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Abstract—Exogenous administration of inflammatory stimuli to humans and laboratory animals and chronic endogenous inflammatory states lead to motivational deficits and ultimately anhedonia, a core and disabling symptom of depression present in multiple other psychiatric disorders. Inflammation impacts neurotransmitter systems and neurocircuits in subcortical brain regions including the ventral striatum, which serves as an integration point for reward processing and motivational decision-making. Many mechanisms contribute to these effects of inflammation, including decreased synthesis, release and reuptake of dopamine, increased synaptic and extrasynaptic glutamate, and activation of kynurenine pathway metabolites including quinolinic acid. Neuroimaging data indicate that these inflammation-induced neurotransmitter effects manifest as decreased activation of ventral striatum and decreased functional connectivity in reward circuitry involving ventral striatum and ventromedial prefrontal cortex. Neurocircuitry changes in turn mediate nuanced effects on motivation that include decreased willingness to expend effort for reward while maintaining the ability to experience reward. Taken together, the data reveal an inflammation-induced pathophysiologic phenotype that is agnostic to diagnosis. Given the many mechanisms involved, this phenotype represents an opportunity for development of novel and/or repurposed pharmacological strategies that target inflammation and associated cellular and systemic immunometabolic changes and their downstream effects on the brain. To date, clinical trials have failed to capitalize on the unique nature of this transdiagnostic phenotype, leaving the field bereft of interpretable data for meaningful clinical application. However, novel trial designs incorporating established targets in the brain and/or periphery using relevant outcome variables (e.g., anhedonia) are the future of targeted therapy in psychiatry.

Significance Statement—Emerging understanding of mechanisms by which peripheral inflammation can affect the brain and behavior has created unprecedented opportunities for development of pharmacological strategies to treat deficits in motivation including anhedonia, a core and disabling symptom of depression well represented in multiple psychiatric disorders. Mechanisms include inflammation and cellular and systemic immunometabolism and alterations in dopamine, glutamate, and kynurenine metabolites, revealing a target-rich environment that nevertheless has yet to be fully exploited by current clinical trial designs and drugs employed.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; Akt, protein kinase B; BBB, blood-brain barrier; BH4, tetrahydrobiopterin; BMI, body mass index; CNS, central nervous system; CRP, C-reactive protein; COX, cyclooxygenase; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; D2, dopamine 2 receptor; DA, dopamine; DAMP, danger-associated molecular pattern; DAT, DA transporter; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; EAAT, excitatory amino acid transporter; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; FAO, fatty acid oxidation; FDA, Federal Drug Administration; FMT, fecal microbiota transplant; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIP-1, hypoxia-inducible factor-1; HK, hexokinase; IVA, homovanillic acid; IDO, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; JAK, Janus kinase; KIP, kynurenine pathway; KYN, kynurenine; KYN, kynurenic acid; LAT, large neutral amino acid transporter; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; L-DOPA, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NAC, N-acetyl cysteine; NE, norepinephrine; NET, NE transporter; NF-kB, nuclear factor-kB; NLRP3, NOD-, LRR-, and pyrin domain–containing protein; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor; OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PDE, Parkinson disease; PET, positron emission tomography; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; PUFAs, polyunsaturated fatty acid; QUIN, quinolinic acid; ReHo, regional homogeneity; SAMe, S-adenosylmethionine; SNRI, serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; Th, T helper; TLR, toll-like receptor; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; TSP, translocator protein; VMAT2, vesicular monoamine transporter 2; vmPFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex; xCT, cystine-glutamate exchanger.
I. Introduction

A wealth of knowledge regarding the impact of inflammation on the brain has yielded an opportunity to develop innovative pharmacological therapies that target the immune system and its effects on the brain to treat inflammation-related symptoms (Miller and Raison, 2016). Data demonstrate that inflammation affects neurotransmitter systems and relevant neurocircuits in the brain that ultimately regulate behaviors related to motivation, leading to anhedonia, a symptom of mood and anxiety disorders that is commonly found across a spectrum of psychiatric and medical illnesses (Miller and Raison, 2016). These behaviors and their link to the inflammatory response are believed to have grown out of evolutionary survival priorities of sickness or wounding that demanded a protective, reparative response with associated shifts in energy resources to fighting infection and wound healing and a reorientation of motivated behavior to energy conservation (Raison and Miller, 2013; Miller and Raison, 2016; Treadway et al., 2019a; Wang et al., 2019). In the modern world, in which pathogens and predators are largely mitigated, new threats have emerged, many of which are consequences of the Western lifestyle. Poor dietary habits, sedentary behavior, and chronic stress can fuel a persistent, nonresolving inflammatory state through obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes, as well as related inflammatory illnesses including cardiovascular disease, cancer, neurodegenerative disorders, and accelerated aging (Christ and Latz, 2019; Furman et al., 2019). Thus, inflammatory states that in ancestral times may have promoted survival can become chronic and unremitting, leading to behavioral changes that serve as a pathophysiological substrate for shifts in reward processing that ultimately aid and abet anhedonia, a core symptom of depression that is also well represented in other psychiatric disorders, including anxiety disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and schizophrenia. Anhedonia also has a prominent association with suicide, which represents a critical and pressing public health concern (Ducasse et al., 2018, 2020).

Pharmacological targets for inflammation and its effects on the brain encompass inflammation itself, including inflammatory cells and their inflammatory mediators (e.g., cytokines), their signaling pathways, and their metabolism of glucose and fatty acids, as well as the impact of inflammation on relevant neuronal and glial cells affecting neurotransmitter systems such as dopamine (DA), glutamate, and the kynurenine pathway (KP) and the neurocircuits they regulate (Haroon et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2017).

Taken together, the identification of pathophysiological mechanisms (inflammation and its effects on the brain) that lead to specific symptom’s dimensions (motivational deficits and anhedonia) across disorders provides a pivotal entrée into the future of drug development in psychiatry. Such a future embraces the concept of targeting treatments to transdiagnostic, biologically based subgroups of patients with common symptom presentations while moving away from drug development for currently conceived diagnostic groups based on consensus taxonomy and represented in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM). This approach has become an exceedingly successful strategy in oncology, in which targeted treatments focus on specific pathologic signaling pathways, agnostic to tumor type (Baudino, 2015).

In this review, we will provide the background and foundation for identifying and characterizing the biologic subgroup of patients with increased inflammation. In the process, we will identify the many mechanisms involved in the effects of inflammation on the brain, ultimately revealing a multitude of pharmacological targets for treatment. Finally, we will discuss the shortcomings of the extant literature on the use of anti-inflammatory drugs to treat psychiatric disorders and will provide recommendations for future clinical trial design.

II. Inflammation in Depression

A. Increased Inflammatory Markers in Patients with Major Depression

The hypothesis that inflammation may play a role in psychiatric disorders evolved from early studies on patients with major depression. Based on sampling inflammatory markers in the peripheral blood of patients with depression, initial studies characterized increases in acute phase proteins that are primarily produced in the liver in response to inflammatory cytokines (Maes et al., 1992; Maes, 1995). These early reports were followed by a substantial literature represented by hundreds of studies that replicated and extended early findings demonstrating that, compared with controls, patients with depression exhibit mean increases in all of the inflammatory molecules that typify a chronic inflammatory response, including increases in peripheral blood inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules, as well as the acute phase proteins. There have been numerous meta-analyses of this literature, and the inflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interleukin (IL)-6 and the acute phase protein C-reactive protein (CRP) appear to be some of the most reliably elevated inflammatory biomarkers in patients with depression as a group and are robust to a range of confounds, including body mass index (BMI), smoking, age, and treatment status (Howren et al., 2009;
Dowlati et al., 2010; Kohler et al., 2017; Osimo et al., 2020). Finally, a number of studies have shown that inflammatory biomarkers including CRP and IL-6 predict the subsequent development of depression (Valkanova et al., 2013; Osimo et al., 2019; Mac Giolla- hubhi et al., 2020).

Examination of gene expression in peripheral blood immune cells of patients with major depression has also revealed activation of canonical inflammatory signaling pathways, including toll-like receptors (TLRs); nuclear factor κB (NF-κB); the NOD-, LRR-, and pyrin domain–containing protein (NLRP3) inflammasome complex, which via caspase-1 cleaves pro–IL-1β and IL-18 into their mature forms; markers of oxidative stress; and the inflammatory cytokines themselves (Hajebrhimi et al., 2014; Keri et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2017; Hung et al., 2014, 2017). Activation of these inflammatory pathways reflects the components of the fundamental innate immune response to microbe-derived pathogen-associated molecular patterns, possibly related to infection or the microbiome, and danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which are generated by host cells under stress (Fleshner and Crane, 2017).

A number of studies have also conducted whole-genome expression analyses in depressed versus control subjects using samples of whole blood, which include neutrophils, or gradient-isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), which do not contain neutrophils (Spijker et al., 2010; Yi et al., 2012; Mostafavi et al., 2014; Guilloux et al., 2015; Hori et al., 2016; Jansen et al., 2016; Le et al., 2018; Leeday et al., 2018). Different array platforms have been employed, and in at least two cases, deep RNA sequencing was conducted, making comparison of these studies challenging at best. Results from this work generally indicate that peripheral blood immune cells from patients with depression exhibit increased activation of signaling pathways related to inflammation and the innate immune response, including genes enriched for IL-6 signaling and pathway enrichment of type 1 interferon (IFN) signaling (Mostafavi et al., 2014; Jansen et al., 2016). Of note, increased expression of a TNF receptor gene was within the top 15 of the strongest individual gene findings in one report (Mostafavi et al., 2014). Most relevant to the focus of the current review, in one small study, ingenuity pathway analysis of PBMCs in patients with depression versus controls (n = 20 per group), revealed a gene network centered around TNF with NF-κB as a connecting hub that was associated with morphometric measures of the caudate (Savitz et al., 2013), a key brain region involved in both motivation and motor activity. Of relevance to immunometabolism (see below), one study on PBMCs from patients with postpartum depression found a positive association with multiple genes involved in energy metabolism, including glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and lipid metabolism, as well as pathways related to cytokine/cytokine receptor interactions and TLR signaling (Pan et al., 2018). Finally, in a recent report using multivariate mixture modeling and consensus clustering, four subgroups of patients with depression emerged, two of which exhibited increased inflammatory markers (IL-6 and CRP): one was dominated by immune cell subsets of predominately myeloid lineage (neutrophils and monocytes), and the other was characterized by an abundance of lymphoid cells (Lynall et al., 2020). Whether these subgroups represent discrete immunologic phenotypes or a progression from early innate immune responses that transition to greater representation of adaptive (lymphocytic) immune responses as the disease becomes more chronic (and potentially more treatment-resistant) remains unclear (Felger and Miller, 2020). Nevertheless, these data support the notion that there may be multiple immunophenotypes of inflammation in depression and possibly other psychiatric disorders, further suggesting that targeting specific immune pathways and immunologic subgroups may be especially relevant given heterogeneous populations of patients with psychiatric disorders with and without increased inflammation (Felger and Miller, 2020; Lynall et al., 2020).

In addition to the peripheral blood, increased inflammatory markers have also been described in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of depressed subjects (Felger et al., 2020; Franzen et al., 2020), and post-mortem studies have identified evidence of increased inflammatory signaling in brain parenchyma, represented by increased TLR expression and expression of inflammatory cytokines as well as evidence of immune cell trafficking to the brain and activation of microglia, the immune cells of the brain (Pandey et al., 2014, 2017; Torres-Platas et al., 2014a,b; Enache et al., 2019). Microglial activation has also been suggested by positron emission tomography (PET) in patients with depression using ligands to the translocator protein (TSPO), whose expression is increased in activated microglia (Setiawan et al., 2015; Richards et al., 2018; Enache et al., 2019). It should be noted, however, that although TSPO binds to activated microglia in neuroinflammatory states, it does not distinguish the many states and functions of activated microglia that include, for example, synaptic pruning that is unrelated to inflammation. TSPO ligands also bind to other activated cells in the brain, including astrocytes, vascular endothelial cells, and neurons, making interpretation of the results challenging (Notter et al., 2020).

1. Association with Treatment Response. In a meta-analysis and recent systematic review of the literature, increased inflammatory markers in depression have been associated with a reduced treatment
response to conventional antidepressants, especially in ambulatory patients with depression (Strawbridge et al., 2015; Arteaga-Henriquez et al., 2019). For example, elevated biomarkers of inflammation including CRP at baseline have been associated with a poor response to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) (Uher et al., 2014; Cattaneo et al., 2016; Jha et al., 2017). Moreover, patients who have failed multiple treatment trials have been found to exhibit increased inflammatory markers including IL-6, TNF, soluble TNF receptor 2, and CRP, and treatment-resistant versus treatment-responsive patients with depression were recently shown using binomial logistic models to exhibit a signature of mRNAs including P2X purinoceptor 7, IL-1, TNF, and C-X-C motif ligand 12 (Haroon et al., 2018b; Chamberlain et al., 2019; Cattaneo et al., 2020). Interestingly, drugs that affect DAergic and noradrenergic pathways, including bupropion and nortriptyline, which also increases DA release in frontal cortex, have exhibited improved efficacy compared with SSRIs in patients with increased inflammation (see impact of inflammation on DA below) (Uher et al., 2014; Jha et al., 2017; Arteaga-Henriquez et al., 2019). Increased inflammatory markers have also been associated with increased responsiveness to electroconvulsive therapy and ketamine (Yang et al., 2015; Kruse et al., 2018). Taken together, these data suggest that inflammatory markers may help guide treatment selection in patients with depression. Nevertheless, most studies to date have examined the predictive capacity of inflammatory biomarkers using post hoc analyses. Few studies have a priori randomized patients on the basis of inflammation and determined treatment response (see below for further discussion of anti-inflammatory trial failures).

