 2010). Moreover, STAT3 silencing improves insulin sensitivity in IL-6-treated myotubes and prevents development of lipid-induced insulin resistance (Mashili et al., 2013; Gurzov et al., 2016). IL-6/STAT3 signaling in muscle during intense exercise, in contrast, plays a beneficial role in glucose homeostasis (Wunderlich et al., 2013). Thus, it seems, whereas transient IL-6/STAT3 seems to be helping maintain glucose homeostasis, chronic IL-6/STAT3...
signaling impairs insulin action, leading to insulin resistance and inflammation-associated glucose intolerance, probably through an increase in basal SOCS3 levels in generally insulin-sensitive adipose tissue, liver, and skeletal muscles, by mechanism (Yang et al., 2012) similar to leptin/STAT3/SOCS3 axis in the CNS (Wunderlich et al., 2013).

IL-6/JAK/STAT3-induced SOCS3 impairs insulin action by binding to IRS-1 and IRS-2, resulting in their ubiquitination and degradation (Shi et al., 2004). Recent studies have reported that STAT3 is required for pancreatic β cell reprogramming and regeneration, although the downstream targets are unknown (Gurzov et al., 2016). Muscle-specific deletion of SOCS3 (Jorgensen et al., 2013) or STAT3-siRNA (Kim et al., 2017b) protects against the development of hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance due to elevated IRS-1 and Akt phosphorylation that contribute to increased glucose uptake. Interestingly, hepatocyte-specific SOCS3–KO mice have improved insulin sensitivity resulting from increased pY-IRS-1, but develop systemic insulin resistance and obesity over a long period due to the hyperactivity of STAT3 and resultant chronic inflammation (Torisu et al., 2007), indicating a non-SOCS3-mediated mechanism of chronic STAT3-mediated insulin resistance.

Chronic inflammation has been known to accelerate insulin resistance and other metabolic abnormalities associated with obesity (Kalupahana et al., 2012; Wensing et al., 2015). Obesity-induced inflammation also drives an influx of immune cells, including B cells and CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, leading to further release of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, from recruited inflammatory cells, such as macrophages (Pradhan et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2004; Wunderlich et al., 2013), which exacerbates unintended immune responses contributing to the pathology (Wensing et al., 2015). Thus, the IL-6/JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway is a valid target to treat NASH, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, and insulin resistance, especially in the setting of obesity and type 2 diabetes.

I. Cancer

1. Janus Kinases in Cancer. There are several ways in which JAK activity contributes to cellular transformation and tumorigenesis. These include the following: 1) increased constitutive activation of upstream receptors due to overproduction of stimulating ligands; 2) increased receptor expression, or genetic alterations in cytokine receptors that constitutively induce JAK activation; 3) disruption of negative regulators of JAK/STAT3 signaling (SOCSs, protein inhibitors of activated STAT, or protein phosphatases); and most prominently 4) mutations of JAKs that lead to their constitutive activation.

Chromosomal translocations resulting in JAK fusion proteins with hyperactive tyrosine kinase activities have long been linked to a variety of hematologic malignancies. For example, the fusion oncogene consisting of translocation ETS leukemia fused to JAK2 has been associated with several leukemias, including B cell and T cell childhood acute lymphocytic leukemias. The translocation ETS leukemia–JAK2 fusion oncoprotein has been shown to drive constitutive activation of JAK/STAT3, NF-κB, PI3K, and RAS/ERK signaling pathways. Other rearrangements, including BCR-JAK2, PMC1-JAK2, SSPBP-JAK2, PAX5-JAK2, and SEC3A-JAK2, also have been implicated in several myeloid and lymphoid tumors (Chen et al., 2012). Gain-of-function mutations in JAKs also have been shown to lead to constitutive JAK-STAT3 pathway activation in hematologic malignancies. Mutations in JAK2 have been found in a large proportion of patients with chronic MPNs, with estimates ranging from 50% to 95% of patients with PV, ET, and PMF having activating V617F mutation in JAK2. Genetic alterations in JAK1, JAK2, and JAK3 also have been reported in both acute myeloid leukemia and acute lymphocytic leukemias (Chen et al., 2012; Vainchenker and Constantinescu, 2013).

