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The prokinetics (PKs) were discovered approximately 20 years ago as small peptides inducing gut contractility. Today, they are established as angiogetic, anorectic, and proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, hormones, and neuropeptides involved in variety of physiologic and pathophysiological pathways. Their altered expression or mutations implicated in several diseases make them a potential biomarker. Their G-protein coupled receptors, PKR1 and PKR2, have divergent roles that can be therapeutic target for treatment of cardiovascular, metabolic, and neural diseases as well as pain and cancer. This article review summarizes and current knowledge of PK family functions from development of heart and brain to regulation of homeostasis in health and diseases. Finally, the review summarizes the established roles of the endogenous peptides, synthetic peptides and the selective ligands of PKR1 and PKR2, and nonpeptide orthostatic and allosteric modulator of the receptors in preclinical disease models. The present review emphasizes the ambiguous aspects and gaps in our knowledge of functions of PKR ligands and elucidates future perspectives for PK research.

**Significance Statement**—This review provides an in-depth view of the prokineticin family and PK receptors that can be active without their endogenous ligand and exhibits “constitutive” activity in diseases. Their non-peptide ligands display promising effects in several preclinical disease models. PKs can be the diagnostic biomarker of several diseases. A thorough understanding of the role of prokineticin family and their receptor types in health and diseases is critical to develop novel therapeutic strategies with safety concerns.

**ABBREVIATIONS:** (−/−), knockouut; Aesl4, acyl-CoA synthetase long-chain family member 4; AD, Alzheimer’s Disease; AgRP, Agouti-related peptide; AKT, protein kinase; AMPA, alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid; ATM, adipose tissue macrophage; AVITG, alanine-valine-isoleucine-threonine glycine sequence; Aβ, amyloid; Bmal-1, brain and muscle Arnt-like protein 1; BMI, body mass index; Bv8, Bombyx variegata (8 kDa); Ca2+, calcium; CCI, chronic constriction injury; CFA, Freund’s complete adjuvant; CFP, Cardiac fibroblast progenitor cells; CNS, central nervous system; COOH-, carboxy-terminus; DOX, doxorubicin; dp, days post coitus; DBG, dorsal root ganglia; EAT, epi-cardial adipose tissue; ECL, extracellular loop; ECs, endothelial cells; ECS, electroconvulsive shock; EDPC, epicardial-derived progenitor cell; EG-VEGF, endocrine gland-derived-vascular endothelial growth factor; GnRH, gonadotropin releasing hormone; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HF, heart failure; ICL, intracellular loop; IHH, idiothetic hyopogonadotropic hypogonadism; IL, interleukin; KS, Kallmann Syndrome; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MAT, matrine; MET, mesenchymal-epithelial transition; MI, myocardial infarction; MRAP2, melanocortin receptor accessory protein 2; NH2−, amino-terminus; NPY, Neuropeptide Y; OB, olfactory bulb; OD, olfactory dysfunctions; OA, osteoarthritis; PC, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; PD, Parkinson’s Disease; PE, preeclampsia; PK, prokineticin; PKR, mammalian prokineticin receptor; PVN, paraventricular nucleus; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RMS, rostral migratory stream; SCN, suprachiasmatic nucleus; SNI, spared nerve injury; SVZ, subventricular zone; T, transgenic; TTD, transmembrane; TRPV1, transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V member 1; TS, trans sialidase.
I. Prokineticin and Prokineticin Receptors

The history of the prokineticin family began in 1999 with the isolation of the mamba intestinal toxin-1 from the venom of the black mamba (Schweitz et al., 1999) and an 8 kDa protein from the skin secretions of the frog Bombina variegata (Bv8) (Mollay et al., 1999). These small proteins were named prokineticins (PKs) due to their ability to stimulate gastrointestinal smooth muscle contraction (Li et al., 2001). PKs exist in 2 forms: prokineticin 1 (PK1) and prokineticin 2 (PK2). PK1 was initially designated endocrine gland-specific vascular endothelial growth factor (EG-VEGF) because of its functional similarities to VEGF (LeCouter et al., 2001, 2003a; LeCouter and Ferrara, 2003). PKs bind to two closely related G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), prokineticin receptor 1 and 2 (PKR1 and PKR2, respectively) (Lin et al., 2002a; Masuda et al., 2002; Soga et al., 2002). Prokineticins and their receptors are broadly distributed in mammalian organs and tissues (Cheng et al., 2006; Ngan et al. 2007) where they are involved in numerous physiologic processes. The dysregulation of the PK system in several pathologic conditions as described in the following sections suggests that pharmacological antagonism or agonism of PKRs could be a potential and novel approach for the treatment of various diseases.

A. Prokineticins: Structure, Distribution, and Regulation

The genomic structure and chromosomal localization of human and murine PKs are determined. The pk1 gene, located on human chromosome 1p13.1 and murine chromosome 3, consists of 3 exons (Kaser et al., 2003): the first encodes 19 residues of the signal peptide and an alanine, valine, isoleucine, threonine, glycine (AVITG) sequence, the second 42 residues, and the third the last 39 residues (LeCouter et al., 2003a) (Fig. 1A). To date, only one PK1 transcript (86 amino acids) has been identified without any alternative splicing variants. In contrast, the pk2 gene is located on human chromosome 3p21.1 and murine chromosome 6 and consists in 4 exons (Jilek et al., 2000). Its transcription can result in four different splicing-variants due to the presence of the additional exon (exon 3) (Jilek et al., 2000); the canonical PK2 (81 amino acids) encoded by exons 1, 2, and 4 (LeCouter et al., 2003b); the inactive long form of PK2 (PK2L – 102 amino acids) encoded by all four exons and activated in vivo by proteolytic cleavage (PK2β) (Chen et al., 2005); the truncated PK2 (72 amino acids) encoded by exons 1 and 2 and containing part of introns 2 and 3′ (Jilek et al., 2000); and the PK2C (65 amino acids) encoded by exons 1 and 4 (Lattanzi et al., 2022) (Fig. 1B).

The amino acid sequence analysis of PK1 and PK2 revealed a high percentage of sequence conservation across the species (Fig. 1, C and D). They share characteristic, highly conserved structural motifs (Li et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2002a). The AVITG sequence at the amino-terminus (NH2)-terminus, the tryptophan at position 24 (Trp24), and the COOH-terminal domain are critical for receptor binding and activation (Kaser et al., 2003; Bullock et al., 2004). Substitutions (Bullock et al., 2004; Dodé and Rondard, 2013), insertions (Bullock et al., 2004), or deletions (Negri et al., 2005) in these portions result in products with antagonistic activity, with reduced receptor affinity and therefore lower efficacy, or without any biologic functionality (Bullock et al., 2004; Lattanzi et al., 2012).

Moreover, the presence of charged residues inside, hydrophobic residues on the surface, and ten evenly distributed Cys forming 5-disulphide bridges gives these molecules a compact structure noted as colipase folding, with NH2- and COOH-ends on the surface (Boisbouvier et al., 1998; Kaser et al., 2003; Morales et al., 2010).

PKs are ubiquitously expressed throughout the mammalian species. In general, the expression profile of PKs is dynamic. Regulators of PKs have been found at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. At the transcriptional stage, the expression of PK1 and PK2 is modulated by hypoxia via the hypoxia-induced factor one binding site in their promoter regions (LeCouter et al., 2001, 2003a). Hormones such as steroids, follicle-stimulating hormone, and human chorionic gonadotropin (Brouillet et al., 2012a), high insulin concentration (Ujvari et al., 2018), and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (Garnier et al., 2015) regulate PK1 in the reproductive tract. Instead, proneural basic helix-loop-helix factors (Zhang et al., 2007), circadian rhythm genes (Cheng et al., 2005), homeobox transcription factors, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor regulate PK2 expression (Hoffmann et al., 2006). At the post-transcriptional level, the major prokineticin regulators are microRNAs (Su et al., 2017; Meng et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

B. Prokineticin Receptors: Structure, Distribution, Regulation, and Signaling

Prokineticin receptors were originally called as GPR73a and GPR73b, orphan receptors. Molecular cloning and characterization of prokineticin receptors (PKRs) were independently performed by three different research groups in 2002 (Lin et al., 2002a; Masuda et al., 2002; Soga et al., 2002). PKRs consist of seven transmembrane (TM) segments connected by alternative extracellular and intracellular loops (ECL and ICL, respectively). Due to the presence of two Cys forming a disulphide bond between ECL2 and ECL3, they belong to the “rhodopsin-like” or class A GPCRs, as the chemokin and opioid receptors (Vincenzi et al., 2022). Although PKRs are widely distributed and commonly co-expressed in various organs and tissues, analysis of expression pattern revealed that PKR1 is mainly localized in peripheral tissues such as...
the gastrointestinal tract, adipose tissue, endocrine glands, reproductive organs, lungs, heart, and hematopoietic cells, whereas PKR2 is mainly found in the central nervous system (CNS) (Masuda et al., 2002).

Human and mouse PKRs are 80% identical. PKR1 and PKR2 have approximately 85% amino acid homology, with most differences localized in the NH2-terminus (Lin et al., 2002a). The genes encoding PKRs are organized in three exons and located on two different chromosomes: the pkr1 gene on human 2p.13.3 and mouse chromosome 6; the pkr2 gene on human 20p13 and mouse chromosome 2 (Parker et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2002a).

Pkr2 gene is in a region with high mutational propensity. Indeed, numerous pkr2 pathogenic rare mutations and polymorphisms have been associated with various pathologies, including Kallmann syndrome, Hirschsprung syndrome, idiopathic pregnancy loss, precocious puberty, mood disorders, methamphetamine abuse and other diseases as documented in the supplemental data (Supplemental Table 1). In addition, a splicing variant of PKR2 containing only the last three TM domains (TM4-7) has been identified as a functional receptor that can form heterodimers with PKR2 and can be upregulated in pathologic states (Lattanzi et al., 2019a).

PKRs are activated by nanomolar concentrations of PK1 and PK2. PK2 has a higher affinity than PK1 for PKRs and slightly higher affinity for PKR1 than PKR2 (Lin et al., 2002a; Soga et al., 2002). PK2 acts as a PKR1-selective ligand (Chen et al., 2005; Lattanzi et al., 2018), whereas PK2C binds both PKR1 and PKR2 (Lattanzi et al., 2022).

All these endogenous prokineticins were first recognized by NH2-end and ECL2 (extracellular site 1) of the

---

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of prokineticin systems. (A, B) Prokineticin genes and their splicing isoforms. (C, D) Amino acid sequence of mammalian prokineticins, mamba intestinal toxin 1 and Bv8. Created with BioRender.com.
PKRs. The insertion of the peptide sequence AVITG of prokineticins into an orthosteric TM-binding site (site 2) of receptors leads to the conformational changes required for activation of signal transduction. The orthosteric TM-binding pocket also accommodates small non-peptide antagonists of PKRs and is extremely conserved in PKR1 and PKR2 (Levit et al., 2011). Allosteric small-molecule binding site is located among TMs 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 and accommodates PKR1 agonist that interacts with Arg144, Asn141, Gly219, and Phe300 within the PKR1 binding pocket (Gasser et al., 2015). Interestingly, the only different residue in the ECL2 (Val207 in PKR1 corresponding to Phe198 in PKR2) could be important for designing subtype-specific ligands and targeting PKR-mediated different pathologic conditions (Levit et al., 2011). Intracellular loops (ICL2 and ICL3) of PKRs are essential for interaction with G proteins and mutations at these sites can reduce G-coupling, leading to loss of function (Peng et al., 2011). Activated PKRs trigger multiple intracellular signaling pathways via the coupling of several heterotrimeric G proteins (Fig. 2), such as Gq/11, G12/13 and Gs or selectively binding to β-arrestin 2 (Casella and Ambrosio, 2021). The genetic inactivation of PKRs in mice displayed developmental defects and organ dysfunction as summarized in Table 1.

The activation of PKRs and the interaction with Gq protein mediate the intracellular mobilization of calcium (Ca^{2+}) through the activity of phospholipase-C and the formation of inositol triphosphate (Lin et al., 2002a; Masuda et al., 2002). Moreover, PK2 can induce translocation of protein kinase C (PKC)-ε to the plasma membrane in neurons via Gq (Vellani et al., 2006). Activation of PKRs/Gq sensitizes transient receptor potential channel subfamily V member 1 (TRPV1) and enhances the hyperalgesia mediated by PK2 (Negri et al., 2006a; Vellani et al., 2006; Maftei et al., 2020). PKR2 can also couple with G12 in endothelial cells (ECs) and reduce the expression of tight junction proteins, such as zona occludens-1, leading to fenestrations of these cells and increased vascular permeability (Urayama et al., 2007). PKRs can also signal through Gi-coupling. For example, Lin et al. (Lin et al., 2002b) reported that pertussis toxin pre-treatment inhibits the PK1/PKR1-induced p44/p42 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade, suggesting Gi protein involvement. PK1, via Gi, can also trigger phosphorylation of Akt through activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase leading to EC differentiation, proliferation, and migration (Lin et al., 2002b). The ability of PK2 to stimulate phosphorylation of p44/p42 MAPK in both PKR1- and PKR2-transfected cells has also been described (Lin et al., 2002a). Moreover, the activation of both PKRs induces cAMP accumulation via the Gs protein and regulates, for example, contractility (Chen et al., 2005; Nguyen et al., 2013).