B. Induction of Depressive Symptoms after Administration of Inflammatory Stimuli

Much of the data that have substantiated the effects of inflammation on behavior has been derived from studies examining the impact of various inflammatory stimuli on symptoms of depression. Probably the most extensive literature in this regard comes from the administration of the inflammatory cytokine IFN-α to patients with cancer (malignant melanoma or renal cell carcinoma) or infectious diseases (primarily hepatitis C). IFN-α has been shown to induce a variety of depressive symptoms, with early appearance of neurovegetative symptoms including anhedonia, psychomotor slowing, and fatigue and later appearance of more cognitive symptoms including depressed mood and cognitive dysfunction (Capuron et al., 2002a; Capuron and Miller, 2004; Wichers et al., 2005; Su et al., 2019a). Depending on the dose of IFN-α, ~30%–50% of patients meet symptom criteria for major depression, and comparisons between patients with IFN-α-induced depression and otherwise healthy depressed individuals reveal marked overlap in symptoms (Capuron et al., 2009). Aside from IFN-α, similar findings have been found in healthy controls acutely administered either typhoid or influenza vaccination or low-dose endotoxin (Brydon et al., 2008, 2019; Harrison et al., 2009, 2016; Eisenberger et al., 2010; Moieni et al., 2019a). In each case, depressive symptoms are induced, including symptoms of depressed mood, anhedonia, and psychomotor slowing. In combination, these data provide strong evidence that there is a cause-and-effect relationship between inflammation and depressive symptoms given that subjects did not exhibit depressive symptoms prior to inflammatory exposure and their behavior was significantly changed after administration of an acute or chronic inflammatory challenge. In addition, as discussed in detail below, these studies have provided the foundation for examining the neurotransmitter systems and neurocircuits that mediate the effects of inflammation on the brain and behavior.

C. Inhibition of Inflammation Reduces Depressive Symptoms

To complement the data demonstrating that administration of inflammatory stimuli can cause depressive symptoms, there is an emerging literature to suggest that blocking inflammation can reverse depressive symptoms. These studies again address the cause-and-effect relationship between inflammation and depression or depressive symptoms and provide the proof of concept of using anti-inflammatory drugs to treat patients with depression. The most convincing evidence in this regard is the reduction of depressive symptoms seen in patients with autoimmune and inflammatory disorders administered anticytokine therapies. For example, in a recent meta-analysis of the published literature and a mega-analysis of studies conducted by Janssen and GlaxoSmithKline, strong evidence was provided for the antidepressant efficacy of a variety of anticytokine therapies, with treatments targeting TNF, IL-6, and IL-12/23 showing the most reliable effects (Kappelmann et al., 2018; Wittenberg et al., 2020). Meta-regression examining predictors of response revealed that severity of depressive symptoms at baseline was a better predictor of response than improvement in underlying disease activity (Kappelmann et al., 2018). Moreover, in the mega-analysis, results for IL-12/23 remained significant after controlling for physical response to treatment (Wittenberg et al., 2020). These results suggest that the effects of anticytokine therapy on disease activity do not fully account for results. Anticytokine treatments have also been studied in patients with major depression who were otherwise medically healthy (Raison et al., 2013; Salvadore, 2018;
McIntyre et al., 2019). These studies have indicated responsiveness to treatment, but only in patients with evidence of high inflammation at baseline, and improvement has been primarily seen in symptoms of anhedonia (Raison et al., 2013; Salvadore, 2018; Lee et al., 2020). Other drugs with anti-inflammatory activity, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, statins, minocycline, omega 3 fatty acids, and others, have also been studied in depression (Kohler-Forsberg et al., 2019). These studies have multiple design issues, and all of these drugs have off-target effects, making it difficult to interpret the results (see discussion of clinical trials below). Indeed, in the largest randomized, controlled trial to date, the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs celecoxib or minocycline (a microglial stabilizer) both failed to separate from placebo in reducing depressive symptom scores in a heterogeneous sample of patients with depression and bipolar disorder (Husain et al., 2020).

D. Evolution of a Concept: Beyond Depression

1. An Inflammatory Subgroup. There was great initial excitement in the potential role of the immune system in depression. However, the relationship between inflammation and depression is now recognized as much more nuanced than originally appreciated. Although it had been suggested that depression might be an inflammatory disorder, it turns out that only a subgroup of patients with depression exhibit increased inflammation (Raison and Miller, 2011). This conclusion is not surprising given the well known intrinsic heterogeneity as it relates to patients with major depression, as well as the concept of depression. Few studies have directly examined this issue, but the estimate based on a recent meta-analysis of the literature is that approximately 30% of patients with depression exhibit high inflammation (as reflected by a CRP > 3 mg/l) (Osimio et al., 2019). This cutoff for increased inflammation is derived from categories of inflammatory risk for cardiovascular disease as recommended by the American Heart Association and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Ridker, 2003). CRP values between 1 and 3 mg/l are considered mild/moderate inflammation (inflammatory risk), whereas <1 mg/l is considered normal. Of note, given the relatively small percentage of patients with depression with high inflammation, it is not surprising that the response to anti-inflammatory drugs in heterogeneous samples of subjects with depression as noted above has been mixed.

A number of factors are associated with inflammation in depression, including obesity, metabolic syndrome, aging, medical disorders and their treatments, childhood trauma, and treatment resistance (Ambrosio et al., 2018; Haroon et al., 2018b; Lacey et al., 2020; Milaneschi et al., 2020; Miller et al., 2008). For example, 45% of patients enrolled in an anticytokine trial for treatment resistant depression exhibited a CRP > 3 mg/l (Raison et al., 2013). Other factors that are emerging as relevant to inflammation in depression and other neuropsychiatric symptoms are the microbiome and chronic infectious diseases including chronic viral illnesses such as cytomegalovirus and post-viral syndromes such as those after coronavirus disease 19 (Simanek et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2015; Dinan and Cryan, 2019; Marshall, 2020).

2. Inflammation in Other Psychiatric Disorders. Aside from the recognition that only a relatively small percentage of patients with depression exhibit increased inflammation, it is also now appreciated that increased inflammation is not solely the purview of depression but is apparent in multiple other psychiatric disorders. For example, meta-analyses of the literature have demonstrated increased peripheral inflammatory markers including inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and acute phase reactants in patients with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia, as well as anxiety disorders, PTSD, obsessive compulsive disorder, and personality disorders such as borderline personality disorder (Kahl et al., 2006; Goldsmith et al., 2016; Costello et al., 2019; Yang and Jiang, 2020). Indeed, data for a role of inflammation in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder are similar to those seen in depression (Goldstein et al., 2009; Najjar and Pearlman, 2015). Moreover, suicide has been linked with inflammation, possibly through its association with anhedonia (Ducasse et al., 2020).

3. A Transdiagnostic Pathway to Behavioral Pathology. Such reliable immunologic findings across disorders have challenged the field to think beyond depression and turn to a transdiagnostic phenomenology wherein inflammation plays a role in pathology agnostic to psychiatric diagnosis. Based on the rich literature of the impact of inflammatory mediators on neurotransmitter systems and specific neurocircuits in the brain, it has become increasingly apparent that inflammation is not about any given disorder but is a pathophysiological mechanism that can exist within any given population of subjects with similar consequences on the brain and behavior across disorders.

As detailed below, based on a variety of experimental strategies, inflammation has been shown to increase the reuptake and decrease the synthesis and release of monoamine neurotransmitters while decreasing the reuptake and increasing the release of glutamate (Miller and Raison, 2016). In conjunction with effects on growth factors and synaptic plasticity, the impact of inflammation on neurotransmitter metabolism appears to disrupt neurocircuitry in the basal ganglia, which is involved in motivation as well as motor activity (Fig. 1) (Miller and Raison, 2016). These effects of inflammation on the brain ultimately contribute to symptoms of anhedonia and psychomotor retardation, which characterize mood disorders. These
Data indicate that inflammation also impacts threat circuitry, including the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), amygdala, insula, and hippocampus, which leads to anxiety, arousal, and alarm (Capuron et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2013; Davies et al., 2020). For example, endotoxin has been shown to enhance sensitivity of threat-related regions including the amygdala and dorsal ACC to negative social feedback (Muscatell et al., 2016) while exaggerating activation of the ventral striatum to positive social feedback and images of social support (Inagaki et al., 2015; Muscatell et al., 2016). Moreover, in a recent study, administration of IFN-\(\gamma\) in patients with hepatitis C was shown to increase right amygdala reactivity to sad faces, whereas blockade of TNF in patients with inflammatory arthritis resulted in decreased reactivity in this same brain region (Davies et al., 2020). Finally, alterations in functional connectivity within threat circuitry such as the amygdala have been associated with symptoms of anxiety in patients with major depression (Mehta et al., 2018).

Taken together, these data support that inflammatory effects on the brain defy conventional diagnostic boundaries and contribute to common symptom clusters across disorders. As noted above, inflammation has multiple effects on neurocircuitry, including, notably, both reward and threat circuitry. This review will focus on the impact of inflammation on the brain leading to motivational deficits and anhedonia.

### III. Mechanisms by which Inflammation Leads to Anhedonia

Regarding the mechanisms by which inflammatory exposure may lead to anhedonia, it is important to recognize that anhedonia is a complex construct. Multiple components of this construct can contribute to anhedonia, including decreases in effort-based motivation for reward, reward anticipation, reinforcement learning, and hedonic capacity (Treadway and Zald, 2011; Treadway et al., 2012; Berridge and Kringelbach, 2015; Cooper et al., 2018). Each of these components may be variably represented in the clinical presentation of anhedonia (Cooper et al., 2018), and as described in detail below, it appears that inflammation has preferential effects on effort-based motivation, reward anticipation, and reinforcement learning while having a lesser impact on the capacity to experience reward (i.e., consummatory reward processes). These findings may be a result of the well characterized effects of inflammation on DA and glutamate (see below) (Treadway and Zald, 2011; Cooper et al., 2018), whereas effects on opioidergic pathways that participate in consummatory reward processes (pleasure systems) in the brain have been less studied in this context (Berridge and Kringelbach, 2015). It should also be noted that within the diagnostic nomenclature

![Fig. 1. Inflammation-induced alterations in dopamine and glutamate converge to affect corticostriatal reward and motor circuitry and drive symptoms of anhedonia and psychomotor retardation. Peripheral innate immune activation and the release of inflammatory cytokines in patients with depression have been associated with elevated glutamate concentrations in basal ganglia regions, as well as decreased dopamine availability and reduced functional connectivity between the ventral and dorsal striatum and reward and motor-related cortical regions, ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), and presupplementary motor area (pre-SMA). In turn, inflammation-related changes in both basal ganglia glutamate and corticostriatal connectivity correlated with symptoms of anhedonia and psychomotor retardation and may involve deficits in reward- or goal-directed behaviors such as reward anticipation, effort expenditure, reinforcement learning, and motor control, as well as heightened sensitivity to aversive stimuli. These effects on corticostriatal circuits may be mediated via inflammation-induced decreases in dopamine synthesis and release along with increased reuptake, resulting in overall reduction in dopaminergic signaling. In parallel, inflammatory cytokines promote glutamate release and spillover into the extrasympathetic space while impairing removal of glutamate via reuptake, ultimately contributing to loss of synaptic integrity and circuit dysfunction. DS, dorsal striatum; SN, substantia nigra; VS, ventral striatum; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

- **ANHEDONIA:**
  - ↓ Effort expenditure
  - ↑ Sensitivity to negative stimuli
  - ↓ Reinforcement learning

- **PSYCHOMOTOR SLOWING:**
  - ↓ Psychomotor speed

- **Dopamine:**
  - ↓ Synthesis
  - ↓ Release
  - ↑ Reuptake

- **Glutamate:**
  - ↑ Release
  - ↓ Reuptake
  - ↑ Extrasynaptic spillover
of psychiatric disorders, symptoms like anhedonia (along with other psychiatric symptoms) must impair everyday function to rise to the level of clinical (diagnostic) relevance. Thus, inflammation can aid and abet anhedonia by affecting its contributing components but in many instances does not lead to frank dysfunction and disease (e.g., effects of typhoid and influenza vaccination or endotoxin). Whether anhedonia occurs as a clinically relevant symptom in the context of inflammation is a matter of degree (of inflammation) and/or the presence of additional contributing factors related to the regulation of reward processing, including genetic and environmental factors.