The IL-6/JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway has also been implicated in many solid tumors, where it has been shown to promote tumor growth and progression while hindering antitumor immunity. Analysis of patient samples demonstrated that IL-6 promotes the development of many solid tumors, including breast, cervical, colorectal, esophageal, head-and-neck, ovarian, pancreatic, prostate, renal, and lung (NSCLC) cancers (Johnson et al., 2018). Taken all together, it is clear that JAK/STAT signaling pathway contributes to the development and progression of a wide range of both hematologic and solid cancers.

2. Targeting Janus Kinases in Cancer. Inhibitors of JAK/STAT3 signaling upstream of JAKs include monoclonal antibodies that neutralize IL-6 or that antagonize the IL-6R. Siltuximab (CNTO 328), the avimeric anti–IL-6 monoclonal antibody, has been investigated for treatment of various malignancies. For example, in a Phase I/II trial for metastatic renal cell cancer, siltuximab stabilized disease in more than 50% of patients and had a favorable toxicity. However, in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and solid tumors like prostate cancer, ovarian cancer, and lung cancer, siltuximab as a monotherapy did not show significant clinical activity. For the treatment of patients with HIV-negative and human herpes virus-8–negative multicentric Castleman’s disease, siltuximab received approval in the United States and European Union in 2014 (Chen and Chen, 2015), as treated patients showed a 5-year survival rate of 96.4% compared with 55% to 77% in those receiving standard of care treatment (Sitenga et al., 2018).

At present, four direct Jakinibs—tofacitinib, ruxolitinib, oclacitinib, and baricitinib—now are Food and
Drug Administration approved for treatment of cancer. Several other drugs that target JAKs are at various stages of development and are being tested in various conditions, including precancer syndromes, e.g., MPNs, as well as in other noncancer diseases, psoriatic arthritis, and ulcerative colitis (O’Shea et al., 2015; Buchert et al., 2016; Bose et al., 2017). Although Jakinibs have shown encouraging therapeutic efficacy, due to the pleiotropic nature of JAK signaling, it is not surprising that there have been notable toxicities (Bose et al., 2017). For instance, Jakinibs are associated with immunosuppression, and common early adverse effects include diarrhea, nasopharyngitis, headache, and urinary tract infection, whereas prolonged treatment has been associated with upper respiratory tract infections, nasopharyngitis, and bronchitis. In addition, hematologic toxicities, such as thrombocytopenia and neutropenia, have been observed with the inhibition of JAKs (Kotyla, 2018). The limited effectiveness and toxicities of Jakinibs have provided an impetus to develop inhibitors of molecular targets downstream of JAK, such as STAT3.

3. Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 in Cancer. Hyperactivation of STAT3 is a salient attribute of many cancers (Bromberg et al., 1999; Sriuranpong et al., 2003; Bharadwaj et al., 2016b). Elevated levels of STAT3 have been observed in a large number of both solid and hematologic tumors, where its signaling underpins a majority of all known cardinal features of cancer (Yuan et al., 2015b), including growth and proliferation (Banerjee and Resat, 2016), antiapoptosis (Gritsko et al., 2006), cell transformation (Bromberg et al., 1999), angiogenesis (Doucette et al., 2012), metastasis (Xiong et al., 2012), and cancer stem cell maintenance (Sherry et al., 2009). Inhibition of STAT3 activation in many preclinical cancer models results in increased apoptosis and decreased proliferation. In addition, STAT3 plays an important role in the tumor microenvironment, as it modulates antitumor immune response, where it plays dual and complementary roles as an upregulator of tumor immune inhibitors and a suppressor of tumor immune activators (Yu et al., 2007; Marzec et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2014). Lastly, STAT3 has been implicated in chemotherapy resistance; there is a strong correlation between STAT3 activation and chemoresistance, and STAT3 inhibition has been shown to restore sensitivity of tumors to chemotherapeutic drugs (Real et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2012; Sims et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2016).

4. Targeting Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 in Cancer. Many groups are developing STAT3 inhibitors with the goal of targeting STAT3 in cancer. For a recent in-depth review of STAT3 inhibitors for cancer treatment, the reader is referred to a book chapter by our group (Bharadwaj et al., 2016b). Summarized below are those STAT3 inhibitors currently in clinical trials.