![Fig. 2](https://www.aspetjournals.org/article-pdf/1171/1171/EXTRACELLULAR.png)

**Fig. 2.** Intracellular signaling pathway triggered by PK/PKR binding. PKR1 exert its biologic activity by activating Gi, Gs, Gq signaling pathway, whereas PKR2 uses G12/13, Gs and Gi pathways dependent on cell type, expression levels, and pathologic condition. Created with BioRender.com.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>Genetically manipulated mice</th>
<th>In vivo model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal organ development</td>
<td>Epicardial specific PKR1(−/−)</td>
<td>Embryonic lethality due to impaired heart development</td>
<td>(Arora et al., 2016a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nephrin specific PKR1(−/−)</td>
<td>Impaired nephrogenesis and glomerulogenesis</td>
<td>(Arora et al., 2016b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKR1(−/−)</td>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy and vascular rarefaction, macrophage infiltration, lipotoxicity, fibrosis in heart.</td>
<td>(Boulberdaa et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKR2(−/−)</td>
<td>OB hypoplasia, severe atrophy of the reproductive system, including the testis, ovary, uterus, vagina, and mammary glands, defective migration and differentiation of neuronal progenitors</td>
<td>(Matsumoto et al., 2006; Prosser et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PK2(−/−) and OB</td>
<td>Small OB, and the accumulation of neuronal progenitors in the RMS, disrupted GnRH neuron migration, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism</td>
<td>(Ng et al., 2005; Pitteloud et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKR2LaCZ/+ and TG-PKR2 mice</td>
<td>Tangential and radial migration defects of neuroblasts in the SVZ-RMS-OB resulting in loss of ~75% of GABAergic interneurons in the OB</td>
<td>(Wen et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs)</td>
<td>Endothelial specific-PKR1(−/−)</td>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy and vascular rarefaction</td>
<td>(Dormishian et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac fibroblast progenitor-specific PKR1(−/−)</td>
<td>Vascular rarefaction and development of Epicardial adipose tissue</td>
<td>(Qureshi et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TG-PKR2 (PKR2 overexpression in cardiomyocytes)</td>
<td>Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with endotheliopathy</td>
<td>(Urayama et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TG-PKR1 (PKR1 overexpression in cardiomyocytes)</td>
<td>Neovasculogenesis, activation of epicardial progenitor cells</td>
<td>(Urayama et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Endothelial specific-PKR1(−/−)</td>
<td>Lipodystrophy, insulin resistance</td>
<td>(Dormishian et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>PKR1(−/−) (40 weeks old) and PKR2(−/−)</td>
<td>PK2 via PKR1 reduces food intake and body weight in a mouse model of human obesity.</td>
<td>(Beale et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKR1(−/−) (40 weeks old)</td>
<td>Obesity and diabetes, Adipogenesis, infiltration of macrophage into fat tissue</td>
<td>(Szatkowski et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PK2(−/−)</td>
<td>Absence of the fasting-induced arousal, and less energy expenditure, torpor after fasting Hypothalamic regulation of energy balance, fasting induced hyperthermia and torpor Obesity, accumulation of fat tissue, increase adipogenesis</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2012; Jethwa et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circadian cycle alteration</td>
<td>PK2(−/−)</td>
<td>Significantly reduced rhythmicity for sleep-wake cycle, body temperature, as well as the expression of peripheral clock genes, precision in timing the onset of nocturnal locomotor activity</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2007; Prosser et al., 2007; Jethwa et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PKR2(−/−)</td>
<td>Reduced oscillation of PK2 mRNA levels in the SCN and decreased amplitude of behavioral rhythm</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TG-PKR2 (PK2 overexpression)</td>
<td>Attenuated thermal and nocuous chemical stimuli-mediated nociception in PK2(−/−) Impaired nociception and inflammatory pain sensation in PKR1(−/−)</td>
<td>(Martucci et al., 2006; Negri et al., 2006a; Franchi et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Several inhibitors of PKRs have been patented by pharmaceutical companies, but, details of their design have not been published. The first hits for such series were likely found as results of screening large high-throughput screening libraries, which were then optimized to increase activity and improve their ADMETTox properties.

Scientists of Takeda Pharmaceutical Company identified two series of PKR1 antagonists, illustrated by compounds 1 (Goldby et al., 2015) and 2 (Mitchell and Teall, 2016) (Fig. 3), for the treatment of gastrointestinal, psychiatric, and neurologic disorders. Thompson et al. (Thompson and Melamed, 2007) of Merck & Co. patented a series of compounds, such as PKR-A, which acts as a PKR2 antagonist with IC50 < 10 μM. Cheng et al. showed that PKR-A inhibits PKR2-induced Ca2+ release with an IC50 of 48nM. In vivo, it reduces infarct volume and central inflammation while improving functional outcome in a rat model of cerebral ischemia (Cheng et al., 2012). Its analog PKRA7 non-selectively inhibits both PKR1 and PKR2 in low nanomolar range. In vivo, PKRA7 inhibits angiogenesis in gliomas and blocks myeloid cell infiltration in pancreatic cancer (Curtis et al., 2013).

Balboni et al. (Balboni et al., 2008) utilized patented non-peptidic PKR antagonists deposited by Janssen and Merck as the basis for developing a series of triazinediones that selectively inhibit PKR1 and, to a lesser extent, PKR2. This group created homology models of the human PKR1 and PKR2 (universal protein codes: Q8TCW9 and Q8NFJ6, respectively) using the crystal structures of the human kappa opioid (Protein Data Bank code: 4DJH) and neurotensin-1 (Protein Data Bank code: 4GRV) receptors as templates. In this way, docking studies allowed the authors to design some triazinediones as new PKR1 antagonists, such as PC1 (Lattanzi et al., 2015a) (Fig. 3). PC1 was designed to mimic the N-terminal AVITG sequence, while the methoxybenzyl moiety acts as an isosterol of the indole of the Trp24. PC1 inhibits intracellular Ca2+ mobilization induced by both PKR1 and PKR2. In vivo, it alleviates various disorders triggered by overactivation of PK2 signaling, such as inflammatory (Giannini et al., 2009) and neuropathic pain (Maftei et al., 2014; Guida et al., 2015; Lattanzi et al., 2015a,b; Castelli et al., 2016; Moschetti et al., 2019a) and Alzheimer’s disease (Maftei et al., 2019). The analog PC7 shows enhanced analgesic effects and also attenuates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and pre-eclampsia in mice (Abou-Hamdan et al., 2015; Reynaud et al., 2021). Further improvements led to PC27, which exhibits analgesic activity with an EC50 ten times lower than that of PC7 (Congiu et al., 2014).

### Table 1—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>In vivo model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genetically manipulated mice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKR1(-/−) and PKR2(-/−)</td>
<td>Reduced nociceptive sensitivity to the noxious cold temperature of 4°C and hot temperatures of 46°C and 48°C in the working range (Maftei et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKR1(-/−) and PKR2(-/−)</td>
<td>Loss of macrophage migration, proinflammatory phenotype, (T-helper 1 cytokines (IL-2, IL-1β) in PKR1(-/−)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKR2(-/−) and PKR2(-/−)</td>
<td>Strong reduction in nociception induced by thermal and chemical stimuli, capsaicin, but no difference in inflammatory response to capsaicin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKR2(-/−) and PKR2(-/−)</td>
<td>Loss of macrophage migration, proinflammatory phenotype, (T-helper 1 cytokines (IL-2, IL-1β) in PKR2(-/−)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low survival rate of pups in PKR2(-/−) mice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1. Prokineticin Receptor Ligands: Agonists

A similar approach of Balboni et al. (Balboni et al. 2008) was used to develop a series of dehydroamides as agonists of PKR1 (Gasser et al., 2015). PKR1 sequence alignment
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and protein folding using “CPHmodels” software tools were used to identify the best template crystal structure, which was the turkey β1 adrenergic receptor (Protein Data Bank ID: 2VT4). Whereas previous homology studies examined traditional structure-based design, a deep-learning method based on recurrent neural network and Monte Carlo Tree Search was used to generate a new set of putative PKR1 agonists (Karpov, 2022) (Fig. 4). These studies were based on recurrent neural networks, which were pre-trained on the ChEMBL database (Mendez et al., 2019) as described elsewhere (Xia et al., 2020). Among the putative hits, a series of dehydroamides, such as IS20 (Fig. 3), were found to act as selective, biased PKR1 agonists that bind the Arg144, Asn141, Gly219, and Phe300 in the allosteric pocket of PKR1 (Gasser et al., 2015). IS20 shows potent cardioprotective effects in mouse models of heart failure and doxorubicin (DOX)-induced cardiotoxicity (Gasser et al., 2015, 2019). It also shows potent neuroprotective effects in a model of Parkinson’s disease (PD) (Neal et al., 2018).

II. Role of the Prokineticins in Organ Development

Both PKR1 and PKR2 receptors are expressed in cardiovascular renal and neuronal tissues. PKR1 express in epicardial progenitor cells (EPDCs), coronary endothelial cells, cardiac fibroblasts, and cardiomyocytes (Urayama et al., 2007). PKR1 expression has been found in the glomerular epithelial cells (podocytes) and ECs, mesenchymal cells, more specifically in cap mesenchyme (nephron progenitors) during embryogenesis and tubular cells in the adult kidney (Arora et al., 2016b). PKR1 appears to be involved in heart and kidney development, while PKR2 is implicated in the development of olfactory bulb (OB).

A. Role of Prokineticin in Heart Development

Congenital heart defects are the principal birth defects that can lead to a variety of congenital diseases in adulthood. The epicardium, composed of heterogeneous epithelial cells, covers the heart and plays a key role in cardiac development and regeneration. It has been implicated in potential repair strategies for the heart. The epicardium undergoes epicardial mesenchymal transformation to form EPDCs. EPDCs can differentiate into many cardiac cells (ECs, pericytes, smooth muscle cells) and play an important role in myocardium maturation. Previous studies have shown that epicardial-PKR1 signaling plays a role in cardiac development, and that a defect in epicardial signaling due to a deficiency of PKR1 in the epicardium leads to forms of congenital heart disease in the adult heart (Boulberdaa et al., 2011). More specifically, genetic inactivation of PKR1 specifically in the epicardium of mice, using epicardial specific such as Wilms tumor 1 (WT1 GFFpcre) and Gata4cre mice, impairs epicardial mesenchymal transformation in the heart (Arora et al., 2016a). These mice showed inadequate development of coronary vascular networks and impaired interactions between EPDCs-cardiomyocytes, resulting in impaired cardiomyocyte proliferation and cardiac arrhythmia. All these abnormalities in cardiac development lead to partial embryonic and postnatal mortality (Arora et al., 2016a) as seen in the total PKR1-knockout (PKR1(−/−)) (Boulberdaa...
et al., 2011). The impairment of the coronary vascular network in epicardial PKR1-deficient mice (PKR1/−/−) is due to impaired differentiation of EPDCs into vasculogenic cell types. However, the reduced cardiomyocyte proliferation and contractile deficits result from abnormal release of epicardial paracrine factors (miRNAs) in the mutant hearts (unpublished observations). Lipid deposition in the mutant hearts is due to the lack of control of EPDCs’ differentiation into adipocytes as observed in vitro (Qureshi et al., 2018). Survival rates of adult mice were reduced by 80% after coronary ligation as a myocardial mouse model, suggesting that the mortality in these mice after myocardial infarction was due to congenital cardiac dysfunction.

B. Role of Prokineticin in Kidney Development

During kidney development, nephrogenesis and glomerulogenesis are important events. The mesenchymal cells form pretubular aggregates and undergo mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) to form nephrons during nephrogenesis. Nephrons are the filtering units of the kidney. However, glomerulogenesis occurs via angiogenesis and metanephric vasculogenesis through the differentiation of progenitor cells. The number of nephrons plays an important role in renal function, and any defect in nephron development can lead to hypertension problems later in life.

Studies in mice have shown that the PK2/PKR1 signaling pathway is essential for kidney development. Using two cre transgenic lines (Gata5 and WT1), ablation of PKR1 specifically in nephron progenitors caused partial embryonic and postnatal lethality because of a lack of MET in WT1−/− renal mesenchymal cells. The defective MET leads to impaired proliferation and increased apoptosis in WT1−/− renal mesenchymal cells, resulting in hypoplastic kidneys with premature glomeruli and necrotic nephrons. Moreover, PKR1 activation in cultured WT1−/− embryonic kidney progenitor cells promote MET and accumulation of NFATc3 in the nucleus. Both events were alleviated by an NFATc3 inhibitor and siRNA for PKR1-mediated MET process in cultured WT1−/− embryonic kidney cells, suggesting that PKR1 promotes the MET process via NFATc3 signaling. Similarly, PKR1(+/−) mice exhibited neonatal kidney disorders (Boulberdaa et al., 2011). Disruption of capillary angiogenesis and severe tubular defect were observed in endothelial-specific PKR1-knockout (ec-PKR1(−/−)) mice (Dormishian et al., 2013).
Neurogenesis begins when neuronal progenitor and stem cells begin differentiating division, resulting in the formation of neurons and glia in the cortical layers. Neurogenesis occurs in the embryonic to early postnatal stages. In the adult mammalian brain, neurogenesis also occurs in two neural niches, the subgranular layer of the dentate gyrus (DG) and the OB throughout life and after stroke during the recovery process. Indeed, PKR1 expression was observed in the granular and periglomerular layers of the OB. PKR2, on the other hand, is expressed in the subventricular zone (SVZ), the entire rostral migratory stream (RMS), and the ependymal and subependymal layers of the olfactory ventricle, where adult neurogenesis occurs (Cheng et al., 2006). In vertebrates, the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis controls reproduction through a pulsatile release of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) from the hypothalamus. GnRH-expressing neurons are formed in the olfactory placode which directs their migration to their final destination, the hypothalamus. GnRH reaches the anterior pituitary, binds to GnRH receptor 1, and induces secretion of the two pituitary gonadotropins, luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone, which ultimately stimulate steroid production and gametogenesis in both males and females (Plant, 2015).

Idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (IHH) is a rare congenital disorder of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis characterized by failure of gonadotropin secretion leading to delayed puberty and infertility. When congenital IHH is associated with anosmia, it is referred to as Kallmann syndrome (KS). Kallmann syndrome and IHH are genetically heterogeneous (Vezzoli et al., 2016). Like several GPCRs, PKR2 is also involved in GnRH neurons development and migration (Ng et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2011). Pkr2 and pk2 were identified as Kallmann genes by analyzing the phenotype of Pkr2/C0 or Pk2/C0 mice, which show many KS-like features. Pkr2/C0 or Pk2/C0 mice exhibit an OB that is reduced in size, has an altered architecture, and has an accumulation of neural progenitor cells (Ng et al., 2005), resulting in a reduced number of GnRH neurons in the hypothalamus. Lack of GnRH secretion is associated with low plasma levels of testosterone and follicle-stimulating hormone and impaired sexual development. Male Pkr2/C0 or Pk2/C0 mice show small testicular tubules with no lumen, Leydig cells with reduced interstitial space, and absent haploid spermatocytes and spermatids. Similarly, Pkr2/C0 or Pk2/C0 mice exhibit impaired estrous cycles due to the absence of mature follicles and corpora lutea (Matsumoto et al., 2006; Pitteloud et al., 2007).

The IHH phenotype is observed in mice only in the presence of homozygous pk2 mutations, whereas the KS phenotype also occurs in the presence of a heterozygous pk2 or pkr2 mutation, suggesting that additional mutations in disease-related genes may be involved in this case (Pitteloud et al., 2007; Cox et al., 2018). Pk2 and pkr2 mutations have also been observed in human patients with IHH and KS (Abreu et al., 2010). The severity of the phenotype of individuals with KS carrying pkr2 mutations in the heterozygous state is in most cases due to the synergistic effect of the pathogenic mutations. The pkr2 is involved in several digenic and trigenic associations such as PK2/PKR2, FGFR1/PKR2, PK2/GNRRH, and PKR2/CHD7/FEZF1 (Cole et al., 2008; Canto et al., 2009; Sarfati et al., 2010a,b; Mendoza et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2020).

Most Kallmann-pkr2 mutations localized in the highly conserved transmembrane domains alter the folding of receptor proteins retained by quality control system in the endoplasmic reticulum (Araki and Nagata, 2011). Some cell-permeable small antagonists/agonists have been described as molecules that can rescue the phenotype by interacting with intracellularly retained receptors to assist their folding and transport to the plasma membrane (Chen et al., 2014). Other mutations in the cytoplasmic domain of PKR2, which includes the first, second, and third ICL and the carboxyl tail, likely result in impaired G-protein coupling. Finally, pkr2-mutations in the extracellular domain impair ligand binding (Martin et al., 2011; Libri et al., 2014; Sbai et al., 2014).

The homozygous pkr2 founder mutation L173R is responsible for the infertility characteristic of KS. In contrast, patients with the heterozygous condition do not show infertility associated with GnRH deficiency, but exhibit selectively increased protection against Trypanosoma cruzi (T. cruzi) infection, which may explain the high occurrence of the heterozygous pkr2 L173R mutation in the human genome (Avbelj Stefanija et al., 2012; Lattanzi et al., 2021).

A paradoxical gain-of-function mutant of pkr2 was found in a patient with early puberty and designated TM1-5 because it lacks the last two transmembrane domains and the carboxyl-terminal tail. Analysis in cell culture showed that the TM1-5 mutant lacked signal transduction activity, but co-transfection of TM1-5 markedly increased ligand-induced signaling responses of cells expressing wild-type PKR2 (Sposini et al., 2015; Fukami et al., 2017). In 192 KS patients, Dodé et al. (Dodé et al., 2006) identified ten and four different point mutations in the genes encoding PKR2 and PK2, respectively. Interestingly, one patient carrying the p.R73C mutation in the pk2 gene suffered from severe sleep disturbance and marked obesity. Abreu et al. (Abreu et al., 2008) reported the case of three patients with KS who had mutations in the pkr2 gene (p.L173R or p.R268C mutations in the heterozygous state) and developed obesity without or with (in 1 of 3 patients) type 2 diabetes, chronic arterial hypertension, and hypertriglyceridemia. Recent studies have shown a loss of ~75% of GABAergic interneurons in OB of both PK2 and PKR2 mutant mice, indicating that PK2/PKR2 signaling is an
important player of survival and migration of GnRH neurons and is critical for tangential and radial migration of OB interneurons rather than affecting OB neurogenesis (Wen et al., 2019).

III. Role of the Prokineticins in Cardiovascular Diseases

The expression and function of PKRs differ depending on cardiovascular pathology. For example, low expression of the PKR1 was found in human transplant hearts with heart failure (HF) compared with control transplant hearts (Urayama et al., 2007). PKR2 is highly expressed in human hypertrophic cardiomyopathy transplant heart samples. Elevated levels of PK2 have been detected in human abdominal aortic aneurysms (Choke et al., 2010). Both PKR1 and PKR2 are expressed in cardiomyocytes, epicardial progenitor cells, ECs, and fibroblasts. Indeed, the signaling pathways mediated by PKR1 and PKR2 act in opposite directions in cardiac cells (Nebigil, 2009; Désaubry et al., 2020) (Fig. 5). In mice, PK2/PKR1 signaling protects the heart from myocardial ischemia (Urayama et al., 2007), anticancer-mediated cardiotoxicity (Gasser et al., 2019), and diabetic-cardiomyopathy. PK2/PKR1 signaling activates proliferation, migration, and differentiation of epicardial progenitor cells (Urayama et al., 2008). However, PKR2 has been implicated in transition from hypertrophic cardiomyopathy to heart failure (Urayama et al., 2009). Expression of PK2 and its receptors increases after coronary ligation in mouse models of myocardial infarction (Nguyen et al., 2013). However, PKR1 expression rapidly decreases when apoptosis occurs, and PKR2 levels remain elevated (Urayama et al., 2007), suggesting that increased PK2/PKR1 expression reflects the initial compensatory remodeling, whereas increased PKR2 expression represents mal-adaptive remodeling in the injured heart. Targeting PKRs may be an important approach for the treatment of various types of cardiovascular diseases.

A. Prokineticin Receptor 1 Signaling in Myocardial Infarct-Induced Heart Failure

Myocardial infarction (MI) is the most common cause of HF leading to morbidity and mortality worldwide, despite the development of numerous therapeutic agents to treat HF. Expression of the entire PK system is increased three days after coronary ligation in a mouse model of MI (Nguyen et al., 2013). Only PKR1 levels declined rapidly after the compensatory remodeling phase of MI. Moreover, intracardiac pkr1 gene transfer after coronary ligation in a mouse model of MI reduces mortality and improves cardiovascular function by promoting angiogenesis and cardiomyocyte survival and increasing proliferation and mobilization of resident EPDCs toward the injured area (Urayama et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2013). Intraperitoneal administration of a PKR1 agonist, IS20, activates the AKT survival pathway in the heart. Moreover, IS20 treatment of mice with MI reduces mortality and improves cardiac function, which has a similar effect to intracardiac pkr1 gene transfer. Indeed, IS20 reduces apoptosis in cardiac cells, provokes proliferation of EPDCs, and increases capillary formation (Gasser et al., 2015).

B. Prokineticin Receptor 1 Signaling in Anticancer Drug-Mediated Heart Failure

Cardiotoxicity induced by anticancer drugs such as anthracyclines, targeted therapies, and immune checkpoint inhibitors causes ischemia, arrhythmia, hypotension, myocarditis, and cardiac dysfunction leading to HF. In mouse models of cardiotoxicity induced by the anthracycline doxorubicin (DOX), IS20 attenuates apoptosis and fibrosis and improves survival and cardiac function. Importantly, IS20 does not interfere with the antitumor effect of DOX in a mouse model of breast cancer (Gasser et al., 2019). In vitro activation or overexpression of PKR1 in cardiomyocytes activates AKT through phosphorylation to protect cardiomyocytes, EPDCs, and ECs from hypoxic insult (Guilini et al., 2010; Arora et al., 2016a) as well as DOX-mediated apoptosis (Gasser et al., 2019). DOX at high concentrations accumulates reactive oxygen species in cardiomyocytes, which are attenuated by IS20. The mechanism by which the detoxification pathway is activated is translocation of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) to the nucleus, which increases expression of the detoxification gene.

In cardiac ECs, activation or overexpression of PKR1 induces ECs proliferation, migration, and branching to...
promote angiogenesis via Gx11-mediated regulation of both MAPK and AKT activity. Consistent with these findings, endothelial-specific PKR1(−/−) mice exhibit capillary rarefaction, apoptosis, and interstitial fibrosis, leading to cardiac and renal dysfunction. Indeed, these mice showed abnormal cardiac and renal insulin signaling, leading to ectopic lipid deposition (Dormishian et al., 2013).

C. Prokinetics/Prokineticin Receptor 2 Signaling in Development of Pathologic Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

Chronic overload of the heart caused by hypertension leads to pathologic hypertrophic growth of the myocardium and endotheliopathies such as vasoconstriction. It is not fully understood why there is a mismatch between the excessive energy demand of the myocardium during hypertrophic growth and angiogenesis in these pathologic events. Pathologic hypertrophy induced by transaortic constriction in mice increases the expression of PKR2 in their cardiomyocytes (Demir et al., 2021). Sustained activation of PKR2 activates the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 5 (ERK5) pathway to induce hypertrophy in cardiomyocytes. However, activation of the PKR2/Gx12/13/matrix metalloprotease pathway in cardiomyocytes leads to the release of activin A and soluble endoglin, which act as paracrine factors and induce endotheliopathies (vascular rarefaction) (Demir et al., 2021). Similarly, transgenic mice overexpressing PKR2 in their cardiomyocytes (TG-PKR2) showed hypertrophy and impaired endothelial integrity related to paracrine regulation (Urayama et al., 2009). Overall, pressure overload-mediated maintenance of PKR2 signaling in cardiomyocytes contributes to cardiac hypertrophy via autocrine signaling and to vascular rarefaction via cardiac cytokine-mediated communication between cardiomyocytes and ECs (Guilini et al., 2010; Alfaidy et al., 2019). In ECs cultured in vitro, activation of PKR2, unlike PKR1, does not induce angiogenesis but couples to Gx12-13-mediated degradation of zonula occludens one, a cell-cell adhesion molecule, at tight junctions and forms a fenestration of ECs. PKR1 expression is dominant in the physiologic state, whereas PKR2 becomes dominant upon pathologic stimuli (Guilini et al., 2010; Nebigil, 2016).

D. Prokineticin Receptor 1 Signaling Controls Fate of Adult Cardiac Fibroblast Progenitor Cells (Tcf21+ CFP)

Transcription factor 21 (Tcf21) is expressed in mesenchymal tissues, including the epicardium, and plays a key role in cellular differentiation. Tcf21+ CFPs originate in the embryonic epicardium and continue to be expressed in quiescent adult CFPs with the promising potential for repairing injured heart. They localize to the epicardium, perivascular or interstitial areas, depending on the type of cardiac injury (Acharya et al., 2012). PK2 regulates the fate of adult tcf21+ CFPs. It promotes vasculogenic transformation of tcf21+ CFPs and inhibits their differentiation into adipocytes (Qureshi et al., 2017). Moreover, tcf21TM CFPs-restricted inducible knockout mice (tcf21-PKR1(−/−)) on a high-fat diet exhibit high levels of fat deposition in the pericardium, atrioventricular groove, and perivascular area, along with disrupted vascular networks, leading to impaired cardiac function (Qureshi et al., 2017).

PKR1 signaling also regulates EPDC activity in a paracrine manner. Overexpression of the pkr1 gene in transgenic mice heart does not cause structural and functional abnormalities in cardiomyocytes. In fact, increased PK2 production in their cardiomyocytes acts as a paracrine factor that promotes EPDC differentiation into endothelial and smooth muscle cells for neovascularization (Urayama et al., 2008).

IV. Role of the Prokinetics in Diabetes

Diabetes as a chronic metabolic disease can cause severe complications to the heart, eyes, kidneys, blood vessels and nerves due to high blood glucose levels. Type II diabetes, which is common in adults, is due to either insulin resistance or insufficient insulin production. The prokineticin/PKR1 pathway is involved in the transcapillary transport of insulin and protects various organs from the severe damage caused by diabetes. PKR1 agonists could therefore be potential agents for the treatment of co-morbidities of diabetes.

A. Prokineticin 2 Levels in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome

To date, two studies have been conducted in adult patients to investigate the association between PK2 and metabolic syndrome. Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2016) measured serum PK2 levels in 162 middle-aged and elderly Chinese patients with cardiovascular risk factors. They found a positive correlation with several cardiometabolic risk factors, including blood lipids, fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), and uric acid. However, multiple logistic regression analysis showed that PK2 was independently associated with metabolic syndrome.

Mortreux et al. (Mortreux et al., 2019) demonstrated that plasma PK2 was lower in participants with diabetes mellitus type 2 compared with nondiabetics in the D.E.S.I.R. cohort (Data from an Epidemiologic Study on the Insulin-Resistance syndrome), but this association disappeared after adjustment for BMI and/or caloric intake. In univariate regression studies, they showed that PK2 was significantly inversely associated with BMI, waist circumference, fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. In a multivariable model, BMI, energy intake, and plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol remained associated with PK2 levels.
**B. Prokineticin Receptor 1 in Insulin Resistance**

In blood vessels, the transcapillary passage of insulin from ECs to skeletal muscle is rate-limiting for the control of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (Kubota et al., 2011). The impaired process of insulin delivery from ECs is a crucial step for the development of insulin resistance. Overexpression of PKR1 in ECs promotes not only angiogenesis but also transendothelial uptake of insulin, suggesting that PKR1 is a positive regulator of insulin uptake (Von Hunolstein and Nebigil, 2015). Interestingly, EC-specific PKR1 knockout mice (EC-PKR1(−/−)) show impaired capillary formation, low transcapillary insulin uptake, glucose and insulin sensitivity, resulting in polyphagia, polydipsia, and polyuria. EC-PKR1(−/−) mice have loss of adipose tissue with macrophage infiltration and fibrosis, resulting in severe lipodystrophy (Dormishian et al., 2013). This insulin resistance in EC-PKR1(−/−) could be rescued by pkr1 gene transfection with an adenovirus carrying PKR1 cDNA. The EC-PKR1(−/−) phenotype is reminiscent of peripheral insulin resistance, as human patients with type 2 diabetes exhibit impaired insulin secretion and endothelial dysfunction (Kolka and Bergman, 2013).