A. Impact of Inflammation on Reward-Related Brain Regions and Circuitry

1. Neuroimaging Studies. Much of the causal evidence for the effects of inflammation on neural circuits relevant to anhedonia has derived from studies on patients receiving chronic administration of IFN-α for hepatitis C virus (HCV) or malignant melanoma (Capuron et al., 2012; Dowell et al., 2016) or healthy volunteers exposed to low-dose endotoxin or typhoid vaccination (Fig. 1). For example, early studies using PET with fluorine-18–labeled fluorodeoxyglucose revealed evidence of increased resting-state glucose metabolism in basal ganglia nuclei in subcortical brain regions consistent with changes observed in Parkinson disease (PD) (Juengling et al., 2000; Capuron et al., 2007). Increased metabolism in the left putamen and left nucleus accumbens in turn was associated with anergia and fatigue (Capuron et al., 2007). Increased glucose metabolism in these selected basal ganglia nuclei in PD is believed to be secondary to increased oscillatory burst activity as a result of a loss of DA and an associated decreased postsynaptic dopamine 2 receptor (D2)-mediated inhibition of these brain nuclei (Wichmann and DeLong, 1999). Administration of levodopa has been shown to reduce this increased glucose metabolism in PD (Feigin et al., 2001), and interestingly, levodopa has been successfully used to reverse PD-like symptoms in patients administered IFN-α (Bersano et al., 2008).

Consistent with PET studies indicating reduced DA function as a result of IFN-α administration, functional magnetic resonance imaging has also revealed inflammation-induced alterations of responses in basal ganglia nuclei associated with motivation and motor activity. Four to 6 weeks of IFN-α treatment led to reduced activation of the bilateral ventral striatum in response to reward feedback and associated reward prediction error signaling in patients treated for HCV infection (Capuron et al., 2012). Reduced ventral striatal activation was in turn correlated with self-reported symptoms of reduced motivation. Administration of IFN-α has also been associated with acute alterations in striatal microstructure, which predicted symptoms of fatigue (Dowell et al., 2016).

Findings with IFN-α have been paralleled by functional magnetic resonance imaging studies involving exposure to acute immune challenges to healthy participants (Harrison et al., 2009; Eisenberger et al., 2010). For example, administration of endotoxin to healthy subjects led to blunted activation of the ventral striatal response in anticipation of reward cues in association with depressive symptoms (Eisenberger et al., 2010; Lasselin et al., 2020). Furthermore, endotoxin-induced decreases in ventral striatal activity in anticipation of reward were associated with plasma cytokine responses in female, but not male, healthy participants who completed a monetary reward task (Moieni et al., 2019b). Endotoxin administration has also been associated with decreased motivation for high-effort reward options with no effect on reward sensitivity (Draper et al., 2018). In healthy controls exposed to typhoid vaccination, decreased functional connectivity within mesolimbic circuitry including the nucleus accumbens and subgenual ACC was modulated by IL-6 and associated with vaccination-induced mood changes (Harrison et al., 2009). Increases in IL-6 after typhoid vaccination have also been correlated with slowed reaction times (Brydon et al., 2008), suggesting that cytokine-induced changes in the basal ganglia can contribute to both motivational deficits and psychomotor retardation. Of note, typhoid vaccination has also been shown to decrease ventral striatal encoding of reward prediction error and increase insula encoding of punishment prediction error in a probabilistic instrumental learning task (Harrison et al., 2016). Decreased ventral striatal reward prediction error signaling was also associated with IL-6 after a laboratory stressor in women (Treadway et al., 2017). These latter findings reflect an increasing appreciation of the nuanced effects of inflammation on reward processing in humans and laboratory animals (see below) that includes emerging evidence that inflammation may have a greater effect on effort-based motivation for reward, reward anticipation, reinforcement learning (reward prediction errors), and sensitivity to punishment/loss than consummatory reward processes, although the latter has yet to be fully explored in this context (Felger et al., 2013b; Nunes et al., 2014; Vichaya et al., 2014; Vichaya and Dantzer, 2018; Boyle et al., 2019). Moreover, effects on reward processing may be related to the dose and timing of the inflammatory challenge and reward processing task (in addition to the sex of the participant) (Larson, 2002; Lasselin et al., 2016; Lacourt et al., 2018).

In addition to exogenously administered cytokines and inflammatory challenges, endogenous inflammation has been associated with motivational deficits and alterations in reward circuitry in association with symptoms of anhedonia (Fig. 1). For example, in unmedicated,
medically healthy patients with major depression, elevated plasma CRP concentrations were associated with decreased functional connectivity between ventral striatum and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), which in turn correlated with greater severity of anhedonia as assessed by the Snaith Hamilton Pleasure Scale and an anhedonia subscale of the Inventory of Depressive Symptoms Self-Reported (Felger et al., 2016). Additionally, plasma CRP also correlated with decreased functional connectivity between dorsal striatum and vmPFC and presupplementary motor area, which was associated with decreased speed on objective psychomotor tasks. Decreased functional connectivity in reward circuitry in relation to increased CRP has also been described in women exposed to trauma and in rhesus monkeys exposed to an obesogenic diet (Godfrey et al., 2020; Mehta et al., 2020). Taken together, these findings suggest that chronic, low-grade endogenous inflammation impacts mesolimbic reward circuitry and corticostriatal circuitry, involving both motivation and motor output, to impair different aspects of motivated behavior in depression (Fig. 1).

B. Impact of Inflammation on Neurotransmitter Systems Relevant to Reward Processing

Given the impact of inflammation on neurocircuitry relevant to reward processing, there has been interest in examining inflammation’s effects on the neurotransmitter systems that regulate reward circuits in the brain. Based on the anatomy and neurochemistry of the striatum, glutamatergic inputs and DA regulation of outputs of median spiny neurons have garnered considerable attention, especially regarding inflammation effects on motivational deficits and impaired reinforcement learning (Fig. 2).

1. Dopamine. The study of humans and nonhuman primates administered IFN-\(\alpha\) has provided strong evidence that the impact of inflammation on reward circuitry and motivational deficits is mediated in part through reductions in DA signaling in the striatum. A series of studies combining pharmacological, neurochemical, and PET neuroimaging have demonstrated that inflammation impairs presynaptic DA function via multiple mechanisms, including reduced synthesis and release as well as increased reuptake. For example, using the radiolabeled DA precursor of levodopa ([\(18\)F]fluorodopa), 4 weeks of administration of IFN-\(\alpha\) was found to increase uptake and decrease turnover of [\(18\)F]fluorodopa in the caudate, putamen, and ventral striatum of patients with HCV (Capuron et al., 2012). An increase in [\(18\)F]fluorodopa uptake after IFN-\(\alpha\) indicates that inflammation may deplete DA and/or its precursor availability, whereas decreased [\(18\)F]fluorodopa turnover suggests impaired packaging/release of newly synthesized DA and/or increased reuptake.

Similar to findings from humans, rhesus monkeys chronically exposed to IFN-\(\alpha\) reliably show immune activation and behavioral symptoms such as huddling, a depressive-like equivalent in nonhuman primates (Felger et al., 2007). Among animals that displayed depressive-like huddling behavior after IFN-\(\alpha\), significant reductions in the DA metabolite homovanillic acid (HVA) were found in the CSF, and decreased HVA was correlated with increased time spent huddling (Felger et al., 2007; Felger and Miller, 2012). Moreover, IFN-\(\alpha\) led to anhedonia-like behavior in rhesus monkeys as indicated by decreased consumption of sucrose pellets only when effort was required (i.e., as assessed using a puzzle feeder task) but not when the sucrose pellets were freely available (Felger et al., 2015). These results mirror findings of intact reward sensitivity but reduced willingness to expend effort for reward in humans administered influenza vaccine (Boyle et al., 2019).

In vivo microdialysis in rhesus monkeys administered 4 weeks of IFN-\(\alpha\) revealed decreased DA release in the striatum in response to both high K+, which leads to a voltage-dependent DA release, and amphetamine, which leads to stimulated DA release and decreased DA reuptake (Felger et al., 2013b). Decreased DA release during in vivo microdialysis was correlated with reduced effort-based motivation in the puzzle feeder task (Felger et al., 2013b). These data are consistent with results from rodents (rats) who also exhibit decreased DA in the ventral striatum (specifically the nucleus accumbens) using in vivo microdialysis after acute intraperitoneal injection of IL-6 (Yohn et al., 2016). Like in the monkeys, decreased DA in these animals was associated with decreased effort-based motivation (in the absence of decreased reward sensitivity), an effect that could be reversed by the stimulant methylphenidate (Yohn et al., 2016). In IFN-\(\alpha\)-treated animals, reductions in striatal DA release were restored by local administration of the DA precursor levodopa via reverse microdialysis (Felger et al., 2015). Of note, decreased CSF DA in association with increased CRP has also been described in female rhesus monkeys exposed to an obesogenic diet, and decreased CSF DA was in turn associated with decreased functional connectivity between ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens) and vmPFC (Godfrey et al., 2020). Taken together, these data suggest that inflammation effects on DA availability likely occur via an impact on both the synthesis and release of DA.

DA synthesis depends on the conversion of the amino acid phenylalanine to tyrosine by phenylalanine hydroxylase and the subsequent conversion of tyrosine to L-DOPA by tyrosine hydroxylase (Fig. 2). There is strong evidence that inflammation impairs DA synthesis via decreasing the availability of tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4), an enzyme cofactor required
for the activity of both phenylalanine hydroxylase and tyrosine hydroxylase. BH4 is sensitive to oxidative stress and also serves as a cofactor for nitric oxide synthase and can be usurped by the generation of nitric oxide during inflammation (Haroon et al., 2012). Of relevance to these synthetic pathways, patients with HCV treated with IFN-α for 4 weeks displayed increased plasma phenylalanine/tyrosine ratios (Zoller et al., 2012; Felger et al., 2013a), which were in turn associated with greater fatigue severity and lower concentrations of DA and HVA in CSF (Felger et al., 2013a). Furthermore, in IFN-α–treated patients, CSF levels of BH4 negatively correlated with CSF IL-6, whereas CSF concentrations of BH2, a breakdown product of BH4, were increased (Felger et al., 2013a). Low-grade inflammation in a medically healthy elderly population has also been associated with increased phenylalanine concentrations at the expense of tyrosine, and increases in the phenylalanine/tyrosine ratio were associated with greater neurovegetative symptoms, including sleep alterations, sickness, and motor symptoms (Capuron et al., 2011).

Moreover, gene signatures in PBMCs of patients with depression with increased inflammation and anhedonia exhibited evidence of low tyrosine metabolism (Bekhbat et al., 2020).

In addition to effects on DA synthesis, inflammation can also target the packaging of DA into vesicles and DA reuptake (Felger and Treadway, 2017). Packaging of newly synthesized DA into synaptic vesicles for release is achieved by the vesicular monoamine transporter 2 (VMAT2). Of note, both IL-1 and TNF...
have been shown to decrease VMAT2 expression in rat enterochromaffin-like cells (Kazumori et al., 2004). In addition, similar to the well established impact of inflammatory cytokines and downstream p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathways in increasing the expression and function of serotonin transporters and mediating, in part, endotoxin-induced depressive-like behavior in laboratory animals (Zhu et al., 2006, 2010), stimulation of MAPK signaling in a human embryonic kidney cell line increased DA transporter (DAT) activity, and inhibition of MAPK was associated with decreased DAT transport capacity in striatal synaptosomes (Moron et al., 2003). Interestingly, neither in vitro activation of MAPK nor in vivo administration of IFN-α to rhesus monkeys was associated with reduced DAT expression (Moron et al., 2003; Felger et al., 2013b), indicating that observed effects have been on the function but not the number of DAT. IFN-α administration to rhesus monkeys has also been associated with reduced D2 receptor binding as measured by PET using the D2 receptor tracer [11C]raclopride, suggesting that postsynaptic receptor changes may also contribute to inflammation effects on DA signaling (Felger et al., 2013b). Finally, it should be noted that there are inconsistencies in the literature. For example, peripheral administration of LPS in mice decreases DAT expression in striatal tissue (Lai et al., 2009), and enhanced stimulated release of DA in the striatum is found after acute endotoxin administration to healthy controls (Petrulli et al., 2017). These data suggest that there may be differences in the effects of acute versus chronic exposure to inflammation as well as differences among species.

2. Glutamate. Alterations in glutamate metabolism have been implicated in mood disorders (Sanacora et al., 2012). Indeed, a number of studies using magnetic resonance spectroscopy have found alterations in glutamate and glutamate metabolite levels in multiple brain regions of patients with depression (bipolar depression in particular) (Castillo et al., 2000; Frye et al., 2007; Hashimoto et al., 2007; Yoon et al., 2009; Yuksel and Ongur, 2010; Xu et al., 2013; Zwanzger et al., 2013). In addition, loss of glial elements including astrocytes and oligodendrocytes as well as excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs), of which EAAT2 is responsible for 90% of the reuptake and ultimate recycling of glutamate (Kim et al., 2011), is one of the most reliable changes found in postmortem brain tissue from patients with mood disorders (Ongur et al., 1998; Hamidi et al., 2004; Rajkowska and Miguel-Hidalgo, 2007; Sanacora et al., 2012; Sanacora and Banasr, 2013). Probably the most dramatic evidence of the role of glutamate in the psychopathology of depression is the profound and rapid response of treatment-resistant patients with depression to ketamine, an antagonist of the glutamate N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor (Berman et al., 2000; aan het Rot et al., 2010; Duman et al., 2012).