4.1. Antisense oligonucleotide. The naked, antisense, modified oligonucleotide, AZD9150 (danvatrisen), targets STAT3 and demonstrated antitumor activity in a Phase 1 dose-escalation study in patients with high treatment-refractory lymphoma and NSCLC (Hong et al., 2015). The maximum tolerated dose of danvatrisen in this study was determined to be 3 mg/kg. The major treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE) was thrombocytopenia. Other adverse events (AEs) included elevation of serum aspartate and alanine aminotransferases. In a subsequent Phase IB trial, 30 patients were enrolled, of which 10 were given 2 mg/kg and 20 patients received 3 mg/kg. Twenty-seven patients had diffuse large B cell lymphoma; 2 of 27 patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma demonstrated complete responses; and 2 demonstrated partial responses. Elevated serum aminotransferases were observed in approximately 40%, and thrombocytopenia was observed in approximately 30%. It was concluded that danvatrisen was efficacious, safe, and well tolerated at the doses administered (Reilley et al., 2018). Currently, there is an ongoing Phase 2 study of danvatrisen (3 mg/kg) plus durvalumab (anti–programmed death ligand-1 monoclonal antibody) in patients with HNSCC (NCT02499328) that is showing promising results.

4.2. Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 decoy oligonucleotides. Expression levels of STAT3 target genes were decreased in head and neck cancer patients following intratumoral injection with the STAT3 decoy compared with tumors receiving saline control in a phase 0 trial (Sen et al., 2012). The future development of this agent may be as a radiation sensitizer for use in combination with radiation therapy in patients with HNSCC not associated with human papilloma virus.

4.3. Small-molecule inhibitors. Several promising small-molecule STAT3 inhibitors are in Phase I/II development, as outlined below:

i. OPB-31121. This molecule developed by Otsuka Pharmaceutical (Tokyo, Japan) showed a good pharmacokinetic profile (Hayakawa et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013b; Bendell et al., 2014; Brambilla et al., 2015), but demonstrated limited antitumor activity in patients with HCC (Bendell et al., 2014). Furthermore, long-term dosing resulted in a high incidence of peripheral neuropathy (Bendell et al., 2014). A new generation of compounds developed by Otsuka that demonstrated efficacy in preclinical models has been evaluated in several clinical trials. Published findings of a Phase I trial for OPB-51602 in patients with refractory hematologic malignancies and solid tumors identified a maximum tolerated dose of 6 mg/day. The most common adverse side effects were nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, anorexia, peripheral neuropathy, and fatigue. Dose-limiting toxicities of severe lactic acidosis and peripheral neuropathy were observed in a number of the subjects. In this study, the authors reported tolerability...
issues with long-term dosing that led to termination of the trial for hematologic malignancies. Further exploration of less frequent dosing in a subsequent trial for patients with refractory solid malignancies was suggested (Ogura et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2015).

**ii. OPB-111077.** Most recently, a Phase I study of OPB-111077 that enrolled 145 patients was reported. OPB-111077 is another inhibitor of STAT3 and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation developed by Otsuka. Dose-limiting toxicities of this compound were observed at 300 and 400 mg daily, and the maximum tolerated dose was defined as 250 mg daily. Drug-related AEs were reported as mild and manageable and included nausea, fatigue, and vomiting (Tolcher et al., 2018). Similar to OPB-31121, OPB-OPB-111077 demonstrated only modest responses against unselected tumors with objective responses observed in only two patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Both Otsuka compounds share similar toxicity profiles, suggesting that the adverse effects likely arise through a common mechanism. It was recently reported that cytotoxic effects of OPB-51602 and related STAT3 inhibitors were mainly due to drug-induced mitochondrial dysfunction (Genini et al., 2017). Of note, lactic acidosis is a clinical laboratory marker of mitochondrial dysfunction. In addition, there is a strong link between peripheral neuropathy and diminished mitochondrial function (Stacpoole, 1997). Thus, detailed understanding of these toxicities and how to mitigate them will be necessary to optimize the therapeutic potential of this line of STAT3-targeting molecules.