**C. Prokineticin 2/Prokineticin Receptor 1 Pathway in Diabetes-Induced Cardiomyopathy**

Diabetic cardiomyopathy is characterized by the structural, functional, and metabolic changes in the heart associated with diabetes, which leads to HF. Recently, studies in vitro and in vivo have shown that a drug used to treat type 2 diabetes, metformin, exerts a cardioprotective effect via the PK2/PKR1 pathway (Yang et al., 2020). Interestingly, the expression of PK2, PKR1, and PKR2 is reduced in diabetic mice. Accordingly, the low phosphorylated active form of Akt and glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta was attenuated by metformin treatment in these mice. Moreover, high glucose-mediated cardiomyocyte injury is protected by metformin or PK2 treatment, which can be reversed by a PKR1 antagonist (PC7) or an AKT inhibitor, indicating that metformin exerts a cardioprotective effect via activation of PKR1 pathway. Whether PKR1 agonists protect the heart from diabetes-associated cardiac remodeling remains to be investigated.

**D. Role of Prokineticin Receptor 1 in Diabetes-Mediated Skeletal Muscle Dysfunction**

Diabetes mellitus type 2 can also be caused by insulin resistance in skeletal muscle. The PKR1 pathway is involved in the regulation of metabolic function in murine myoblasts, satellite cells, and their differentiated myotubes via Gq-mediated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT and MAPK/ERK signaling pathways. PKR1 promotes the translocation of glucose transporter 4 to the plasma membrane of skeletal muscle cells. In the model of palmitate-induced insulin resistance in myotubes, PKR1 increases insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and glucose transporter 4 translocation. Low levels of PKR1 were detected in obese mice induced to become obese by a high-fat diet and in human skeletal muscle cell-derived myotubes under conditions of insulin resistance. Taken together, these results suggest that PKR1 plays a key role in insulin sensitivity and may be a potential therapeutic target to improve skeletal muscle function in insulin resistance conditions (Mok et al., 2021).

**E. Role of Prokineticin Receptor 1 in Diabetes-Mediated Renal, Neuronal, and Testicular Dysfunction**

Diabetic nephropathy is characterized by severe glomerular and tubular damage, fibrosis, increased glomerular mesangial matrix, thickened basement membrane, exfoliated renal tubule brush border, and massive loss of podocytes. Proinflammatory and pro-fibrotic signaling pathways in glomerular and renal tubular cells lead to diabetic nephropathy. In db/db mice, low expression of PK2 and PKR1 is associated with low levels of phosphorylated Akt signaling. However, levels of PKR2 and phosphorylated ERK did not change significantly. A recent study showed that geniposide, a bioactive compound found in a variety of medicinal herbs such as *Gardenia jasminoides*, ameliorated renal damage in db/db mice with diabetic nephropathy, similar to WT1-PKR1(−/−) mice renal defects such as high glomerular and tubular injury, decreased WT1 in glomerular podocytes, and massive loss of podocytes (Arora et al., 2016b). The PK2/PKR1 pathway is involved in the protective effects of geniposide. Geniposide increases the expression levels of PK2, PKR1, and active Akt. It has been shown to be effective in the clinical treatment of diabetic nephropathy (Dai et al., 2022).

Matrine (Mat) is an active antidiabetic, cardioprotective, and neuroprotective component of *Sophora flavescens* ait root extracts. Mat administration increased protein expressions of PK2, PKR1, and PKR2 in the hippocampus, which decreased significantly in diabetic mice. In addition, Mat could also improve the diabetes-induced impairment of spatial learning and memory by alleviating endoplasmic reticulum stress and, in part, modulating PK2/PKR signaling (Zhang et al., 2022a).

Matrine (Mat) is an active antidiabetic, cardioprotective, and neuroprotective component of *Sophora flavescens* ait root extracts. Mat administration increased protein expressions of PK2, PKR1, and PKR2 in the hippocampus, which decreased significantly in diabetic mice. In addition, Mat could also improve the diabetes-induced impairment of spatial learning and memory by alleviating endoplasmic reticulum stress and, in part, modulating PK2/PKR signaling (Zhang et al., 2022a).

More than 85% of male patients with diabetes develop testicular dysfunction. In streptozotocin-mediated mouse models of type 1 diabetes, reproductive capacity was found to be significantly impaired due to testicular dysfunction. This testicular dysfunction was ameliorated by metformin administration via the PK2/PKR pathway. The reduction of p-Akt and p-glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta in diabetes-induced testicular damage was normalized by metformin via the PK2/PKR1 pathway by attenuating apoptosis and inducing autophagy (Liu et al., 2019).
V. Prokineticins in Obesity and Visceral Adipose Tissue Growth

Obesity, defined as excessive adiposity, increases the risk of comorbidities such as heart disease, stroke, insulin resistance, diabetes, autoimmune diseases, and some cancers. The etiology of obesity is not fully understood, but there are several possible mechanisms that may be involved in the development of obesity, such as food intake and energy balance, pathologic enlargement of fat cells, inflammation of adipose tissue, insulin resistance, and epigenetic changes. Prokineticin signaling is involved in the control of food intake and energy expenditure in the CNS and suppresses the excessive development of adipose tissue. Thus, PKR1 agonists may be potential agents for the treatment of obesity (Fig. 6).

A. Prokineticin Levels in Obese Patients

Recently, Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2021) investigated the association between PK2 and childhood obesity. They demonstrated that children with obesity had significantly elevated fasting serum PK2 levels compared with age-matched healthy children. This positive correlation between serum PK2 levels and BMI was demonstrated in obese children with and without nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, which is a common and serious complication of childhood obesity. Although obesity is generally associated with a chronic low-level inflammatory state and numerous studies in rodents have demonstrated the role of PK2 in the inflammatory process, there was no correlation between PK2 and IL-6, tumor necrosis factor alpha, and white blood cell and neutrophil counts in this cohort study. Finally, circulating PK2 levels were found to correlate positively with homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance in the entire cohort.

Interestingly, the obese children did not exhibit significant abnormalities in sleep and circadian rhythms, suggesting that high circulating PK2 levels are not mainly caused by a disturbed circadian rhythm. These data may be related to another study in patients with a complete loss-of-function mutation in the \textit{pk2} gene (Balasubramanian et al., 2014). In these patients, no abnormalities were observed in circadian phase markers (e.g., melatonin, cortisol, and core body temperature), at the expense of their psychomotor vigilance performance. Although limited by small sample size, this study suggests that the activity of the central circadian pacemaker is intact despite the inactivity of PK2, suggesting that the regulation of circadian phenotype is not matched in humans and mice.

All these human studies on the relationship between PK2 and obesity lead to quite heterogeneous results and conclusions. The discrepancies may be related to the relatively small sample size of the cohorts, the different clinical characteristics of the different study cohorts (including age and sex distribution), the medical complications of the participants, the differences in the measurement of PK2 levels, etc. This implies that future studies with larger sample sizes detecting PK2 under different conditions and in different populations are needed. Either way, all these data suggest that PK2 or its receptors may be a therapeutic target for the treatment of obesity and related diseases.

B. Regulation of Prokineticin Signaling in Neuronal Basis of Obesity

The etiology of obesity is a complex process of dysregulation between food intake, energy expenditure, and energy stores which can be observed at both central and peripheral levels (Hill et al., 2012). In the CNS, the nucleus arcuate of the hypothalamus regulates food intake by balancing two neuronal circuits that secrete peptides with two opposite functions: an anorexigenic one triggered by pro-opiomelanocortin and the cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript, and an orexigenic one triggered by neuropeptide Y (NPY) and the Agouti-related peptide. It is dysregulation in this system that increases or decreases food intake (Sohn, 2015). Food intake is affected not only by changes at the level of the hypothalamus, but also by changes at the level of adipose tissue (Würfel et al., 2022).

Negri et al. (Negri et al., 2004) were the first to study how injection of Bv8/PK2 into different brain regions can affect intake behaviors. They found that intracerebroventricular injection of Bv8/PK2 in rats reduced food intake but stimulated drinking. However, injection of Bv8/PK2 into the arcuate of the hypothalamus selectively suppressed eating but not drinking. In contrast, injection of Bv8/PK2 into the subfornical organ stimulated drinking but not eating.
Gardiner et al. (2010) have reported that in rodents, intracerebroventricular administration of Bv8/PK2 leads to an anorectic effect that is abolished by PK2 antibodies. PK2, even when administered to rodents via the peripheral route, leads to a regulation of food intake that acts via the brainstem and depends on activation of PKR1 (Gardiner et al., 2010; Beale et al., 2013). Indeed, PK2 administered intraperitoneally increases immunoreactivity in the dorsal motor vagus nucleus of the brainstem and induces anorexia in wild-type and PKR2(+/−) mice, but not in PKR1(−/−) or in wild-type mice treated with PC1, a PKR1-prefering antagonist (Negri et al., 2004; Beale et al., 2013).

Moreover, the PK2-mediated anorectic effect is partially dependent on arcuate of the hypothalamus activation of the melanocortin system. Indeed, PK2 induces c-fos immunoreactivity in pro-opiomelanocortin -expressing neurons. In hypothalamic explants, PK2 stimulates the release of alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone, a pro-opiomelanocortin-derived anorexigenic peptide that binds to the melanocortin-4 receptor and plays an important role in recognizing the balance between orexigenic Agutí-related peptide and anorexigenic melanocortin signaling and regulating feeding behavior. In contrast, simultaneous intracerebroventricular administration of PK2 with Agutí-related peptide, an orexigenic peptide, significantly reduced the anorectic effect of PK2 (Gardiner et al., 2010). The anorectic effect of intracerebroventricular administration of PK2-mediated anorectic effect is even more pronounced in melanocortin-4 receptor(−/−) mice (Chaly et al., 2016).

Melanocortin receptor accessory protein 2 (MRAP2), a regulator of energy homeostasis, enhances melanocortin-4 receptor signaling such that loss-of-function mutations in the Mrap2 gene are associated with obesity and hyperglycemia (Asai et al., 2013; Baron et al., 2019). MRAP2 and PKR1 co-localize in neurons. Moreover, MRAP2 inhibits the PKR1 cell surface trafficking via its C-terminal region (Rouault et al., 2017), acts as a suppressor of PKR1 signaling, and promotes food intake and weight gain, which can be reduced by activation of PKR1 (Chaly et al., 2016). MRAP2 C-terminal region also binds to the N-terminal region of PKR2, preventing glycosilation and transport on the cell surface (Verdinez and Sebag, 2021; Fullone et al., 2022a,b).

In vivo hypothalamic explants, PK2 reduces MRAP2 expression. In adipocytes of PKR1(−/−) mice, which serve as models of obesity, MRAP2 expression is markedly increased (Fullone et al., 2022b).

Chronic administration of PK2 leads not only to a decrease in food intake but also to a decrease in body weight in lean and obese mice (Beale et al., 2013), in part due to the release of alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone via activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) and ERK (Gardiner et al., 2010; Beale et al., 2013; Maftei et al., 2021). Conversely, PK2β does not induce STAT3 and ERK phosphorylation and, when injected intraperitoneally into mice, does not reduce food intake, likely because it cannot activate STAT3, a transcription factor whose dysregulation leads to obesity (Jiang et al., 2013a; Maftei et al., 2021).

C. Regulation of Energy Expenditure in Olfactory Bulb by Prokineticin System

The OBs help coordinate food selection and intake, and because they express high levels of PK2, which is involved in OB neurogenesis (Ng et al., 2005), they represent another important central area involved in food intake. PK2 injected into the OB of mice has an anorectic effect, whereas PK2 short hairpin RNA injected into the OB causes dysregulation of feeding behavior. In addition, OB shows a decrease in PK2L and PKR1 levels in fed mice compared with fasting mice. PK2L is cleaved into PK2β leading to the hypothesis that, at least at OB, this is the major PK2 isoform that regulates food intake by binding to PKR1 (Mortreux et al., 2019).

D. Role of Prokineticin 2 in Torpor and Temperature Regulation

The neurons of the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) receive a variety of inputs from different areas of the brain, integrate them, and transmit various outputs through different neurotransmitters and pathways involved in controlling stress, metabolism, growth, reproduction, immune system, and autonomic functions in the gastrointestinal, renal, and cardiovascular systems. The PVN also controls the central regulation of food intake and heat production. PK2 and PKR2 are expressed primarily in the PVN of the hypothalamus. Fasting increases PK2 expression in the PVN, which is decreased to undetectable baseline levels upon resumption of food intake (Zhou et al., 2012). PK2(−/−) mice respond to fasting with torpor, a compensatory mechanism for negative energy balance. This transient hypometabolic state is characterized by lower energy expenditure and weight loss in PK2(−/−) mice than in wild-type littermates. Moreover, in contrast to wild-type mice, no appreciable increase in arousal is observed throughout the fasting period. While daily ingestion of a limited amount of food during fasting rescued the body weight loss and hypothermic phenotype in wild-type mice, this was not the case in PK2(−/−) mice, which appeared unable to use food to compensate for body weight loss. It is suggested that increased expression of PK2 in the PVN during fasting may be a way to trigger physiologic responses, such as activation of the sympathetic nervous system, stimulation of locomotor activity, prolonged periods of wakefulness, and utilization of the body’s energy stores. However, when PK2 expression is measured throughout the hypothalamus, it is not an increase but a
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period of food deprivation had resulted in greater reli-
ance on catabolism of fat reserves in the mutant mice. 
Surprisingly, food intake was reduced in the PK2R(−/−) 
mice compared with their control littermates which was 
unexpected given the known anorectic role of PK2, but 
their body weight and abdominal fat depots were similar 
to their intact littermates. The author suggested that 
loss of PK2 signaling primarily leads to reduced food 
take and likely also to an attenuation of circadian 
rhythms, so that compensatory strategies such as torpor 
entry are used to maintain energy expenditure.