Inflammatory cytokines have been shown to interact with glutamate pathways in several important ways that may contribute to increased extracellular glutamate and glutamate alterations in patients with depression (Haroon et al., 2017). Inflammatory cytokines such as TNF and IL-1β have been shown to decrease the expression of EAAT2 on relevant glial elements (astrocytes and oligodendrocytes) and increase the release of glutamate from astrocytes (Maturet et al., 2006; Tilleux and Hermans, 2007; Ida et al., 2008) (Fig. 2). For example, TNF via NF-kB is associated with downregulation of EAAT2 expression on astrocytes and oligodendrocytes and, in excess, is directly toxic to these cells, further compromising glutamate reuptake (Buntinx et al., 2004; Korn et al., 2005; Maturet et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; Olmos and Lladó, 2014). In addition, TNF can lead to calcium elevation and astrocyte glutamate exocytosis through activation of prostaglandin E2 (Bezzi et al., 2001). Inflammation-induced increases in prostaglandin E2 as well as cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 can also lead to reverse efflux of glutamate through EAATs, further increasing synaptic and ultimately extrasynaptic glutamate (Bezzi et al., 2001; Petrrelli and Bezzi, 2016). Interestingly, selective inhibition of EAAT2 with dihydrokainate led to reduced reward responses in rats, consistent with an anhedonic phenotype in these animals (Bechtholt-Gompf et al., 2010).

Inflammatory cytokines, including TNF, have also been shown reduce glutamine synthetase, which converts glutamate to glutamine, potentially leading to a buildup of intracellular and extracellular glutamate concentrations, which along with cytokine induction of nitrogen and oxygen free radicals (and oxidative stress), can lead to astrocyte death (Kazazoglou et al., 1996; Buntinx et al., 2004; Maturet et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008). Induction of oxidative stress also enhances the function of xCT transporters. xCT transporters are expressed on astrocytes, microglia, and macrophages and exchange intracellular glutamate for extracellular cysteine to generate the antioxidant glutathione (Haroon et al., 2017) (Fig. 2). In the process, glutamate is extruded into the extracellular space (Lewerenz et al., 2013). In addition, during immune activation and the release of ATP, binding of ATP to purinergic P2X7 receptors can lead to reverse efflux of glutamate from astrocytes (Haroon et al., 2017).

The confluence of the many effects of inflammation on glutamate can result in increased extrasynaptic glutamate that binds to extrasynaptic NMDA receptors that have been shown to decrease growth factors including brain-derived neurotrophic factor and
increase excitotoxicity (Miller et al., 2009; Harding and Bading, 2010). Extrasynaptic glutamate also leads to synaptic spillover that can contribute to a loss of spatial precision of synaptic transmission, leading to chaotic, incoherent signaling activity (McCullumsmith and Sanacora, 2015). These effects of inflammatory cytokines on glutamate metabolism, reuptake, and release by astrocytes and oligodendrocytes as well as the fundamental integrity (and survival) of these glial elements provide an intriguing intersection of the inflammation and glutamate hypotheses of mood disorders including depression (Haroon et al., 2017). Indeed, in an animal model of LPS-induced depression, pretreatment with the NMDA antagonist ketamine prevented LPS-induced anhedonia as measured by the sucrose preference test (Walker et al., 2013). Moreover, in an animal model of treatment-resistant depression induced by chronic treatment with adrenocorticotropin, ketamine responsiveness was predicted by baseline elevations of peripheral biomarkers of inflammation including CRP and TNF (Walker et al., 2015).

Given the many mechanisms by which inflammatory mediators can impact glutamate metabolism, studies have examined glutamate in vivo in patients with depression exposed to increased inflammation using magnetic resonance spectroscopy. For example, patients with HCV administered IFN-α exhibited significant increases in glutamate within left basal ganglia and dorsal ACC (Haroon et al., 2014). The observed increases in left basal ganglia glutamate were further associated with reduced motivation, with the greatest effect in older individuals (>55 years) (Haroon et al., 2015). Increased endogenous inflammation (as measured by CRP) in patients with major depression was also correlated with increased left basal ganglia glutamate, which in turn was associated with self-reported symptoms of anhedonia and decreased psychomotor performance (Haroon et al., 2016). Using hierarchical clustering to generate groups with and without combined elevations in CRP and left basal ganglia glutamate (high and low CRP-glutamate groups), patients with high CRP-glutamate were found to exhibit increased anhedonia and psychomotor slowing as well as reductions in regional homogeneity (ReHo) in the left basal ganglia (Haroon et al., 2018a). ReHo is based on the analysis of brain oxygen level–dependent fluctuations in resting-state functional MRI and is an index of local coherence in neuronal activity (Haroon et al., 2018a). Thus, decreased ReHo may reflect the impact of inflammation on extrasynaptic glutamate and its potential to undermine precision synaptic transmission. Interestingly, generation of whole-brain ReHo contrast maps in high versus low CRP-glutamate groups led to 41 regions of interest that were decomposed into four subnetworks, one of which was a predictor of anhedonia and included several regions of interest within canonical reward and salience networks, including the vmPFC and dorsal and ventral striatal regions (Haroon et al., 2018a). Taken together, these data suggest that inflammation-induced changes in glutamate metabolism may have widespread effects on neuronal network integrity that are relevant to motivational deficits and, in combination with alterations in DA, may represent a fundamental mechanism of inflammation-induced behavioral alterations leading to anhedonia (Fig. 2).

IV. Metabolism, Inflammation, and Anhedonia

A growing appreciation for the impact of inflammation on metabolism has contributed to mounting interest in whether immune cell metabolic reprogramming and its relationship with altered systemic glucose and lipid metabolism may be linked to motivational deficits and ultimately anhedonia in depression and other psychiatric and medical disorders. Although the cause-and-effect relationship between cellular and systemic changes in metabolism is complex, it is clear that these processes engage in a feedforward loop in which immune cell activation, metabolic reprogramming, and inflammatory cytokine production drive systemic metabolic disturbances including insulin resistance and hyperlipidemia and vice versa (Hotamisligil, 2017; Lercher et al., 2020).

A. Cellular Immunometabolism

Immune cells produce energy (ATP) to maintain their basic cellular functions by breaking down nutrients such as glucose, glutamine, and fatty acids. Depending on the type of cell and its activation status, immune cells use distinct biochemical cascades to accommodate their functional and resultant metabolic demands. Upon activation, innate immune cells such as macrophages and neutrophils rapidly undergo profound shifts in glucose metabolism. These shifts involve movement away from slow, energy-maximizing oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) via mitochondrial respiration, which yields 34 ATP per molecule of glucose, to rapid but energetically inefficient glycolysis, which yields 2 ATP per molecule of glucose (Ganesan and Chawla, 2014) (Fig. 3). This shift to aerobic glycolysis, referred to as the Warburg effect (also seen in cancer cells), allows limited but precipitous energy production and provides cellular building blocks necessary for rapid cellular proliferation including amino acids, nucleotides, lipids, and NADPH (Pearce and Pearce, 2013). Similar, but more nuanced immunometabolic shifts are required for activation and differentiation of lymphocytes (Fig. 3). Upon activation, naive lymphocytes display a shift from OXPHOS and fatty acid oxidation (FAO) to a strategy of primarily glycolysis and glutaminolysis and, to some extent, OXPHOS to accommodate their effector/cytotoxic functions (Pearce...
Depending on the T-cell subset, this immunometabolic shift requires distinct signaling cascades including the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and MAPK signaling pathways (Bantug et al., 2018).

Based on the metabolic reprogramming in activated immune cells, there is an increased metabolic/energy demand that may contribute to anhedonia through re-orientation of reward processes dependent on effort expenditure (see below) (Treadway et al., 2019a). Consistent with this notion, high anhedonia in patients with depression with increased inflammation (versus low anhedonia in patients with depression with increased inflammation) is characterized by glycolysis-promoting pathways such as phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (Akt) and HIF-1 signaling as well as inflammatory signaling via c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) to inhibit insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1) signaling, thus further limiting energy obtained through glycolysis.
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Fig. 3. Chronic inflammation and metabolic dysfunction propagate pathologic immunometabolism in activated immune cells, leading to immune and bioenergetic consequences that may serve as a biomarker and pharmacological target for anhedonia. Excess inflammatory and metabolic load due to lifestyle and other factors lead to the release of DAMPs and microbiome-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), which bind to various immune receptors (e.g., cytokine, pattern recognition, and T-cell receptors) on immune cells that in turn activate NFκB and the NLRP3 inflammasome. Activated immune cells subsequently undergo rapid shifts in glucose, lipid, and amino acid metabolism that accommodate their cellular functions, including cytokine production, bactericidal activity, cellular growth, and proliferation. Immune cells primarily derive their energy via a two-step process whereby glucose is first metabolized into pyruvate via glycolysis, a reaction which yields 2 ATP. In resting leukocytes, most of the resulting pyruvate is then shuttled into the citric acid cycle (TCA) to enable OXPHOS, which drives the synthesis of up to 34 ATP per glucose molecule. In contrast, activated immune cells shift to aerobic glycolysis, whereby the majority of pyruvate is converted into lactate, thus dramatically reducing ATP generation via OXPHOS. Crucial to this proglycolytic shift is activation of the transcription factor HIF-1, which commits the cell to glycolysis, thus allowing limited but precipitous energy production. HIF-1 activity is driven by both NFκB transcription as well as DAMP/MAMP-induced activation of the PI3K-mTOR axis. Activated immune cells also rely on an ancillary glycolytic cascade, the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), glutaminolysis, and the TCA cycle to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) and mitochondrial ROS (mROS), necessary for their bactericidal actions. Intermediates from glycolysis and PPP additionally provide cellular building blocks [amino acid (AA), fatty acid (FA) synthesis] necessary for rapid cell proliferation. DAMPs and MAMPs also suppress the energy sensor AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which promotes fatty acid (Acyl-CoA) transport into the TCA, thus limiting FAO, another major source of ATP. Together, reduced energy production resulting from a shift toward glycolysis and away from OXPHOS and FAO, along with the high energetic cost of chronic low-grade inflammation, creates a bioenergetic demand potentially relevant to anhedonia. This energy demand can be further exacerbated by concurrent metabolic dysfunction such as increased availability of free fatty acids, as well as insulin resistance due to inflammatory signaling via c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) to inhibit insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1) signaling, thus further limiting energy obtained through glycolysis.
(Bekhbat et al., 2020). In addition, gene probes expressed in peripheral blood immune cells involved in metabolic networks, and pathways including HIF-1 and Akt negatively correlated with functional connectivity within reward circuitry in association with anhedonia (Goldsmith et al., 2020a). Gene expression analyses of peripheral blood immune cells also revealed that, in addition to inflammatory pathways, enrichment of genes related to a transcriptional control network involving hepatocyte nuclear factor 4x and other related networks involving glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and lipid metabolism at baseline predicted subsequent antidepressant response to the TNF antagonist infliximab (Mehta et al., 2013). Infliximab reduced depressive symptoms in patients with treatment-resistant major depression who exhibited high baseline plasma CRP (CRP > 5 mg/l), and anhedonia was the most improved symptom (Raison et al., 2013). Taken together, these data indicate an intriguing relationship between metabolic reprogramming toward glycolytic pathways in immune cells and anhedonia in patients with depression, especially those with increased inflammation.

B. Systemic Glucose and Lipid Metabolism

In conjunction with metabolic changes at the immune cell level, excess adiposity and high BMI promote inflammation via increased cytokine production from adipose tissue (Johnson et al., 2012) that in turn reprograms systemic glucose metabolism, contributing to insulin resistance (Ganesan and Chawla, 2014) and leading to a state of hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia that further fuels inflammation and cytokine release (Shoelson et al., 2006) (Fig. 3). As noted above, fat-, carbohydrate-, and calorie-dense diets and sedentary behavior associated with the Western lifestyle contribute to both inflammation and metabolic disturbance and increase risk for inflammatory and metabolic diseases, cancer, and psychiatric disorders, all of which are associated with symptoms of anhedonia (Capuron et al., 2008; Shelton and Miller, 2010; Berk et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014).

A significant proportion of patients with major depression with increased inflammatory markers exhibit evidence of metabolic disturbances including dyslipidemia and insulin resistance (Pan et al., 2012). Systemic insulin resistance and impaired glucose metabolism have been associated with anhedonia in patients with metabolic disorders and comorbid depression (Nefs et al., 2012; Hamer et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2019). In addition, recent work from our group showed that correlations between both inflammatory markers (e.g., CRP) and systemic evidence of glucose-related metabolic impairment in patients with depression was associated with lower functional connectivity within reward and motor circuits that were associated with symptoms of anhedonia and motor slowing (Goldsmith et al., 2020a). An interaction between CRP and the metabolic markers revealed that the greatest deficit in functional connectivity in reward circuits was observed in patients with both high inflammation and evidence of systemic metabolic dysfunction (similar to the results reported above regarding evidence of metabolic reprogramming in immune cells).