**iii. Bardoxolone.** This molecule is one of several derivatives of the synthetic triterpenoid C-28 methyl ester of the oleane triterpenoid 2-cyano-3,12-dioxooleana-1,9-dien-28-oic acid (CDDO-Me) that was shown to have promising anticancer effects. This group of compounds is believed to have a covalent mode of action mediated by Michael addition to nucleophilic residues within proteins, mainly cysteine residues (Liby et al., 2007b). CDDO-Me inhibits constitutive and IL-6–induced JAK1 activity by directly binding to Cys1077 in the JAK1 kinase domain, thereby blocking its ability to phosphorylate STAT3 at Y705. Biochemical evidence shows that CDDO-Me also forms adducts with STAT3, disrupting its dimer structure (Ahmad et al., 2008) and decreasing levels of pY-STAT3. Preclinical findings in various cancer models, such as lung cancer, ER-negative breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma, have accumulated that establish CDDO-Me as a potent anticancer agent that acts, in part, by inhibiting STAT3 activity (Samudio et al., 2006; Liby et al., 2007a, 2008, 2010). However, it is noteworthy that bardoxolone has been found to be toxic to mitochondria, as it inhibits mitochondrial electron transport via perturbations in inner mitochondrial membrane integrity (Samudio et al., 2006), which, as with the Otsuka compounds described above, has implications for toxicity of this agent in patients.

In clinical trials, CDDO-Me showed therapeutic activities against several types of cancers. Of note, no toxicity attributed to CDDO-Me administration was observed in a Phase I clinical trial RTA 402-C-0702 for pancreatic adenocarcinomas in which gemcitabine was combined with oral administration of CDDO-Me at different doses ranging from 150 to 300 mg/day (Wang et al., 2014). In another Phase I trial of CDDO-Me in patients with advanced solid tumors and lymphomas, a complete tumor response occurred in one mantle cell lymphoma patient and a partial response was observed in an anaplastic thyroid carcinoma patient at a dose of 900 mg/day. Pharmacokinetic parameters suggest that CDDO-Me has a slow and saturable oral absorption, long terminal-phase half-life (39 hours at 900 mg/day), nonlinear dose-dependent exposures at high doses (600–1300 mg/day), and high interpatient variability. Dose-limiting toxicities were grade 3 liver transaminase elevations that were reversible. Overall, the data appeared encouraging enough to support continued development of other synthetic triterpenoids as anti-cancer agents (Hong et al., 2012).

Screening experiments show that CDDO-Me inhibits a wide range of targets in addition to STAT3, including PPARγ, kehl-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1), and inhibitory NF-κB kinase. Inhibition of Keap1 leads to activation of the nuclear factor erythroid 2–related factor 2, an oxidative stress response transcription factor known to activate a variety of cytoprotective genes (Wang et al., 2014). Because targeting of Keap1 with CDDO-Me can induce nuclear factor erythroid 2–related factor 2, which plays a prominent role in kidney function (Tanaka et al., 2008), it has been evaluated in Phase I/II trials for clinical activity in patients with moderate to severe chronic kidney disease. Data from these studies demonstrated a significant increase from baseline in estimated glomerular filtration rate, a measure of improved kidney function, which suggested that CDDO-Me may be beneficial in these patients. However, a subsequent Phase III trial was terminated in chronic kidney disease patients due to a high rate of cardiovascular events when compared with placebo (Nezu et al., 2017).

**iv. Napabucasin (BBI608).** Napabucasin is an inhibitor of cancer stemness currently undergoing Phase III clinical trials to treat several malignancies. It was first identified by its ability to inhibit properties of cancer stemness and STAT3 activity in gel-shift assays (US patent 8,877,803). It has been shown to downregulate expression of genes associated with cancer cell stemness and to kill cells exhibiting properties of cancer cell stemness in various types of cancers. Napabucasin treatment also appeared to inhibit multiple
self-renewal pathways, suggesting that it may have activity toward targets other than STAT3 (Li et al., 2015).