E. Role of Prokineticin on Controlling Excessive Fat 
Formation, Insulin Resistance, and Epigenetic 
Regulation

The PK signaling suppresses the growth of visceral 
and subcutaneous adipose tissue. PK2 is released 
from adipose tissue and binds to PKR1, the receptor 
subtype expressed mainly in adipocytes (Soga et al., 
2002), reducing adipose tissue growth (Szatkowski et 
al., 2013; Qureshi et al., 2017). Moreover, low PKR1 
transcript has been found in obese patients visceral 
and subcutaneous tissues, demonstration of a key role 
of PKR1 in adipose tissue growth.

In vitro, PK2 suppresses mouse preadipocyte prolifera-
tion and their differentiation into adipocytes via PKR1 
signaling, as observed in the human preadipocyte cell 
strain Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome 10 days after 
the adipogenic stimuli. Accordingly, adipose tissue-specific 
PKR1(−/−) (ad-PKR1(−/−)) mice exhibit abnormally ex-
cessive accumulation of abdominal fat without altering in 
the glucose or insulin tolerance tests (Szatkowski et al., 
2013). Both PKR1(−/−) and ad-PKR1(−/−) mice suffer 
from obesity as a result of adipocyte hyperplasia and mac-
rophage infiltration in their adipose tissue. Interestingly, 
only PKR1(−/−), but not ad-PKR1(−/−) mice, exhibit 
insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes, suggesting that 
onadipocyte incidents may contribute to the occurrence of 
the diabetes-like syndrome in PKR1(−/−) mice. Despite 
the increased body weight, PKR1(−/−) mice did not have 
increased food intake at 40 weeks and their body weight 
and visceral adipose tissue mass did not increase further 
on the high fat diet. The increase in fat mass in PKR1(−/−) 
on the normal diet is associated with chronic low-level 

VI. Role of Prokineticin System in Neuronal 
Injury and Neurodegenerative Diseases

Acute brain damage caused by trauma or stroke 
and chronic neurodegeneration are diseases that have 
different cellular and molecular mechanisms of initial 
cell death. However, they often share common out-
comes, such as neuroinflammation and sequelae of 
varying degrees, depending on the extent of neuronal 
depth. Although the complete picture from initial neu-
ronal death to glial scar formation and other long-
term responses has not been fully elucidated, several 
molecules, such as PK2, are recognized as relevant to 
these processes. Reactive oxygen species, hypoxia, and 
glutamate increase PK2 mRNA expression in mouse 
neurons, spinal cord, and astrocytes, but not in micro-
glia (Cheng et al., 2012; Guida et al., 2015). PKR2 is 
mainly expressed in neurons, whereas PKR1 is mainly
expressed in microglia. PK2 expression after traumatic brain injury (TBI) is more affected than PK1 expression in four brain regions: parietal neocortex, hippocampus, inferior parietal lobule, and neocortex of the posterior superior temporal gyrus (Mundim et al., 2019). PK2 and PKR1 also play important roles in several neurologic diseases, including TBI (Mundim et al., 2019; Bao et al., 2021) and PD (Neal et al., 2018), affecting both the central and peripheral nervous systems. PK2 and PKR2 are upregulated in neurologic diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease (Lattanzi et al., 2019a) and ischemic stroke (Cheng et al., 2012). Preclinical models have shown that PK2 has a dual effect, acting as a neuroprotective molecule by stimulating neuroblast migration and modulating astrocyte activation, which can initiate a potential regeneration program (Ayari et al., 2010), or by increasing neuronal death through the accumulation of β-amyloid and contributing to neurotoxicity. Whether different PK2 receptors are involved in the beneficial or deleterious effects of PK2 in the CNS remains to be elucidated.

A. The Prokineticin System in Traumatic Brain Injury

TBI is the most common cause of death in trauma patients. It is characterized by persistent blood-brain barrier dysfunction and neuroinflammation that ultimately leads to cell death. In the adult mammalian brain, two neurogenic regions modulate physiologic functions: the subgranular zone of the dentate gyrus in the hippocampus and the SVZ, which produces neuroblasts that migrate to OBs via the RMS. Indeed, in TBI, proliferating cells mobilize from the SVZ into the injured cortex. However, the extent of the lack of change in RMS migration depends on the type of brain injury. In the mouse model with traumatic cortical injury, high expression of PK2 was detected in microglia. However, no PK2 level was found in reactive astrocytes, immature neurons, and leukocytes (Mundim et al., 2019), confirming that intact cortex does not express PK2. High levels of PK2 were found in astrocytes and neurons in the glutamatergic (Cheng et al., 2012) and amyloid-beta-induced toxicity models (Severini et al., 2015), in which a PKR antagonist has beneficial effects (Caioli et al., 2017; Maffei et al., 2019). Indeed, PKR antagonism was detrimental in TBI because it inhibited SVT-derived neuroblast migration (Mundim et al., 2019). In the other study, migration of cells from neurospheres or SVZ occurred when neurospheres were co-cultured with PK2-expressing cells. These experiments demonstrated that PK2 plays a key role in the recruitment of SVZ cells to injured areas, which is an important compensatory restructuring in repair. Interestingly, PK2 upregulation in an injury model of zebrafish is associated with increased proliferation and migration of neuroprogenitors toward the injury site, indicating it may have a key role to induce a potential regenerative program (Ayari et al., 2010).

Another mechanism involved in neuroprotective pathway of PK2 is the inhibition of ferroptosis, a cell death program. Ferroptosis has been linked to the pathogenesis of various acute neuronal injuries, such as stroke and TBI (Xie et al., 2019) and chronic neurodegenerative diseases such as PD (Guiney et al., 2017) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Aytton et al., 2015).

Ferroptosis is characterized by the accumulation of phospholipid hydroperoxides (e.g., HOO- arachidonoyl-PE) catalyzed by iron-dependent mechanisms. A key enzyme in the biosynthesis of arachidonic acid-PE is the acyl-CoA synthetase long-chain family member 4 (AcsL4), which contributes to the performance of ferroptosis (Stockwell et al., 2017). PK2 has been shown to increase levels of Fbxo10, a ubiquitin ligase that binds to AcsL4 and promotes ubiquitination and degradation of AcsL4. PK2 inhibits ferroptosis in TBI, preventing mitochondrial dysfunction and protecting neurons from TBI (Bao et al., 2021).

B. The Prokineticin System in Parkinson’s Disease

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder caused by loss of nigrostriatal dopaminergic innervation and the appearance of Lewy bodies with aggregated α-synuclein.

As a disulfide-rich secretory peptide highly expressed in OB and the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) (Ng et al., 2005), a new paradigm of compensatory protective function of PK2 signaling in response to neurotoxic stress has been documented in nigral dopaminergic neurons in experimental PD models (Gordon et al., 2016; Désaubry et al., 2020). PK2 expression in the adult mouse brain has been found to be either absent or sparse in the ventral midbrain, including the nigra (Cheng et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2009), but high levels of PK2 expression have been found in surviving nigral dopaminergic neurons from brains, olfactory neurons (ON) and serum from patients with PD (Schirinzi et al., 2021, 2022) and in mouse models of PD (Gordon et al., 2016). Treatment with PK2 or overexpression of recombinant PK2 protects dopaminergic neurons from Parkinsonian neurotoxin-induced oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and cell death, whereas antagonism of PKRs exacerbates dopaminergic degeneration in experimental PD (Gordon et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2019). Mechanistic studies revealed that activation of the survival signaling pathways ERK and AKT, as well as enhanced mitochondrial biogenesis, are involved in the potent neuroprotective effects mediated by PK2 (Schirinzi et al., 2021).

Recently, PK2 was shown to regulate a novel neuron-astrocyte signaling mechanism by promoting an alternative A2 protective phenotype in astrocytes (Neal et al., 2018). Astrocytes expressing high numbers of PKRs play an important role in postnatal neuroblast migration (Gengathan et al., 2016). However, their dysfunction leads to neuronal death or neuronal dysfunction (Liddelow and Barres, 2017; Rivetti di Val Cervo et al., 2017). PK2 treatment or overexpression in primary astrocyte cultures and mouse brain...
increases the A2 phenotype of astrocytes, which reduces excitotoxicity and promotes long-term neuronal survival by modulating mitochondrial energy metabolism and antioxidant pathway and reducing inflammatory factors (Becerra-Calixto and Cardona-Gómez, 2017; Neal et al., 2018).

In primary astrocyte cultures, treatment with IS20, a small molecule PKR1 agonist, mimics the neuroprotective effect of PK2 and favors the A2 astrocyte phenotype over the pro-inflammatory A1 phenotype in neurodegenerative diseases such as PD (Neal et al., 2018). These findings collectively suggest that PK2 is upregulated and secreted during neurotoxic insults in dopaminergic neurons. The released PK2 subsequently activates astrocyte cells to promote a compensatory neuroprotective response against inflammatory stress by providing trophic support. PK2 also mediates survival of dopaminergic neurons via an autocrine effect on PKRs by activating the ERK and AKT signaling pathways and promoting mitochondrial biogenesis (Fig. 7).

C. The Prokineticin System in Alzheimer’s Disease

Alzheimer’s disease (AD), the most common cause of dementia, is a neurodegenerative disease, characterized by the extracellular deposition of misfolded amyloid beta (Aβ) plaques and by intraneuronal tangles composed by the hyperphosphorylated tau protein (Karran et al., 2011).

Analysis of PK2 levels in human brain tissue samples collected postmortem from clinically well-documented and neuropathologically confirmed cases of AD revealed that PK2 expression levels in the hippocampus of AD patients are significantly higher than in cognitively normal controls. In addition, mean serum PK2 levels in AD patients are significantly higher than in controls, suggesting that blood PK2 is a potential biomarker for this pathology (Lattanzi et al., 2019b).

In a nontransgenic animal model of AD induced by intracerebroventricular administration of Aβ1-42, rats show consistent deficits in learning and long-term memory in parallel with a strong upregulation of the PK system in the cortex and hippocampus that occurs on the first day after Aβ infusion and persists for 35 days (Lattanzi et al., 2019b; Maftei et al., 2019). Notably, in the hippocampus, PK2 and PKR1 are overexpressed in both neurons and astrocytes, whereas PKR2 is overexpressed only in neurons (Maftei et al., 2019). Subchronic treatment with PC1 improves learning and memory performance of Aβ1-42-infused rats serving as control subjects by reducing overexpression of PK2/PKRs, decreasing Aβ1-42-induced activation of microglia and astrocytes, and restoring neurogenesis. In the hippocampus of Aβ1-42-infused rats, overexpression of the novel PKR2 splice variant, TM 4-7, occurs, and the expression ratio between TM4-7 and PKR2 increases with the progression of days after the Aβ1-42 insult (Lattanzi et al., 2019a).

The increase in PK2 was also observed in another AD animal model. In Tg2546 transgenic mice, PK2 levels are statistically higher than in controls at 6 and 20 months of age, a period when the disease is neuropathologically detectable. In the hippocampus of the same transgenic mice, PC1 prevents the reduction in long-term potentiation without altering basal synaptic transmission (Severini et al., 2015). Indeed, in vitro study has also demonstrated that Aβ1-42 in primary cortical cultures (CNs) increases...
PK2/PKR levels, and PC1, the PKR antagonist, protects CNs from \textit{Aβ}_{1-42}-induced neurotoxicity in a dose-dependent manner by reducing \textit{Aβ}_{1-42}-induced overexpression of PK2 (Severini et al., 2015). Moreover, incubation of CNs with Bv8 as well as with \textit{Aβ}_{1-42} leads to an increase in neuronal \textit{α}-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) currents that is prevented by PC1, demonstrating the involvement of PK2 in altering glutamatergic transmission in this model (Caioli et al., 2017). PK2 acts as a mediator of brain damage and plays a crucial role in \textit{Aβ}-induced neuronal death.

\textit{D. Role of Prokineticin 2 in Electroconvulsive Shock-Induced Memory Impairment}

Although electroconvulsive shock (ECS) has become the most effective treatment of depression (McClintock et al., 2014), its role in learning and memory impairments has been controversial. Recently, Chen et al. (Chen et al., 2023) demonstrated that acute ECS promotes the activation of A1 type astrocytes and the release of pro-inflammatory factors associated with spatial learning and memory function in rats. Indeed, the recovery phase of learning and memory function after ECS was facilitated by an increase in A2 subtype astrocytes, which was associated with an increase in the level of PK2 in astrocytes. A PKR2 antagonist, PKRA7, inhibits PK2-mediated activation of A2 subtype astrocytes, and attenuates the enhancement of spatial learning and memory function by PK2 in rats. PKRA7 did not alter the antidepressant effect of ECS, but it further exacerbates the synaptic defects caused by ECS. Moreover, PKRA7 administration in rat hippocampus increases the expression of postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95), indicating that PK2/PKR2 signaling promotes synaptic plasticity (Chen et al., 2023). This study indicates an acute compensatory role of PK2 in triggering synaptic plasticity, activating A2 astrocytes, and improving learning and memory function.