Although depressive symptoms related to reduced motivation and motor activity have been proposed to drive inflammation through decreased voluntary energy expenditures (e.g., exercise) and associated weight gain (Lamers et al., 2018), our recent work involving anti-inflammatory treatment with infliximab suggests a causal role for inflammation in both symptoms of anhedonia (Raison et al., 2013) and metabolic disturbances in depression (Mehta et al., 2013; Bekhbat et al., 2018b). For example, both inflammatory and metabolic biomarkers in plasma were lower 2 weeks postinfliximab in patients with high inflammation at baseline in responders versus nonresponders (Mehta et al., 2013; Bekhbat et al., 2018b), consistent with changes in the inflammatory and metabolic-related genes. These findings suggest that inflammation (especially TNF signaling) and its associated shifts in metabolism within immune cells may synergize with systemic metabolic disturbances to contribute to symptoms of anhedonia. In the context of chronic low-grade inflammation, the energy demands resulting from this glycolytic shift are exacerbated by the actions of inflammatory cytokines, which promote insulin resistance and impaired glucose tolerance, thus additionally limiting energy obtained through glycolysis (Shoelson et al., 2006). Furthermore, as inflammation is an energetically costly process (Straub, 2017), chronic low-grade inflammation seen in depression may represent not only a state of great metabolic need but also a limited energy supply, which may contribute to impaired bioenergetic homeostasis (Treadway et al., 2019a).

In addition to an association between inflammation and both systemic and immunometabolic shifts related to glucose metabolism, altered systemic lipid metabolism may contribute to behavioral symptoms such as anhedonia. Although lipidomic studies in major depression have revealed changes in a wide range of lipid types, such as sphingolipids and phosphatidylcholines (Walther et al., 2018), studies examining both metabolic profiles and inflammation in patients with behavioral disturbances have been scarce. One targeted metabolomics study of IFN-α-treated patients with HCV found no changes in lipids including acylcarnitines, glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids, or sugars but a greater decrease in the branched-chain amino acid isoleucine in patients who developed depression compared with those who did not (Baranyi et al., 2018). With respect to symptoms of anhedonia, higher levels of triglycerides and total and low-density
lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and lower levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were observed in young adults with versus without anhedonia (Moreira et al., 2019). Moreover, the response to infliximab in patients with treatment-resistant depression (which was characterized by a reduction in anhedonia) was associated with higher baseline lipid biomarkers including total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, non–high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and nonesterified fatty acids, and infliximab significantly decreased these lipid markers in patients with a CRP > 5 mg/l (Bekhhat et al., 2018a). Of note, in contrast to these findings, associations between anhedonia and low levels of triglycerides and total or LDL cholesterol have also been reported (Loas et al., 2016; Su et al., 2019b).

Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are thought to benefit both behavior and overall health in part through anti-inflammatory actions and are frequently found to be low in patients with major depression and other neuropsychiatric conditions. Evidence suggests that omega-3 PUFAs deficiency may specifically be associated with reward deficits such as negative symptoms in schizophrenia (Sethom et al., 2010). Omega-3 PUFAs deficiency during development in mice also led to impaired effort expenditure in adulthood, along with alterations in DA-relevant neural circuitry (Ducrocq et al., 2020). Related to immunometabolism, fatty acid oxidation is a primary metabolic strategy in some T-cell subsets (particularly Th17 and memory T cells) that dictates their transformation and activity (Bantug et al., 2018) (Fig. 3). As such, reduced fatty acid oxidation in diabetes has been shown to promote Th17-driven inflammation (Nicholas et al., 2019). Patients with major depression also have been shown to have reduced levels of acetyl L-carnitine, which plays a crucial role in transporting long-chain fatty acids for β-oxidation in mitochondria (Nasca et al., 2018). Although these findings collectively suggest that systemic and immune cell changes in lipid metabolism may also contribute to the effects of inflammation on the brain and symptoms of anhedonia, future studies are needed to clarify the extent of this relationship.

C. Kynurenine Pathway Activation

Another metabolic pathway that may mediate the effects of inflammation on the brain and the development of anhedonia is the KP (Schwarz, 2004; Haroon et al., 2012; Savitz, 2020). Inflammatory cytokines including IFN-γ and TNF as well as peripherally administered inflammatory stimuli including LPS and the bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccine can activate the enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), which is expressed primarily in antigen-presenting cells such as macrophages and dendritic cells (O’Connor et al., 2009b; Salazar et al., 2012), and blockade of the transport of KYN into the brain by treatment with leucine, which competes with KYN for LAT-1, can abrogate LPS-induced depressive symptoms (Walker et al., 2019). QUIN plays an important role in these effects. For example, in animals in which the enzymes involved in QUIN synthesis have been knocked out (including kynurenine 3-monoxygenase and 3-hydroxyanthranilic acid dioxygenase knockout mice), reduced depressive symptoms are found after LPS administration (Parrott et al., 2016). In addition, in patients with depression, combined activation of both inflammation (as reflected by TNF) and the KP (as reflected by increased KYN/Trp) was associated with greater symptoms of anhedonia as well as greater depressive symptom severity and evidence of antidepressant treatment resistance (Haroon et al., 2020). Moreover, increased QUIN/KYNA has been associated with volume loss in the striatum and a trend association with anhedonia (Savitz et al., 2015; Savitz, 2020).

V. Access of Inflammatory Molecules to Reward-Related Brain Regions

Previous studies in laboratory animals have described a number of mechanisms by which peripheral inflammatory signals can access the brain (Miller et al., 2009). These mechanisms include 1) saturable transporters for a number of cytokines including TNF and IL-1 (Quan and Banks, 2007); 2) peripheral
cytokine stimulation of visceral sensory afferent nerves, which via the nucleus of the solitary tract can activate catecholaminergic projections to brain regions including the hypothalamus and paraventricular nucleus, leading to activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (Ericsson et al., 1994; Fleschner et al., 1998); 3) access to the brain via fenestrated capillaries in circumventricular organs including the median eminence, where they play a role in fever induction as well as activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (Quan and Banks, 2007); 4) release of cytokines by myeloid and lymphoid cells present in the brain meninges into the CSF, where they can then be transported into brain parenchyma via the glymphatic system (Da Mesquita et al., 2018); and 5) trafficking of immune cells to the brain, where they can interact with brain endothelial cells, leading to the release of cytokines and other inflammatory mediators in brain parenchyma (McKim et al., 2018).

Another pathway by which cytokines may access the brain is through disruption of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) as a function of stress and inflammation (Menard et al., 2017). This pathway is of particular relevance to anhedonia in that differential disruption of the BBB appears to be exclusive to brain regions related to motivation, including the nucleus accumbens. In a study using chronic social defeat stress (which also involves wounding and associated inflammation), male mice who were stress-sensitive, as reflected by decreased social interactions post–stress exposure, exhibited significant disruption of the BBB compared with stress-resilient and control mice (Menard et al., 2017). BBB disruption was mediated by a decrease in the expression of claudin 5, a key molecule involved in BBB integrity. As noted above, this effect in stress-sensitive versus stress-resilient and control animals was exclusive in the area of the nucleus accumbens and was associated with increased BBB permeability to IL-6 and was mediated by activation of TNF/NF-κB signaling pathways in endothelial cells (Dudek et al., 2020). Interestingly, similar decreases in claudin 5 expression were also demonstrated in the nucleus accumbens of postmortem brain samples of patients with depression versus control patients, with some evidence that antidepressants may mitigate this effect (Menard et al., 2017; Dudek et al., 2020). These data support a specific association between access of peripheral inflammatory mediators and key brain regions that are involved in motivational processing and anhedonia.

VI. Evolutionary Concepts Related to Anhedonia and the Immune System

A. Conservation of Internal Resources

The relationship between inflammation and anhedonia, especially as it relates to the willingness to expend effort, may be rooted in evolutionary survival priorities involving the conservation of energy resources for fighting infection and healing wounds in an ancestral environment that was rife with pathogens and predators (Raison and Miller, 2013). During infection or wounding, energy expenditures of the immune system can increase up to 30%–60% of the total daily amount (Straub, 2017). This energy demand is necessary to support a robust defensive response to external and/or internal threats and requires a shift away from growth and reproduction as well as metabolically intensive activities associated with goal pursuit, such as foraging, hunting, or physical aggression (Treadway et al., 2019a; Wang et al., 2019). Although modern day threats are not as dramatic or life threatening as those in ancestral times, the chronic inflammatory states driven by obesity, metabolic syndrome, dysbiosis, aging, psychologic stressors, and medical illnesses are equally relevant and can require energy expenditures of 10%–30% of the daily allotment (Straub, 2017). It has been suggested that the energy demands of chronic immune activation secondary to the shift in immunometabolism from oxidative phosphorylation to the relatively inefficient glycolysis (O'Neill et al., 2016) (described above) in conjunction with the inflammation-related systemic metabolic disturbances can in turn have an impact on valuations of future actions (Straub, 2017; Treadway et al., 2019a). Indeed, decision-making processes may incorporate peripheral estimates of the body’s current metabolic capacity to determine what is worth the effort. The metabolic demands of low-grade inflammation and associated alterations in systemic immunometabolism may thus lead to a reduced perceived ability to pursue a reward versus preference for reward (Treadway et al., 2019a).

Consistent with this framework, the mesolimbic DA system is well positioned to act as a central integrator of peripheral signals—including markers of increased inflammatory activity—to guide motivated behavior (Treadway et al., 2019b). The mesolimbic DA system comprises DA neurons located primarily in the ventral tegmental area of the midbrain (Haber, 2003; Haber and Knutson, 2010) that send dense afferents to the ventral striatum, where they can alter the sensitivity of striatal medium spiny neurons to cortical and subcortical glutamatergic afferents (Haber and Knutson, 2010; Berke, 2018) (Fig. 2). Robust evidence in laboratory animals and humans suggests that potentiation or attenuation of ventral striatal DA signaling can dramatically increase or decrease an individual’s willingness to work for rewards (Berridge, 2007; Salamone and Correa, 2012; Berke, 2018; Soder et al., 2020; Westbrook et al., 2020). Critically, midbrain DA neurons express a wide range of receptors for peripheral signaling molecules that convey messages about energy availability and body
metabolism, including insulin (Stouffer et al., 2015; Ter Horst et al., 2018), leptin (Krügel et al., 2003; Fulton et al., 2006; Hommel et al., 2006), ghrelin (Symmonds et al., 2010; Sztainert et al., 2018), fatty acids, and steroid hormones (Shafiei et al., 2012), and manipulations of these signals have been found to alter effortful behavior. As such, shifts in cellular immunometabolism and inflammatory mediators, as well as associated disruptions in systemic glucose and lipid metabolism, can impact DA and glutamate signaling within the ventral striatum and reorganize behavioral priorities, especially as it relates to motivation and motor activity, to suit the demands of an activated immune system responding to external and/or internal environmental threats (Treadway et al., 2019a).

VII. Translational Implications: Treatment Targets

Based on the multiple mechanisms that are involved in inflammation and its many downstream effects on the brain, there is a target-rich environment for pharmacological interventions to address the impact of inflammation on anhedonia. Treatments relevant to these targets range from the immune system itself to the neurotransmitter systems affected and the metabolic pathways that may contribute (Table 1). It should be noted that targeting of neurotransmitter systems including DA and glutamate that directly mediate reward processing are more closely linked with the components of anhedonia described above. Nevertheless, given the apparent preferential effects of inflammation on these neurotransmitter systems and related reward circuitry, targeting inflammation more generally as a strategy to treat anhedonia is of utmost relevance to pharmacologic management of motivational deficits in clinical populations (albeit less specific than targeting the neurotransmitters and neurocircuits themselves). In this section, we will therefore first describe treatment considerations that address anhedonia via targeting inflammation itself followed by treatments that target the downstream neurotransmitter system pathways that ultimately mediate inflammation's effects on reward processing and anhedonia. Finally, we will address the cellular and systemic metabolic pathways that are a cause and consequence of inflammation and may also contribute to inflammation-induced effects on motivation.

A. Immune

Unfortunately, there are limited data on the exact immune pathways and cell types that drive inflammation and resultant symptoms such as anhedonia in psychiatric disorders. Indeed, as noted previously, clustering of patients into inflammatory subgroups yielded distinct populations of inflammation associated with myeloid cells in one case and lymphoid populations in the other (Lynall et al., 2020). Thus, much work needs to be done to further characterize the inflammatory substrate of behavioral changes, and until then, we will focus on the obvious immune targets and drugs associated with those targets.