Phase I and II trials showed that TEAE related to the administration of the napabucasin were generally mild and included predominantly grades 1 and 2 GI TEAE. Grade 3 TEAE were GI-related (7.3%) and fatigue (2.4%). Dose escalation was stopped because of pill burden, and no maximum tolerated dose was established. At daily dose of 400 mg, plasma levels of napabucasin were over its half-maximal inhibitory concentration (1.5 \( \mu \)M) for 8 hours (Jonker et al., 2014; Laurie et al., 2014). Preclinical studies in mouse models of several cancers show that napabucasin was effective both as monotherapy and in combination with other agents, particularly paclitaxel where synergy was observed. Phase I and II clinical studies demonstrated promising antitumor efficacy when napabucasin was combined with standard chemotherapy agents (Garcia et al., 2016). A Phase III clinical trial of napabucasin versus placebo in patients with advanced CRC showed no significant difference in unselected patients, with regard to overall survival, progression-free survival, or disease control rate. However, patients with pY-STAT3–positive disease demonstrated significantly improved overall survival when treated with napabucasin (median oxidative stress = 5.1 months) compared with placebo-treated patients (median oxidative stress = 3.0 months), even though patients with pY-STAT3–positive tumors had a poorer prognosis. There currently are three ongoing Phase III clinical trials involving napabucasin in combination with other standard chemotherapy agents in metastatic CRC, metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, and other advanced malignancies (Hubbard and Grothey, 2017). Notably, no new AE or pharmacokinetic interactions were observed when napabucasin was combined with paclitaxel.

v. Pyrimethamine (GL-801). Pyrimethamine currently is marketed for the treatment of parasitic diseases and is under evaluation in Phase I/II clinical trials in Europe for a number of cancer indications, including CLL and triple-negative breast cancer. It was identified as a STAT3 inhibitor using a cell-based functional screen of a Preswick library of compounds. Early studies demonstrated that GLG-801 inhibited STAT3 activation and renal cyst formation in a mouse model of polycystic kidney (Takakura et al., 2011). Subsequent studies published recently by Khan et al. (2018) showed that GLG-801 has direct antitumor activity in two breast cancer mouse models. A Phase I/II clinical study is underway in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (EU Clinical Trials Register, 2017). While demonstrating efficacy and the ability to block STAT3 activation in preclinical models, the mechanism by which GLG-801 inhibits STAT3 activation is not clear.

vi. WP-1066. WP-1066 is a potent inhibitor of JAK2 and downstream STAT3 signaling. A Phase I trial of WP-1066 in patients with glioblastoma multiforme and melanoma that has metastasized to the brain is currently underway at MD Anderson Cancer Center (Bharadwaj et al., 2016b). The primary objective of this study (NCT01904123) is to determine the maximum tolerated dose and dose-limiting side effects. There currently are no published results regarding the outcome of this study.

vii. TTI-101 (C188-9). TTI-101 (C188-9) emerged from a structure-based, drug-design program that began with computer-based docking of 920,000 compounds into the SH2 domain of STAT3 and identified three hits (C3, C30, and C188) (Xu et al., 2009); each hit inhibited STAT3 binding to its pY-peptide ligand, thereby blocking two steps in STAT3 activation—recruitment to activated receptor complexes and homodimerization. Hit-to-lead strategies performed in collaboration with Tvardi Therapeutics (formerly StemMed), a clinical-stage drug-development company, focused on the most potent initial hit, C188 (Xu et al., 2009), and led to TTI-101 (Bharadwaj et al., 2016a). TTI-101 potently inhibits STAT3 binding to its pY-peptide ligand \( (K_i = 12.4 \text{ nM} \) (Bharadwaj et al., 2016a)). Pharmacokinetic studies in mice demonstrated tumor levels 2.6 times simultaneously measured plasma levels (Bharadwaj et al., 2016a). TTI-101 demonstrated potent antitumor activity in several preclinical cancer models, including NSCLC (Lewis et al., 2015), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (Bharadwaj et al., 2016a), hepatocellular carcinoma (Jung et al., 2017), and ER-positive breast cancer resistant to inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinases (Kettner et al., 2019).

Exposure of mice to TTI-101 has modest and expected effects on T cell subsets and myeloid cells. Specifically, daily administration of TTI-101 for 14 days skewed T cell development away from Th17 cells toward Th1 cells, thereby phenocopying mice that transgenically express a dominant-negative STAT3 mutation (Steward-Tharp et al., 2014). In addition, modestly increased numbers of peripheral blood mononuclear cells with myeloid markers were seen in treated animals, which phenocopied mice with myeloid-lineage–specific deletion of STAT3 (Lee et al., 2002).

The Food and Drug Administration approved an investigational new drug application for a Tvardi-sponsored Phase I study of TTI-101 in patients with advanced solid tumors, including NSCLC, at the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, which has completed enrollment at dose level 3. No serious active pharmaceutical ingredient–attributable AEs have been observed to date. Importantly, 5 of 10 patients demonstrated clinical benefit, with one patient experiencing a partial clinical response (40% reduction in tumor sizes according to
response evaluation criteria in solid tumors) for 12 months.