\textit{VII. Major Role of Prokineticin 2 in the Regulation of Circadian Cycles}

The circadian clock is located in the SCN, in the hypothalamus (Moore, 1997; El Cheikh Hussein et al., 2019; Rijo-Ferreira and Takahashi, 2019) and is a hierarchical temporal network with complex autoregulatory transcriptional and translational feedback loops consisting of both activating and repressive pathways. The circadian clock, controlled by the daily light-dark cycle, sends synchronization signals to cell autonomous oscillators in tissues influencing physical, mental, and behavioral changes, such as appetite, body temperature, and sleep. Abnormal circadian rhythms have been linked to the development of obesity, diabetes, depression, bipolar disorder, seasonal affective disorder, and insomnia (Zhou and Cheng, 2005; Patke et al., 2020). PK2 acts as an output molecule of the SCN: projections of PK2-expressing neurons from the SCN transmit circadian output signals to distant hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic regions, including the ventral lateral septum, medial preoptic area, subparaventricular zone, paraventricular nucleus, dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus, lateral hypothalamic area, and paraventricular thalamic nucleus (Cheng et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2009; Morris et al., 2021).

In the SCN, PK2 is highly expressed during the day, whereas its expression is low or undetectable at night and is regulated by a heterodimer of CLOCK and BMAL1 by binding to the \textit{pk2} promoter. In CLOCK- and BMAL1(-/-) mice, PK2 expression is abolished, suggesting that PK2 is mainly controlled by the endogenous circadian clock. Light can also induce PK2 expression independently of the circadian oscillator (Li et al., 2006), suggesting that the PK2/PKR2 system can synchronize SCN inputs and outputs. PK2 also controls its own circadian transcription (Cheng et al., 2002, 2005; Matsumoto et al., 2006). Genetic inactivation of \textit{pk2} in mice attenuates glucocorticoid signaling and body temperature rhythms (Li et al., 2006). Misexpression of PK2 disrupts behavioral rhythms (Li et al., 2018). In addition, PK2(-/-) mice show a lack of precise timing of nocturnal locomotor activity (Prosper et al., 2007; Jethwa et al., 2008). Transgenic mice overexpressing PK2 show disrupted behavioral circadian rhythms and desynchronized molecular rhythms within the suprachiasmatic clock (Li et al., 2018). PKR2(-/-) mice exhibited phenotypes similar to PK2(-/-) mice (Prosper et al., 2007), indicating involvement of PKR2 in regulation of circadian and molecular rhythms.

\textit{A. Role of Prokineticin 2 in Sleep-Awakes and Neurobehavioral Neuronal Networks}

In mammals, the duration and intensity of sleep are regulated by homeostatic processes, whereas the timing of sleep depends on circadian processes. PK2 expression in the SCN is rhythmic, preferentially absent at night and high during the day (Burton et al., 2015; Morris et al., 2021). PK2 suppresses GABAergic function to regulate circadian clock 2 (Per2). Antagonism or complete absence of PKR2 in mice reduces Per2 expression and favors GABAergic tone, reducing neuronal firing rate and output, weakening circadian coordination, and making the circadian system more susceptible to environmental perturbation (Li et al., 2006; Colwell, 2011). PK2- and PKR2-positive cells trigger circadian oscillations as pacemakers of the SCN and also tune their period and amplitude (Li et al., 2006). The firing rate of SCN neurons fluctuates in unison with the PK2 oscillation, likely due to the ability of PK2 to regulate the membrane translocation of TRPV2, an ion channel of the TRP family (Burton et al., 2015). Moreover, PK2(-/-) mice have increased rapid eye movement sleep during both the light and dark periods, although total sleep time decreases during the light period due to a reduction in non-rapid eye movement sleep time. These mice also
have decreased arousal triggered by novel environments and increased alertness (Hu et al., 2007).

Despite the direct link between PK2/PKR2 and circadian cycle alteration in mice, the role of the PK2 pathway in human circadian rhythmicity remains to be elucidated. In two PK2(−/−) subjects, Balasubramanian et al. (Balasubramanian et al., 2014) reported no changes in circadian phase markers but impaired psychomotor vigilance task, suggesting that PK2 plays a role only in relaying circadian timing information to some neurobehavioral neuronal networks without affecting the function of the central circadian pacemaker.

B. Major Role of Prokineticin 2 in Mood Regulation and Stress

Disruption of circadian rhythms affects mood regulation and stress response, which is associated with mood disorders. Kishi et al. (Kishi et al., 2009) found a significant association between PKR2 and major depression and bipolar disorder in the Japanese population. Following this study, they also discovered a significant association between PKR2 and methamphetamine dependence, suggesting that pkr2 is altered as common circadian clock gene in mood disorders and drug dependence (Kishi et al., 2010). Otherwise, PK2 does not appear to play a role in the pathophysiology of methamphetamine addiction (Kishi et al., 2011). Intracerebroventricular infusion of PK2 enhances anxiety- and depression-like behaviors that are completely absent in PK2(−/−) mice. PK2(−/−) mice also showed a lack of adaptation to the new environment with low locomotor activity and arousal, no changes in body temperature and food intake. In addition, these mice lapse into torpor after fasting (Zhou et al., 2012). Similarly, PKR2(−/−) mice show spontaneous torpor that is enhanced by fasting (Jethwa et al., 2008).

VIII. The Prokineticin System in Pain and Pleasure

PK2 modulates nociceptive response and pain via binding to its receptors, PKR1 and PKR2. In several models of inflammatory and neuropathic pain, the development and persistence of pain are directly related to increased PK2 expression in the periphery and CNS. Blocking the PK system with receptor antagonists leads to analgesic effects and reduction in inflammation. On the other hand, a key role of PK2/PKR2 in pleasant touch may prime the PK signaling as a potential analgesic, since pleasant touch can result in pain relief, and provide emotional and psychological support to alleviate social isolation and stress.

A. Nociceptive Pain

In rodents, local or systemic injections of very low doses of Bv8 lower the nociceptive threshold to thermal, mechanical, and chemical stimuli by activating both PKR1 and PKR2 in primary sensory neurons (Negri et al., 2002). Systemic Bv8-induced hyperalgesia shows a typical biphasic pattern consisting of a first phase due to direct activation of nociceptors and a second phase due to central sensitization by Bv8-induced increased expression of calcitonin gene-related peptide and substance P (De Felice et al., 2012).

The increased excitability of nociceptors is the result of a cooperative interaction between PKRs and TRP channels; in particular, PKR1 colocalizes with TRPV1 in small dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neurons, whereas PKR2 colocalizes mainly with transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily 1 (TRPA1) in medium/large DRG neurons (Negri et al., 2006b; Vellani et al., 2006; Maftei et al., 2020). This is a functional colocalization, because PKR1(−/−) mice show impaired nociception at temperatures between 46°C and 48°C, to capsaicin, and to protons (stimuli that activate TRPV1), whereas PKR2(−/−) mice show impaired nociception at cold temperatures and to mustard oil-induced inflammatory hyperalgesia (stimuli that activate transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily 1 member 1). The protein kinase C(PKC)-ε pathway is involved in this interaction between PKRs and TRP (Vellani et al., 2006). Also in skin preparations, Bv8 induces peripheral sensitization of nociceptors to heat and lowers their nociceptive threshold through TRPV1 activation (Hoffmann et al., 2016). Nevertheless, other mechanisms are also involved in Bv8/PK2-induced hyperalgesia: in rat DRG neurons, PK2 increases proton-gated channel activity and sensitizes ionotropic P2X receptors (Ren et al., 2015), and in rat primary sensory neurons, PK2 suppresses GABA-A-activated currents (Xiong et al., 2010).

B. Neuropathic Pain

Peripheral nerve injury induced by chronic constriction injury (CCI) and spared nerve injury (SNI) in mice results in thermal hyperalgesia and tactile allodynia. In the injured sciatic nerve, upregulation of PK2 occurs as early as 3 days after injury and then shifts toward the DRG and spinal cord, where it becomes significant at 10 days and persists up to 17 days after CCI and SNI (Maftei et al., 2014; Guida et al., 2015; Lattanzi et al., 2015b). In the injured sciatic nerve, PK2 is detectable in some axons, mainly in association with activated Schwann cells and infiltrating macrophages. PK2 levels are also markedly increased in DRG neurons and satellite cells and in activated astrocytes in the spinal cord, but not in microglia. Treatment with the PKR antagonists, PC1 or PC7, starting on the day of injury blocks the onset of thermal hyperalgesia and tactile allodynia, delays the recurrence of painful symptoms after interruption of treatment, and restores the balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines (Maftei et al., 2014; Guida et al., 2015; Lattanzi et al., 2015b).
Both diabetes and chemotherapy drugs are responsible for severe neuropathic pain symptoms. In a mouse model of diabetic neuropathy induced by streptozotocin administration and in two mouse models of chemotherapeutic neuropathy induced by bortezomib or vincristine injection, severe hyperalgesia and allodynia are observed in association with upregulation of PK2, PKRs, and proinflammatory cytokines in the sciatic nerve, DRG, and spinal cord. In these mice, subchonic administration of PC1 impairs pain and reduces PK2/PKR activity and pro-inflammatory cytokines (Castelli et al., 2016; Moschetti et al., 2019a, 2019b). PC1 protects against known neuronal cell changes after chemotherapy (Livni et al., 2019): in DRG neurons from bortezomib- or vincristine-treated mice, PC1 impairs the reduction in neurite length and growth induced by both chemotherapeutic agents (Moschetti et al., 2020).

C. Inflammatory Pain

PK2 plays a central role in inflammatory pain. In an animal model of inflammatory pain induced by Freund’s Complete Adjuvant (FCA) in the mouse paw, the development and duration of hyperalgesia in the inflamed paw is associated with PK2 and PK2L levels and is abolished by local or systemic injection of PKR antagonists such as A-24 (Lattanzi et al., 2012) or PC1 (Giannini et al., 2009). Significant PK2 overexpression by granulocytes is not only detectable locally in the paw but also systemically (Giannini et al., 2009). In rodents, inflammatory stimuli lead to an early and rapid increase in plasma levels of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, which activates PK2 transcription in bone marrow-derived CD11b+Gr1 cells (Shojai et al., 2007), explaining the systemic increase in PK2 levels in splenic and paw granulocytes. PK2 released from inflamed tissue triggers macrophage recruitment and stimulates migration of proinflammatory macrophages. In vitro, PK2 activates the release of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β and IL-12) and inhibits anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10) from macrophages. Bv8 also alters the Th1/Th2 balance and promotes a Th1-like response. PKR1(-/-) mice show a significant decrease in inflammation-induced hyperalgesia and less upregulation of PK2 (Martucci et al., 2006; Franchi et al., 2008). PKR2(-/-) mice also show reduced inflammation-induced hyperalgesia, but deletion of the pkr2 gene does not affect inflammation-induced PK2 upregulation. These data suggest that both receptors are responsible for inflammatory pain, but only PKR1 is involved in regulating the increase in PK2 expression (Giannini et al., 2009). In two animal models of inflammatory visceral pain (intrarectal injection of TNBS and mustard oil), increased PK2 levels due to gastrointestinal inflammation also trigger visceral pain via direct activation of PKRs (Watson et al., 2012).

All these data suggest an underlying role of the PK system in inflammatory and neuropathic pain, at least in rodents (Fig. 8). Therefore, reducing the expression of PK2 or antagonizing PKRs may represent a promising target strategy for a new therapeutic approach.

D. Pleasure

Touch is one of the four modalities (touch, temperature, nociception-pain, and proprioception-body position) of somatic sensation distributed throughout the body. Touch as a tactile stimulus is perceived by mechanoreceptors and also provides the affiliative or emotional somatic pleasure (McGlone et al., 2014). Affective or pleasant touch such as stroking, caressing or hugging is important for the exchange of social information (Morris, 1946) and behavioral development (Bales et al., 2018). Any form of physical affection that evokes pleasant touch sensations (cuddling, hugging, hand holding, caressing, soothing pats, etc.) plays a critical role in early childhood development and provide feelings of security, care, and support at every stage of life. Pleasant touch provides emotional and psychologic support to alleviate social isolation and stress. Touch plays an important role in pain relief (Leknes and Tracey, 2008). In patients with chronic pain, gentle touch has been shown to lose its analgesic function and is perceived as abnormally low, contributing to pain-related disorders (Gossrau et al., 2021).

Recently, a study in mice has shown that PK2 encodes and transmits pleasant touch information from skin to brain via a hard-wired spinal pathway. PKR2 neurons are a unique population of spinal excitatory interneurons that are 85% positive to G Protein-Coupled Receptor 83 (GP83) and predominantly receive monosynaptic and
polysynaptic C fiber inputs (Liu et al., 2022). Mice with ablation of spinal PKR2 neurons exhibited a profound loss of pleasant touch sensation (significant reduction of the heart rate or analgesic effect in response to gentle stroking) together with loss of sensitivity to acute thermal pain and itch transmission. However, they displayed no significant alteration in temperature pain or inflammatory pain induced by capsaicin. Mice with conditional knockout of pkr2 in small nociceptive sensory neurons of DRGs displayed normal pain and itch behaviors, but they failed to show significant reduction of the heart rate or analgesic effect in response to gentle stroking. DRG-PKR2(-/-) mice had deficit in social novelty recognition and the heightened stress/anxiety-like behaviors. Indeed, PK2 has been identified as a neuropeptide that encodes sensation of pleasant touch and transmits it to spinal PKR2 interneurons, which conveys a positive pleasant touch signal to the brain via gpr83 neurons (Liu et al., 2022). This finding on the role of PK2/PKR2 in pleasant touch signal to the brain via gpr83 neurons (Liu et al., 2022). The authors concluded that PK2 may be a marker for diagnosing sepsis and septic shock and a marker for predicting mortality in adult patients with sepsis and septic shock. Accordingly, the role of PK2 in sepsis-related survival, bacterial burden, organ damage, and inflammation was investigated in an animal model with different sepsis models, such as cecal ligation and puncture–induced polymicrobial sepsis. In the mouse model of sepsis, PK2 levels were decreased as sepsis developed. In an in vivo model of polymicrobial sepsis induced by ligation and puncture of the cecum, administration of recombinant PK2 reduced organ damage and increased survival in both PK2(-/-) and wild-type mice. More specifically, PK2 significantly increased the phagocytic and bactericidal function of macrophages by activating STAT3. Depletion of macrophages attenuated the protective effect of PK2 against polymicrobial sepsis. Downregulation of PKR1, but not PKR2, with siRNA completely abolished the PK2-mediated enhancement of macrophage phagocytosis activity. Thus, the PK2/PKR1/STAT3 pathway was shown to promote bacterial clearance thereby playing a pivotal role in mitigating sepsis progression. It may not only represent a new target for sepsis therapy, but also an important marker for early diagnosis of sepsis, and a potential survival predictor.