1. Cytokine Inhibitors/Targeted Therapies. Cytokine inhibitors have provided proof of concept regarding the cytokine hypothesis of depression. As noted, a host of cytokine inhibitors have demonstrated efficacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunotherapeutic Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mAbs to cytokines, cytokine receptors, cellular adhesion molecules, and chemokine receptors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory signaling pathway inhibitors (e.g., TLR4 inhibitors, JAK inhibitors—baricitinib, p38 MAPK inhibitors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammasome blockade (e.g., P2X7 receptor antagonists)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2/prostaglandin inhibitors (e.g., celecoxib, aspirin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitors of microglial activation (e.g., minocycline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroimmunomodulation [e.g., efferent vagal nerve stimulation; frequency light (flicker) exposure]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurotransmitter Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis (e.g., sapropterin, folic acid, l-methylfolate, SAMe, levodopa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release (e.g., amphetamine, methamphetamine, lisdexamfetamine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuptake (e.g., bupropion, methylphenidate, modafinil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonists (e.g., aripiprazole, brexpiprazole, pramipexole)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor antagonists (e.g., memantine, ketamine, esketamine, AXS-05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuptake enhancers/glutamate stabilizers (e.g., riluzole)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunometabolic Strategies (Systemic and Cellular)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycolysis inhibitors (e.g., imatinib, lonidamine, rapamycin, dimethyl fumarate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioxidants (e.g., N-acetyl cysteine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin sensitizers (e.g., pioglitazone, metformin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acid metabolism (e.g., eicosapentaenoic acid, acetyl-l-carnitine)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kynurenine Pathway Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IDO inhibitors (e.g., indoximod)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockade of KYN transport into brain (e.g., leucine)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mAb, monoclonal antibody.
regarding depressive symptoms in patients with autoimmune and inflammatory disorders (Kappelmann et al., 2018), and at least three studies have suggested some efficacy of anticytokine treatment in patients with depression who were otherwise medically healthy—in particular, those with evidence of increased inflammation at baseline (Raison et al., 2013; Salvadore, 2018; McIntyre et al., 2019).

Much of the data comes from patients treated with anti-TNF drugs (especially infliximab), consistent with the repeated demonstration of activation of TNF and its signaling pathways as a substrate for inflammation and its effects on the brain in psychiatric disorders. Anti–IL-1 and anti–IL-6 drugs have also shown efficacy in reducing depressive symptom severity in patients with autoimmune and inflammatory disorders (Kappelmann et al., 2018), and the anti–IL-6 drug sirukumab led to improvement in symptoms of anhedonia in otherwise healthy individuals with depression with increased inflammation (CRP > 3 mg/l) (Salvadore, 2018). Of note, studies in laboratory animals have indicated that cytokine antagonists, which are relatively large molecules, do not have to cross the BBB to be effective. Indeed, administration of infliximab to rats exposed to chronic mild stress blocked the development of depressive and anxiety-like behavior including anhedonia (Karson et al., 2013).

Also relevant to their efficacy in reducing depressive symptoms in autoimmune and inflammatory disorders, there is a vast array of other anticytokine therapies that selectively target T-cell cytokines including anti–IL-17 and anti–IL-12/23, which drives TH1 polarization (Griffiths et al., 2017; Wittenberg et al., 2020), as well as drugs that target downstream cytokine signaling pathways, such as baricitinib, which inhibits Janus kinase (JAK) 1 and JAK2 (Szollosi et al., 2018), and multiple drugs that inhibit p38 MAPK (Lee and Kim, 2017). Other relevant drugs in development include those that inhibit TLR-4 signaling and inhibitors of cell adhesion molecules and chemokine receptors, of which two drugs are Federal Drug Administration (FDA)-approved, including maraviroc, which inhibits C-C chemokine receptor 5 for prevention of human immunodeficiency virus, and plerixafor, an antagonist to C-X-C chemokine receptor 4 used to mobilize stem cells (Szollosi et al., 2018). In addition, drugs that can inhibit inflammasome activation via the P2X7 receptor are also being explored. Indeed, a P2X7 receptor antagonist is currently being tested in patients with depression with an incomplete response to a monoaminergic antidepressant and a CRP ≥ 1 mg/l (NCT04116606).

2. Cyclooxygenase Inhibitors. Significant attention has been paid to the selective COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib. The COX isozymes play integral roles in a number of physiologic functions, although the inducible COX-2 is most closely tied to inflammation and the immune response. Based on meta-analyses of the literature, celecoxib as either an add-on or monotherapy in individuals with depression/depressive symptoms has demonstrated an overall beneficial effect versus placebo for symptom reduction (Köhler et al., 2014; Köhler-Forsberg et al., 2019). Aspirin has shown similar efficacy, but for both drugs, sample sizes in studies have been relatively small, results have not been consistent, and the largest study to date found no efficacy for celecoxib versus placebo in patients with depression (Husain et al., 2020). Moreover, in several large population-based studies, including a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial in older adults, no protective effect against incident depression was found for aspirin (including low dose) or other COX inhibitors (Glaus et al., 2015; Veronese et al., 2018; Molero et al., 2019; Berk et al., 2020), although there is evidence in patients with cancer for some protection against depression with long-term, low-dose use of aspirin but not other COX inhibitors (Hu et al., 2020). Nevertheless, as discussed below, there are concerns regarding the design of these studies and the off-target effects of these drugs, including effects on the cadherin superfamily, a group of cell surface receptors that play a role in neuronal connections and interactions (Manabe et al., 2000). In addition, there is evidence in laboratory animals and humans that anti-inflammatory drugs including the COX inhibitors aspirin, ibuprofen, and naproxen can inhibit antidepressant responses to SSRIs, whose efficacy may be dependent in part on the local release of inflammatory cytokines (Warner-Schmidt et al., 2011; Raison et al., 2013). These data suggest that administration of anti-inflammatory drugs to patients with depression without out inflammation may undermine response. Of note, one promising recent study with celecoxib identified responders post hoc as those who had increased TSPO binding at baseline, possibly suggestive of microglial activation (Attwells et al., 2020). Although interesting, however, this trial was open-label, and increased TSPO binding may reflect central nervous system (CNS) activation aside from neuroinflammation that could predispose to placebo responsiveness.

3. Minocycline. Minocycline, the second-genera- tion tetracycline antibiotic, has also received considerable attention. Beyond its antimicrobial capabilities, numerous preclinical studies have demonstrated that minocycline possesses anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic, neuroprotective, and anticancer effects (Soczynska et al., 2012; Garrido-Mesa et al., 2013). More specifically, preclinical studies have shown that minocycline selectively inhibits microglial activation (Kobayashi et al., 2013), with subsequent reduction in inflammatory biomarkers/cytokines including IL-1β, IL-6, TNF, and DAMPs (i.e., high-mobility group box
1 and netrin-1) (Du et al., 2019). It is purported that, through reductions in high-mobility group box 1 release, this microglial inhibition results in inhibition of KP activation and subsequent reduction in depressive-like behaviors in mouse chronic-stress models (Wang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). Clinically, minocycline has generated mixed results in treatment of patients with depression, and a recent meta-analysis of the limited controlled trials available suggested benefit over placebo (Rosenblat and McIntyre, 2018). Nevertheless, the largest trial to date, failed to find that minocycline separated from placebo (Husain et al., 2020). Of note, however, one trial reported that higher blood IL-6 concentrations prior to treatment were predictive of a response to minocycline (Savitz et al., 2018). Unfortunately, without a reliable neuroimaging biomarker of microglial activation, it will remain difficult to interpret any effects of minocycline, especially given its effects on the microbiome.

4. Electroceuticals and Other Novel Neuroimmunomodulation Strategies. One innovative area of increasing interest is the use of neuromodulation strategies, such as targeting/stimulating the vagus nerve (vagal efferents) to reduce peripheral inflammation (Pavlov et al., 2020). For example, an elegant series of studies demonstrated that acetylcholine released after stimulation of vagal efferents can bind to the α7 subunit of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors to inhibit NF-kB and inflammatory signaling including the release of TNF from relevant immune cells such as macrophages (Tracey, 2002). Vagal nerve stimulation also leads to the generation of T cells that are capable of producing acetylcholine (Rosas-Ballina et al., 2011). This anti-inflammatory cholinergic reflex can be activated by electrically stimulating the vagus nerve, a treatment whose novel bioelectric platform has recently received designation as an FDA breakthrough device for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in patients nonresponsive to biologic drugs (Pavlov et al., 2020). Note that, although vagal nerve stimulation as currently used for depression has been reported to have immune effects (Perrin and Pariante, 2020), it is not designed to provide stimulation of efferent vagal nerve fibers, as in the device developed by Tracey and colleagues (Pavlov et al., 2020). Nevertheless, transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation may increase efferent vagal output and has been shown to exhibit anti-inflammatory effects (Bremner et al., 2020). Other nonconvulsive neurostimulation used for depression (e.g., repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, transcranial direct current stimulation, and deep brain stimulation) have also been shown to have immunologic effects; however, there have been few studies, and sham-controlled comparator designs are required (Perrin and Pariante, 2020).

Another novel neuroimmunomodulation strategy is exposure to flickering lights, which in turn drive neural activity that can influence microglial activity. For example, exposure of mice to lights flickering at 40 Hz drives γ-frequency neural activity that in turn is associated with activation of NF-kB and MAPK pathways, as well as cytokine production (Garza et al., 2019). By adjusting the frequency of the flicker, the relative impact on microglial responses can be manipulated, leading to potential regulation of neuroinflammatory responses.

5. Other Agents. There are other drugs targeting the immune system in clinical trials, including phosphodiesterase inhibitors (pentoxifylline, NCT01625845) as well as various supplements, but these do not hold much promise to change the landscape of the other more exciting immunotherapies available or in development.

B. Neurotransmitter

Based on the studies described above, there is sound rationale for targeting the downstream effects of inflammation on relevant neurotransmitter systems, including DA and glutamate, which regulate fundamental gating processes in the ventral striatum that ultimately play pivotal roles in reward processing and motivation (Fig. 2).

1. Dopamine. Inflammation appears to affect all aspects of DA signaling, including DA synthesis, packaging and release, and reuptake, as well as postsynaptic modifications in DA receptor binding and expression.

a. Dopamine synthesis. BH4 is a pivotal cofactor for the enzymes that synthesize DA, and inflammation reduces BH4 availability through oxidation and excessive conversion to BH2 during generation of nitric oxide by nitric oxide synthase. Therefore, support of BH4 directly by sapropterin, a synthetic form of BH4, or through supplementation with folic acid, L-methyl folate, or S-adenosylmethionine (SAMe), which support the conversion of BH2 to BH4, are relevant strategies to reverse the effects of inflammation on DA synthesis (Stahl, 2007). Both L-methylfolate and SAMe have been studied in depression with limited efficacy, but the confounds in clinical trial designs described below make interpretation of the results difficult (Papakostas et al., 2010, 2012, 2014; Mischoulon et al., 2014; Sarris et al., 2018). However, in one study, post hoc analysis revealed that elevated baseline CRP, TNF, IL-6, and IL-8 along with BMI predicted improved responses to L-methylfolate in patients with depression with an inadequate response to conventional antidepressant treatment (Shelton et al., 2015).

Another strategy to address impaired DA synthesis is to provide the immediate precursor to DA, levodopa, as was done successfully in nonhuman primates to reverse IPN-α-induced impairments in DA release (Felger et al., 2015). Levodopa (in combination with carbidopa) is currently being examined in this regard
(examining reward circuitry in patients with depression with low and high levels of peripheral inflammation before and after levodopa/carbidopa; NCT02513485). Of note, data from an aged and frail population with depression, which might be expected to exhibit increased inflammation, have shown a positive antidepressant response to levodopa/carbidopa administration (Rutherford et al., 2019).

b. Dopamine release. There are a number of medications that induce DA release, including amphetamine, methamphetamine, and lisdexamfetamine. Although there may be nuance in the specificity and exact mechanism of these drugs [including the additional release of norepinephrine (NE)], in general these monoamine releasing agents are substrates for VMAT2, causing inhibition of vesicular monoamine uptake and release of prestored vesicular monoamines (Partilla et al., 2006; Lizarraga et al., 2015), as well as inhibiting synaptic transporter function through trace amine-associated receptor 1-mediated mechanism (Xie et al., 2008; Xie and Miller, 2009; Rutiliano et al., 2018).

There is currently not convincing evidence to recommend these medications as an augmentation strategy or monotherapy for treatment of major depression (Pary et al., 2015; Giacobbe et al., 2018). However, their efficacy in depression has not been examined within the context of inflammation, and given impairment in DA release, these drugs should be a consideration. Indeed, it is worthwhile to note that despite several failed trials in depression (Richards et al., 2016), there have been positive trials that demonstrate efficacy for use of lisdexamfetamine as an SSRI augmentation strategy in mild depression with persistent cognitive dysfunction (Madhoo et al., 2014) and residual symptoms in moderate depression (Trivedi et al., 2013).

c. Dopamine reuptake. DAergic synaptic transmission can be enhanced through inhibition or reversal of synaptic reuptake. The DAT plays a key role in synaptic clearance of DA for purposes of vesicular sequestration/repackaging through VMAT2 in neurons or degradation via monoamine oxidase activity in glial cells (Meiser et al., 2013). Evidence also suggests that, particularly in the prefrontal cortex, the NE transporter (NET) may play a role in DA reuptake (Carboni et al., 1990). Targeting DAT (and to some extent NET) would thus putatively function to increase synaptic availability of DA for postsynaptic action.