V. Considerations for Future Development of Janus Kinase/Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Inhibitors

Jakinibs have been successfully introduced into the clinic, as described above, and, for the foreseeable future, they will continue to be useful in the treatment of several inflammatory and neoplastic conditions. Their toxicities, although for the most part manageable, are the major impediments to their broader use, however.

The future of STAT3 inhibitors as therapies for inflammatory and fibrotic disorders and for cancer is promising, but caution is urged especially with regard to achieving sufficient target engagement, especially in the setting of cancer, and the avoidance of toxicity, especially in noncancer indications. The toxicities observed in Phase I/II studies of OPB-31121 that halted its further development resulted from its targeting of mtSTAT3 (Genini et al., 2017). It is of interest to note that targeting of mtSTAT3 to modify aberrant oxidative phosphorylation in cancer cells may have contributed to the anticancer effects of OPB-31121, similar to other agents undergoing clinical study that target this cancer cell vulnerability (Zhang et al., 2019). However, given the difficulty in identifying patients who may benefit from inhibition of oxidative phosphorylation at this point in time, drug-development programs focused on targeting canonical STAT3 activity would be wise to avoid targeting mtSTAT3 activity to minimize toxicity. Toward this end, we examined the effect of TTI-101 on mitochondrial function in DU145 and HS358 cells. Our studies showed that TTI-101 did not affect mitochondrial ATP generation or oxygen consumption, whereas studies performed in parallel with other STAT3 inhibitors previously shown to target mtSTAT3 (Genini et al., 2017) were confirmatory (data not shown).

The serious adverse side effects that resulted from targeting the mitochondrial activities of STAT3 underscore the importance of considering other potential unexpected toxicities that might be seen in current or new efforts focused on targeting canonical STAT3 signaling. These include consideration of effects on post-translational modifications of STAT3 other than Y705 phosphorylation and effects on noncanonical activities of STAT3 besides its mitochondrial activity already discussed. Also, the impact of targeting canonical STAT3 signaling on basal and stress-induced canonical functions needs to be considered in assessing STAT3 inhibitors for safety early in clinical development, as discussed below.

Most forms of post-translational modified STAT3 proteins, including those that are phosphorylated on serine, methylated, acetylated, ubiquitinated, ISGylated, and SUMOylated, are modified after their phosphorylation at key tyrosine sites. Consequently, STAT3 inhibitors that reduce levels of pY-STAT3 would be expected to have similar effects on the levels and activities of these other post-translational modified forms of STAT3. Similarly, because the scaffold function of STAT3 in platelet activation is mediated by homodimers of pY-STAT3, STAT3 inhibitors that reduce pY-STAT3 levels would potentially reduce platelet function in inflamed tissue, such as a ruptured atherosclerotic plaque. Importantly, however, these inhibitors would not be expected to reduce platelet activity early in hemostasis involving traumatically damaged vessels. In contrast, STAT3 inhibitors that reduced levels of pY-STAT3 would be expected to increase U-STAT3 levels, if only slightly, and would be expected to have minimal impact on the activity of U-STAT3. Similarly, because the activity of STAT3 in the ER does not require STAT3 to be phosphorylated at Y705, the impact of reduced pY-STAT3 on the ER function of STAT3 is expected to be minimal.

The above review of the important contributions of canonical STAT3 signaling in basal and stress conditions suggests that targeting STAT3 in adults might result in unacceptable toxicity, including hematopoietic and immune deficiencies, bleeding disorders, metabolic disorders, and a variety of impairments in stress responses. However, this may not be the case based on the more limited clinical manifestations observed in patients with Job’s syndrome or AD-HIES, as discussed above. Nevertheless, it would appear prudent to carefully monitor patients administered STAT3 inhibitors for development of symptoms and signs commonly reported in AD-HIES, e.g., eczema, bacterial pneumonia, skin abscesses, and mucocutaneous candidiasis. In addition, based on the critical role of STAT3 in wound healing, it would be advisable to avoid the use of STAT3 inhibitors in the period immediately prior to surgery or following traumatic injury until wound healing is complete.
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