IX. The Prokineticin Signaling in Inflammation and Infection

Dramatically elevated PK2 levels were found in the peripheral blood of patients with inflammatory diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (Abou-Hamdan et al., 2015) and psoriasis (He et al., 2016). However, patients with sepsis and sepsis shock were found to have a dramatic decrease in PK2 levels compared with healthy controls (Yu et al., 2022). PK2 levels were found to be higher in the synovial fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) than in the synovial fluid of patients with osteoarthritis (OA) and remain similar in the plasma of these patients. Based on these human studies, it is difficult to conclude whether PK2 represents a diagnostic marker for inflammation in general. However, recent studies have shown that PK2 plays an anti-inflammatory and inflammatory role in both chronic and acute inflammation. PK2 may act as an anti-inflammatory factor in critical cases such as sepsis, systemic inflammation, injury, intense exercise, and OA. In addition, the PK pathway is a critical mediator required in bacterial, protozoal, and viral infections. PK2 acts as a key player for recovery of odor loss in Covid-19 infection.

A. Prokineticin 2 as a Novel Immunomodulatory Factor in Diagnosis and Treatment of Sepsis

Sepsis is a highly lethal disease, and unfortunately, the exact causes of lethality remain poorly understood. Several studies suggest macrophage involvement in sepsis, which may alter the prognosis of the disease. PK2 levels were found to decrease dramatically in patients with sepsis and sepsis shock and to be associated with mortality (Yu et al., 2022). The authors concluded that PK2 may be a marker for inflammation. This different regulation of PKR1 expression between RA-synovial fluid and OA-synovial fluid
may contribute to the differential effect of PK2 in OS-versus RA-synovial fluid after exposure to inflammatory cytokines including IL-1β and tumor necrosis factor alpha. Indeed, injection of PK2 into healthy knee joints of mice resulted in increased inflammation due to mobilization of granulocytes but not macrophages into the synovial fluid. The inflammatory effect of PK2 can be observed in acute arthritis models, such as collagen antibody-induced arthritis (Noda et al., 2021).

The same authors have previously shown that PKR1 protein is expressed in infiltrating neutrophils, whereas PKR2 protein is present in macrophage-like mononuclear cells in the collagen-induced rheumatoid arthritis mouse model. In contrast to PKR1, pkr2 gene expression was higher in inflamed joints. PKRA7, an antagonist of both PKR1 and PKR2, significantly suppressed the severity of arthritis and inflammatory cytokines. However, the mechanism of how PKRA7 reduces arthritis has not been investigated in this mouse model (Ito et al., 2016). Therefore, PK2-mediated anti-inflammatory or inflammatory effects in arthritis are due to the effector cell type and receptor expression, acute or chronic phase of the disease, microenvironment in the presence of other pro-inflammatory cytokines. This study should be verified by the effect of PKR1(-/-) or PKR2(-/-) or overexpression in tumor necrosis factor alpha-primed-OA- or RA-synovial fluids.

Recently, in the same arthritis model (collagen-induced arthritis type II), arthritis mice were shown to develop severe and prolonged hyperalgesia that was reversed by repeated administration of the PKRs antagonist PC1 (Impellizzeri et al., 2023). The anti-hyperalgesic effect of PC1 is due to its direct action on nociceptors and its ability to reduce the synthesis and release of PK2, since inflammation-induced PK2 upregulation is absent in mice lacking PKR1 (Giannini et al., 2009). In addition, PC1 is able to prevent the arthritis-induced increase in malondialdehyde in plasma, thereby reducing oxidative stress (Impellizzeri et al., 2023).

C. Role of Prokineticin in Infection

PK2 was recently identified as a novel modulator of bacterial pneumonia. Serum PK2 levels not only are lower in patients than in healthy controls, but also correlate with the severity of infection, being significantly lower in patients with severe pneumonia than in patients with simple pneumonia. In the mouse model of bacterial pneumonia induced by intratracheal instillation with Pseudomonas aeruginosa, PK2 levels in the lungs of infected mice are significantly lower than in that of control mice, confirming clinical findings and demonstrating a protective role of PK2 in the disease. This is due to the capacity of PK2 to increase the host’s ability to eliminate bacteria by enhancing the chemotaxis, phagocytosis, and killing functions of macrophages. Because macrophages represent the most important cells required for the protective effect of PK2 in the host, this effect is lost when macrophages are depleted. In addition, PK2 increases the expression of NO and the activity of NOS in macrophages, which play a critical role in killing bacteria. These data, combined with the observation that administration of recombinant PK2 significantly alleviates lung injury and improves survival in mice, lead us to identify PK2 as a protective mediator factor and a potential adjuvant therapeutic for bacterial pneumonia (Tu et al., 2022).

Trypanosoma cruzi (T. cruzi), the etiologic agent of Chagas disease, invades and resides in mammalian cells via a group of Gp85/trans-sialidase (TS). In particular, the specific LamG domain of Tc85, a group II TS, interacts with PKR2 and mediates parasite penetration and infiltration in mammalian cells (Lattanzi et al., 2021). Indeed, T. cruzi invasion into mammalian cells is inhibited by PKR2 interference or by treatment with anti-PKR2 antibodies or with a synthetic peptide (Khusal et al., 2015). The LamG domain of Tg85 not only binds to PKR2 but also activates it, demonstrating a physiologic role following T. cruzi infection of the nervous system by triggering STAT3 and ERK activation in mouse DRG (Lattanzi et al., 2021).

Olfactory dysfunction (OD), comprehending loss (anosmia) or reduction of the sense of smell, is one of the long-term effects of COVID-19. The expression of PK2 in olfactory neurons (ONs) of patients with post-COVID-19 OD has been significantly increased and correlated with the data obtained by odor tests to their residual odor, suggesting a contribution of PK2 in OD recovery (Schirinzi et al., 2023). Since efficient mitochondrial in ONs are critical for proper olfactory signaling (Fluegge et al., 2012) and PK2 enhances mitochondrial bioenergetics (Neal et al., 2018), the authors hypothesize that PK2 may improve olfaction by stimulating mitochondrial activity or directly or counteracting the deleterious effects of chronic inflammation, which impairs mitochondrial activity exacerbating oxidative stress. The potential neuroprotective role of PK2 has recently been demonstrated in PD, where patients in the early stages of the disease have elevated levels of PK2 in ONs (Schirinzi et al., 2022). These data suggest the possibility that PK2 represents an alternative pharmacological target for the period after COVID-19. PK2 restores mitochondrial function and attenuates inflammation, thereby leading to recovery and improvement of odor.

X. Role of Prokineticin System in Reproductive System Functions and Disorders

PK1 and PK2 signaling have been reported to be associated with physiologic and pathologic aspects of the reproductive system (Ferrara et al., 2004; Brouillet et al., 2010, 2012a,b, 2013; Dunand et al., 2014; Alfaidy, 2016; Alfaidy et al., 2019). PK2 directly controls the migration of GnRH neurons, which affects the development of the female and male reproductive organs (Dodé et al., 2006; Dodé and
Rondard, 2013), while PK1 mainly controls female reproductive functions, especially those associated with pregnancy. Several studies demonstrated that PK1 controls the menstrual cycle, ovulation, maintenance of the corpus luteum, blastocyst implantation, and its crosstalk with the myometrium that are essential processes for successful pregnancy (Hoffmann et al., 2006, 2007, 2016; Brouillet et al., 2010, 2012a,b, 2013; Dunand et al., 2014). In addition, PK1 and its receptors contribute to the placental development and the establishment of the feto-maternal circulation. Deregulations in PK1 and its receptors are associated with the pregnancy-induced hypertension, preeclampsia (PE), and fetal growth restriction (Hoffmann et al., 2006; Hoffmann et al., 2015). PK1 and its PKR2 are directly involved in gestational choriocarcinoma, and their targeting is proposed as alternative therapy for resistant patients to conventional therapies (Traboulsi et al., 2017). Importantly, PK1 can be potential biomarkers of pathologies in pregnancy or pregnancy-related disorders. Importantly, antagonization of the PKR signaling by PC7 and PKRA during pregnancy has been demonstrated in preclinical mice models to be safe, without any adverse effects on the growing embryos, which can be considered for treatment of pregnancy-related disorders to improve pregnancy outcome (Reynaud et al., 2021).

A. Prokineticin Function in Reproductive System

Although PK2 is undetectable in the female reproductive organs, expression of PK1 was found abundantly in the stroma of the ovaries, particularly in the cells involved in steroid production in the hilar region (Ferrara et al., 2004; Alfaidy et al., 2016, 2019). The main expression of PK1 was found in the granulosa of the primary and secondary cells controlled by the ovarian cycle and to a lesser extent in the tertiary follicle (Fraser et al., 2005; Alfaidy et al., 2016, 2019). However, the pk1 and pk2 genes are strongly expressed in mammalian testis (Ferrara et al., 2004). The PK1 is mainly present in Leydig cells, whereas PK2 is present in the testicular tubules in primary spermatocytes.

Circulating levels of PK1 are markedly increased during pregnancy and it is the only prokineticin expressed in the placenta (Hoffmann et al., 2006; Reynaud et al., 2021). The peak of PK1/PKR1 expression occurs in the first trimester of pregnancy (Hoffmann et al., 2006). PK1 plays a key role in placental development throughout pregnancy (Brouillet et al., 2012b) and contributes to the birth process (Dunand et al., 2014). PK1 promotes trophoblast cell adhesion to fibronectin and laminin matrices, thereby mediating fetal-maternal dialog during implantation (Brouillet et al., 2012b). It may regulate the expression of implantation-related genes (Haouzi et al., 2009; Alfaidy et al., 2016, 2019). During the first trimester of pregnancy, PK1 controls extravillous trophoblastic cell migration, invasion, and pseudovascular network formation (Brouillet et al., 2010). PK1 also acts on fetal ECs in the stroma, increasing their proliferation, migration, invasion, and branching (Brouillet et al., 2010).

In the myometrium, PK1 induces proinflammatory cascades and increases myometrial smooth muscle cell contractility (Catalano et al., 2010), promoting both preterm and term births (Gorowiec et al., 2011). In the uteroplacental unit, PK1 and its receptors have been shown to provide intrauterine quiescence during the last trimester of pregnancy (Dunand et al., 2014). PK1 has been associated with early pregnancy pathologies such as ectopic pregnancies (Shaw et al., 2010) and miscarriages (Salker et al., 2010). Recently, mutations in the pk1, pkr1, and pkr2 genes have been reported to be associated with recurrent miscarriage (Su et al., 2014), but some variants have a protective role in the early stages of pregnancy (Su et al., 2013). Thus, it is not yet known whether the increase in PK1 levels is a cause or consequence of ectopic pregnancy or miscarriage.

B. Prokineticin Signaling Linked to the Reproductive System Disorders Such as Gestational Hypertension, Preeclampsia and Choriocarcinoma

Pregnancy-induced hypertension leads to complications in 5–11% of all pregnancies (Burton, 2009). PE, a form of pregnancy-induced hypertension, is the most threatening pregnancy pathology as it brings serious consequences to both the mother and the fetus (Tjoa et al., 2004). Recent studies have shown that PE not only affects the maternal cardiovascular system during pregnancy, but may also have long-term adverse effects, including maternal cardiovascular disease, vascular dementia (RR = 3.46), AD (RR = 1.45), and unspecified dementia (RR = 1.40). These adverse effects occur approximately 10–20 years after an PE episode (Basit et al., 2018). The incriminated organ in the pathology of PE is the placenta, the key organ that ensures the success of pregnancy.

Adverse factors released by the placenta in PE include PKs, particularly PK1, which is abundantly produced in the first trimester of human pregnancy, with a peak of expression just prior to the establishment of the feto-maternal circulation (Hoffmann et al., 2006; Brouillet et al., 2012a; Alfaidy, 2016; Alfaidy et al., 2016). PK1 is mainly expressed in the endocrine unit of the placenta (the syncytiotrophoblast layer) and its receptors, PKR1 and PKR2, are highly expressed in the trophoblasts and microvascular cells of the placenta (Hoffmann et al., 2006; Brouillet et al., 2010, 2012b; Bardin et al., 2015; Traboulsi et al., 2015). The expression of PK1 in the placenta has been reported to be upregulated by hypoxia and β-hCG (Brouillet et al., 2010, 2012b).

PK1 controls early invasion of extravillous trophoblast cells during the first trimester of pregnancy and increases proliferation, migration, and permeability of
trophoblasts and ECs (Brouillet et al., 2010). Moreover, circulating PK1 levels in nonpregnant women are approximately 50 pg/ml and increase 5-fold (≈ 250 pg/ml) during the first trimester of pregnancy and even higher (400–500 pg/ml) in the context of PE (Hoffmann et al., 2007; Brouillet et al., 2013). These results suggest that sustained PK1 levels beyond the first trimester of pregnancy could cause the development of pregnancy pathologies, including PE.