A number of available medications, including bupropion and several members of the psychostimulant class, demonstrate DA reuptake inhibition. Bupropion, an FDA-approved medication for treatment of unipolar depression, functions primarily as a DAT and NET inhibitor. Bupropion has been shown to increase high-effort activity in rats (Randall et al., 2014), and clinical trials have demonstrated its efficacy as monotherapy for depression on par with other standard monotherapies such as SSRIs and SNRIs (Clayton et al., 2006), as well as an augmentation strategy for residual symptoms (Patel et al., 2016). Although evidence is limited, small trials have suggested a preferential response of symptoms of anhedonia to bupropion treatment (Tomarken et al., 2004; Thase et al., 2006). Moreover, as noted above, addition of bupropion to an SSRI led to an increased antidepressant response in patients with increased inflammation (CRP $\geq 1$ mg/l), and a prospective clinical trial is underway comparing bupropion to escitalopram in patients with depression with CRP $\geq 2$ mg/l (NCT04352101, see below).

In addition to bupropion, several psychostimulants with mechanistic DAT inhibition are available including methylphenidate and modafinil. As noted above, methylphenidate has been shown to abrogate the effects of IL-6 on effort-based motivation (Yohn et al., 2016). However, in randomized trials in depression, augmentation with methylphenidate has not demonstrated a statistical benefit over standard therapy alone (Patkar et al., 2006; Michelson et al., 2007; Ravindran et al., 2008; Pary et al., 2015). Methylphenidate has demonstrated some efficacy in treating cancer-related fatigue, which has been associated with increased inflammatory markers in multiple studies (Xiao et al., 2016, 2017; Roji and Centeno, 2017; Bower, 2019). Clinical trials of modafinil have also demonstrated reduction in fatigue (Fava et al., 2005), although there is no clear consensus regarding relief of depression (Dunlop et al., 2007).

d. Dopamine agonists. DAergic receptors are treatment targets for numerous conditions including schizophrenia and Parkinson disease, in which DAergic signaling is pathologically disrupted. In this context, utilization of direct DA receptor agonists as augmenting strategies for management of depression have received attention. Included among these medications are several of the atypical antipsychotics as well as antiparkinsonian drugs that act as DA receptor agonists.

The body of evidence in support of atypical antipsychotics is larger than that for any other augmentation strategy in major depression. Meta-analyses of performed trials have identified that these agents are more effective than placebo for both response and remission (Nelson and Papakostas, 2009; Zhou et al., 2015), and two agents with DA receptor agonist activity—aripiprazole (Berman et al., 2007, 2009; Marcus et al., 2008; Fava et al., 2012) and brexipiprazole (Al Shirawi et al., 2017)—have received FDA approval for this indication. Although the typical antipsychotics are recognized as exerting their effect primarily through antagonism of the D2 receptor, newer-
The release of nitric oxide (Förber et al., 2005; Yan et al., 2015). Thus, any drug that increases the availability of DA, including all of the DA-active agents described above, has the potential to affect the impact of inflammation on the brain through direct effects on the immune system. It should be noted, however, that many of the findings noted above have been generated in laboratory animal models, and the impact of DAergic agents at the doses used in clinical practice remains unclear. For example, although levodopa administration inhibited ex vivo mitogen-induced proliferation and dose-dependent stimulation of TNF in PBMCs (Bessler et al., 1999), peripheral indicators of systemic inflammation appeared to have no relationship to levodopa dose (Hassin-Baer et al., 2011; Andican et al., 2012). Moreover, bupropion augmentation for treatment nonresponders was not accompanied by changes in peripheral blood cytokine concentrations (i.e., soluble IL-2 receptor, IL-8, and TNF), despite improvement in depressive symptoms (Eller et al., 2009).

2. Glutamate. Given the potential role of glutamate in mood disorders, especially as reflected by the dramatic effects of the NMDA receptor antagonist ketamine on depressive symptoms (McGirr et al., 2015), there have been a number of drugs developed and in development for depression that target glutamate receptors, including not only NMDA receptors but also \( \alpha \)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors and metabotropic glutamate receptors (Wilkinson and Sanacora, 2019). Aside from ketamine, the best studied and most efficacious of these is the recently FDA-approved intranasal esketamine for augmentation of standard oral antidepressant therapy in management of major depression and depression with suicidal ideation/behavior (Fu et al., 2020; Ionescu et al., 2020). Esketamine, the (S)-enantiomer of ketamine, functions as an NMDA receptor antagonist and DAT inhibitor. Like its racemic mixture, and unlike standard antidepressant treatments, the antidepressant effect observed is rapid and robust in responders, with measurable responses within 24 hours of administration. Although limited work has been done regarding the immune system with esketamine, the response to ketamine has been tied to increased inflammatory markers prior to treatment in humans and in a laboratory animal model of antidepressant treatment resistance (Walker et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). These data support the notion that blockade of NMDA receptors might be a mechanism to reverse inflammation-induced increases in glutamate. In contrast to the success of ketamine and esketamine, other glutamate receptor modulators have not performed well in depression. For example, memantine, an NMDA antagonist that reduces cognitive decline in elderly patients with Alzheimer disease, has failed to show efficacy in depression in multiple studies (Kishi et al., 2017). In addition, adjunctive laniocemine.
C. Glucose and Lipid Metabolism

1. Glucose Metabolism. As the metabolic shift to aerobic glycolysis is a hallmark of cancer and a critical step for inflammatory activation of immune cells, opportunities to divert cellular metabolism from glycolysis and its end products, such as lactate, back to mitochondrial oxidation have been extensively explored. Components of the glycolytic cascade that have been frequently targeted are glucose transporters, as well as the glycolytic enzymes hexokinase (HK), 6-phosphofructokinase, pyruvate kinase, lactate dehydrogenase, and monocarboxylate transporters (Fortunato et al., 2018). The majority of compounds that inhibit glycolysis have been tested in cell lines or animal models, with the exception of a few agents currently approved for use in humans (Pelicano et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2013).

Several clinically approved medications for cancer and autoimmune disorders are demonstrated to have antiglycolytic and/or pro-OXPHOS properties, which may primarily contribute to their therapeutic actions. For example, imatinib is an approved treatment of cancer that specifically inhibits tyrosine kinases, including the oncogene BCR-ABL, subsequently causing a decrease in activity of HK and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, enzymes that promote glycolysis and its ancillary pentose phosphate pathway. These actions decrease glycolytic activity and promote mitochondrial respiration (Gottschalk et al., 2004) while also decreasing inflammatory gene expression, including TNF, in a mouse model of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (AlAsfoor et al., 2018). Other drugs such as lonicamide, which inhibits mitochondrial bound HK; AZD3965, a monocarboxylate transporter inhibitor; and several Akt inhibitors targeting the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway are currently in various stages of clinical trials for cancer and are being considered for the treatment of autoimmune disorders including rheumatoid arthritis (de Lartigue, 2017; Song et al., 2019). Of note, the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin has recently shown the capacity to prolong the effects of ketamine, which as noted previously, targets glutamate pathways, and its efficacy is greater in individuals with increased inflammation as well as its downstream effects on KP metabolites (Moaddel et al., 2018; Abdallah et al., 2020). First-line treatment with dimethyl fumarate in patients with multiple sclerosis has been shown to inhibit Warburg-like metabolism in immune cells via inhibiting the enzyme glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, which occupies a central position in the glycolytic pathway (Kornberg et al., 2018). Consistent with known differential reliance of immune cell subsets on aerobic glycolysis, dimethyl fumarate inhibited production of inflammatory cytokines and lactate in activated macrophages, Th1 and Th17 cells, while sparing the function of resting macrophages or regulatory T cells, suggesting that nuanced immunomodulation via metabolic targets may be possible in vivo. With respect to behavioral symptoms and anhedonia, promotion of
OXPHOS and mitochondrial antioxidant defense systems is believed to contribute to ketamine’s antidepressant effect (Weckmann et al., 2014, 2017), and gene expression signatures related to mitochondrial respiration have been associated with long-term response to lithium in patients with bipolar disorder (Stacey et al., 2018).

Another agent that has received attention is N-acetyl cysteine (NAC). NAC as a precursor to the antioxidant glutathione reduces oxidative stress (reactive oxygen species) that can result from cellular immunometabolic shifts and inflammation and can improve mitochondrial function (Wright et al., 2015; Mocelin et al., 2019). NAC also has antidepressant effects on glutamate signaling through effects on z-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors and the astroglial glutamate exchanger xCT (Linck et al., 2012; Nasca et al., 2017). Thus, NAC has been tested in depression with evidence of some benefit in a meta-analysis that includes a relatively small number of studies (five) and 291 subjects who received NAC. Clinical trials to treat depression using NAC are ongoing, including one trial with an inclusion criterion of a CRP > 0.85 mg/l (NCT02972398).

Insulin-sensitizing diabetes treatments that address systemic alterations in glucose metabolism and have been tested for antidepressant effects include thiazolidinediones (e.g., pioglitazone), which stimulate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ, and metformin, which promotes 5’-adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase, to lower circulating glucose and fatty acids. A meta-analysis in depression found that although metformin did not improve depressive symptoms, thiazolidinediones exerted an antidepressant effect in female patients only (Moulton et al., 2018).

2. Fatty Acids. Promotion of cellular fatty acid oxidation is another mechanism to address alterations in cellular immunometabolism to alleviate depressive symptoms. For example, blockade of carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1, which promotes the transport of acyl-carnitine for mitochondrial β oxidation, with etomoxir substantially reduced stress-induced anhedonia-like behavior in rats (Morkholt et al., 2017). In addition, systemic dietary supplementation with acetyl-l-carnitine induced both a rapid and enduring antidepressant effect in a rodent model of depressive behavior (Nasca et al., 2018), and supplementation with omega-3 PUFAs, particularly eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), has demonstrated antidepressant efficacy, as indicated by several meta-analyses in major depression (Mocking et al., 2016; Liao et al., 2019). The antidepressant effects of PUFAs are thought to be mediated, at least in part, by effects on immune cells and reduced inflammation (Rapaport et al., 2016). As such, whereas patients with HCV who developed depression after IFN-α treatment had an “at risk” genotype for the phospholipase A2 gene in association with lower circulating EPA levels (Su et al., 2010), treatment with EPA prevented IFN-α-induced depression (Su et al., 2014). The enzyme phospholipase A2 regulates the balance between metabolism of fatty acid precursors into arachidonic acid, which promotes inflammation, or the anti-inflammatory omega-3 fatty acids. Elevated ratios of arachidonic acid to EPA and DHA have also been found to predict IFN-α-induced depression (Lotrich et al., 2013). Furthermore, among patients with depression who received 8 weeks of treatment with EPA, those with high CRP and IL-1ra at baseline displayed a greater antidepressant response (Rapaport et al., 2016).

These studies suggest that promoting fatty acid oxidation and increasing the availability of PUFAs as well as acetyl-l-carnitine may be fruitful strategies for targeting the immunometabolic mechanisms that sustain inflammation and contribute to anhedonia.

D. Kynurenine Metabolism

1. Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase Inhibitors. IDO converts Trp, the primary precursor for serotonin, to KYN. Given the importance of serotonin in T-cell activation, there has been considerable interest in developing IDO inhibitors as a pharmacologic strategy to enhance T-cell function as a component of chemotherapeutic and other approaches to treating cancer (Prendergast et al., 2017). Several IDO inhibitors, including indoximod (1-methyl-D-tryptophan), have been developed for this purpose and are in clinical trials (Vacchelli et al., 2014). With the focus on oncology, there are currently no trials of an IDO inhibitor in any psychiatric disorder. In addition to IDO, other enzymes, including kynurenine monooxygenase and kynurenine aminotransferase, which maintain the relative balance between QUIN and KYNA, can be considered targets of treatment. Relative to this strategy, however, administration of a KYNA analog prodrug 4-Cl-kynurenine (4-Cl-KYN), which converts to the NMDAR glycine site antagonist 7-Cl-KYNA, failed to show efficacy in treatment-resistant depression (Park et al., 2020). Challenges in this area include defining the relevant target populations, related in part to which KP metabolite markers and their cutoff values and which inflammatory markers might help enrich future studies with subjects who are likely to respond, while also providing opportunities to establish target engagement (see below). Combinations of the peripheral blood KYN/Trp ratio and TNF have been used in this fashion, yielding a population of patients with depression with increased anhedonia and treatment resistance (Haroon et al., 2020).

2. Leucine. Leucine competes with KYN for LAT-1 and thus can inhibit transport of KYN into the brain, where it is converted into the neuroactive metabolites
KYNA and QUIN (Haroon et al., 2020). Based on preclinical studies in laboratory animals (Walker et al., 2019), treatment with high-dose leucine (8 mg/d) for 2 weeks is currently being tested in patients with major depression, although inflammatory markers that have been associated with KP metabolites in the periphery and CNS will be examined only in post hoc analyses (with no a priori enrichment of the sample for peripheral blood inflammatory markers and/or KP metabolite concentrations) (NCT03079297).

E. Gut Microbiome

Although the majority of therapeutic focus remains on small-molecule and biologic drugs, the past several years have seen increased interest in evaluating the gut-brain axis as a mediator of psychiatric pathophysiology. The native microbiome and issues related to microbial dysbiosis have been implicated in a variety of salient neuropsychiatric conditions (Barbosa and Vieira-Coelho, 2020); however, the exact mechanism(s) by which the microbiome may impact brain function is unclear. Studies have repeatedly demonstrated the importance of microbiota in neurodevelopment (Warner, 2019) and have linked microbiome-produced bioactive metabolites to epigenetic changes and the host immune response/inflammatory state (Rooks and Garrett, 2016), each of which may have a putative role in mediating inflammation-induced behavioral changes. The relation to psychiatric illness has been underscored by a number of studies, such as the induction of depressive-like behavior in mice after fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) from donors with major depression (Zheng et al., 2016) and the significant improvements in reported depressive and anxious symptoms after FMT among patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders, with the related finding of a negative correlation between microbiota diversity and depression severity (Kurokawa et al., 2018). These findings have led to a number of trials examining prebiotics (dietary fibers that promote the growth of specific bacteria in the gut) and probiotics (which are live microorganisms cultured for dietary supplementation) as interventions, although results have been modest, showing a small but significant improvement of symptoms with use of probiotics (Liu et al., 2019; Sanada et al., 2020). Given the relative importance of the gut-brain axis and the evidence suggesting its role in inflammation, continued research for more directed therapies such as FMT or predeveloped microbial ecosystems is warranted.

F. Future Drug Development

Although clinical neuroimaging, postmortem tissue analysis, and preclinical animal models provide insight into the impact of inflammation on the brain, there are limits inherent to these approaches. For example, there are human-specific aspects of neurodevelopment (Letinic and Rakic, 2001; Rakic, 2009; Clowry et al., 2010; Zeng et al., 2012; Hansen et al., 2013; Paredes et al., 2016; Hodge et al., 2019) that limit the generalizability and/or scope of studies undertaken with animal model systems and the feasibility of studying direct cellular and molecular correlates of imaging findings, making the approach to understanding pathophysiology necessarily coarse-grained. In recent years, however, induced pluripotent stem cell technology has emerged as a promising solution for preclinical neuropsychiatric disease modeling. Rapid progression in the field has led to development of methods for generating specific CNS cell types, including DAergic neurons (Swistowski et al., 2010), excitatory glutamatergic neurons (Li et al., 2009; Mariani et al., 2012), microglia (Abud et al., 2017), oligodendrocytes (Wang et al., 2013), and astrocytes (Santos et al., 2017). In addition, these approaches have been used to produce three-dimensional model systems that resemble subregions of the human brain (Eiraku et al., 2008; Mariani et al., 2015; Muguruma et al., 2015; Qian et al., 2016) and are capable of recapitulating features of human cortical development (Lancaster et al., 2013), providing previously inaccessible opportunities to model brain development/brain function in vitro. This method has already been applied to the study of schizophrenia (Stachowiak et al., 2017; Kathuria et al., 2020), and active research is expanding to conditions with more neurodiversity, including depression (Grossert et al., 2019) and anxiety (Soliman et al., 2017). Relevant to inflammation, studies in induced pluripotent stem cell–derived astrocytes have demonstrated a robust inflammatory response to IL-1β (Thelin et al., 2020), suggesting that these in vitro model systems can reveal how individual cell types respond to a neuroinflammatory milieu and how these responses may differ across patient populations. Thus, such a platform may be especially informative in isolating the various influences of specific inflammatory molecules and their downstream effects on cellular metabolism on relevant neurotransmitter systems including DA and glutamate.

VIII. Anti-Inflammatory Trial Failures

A. Shortcomings of Clinical Trials Using Anti-Inflammatory Drugs to Treat Depression

There are multiple targets and treatments that are relevant to pharmacological strategies to mitigate the impact of inflammation on the brain. A number of clinical trials have been conducted using drugs with putative anti-inflammatory properties to treat patients with psychiatric disorders or symptoms, many of which have been described above. These studies have primarily focused on patients with depression and schizophrenia (Xiang et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017; Deakin et al., 2018). Meta-analyses of this
literature, including anticytokine therapies, COX-2 inhibitors, minocycline, statins, pioglitazone, and omega 3 PUFAs, have reported modest efficacy with some of these agents (Xiang et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017; Kohler-Forsberg et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2020), although the results of this literature is difficult, if not impossible, to interpret for reasons including the drugs used, the study designs, and the outcome variables (Table 2). Disregarding the numerous trials that have been conducted on patients with autoimmune and inflammatory disorders, in which results are confounded by the impact of anti-inflammatory treatment on the underlying disease process, there are relatively few interpretable studies, leaving the field bereft of data upon which to build the foundation for the ultimate translational and clinical impact of therapies that target inflammation and/or its downstream effects on the brain. In the case of COX-2 inhibitors and minocycline, for example, by far the largest trial to date failed to show any separation between patients with depression who received celecoxib or minocycline versus those who received placebo (Husain et al., 2020). This trial exemplifies all of the complications that have plagued clinical trials in the field, and if not addressed, there is significant risk that a potential therapeutic strategy for a disabling symptom (anhedonia) may be lost.

1. Drugs. Aside from anticytokine treatments, which have selective effects on specific cytokines, all of the drugs that have been examined to date have an array of off-target effects. For example, celecoxib has effects on glucocorticoid receptor function and cadherin 11, an adhesion molecule involved in anxiety and fear-related responses as well as synaptic plasticity (Manabe et al., 2000; Hu et al., 2005). Minocycline is an antibiotic and therefore has a significant impact on the gut microbiome, which has been associated with depression and other behavioral states (Jiang et al., 2015; Dinan and Cryan, 2019). Statins and anti-diabetic drugs have significant effects on metabolism of lipids and glucose, including insulin sensitivity, which can interact directly with neurons irrespective of any effects of inflammation (Stouffer et al., 2015; Ter Horst et al., 2018). Thus, although all of the drugs used in previous trials have putative anti-inflammatory effects, they also have multiple off-target effects, and based on current study designs, it is impossible to know which mechanism of action is leading to behavioral change.

2. Study Designs. Given that only a small percentage of depressed or other psychiatric patients in any given sample exhibit increased inflammation (Osimo et al., 2019), it makes little sense to conduct a trial of an anti-inflammatory drug in a heterogeneous population of psychiatric patients. Any drug response should be highly suspect because of off-target effects and the limited number of patients that are available to respond. Of note, only two published studies have enriched for increased inflammation (both using anticytokine therapies) (McIntyre et al., 2019; Salvadore, 2018). Most studies have used baseline inflammatory markers to predict treatment response in post hoc fashion. Even if post hoc, proof of concept has been established by mapping baseline inflammatory measures with subsequent treatment response. This has been successfully achieved in several studies in depression in which, for example, higher baseline plasma IL-6 concentrations predicted response to minocycline, higher baseline plasma CRP predicted response to infliximab and omega 3 PUFAs, and higher baseline microglial activation (as measured by PET using a TSPO ligand) predicted response to celecoxib (Rapaport et al., 2016; Savitz et al., 2018; Attwells et al., 2020). Multiple studies have also examined baseline inflammatory markers in a post hoc manner to predict response to conventional antidepressant therapies (targeting monoamines). For example, increased peripheral blood inflammatory markers such as CRP and mRNA for IL-1β, TNF, and migration inhibitory factor predicted treatment nonresponse to SSRIs and SNRIs, and in two studies,

### Table 2
Problems and solutions for clinical trial failures of therapies targeting the impact of inflammation on the brain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Heterogenous populations with a limited percentage of patients with high inflammation</td>
<td>Enrich populations for high and/or low inflammation with a priori defined inflammation status at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial with no stratification</td>
<td>Match/mismatch design with patients stratified by inflammation status—drug targeting inflammation should only show efficacy in high-inflammation group Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with stratification on inflammation or conducted solely on patients with high inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target engagement</td>
<td>No measure of inflammatory or brain endpoint to establish drug effect on the target</td>
<td>Predetermined inflammatory markers in brain or periphery that establish that the drug has engaged the target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome variables</td>
<td>Overall symptom severity</td>
<td>Outcome variables tied to the known biologic effects of inflammation on behavior (e.g., anhedonia, psychomotor slowing, anxiety)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

For example, increased peripheral blood inflammatory markers such as CRP and mRNA for IL-1β, TNF, and migration inhibitory factor predicted treatment nonresponse to SSRIs and SNRIs, and in two studies,
peripheral blood CRP predicted a differential response to the SSRI escitalopram versus bupropion and nortriptyline (Cattaneo et al., 2013; Uher et al., 2014; Strawbridge et al., 2015; Cattaneo et al., 2016; Jha et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020). In these latter two studies, increased inflammation predicted a poor response to escitalopram and a better response to the these DAergic and NEergic drugs (Uher et al., 2014; Jha et al., 2017). Nevertheless, no trial to date has stratified patients as a function of baseline inflammatory status and determined whether those with high inflammation respond and those without do not. This match/mismatch design is the preferred design strategy for trials testing the efficacy of anti-inflammatory drugs, with enriching for high inflammation being a reasonable alternative. For example, an ongoing trial comparing bupropion versus escitalopram is underway in patients with high inflammation only, with the hypothesis that the DAergic drug bupropion will increase functional connectivity in reward circuitry along with improving motivational deficits compared with escitalopram (NCT04352101).

Given the opportunity to measure inflammatory markers as a function of treatment, it is also surprising that few studies have measured whether in fact the anti-inflammatory drug being tested is impacting inflammation. Indeed, in the failed celecoxib/minocycline trial noted above, no effect of celecoxib or minocycline was found on peripheral measures of inflammation, which does not instill confidence in the interpretation of a negative result (Husain et al., 2020). Peripheral and/or central markers (e.g., TSPO binding) of inflammation are an essential element of an interpretable trial. If there is no evidence that there is inflammatory target engagement (or engagement of downstream targets), the trial should be considered a failed trial.

3. Outcomes. Aside from measuring the impact of the selected drug on the pathway of interest (e.g., inflammation), outcome variables should be derived from what is known about the circuits and behaviors that are reproducibly affected by inflammation. In terms of behaviors, as indicated in this review, anhedonia as well as psychomotor retardation have been reliably associated with inflammation in terms of both the response to pro- and anti-inflammatory stimuli. In addition, reward circuitry has been identified as the mediator between endogenous inflammatory markers such as CRP and anhedonia and psychomotor speed (Felger et al., 2016). Thus, neuroimaging biomarkers such as functional connectivity within reward or motor circuitry can serve as relevant targets in the brain. A similar case can be made for threat circuitry as it relates to symptoms of anxiety, which have also been associated with inflammation (Davies et al., 2020). The challenge, however, is that the FDA and other regulatory bodies do not currently recognize individual symptom domains as appropriate for drug development, making the pharmaceutical approval process challenging if not impossible. Currently, only the major psychiatric disorders as defined in the DSM are recognized with some appreciation for treatment resistance in this context. Indeed, “the entire biomedical machinery for mental disorders (is) organized around DSM categories” (Cuthbert, 2015). Future efforts will clearly require advocacy for a broader appreciation of the subdomains of symptoms that cut across disorders and have a similar, well-defined pathophysiological basis. This strategy has achieved great success in oncology, in which the FDA recognizes drugs for multiple cancer types that are labeled as “targeted therapies,” defined as drugs that target specific genes and proteins that are involved in the growth and survival of cancer cells (Baudino, 2015). Such an agnostic approach to diagnosis along with a greater appreciation of the emerging understanding of the genes and proteins that underlie the biologic bases of behaviors is what is needed in psychiatry and the approval of drugs that treat psychiatric disorders.

IX. Summary

An extensive amount of data has been amassed on the effects of inflammation on the brain. Attempts to curate, integrate and synthesize the extant literature in this review has required selecting and choosing data that build hypotheses that can be tested and hopefully translated to clinical practice. As noted, given the many mechanisms identified, there is a vast array of opportunities for drug development. Nevertheless, the current approach to drug approval in psychiatry by the various regulatory agencies including the FDA have yet to recognize and embrace patients who experience symptoms clusters that are the consequence of specific pathophysiological processes. Only DSM diagnoses are recognized as relevant targets for approved treatments. This situation is despite the fact that treatment with anticytokine therapy for example, has shown efficacy for anhedonia in patients with evidence of increased inflammation, with a much lesser effect on overall depression severity. Nevertheless, the future of drug development in psychiatry lies with the identification of biologically defined subgroups with specific pathophysiology and related symptoms that warrant targeted treatments. Until that time comes, we must understand that treatment trials targeting inflammation and/or its downstream targets on the brain in heterogeneous populations of patients with depression or other psychiatric diagnoses will generate data that will be difficult if not impossible to interpret.
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