Mimicking the increase in circulating PK1 levels beyond 11.5 days post coitus (dpc) in gravid mice, similar to the levels observed beyond the first trimester of pregnancy in women with PE, caused the development of PE symptoms in mice (Sergent et al., 2016; Reynaud et al., 2018). These symptoms were manifested by i) a failure to establish normal feto-maternal circulation, ii) the development of hypoxic placenta, a hallmark of stressed placenta, iii) a decrease in the expression of key genes known to be involved in trophoblast differentiation toward an invasive phenotype, iv) an increase in local and circulating anti-angiogenic factors (i.e., soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase and soluble endoglin) that have been shown to have effects on maternal vasculature and renal function and are known to be elevated in PE (Burton, 2009; Brouillet et al., 2012b; Cotechini et al., 2014).

Importantly, the maintenance of PK1 in the first trimester causes stress to the placenta, whereas its increase in the third trimester is associated with a compensatory mechanism to ensure the continuation of the pregnancy (Hoffmann et al., 2007; Sergent et al., 2016). In the developed model, a significant increase in placental efficiency was observed in the PK1-treated group (at 18.5 dpc), suggesting an adaptation of the placenta in situ, which was supported by an increase in placental vascularization and proliferation (Hoffmann et al., 2007; Sergent et al., 2016). Recently, the use of antagonists for PKR1 and PKR2 (PC7, PKR-A) have been reported to reverse some of PK1 adverse effects during gestation, as they blocked excessive trophoblast proliferation, migration, and invasion (Nguyen et al., 2013; Severini et al., 2015; Landucci et al., 2016; Traboulsi et al., 2017; Reynaud et al., 2021). In addition, a recent study by Reynaud et al. (Reynaud et al., 2021) demonstrated that PC7 and PKRA that were tested independently or in combination in trophoblast cells and during early gestation in the gravid mouse did not cause any adverse pregnancy outcome, suggesting that their potential consideration to treat PE.

A group of pregnancy-related disorders that originated from trophoblastic cells is called gestational trophoblastic diseases, which include a rare, aggressive neoplasm called choriocarcinoma. The development of choriocarcinoma is associated with a previous gestational event (molar, physiologic, ectopic pregnancy, selective or spontaneous abortion) (Bruce and Sorosky, 2023). One study has shown that PK1 and its receptors may also be directly involved in the development of gestational choriocarcinoma (Traboulsi et al., 2017). Increased levels of PK1 were found in both placenta and bloodstream of gestational choriocarcinoma patients. Indeed, PK1 increased proliferation, migration, and invasion of the choriocarcinoma cell line (JEG3) in two-dimensional and three-dimensional tumor cell spheroid systems. The PKR2 antagonist (PKR-A) significantly reduced tumor development and progression and preserved pregnancy in animal models of gestational choriocarcinoma by JEG3 injection in the placenta (Traboulsi et al., 2017). Thus, the use of PKR2 antagonist can be a therapeutic option for the treatment of gestational choriocarcinoma.

XI. Prokineticin Signaling in Cancer

PK1 and PK2 can be involved in the processes of tumorigenesis by acting as angiogenic factors, involved in tumor growth, and as chemotactic factors for the recruitment of proinflammatory neutrophils. In this way, they can contribute to all steps of oncogenesis, such as cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and metastasis. Several reports have documented the role of PKs in many malignancies, including colorectal and prostate cancers and human tumors derived from steroidogenic cells in the adrenal cortex, testis, and ovaries, where they may be used in the future as biomarkers to aid in the early diagnosis and prognosis of cancer. Thus, better understanding the effects of PKs on cancer occurrence and progression will potentially enable the development of new anticancer drugs targeting PKRs.

PKRs not only play an active role in physiologic angiogenesis of various body tissues but can also be involved in pathologic neoplastic angiogenesis, making them important components of tumor growth, progression, cellular plasticity, and metastasis. Several reports have demonstrated the pro-angiogenic role of PK1. Nevertheless, it is now well established that also PK2, which is strongly expressed in neutrophils and other myeloid cells after activation by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor through the STAT3 pathway (Qu et al., 2012), is associated with tumor angiogenesis and resistance to anti-VEGF drugs, as well as a number of inflammatory diseases (Shojaei et al., 2007; Itatani et al., 2020). In 2001, LeCouter et al. (LeCouter et al., 2001) identified in EG-VEGF, also known as PK1, an endocrine gland growth factor with a unique activity and distinct expression pattern capable of inducing proliferation, migration, and fenestration of ECs only from steroidogenic endocrine glands, suggesting a highly specific, local mechanism to control angiogenesis. The angiogenic activity of PK1 in specific body organs links it not only to testicular carcinomas and neuroblastomas, but also to other cancers such as colorectal, pancreatic, and prostate cancers.
In testicular tumors, PK1/EG-VEGF is specifically expressed in testosterone-producing Leydig cell tumors, whereas other tumors are negative for this antigen (Samson et al., 2004). PK1, which physiologically mediates the growth and differentiation of enteric neural crest cells (NCCs) during development, is abnormally expressed in neuroblastomas and correlates with progression of tumor pathology (Ngan et al., 2007).

In colorectal carcinoma (CRC), PK1 has been suggested as a potential biomarker for poorer prognosis, invasion, and metastasis, which is significantly higher in cases with serous, lymphatic, or venous invasion and with lymph node, liver, or hematogenous metastasis (Nakazawa et al., 2015). In addition to PK1, PK2 has also been shown to be involved in CRC by both clinical and preclinical studies. The role of PK2 in the recurrence and progression of CRC in humans was illustrated by Yoshida et al. (Yoshida et al., 2018), who showed that approximately 50% of patients with primary CRC at various stages (I–III) had higher PK2 expression, which was absent in normal colorectal mucosa. Moreover, PK2 expression correlated with lymphatic invasion and lymph node metastasis in these patients at stages II and III and was inversely related to patient survival. Anti-VEGF antibodies (bevacizumab) are used clinically to treat CRC (Xie et al., 2020), but refractoriness or resistance to anti-VEGF is a limit to treatment efficacy. In a genetic model from CRC, Itatani et al. (Itatani et al., 2020) demonstrated a correlation between inflammation and loss of responsiveness to anti-VEGF. Namely, they showed that mice with aggressive tumor responded very well to anti-VEGF treatment, but when these mice were exposed to inflammation from chemically induced colitis, they became resistant to anti-VEGF antibody treatment. This is because these colitis mice had markedly elevated serum levels of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, a major elicitor of PK2 in the CD11b+ Gr1+ neutrophil subpopulation that is increased in tumors and promotes angiogenesis. These results suggest a therapy based on the combination of anti-VEGF and PK2 inhibitors for the treatment of CD11b+ Gr1+ associated tumor cells resistant to anti-VEGF. In vitro experiments have shown high PK2 mRNA expression in various CRC cell lines. In vivo experiments in mice, subcutaneous implantation of CRC cell lines, constitutively expressing PK2, resulted in a marked increase in blood vessels and tumor mass. However, subcutaneous implantation of these cell lines in combination with a small interfering RNA for PK2 (small interfering RNA-PK2) resulted in suppression of angiogenesis and significant reduction in tumor mass (Kurebayashi et al., 2015).

Since human pancreatic cells have steroidogenic activity, PK1 has been found to be expressed not only in normal pancreas but also in pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PC), where it is upregulated in islet cells, mainly in males, in the exocrine part, mainly in females, and in the blood vessels (Morales et al., 2007, 2008). PC is characterized by poor vascularization and the presence of a large number of myeloid cells (Korc, 2007). Curtis et al. (Curtis et al., 2013) showed in a PC xenograft mouse model that injection of PKRA7 significantly reduced tumor mass due to a reduction in myeloid cell infiltration. Hasnis et al. (Hasnis et al., 2014) injected PC cells directly into the pancreas of mice and found increased expression of PK2 in the tumor, infiltrating granulocytes, and blood cells. Although antiangiogenic drug therapies have shown little effect in the treatment of PC (Kindler et al., 2010), PK2 antibody in combination with gemcitabine has been shown to significantly reduce primary tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis in preclinical models (Hasnis et al., 2014).

Prostate cancer growth, invasion, and metastasis are associated with angiogenesis and treated with antiangiogenic therapies (Campbell, 1997). In most prostate carcinomas, evidence of a significant increase in PK1 expression in glandular epithelial cells, together with a high microvascular density, argues for the role of PK1 in angiogenesis and consequently in prostate carcinoma growth. Since prostate cancer progression is associated with an increase in PK1, this led to the identification of a potential prognostic marker for prostate cancer progression in PK1. In addition, PK2 and PKRs also show high expression in malignant cells, confirming PKs as a target for prostate cancer treatment (Pasquali et al., 2006).

The involvement of PK2 in breast cancer has been demonstrated in preclinical studies. Kowanetz et al. (Kowanetz et al., 2010) found that in a mouse model with different metastatic potential of breast cancer cells, PK2 expression increased in Ly6G+Ly6C+ myeloid cells of metastatic lung tumors. This metastatic lung tumor was reduced by treating mice with an anti-PK2 antibody. Recently, PK2 was shown to increase the proliferation of breast cancer cells in vitro (Sasaki et al., 2018). In a mouse model in which a breast cancer cell line was xenotransplanted into the mammary fat pad, 5-fluorouracil accelerated the development of lung metastases, which was due to massive migration of neutrophilic CD11b+Ly6G+ myeloid cells (also known as Ly6G+Ly6C+) into the lung. In these mice, high PK2 expression was detected in the Ly6G+Ly6C+ when neutrophil infiltration into the lungs became evident. Lung cancer is characterized by high PK2 levels associated with infiltrating neutrophils (Zhong et al., 2009). In a lung cancer mouse model obtained by subcutaneous implantation of a murine Lewis lung carcinoma cell line lacking PK2 expression, implantation of these Lewis lung carcinoma 1 cells into transgenic mice lacking granulocytes showed no increase in tumor size, suggesting that the source of PK2 is granulocytes (Jiang et al., 2013b).
Polyomavirus (MCPyV) or papillomavirus infection causes Merkel cell carcinoma, a rare and aggressive form of human skin cancer and oropharyngeal (Sihto et al., 2009) or cervical (Cohen et al., 2019) cancer. In Merkel cell carcinoma, high PK2 levels are associated with high numbers of tumor-infiltrating macrophages and the presence of Merkel cell polyomavirus DNA. PK1 is associated with an unfavorable prognosis, whereas high PK2 mRNA levels are associated with favorable survival, suggesting that PK2 may play a role in coordinating immune defenses against polyomaviruses in Merkel cell carcinoma patients and thus may influence their survival. Interestingly, high PK2 expression was found in the advanced stages (III and IV) of cervical cancer, which positively correlates with poor patient survival (Wu et al., 2020).

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is characterized by overexpression of angiogenic factors such as VEGF. PK2 has been shown to be constitutively expressed in normal livers and its levels are markedly reduced in HCC, where high levels of VEGF are found (Monnier and Samson, 2008). Indeed, the low PKR2 expression in HCC is related to the lower number of Kupffer cells (liver resident macrophages), which are the main source of PK2 (Liu et al., 2003). More importantly, PK2 levels do not correlate with the degree of angiogenesis in HCC. Consequently, antiangiogenic therapy with the anti-VEGF antibody bevacizumab has been shown to be highly beneficial for survival in patients with HCC (Llovet et al., 2022).

Further analysis of PKRs in tumor induction and cancer progression may allow the development of potential anticancer drugs and PKs as biomarkers for prognosis and diagnosis of cancer.

**XII. Perspectives**

In the last 20 years, studies on the prokineticin system have reported that prokinetics act as neuropeptides, cytokines, chemokines, and hormones, and their activity depends on various factors, including effector cell type or receptor expression profile and disease pathologies. Possible therapeutic use of targeting PKRs are summarized in Fig. 9. The expression levels of PK2 and their receptors at the levels of both mRNA and protein, are altered by different types of pathologic conditions, such as hypoxia, ischemia, oxidative stress, inflammation, glutamate release, Aβ accumulation, high glucose, dopaminergic neuron death, cardiac and neuronal injury, and obesity. Expression of PK1 is regulated by hCG, estradiol-17β, and progesterone, and it has a key role in the maintenance of pregnancy (Evans et al., 2008). PKs can be released from almost any tissue in response to injury as a compensatory mechanism. Depending on the amount released by pathologic insults (in the range of pmol or nmol), PK2 could activate a different type of receptor, PKR1 or PKR2, and sometimes different, even opposite, transduction pathways that initiate wound healing or trigger the deleterious stage of the disease. Therefore, it is not yet known whether the increase in PK levels is a cause or a consequence of these diseases and which receptor is involved in the underlying pathologies. The role of increased expression of PKs and PKRs and activation/inactivation of the PK2/PKR pathway in some pathologies is still unclear.

All these studies suggest that the PK family may be potential prognostic biomarkers (Table 2) or therapeutic targets for certain types of pathologies (Table 1). For example, based on the Allen Institute for Brain Science transcriptome dataset of brains study, PK2 expression is more affected than PK1 expression in four brain regions in brain injury: parietal neocortex, hippocampus, inferior parietal lobe, and neocortex from the posterior superior temporal gyrus. Further studies of PK2 expression are needed to evaluate its role in response to injury and other neurologic disorders and to investigate its potential as a biomarker of severity and outcome. In contrast, PK1 is an important pleiotropic factor in regulating female reproduction. Many studies have shown that PK1 or PK2 may also be positively/negatively associated with poor survival. However, due to small sample size, these data need to be confirmed in a large population and in other ethnicities. In clinical trials, the nature of chronic therapies and risk factors along with patients’ vascular complications need to be better documented. The mechanisms involved in controlling the expression of PKs and receptors, and the downstream signaling pathways are still not clear. However, it is clear that PK2 can be used as a biomarker for injury and as a target for drug development to treat many acute and chronic neuronal and peripheral diseases.

![Fig. 9. Possible therapeutic use of PKR ligands.](https://www.aspetjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1093/pharmacology/phac123)
TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Prokineticin 1 (PK1)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal cancer</td>
<td>(Tagai et al., 2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal tumor</td>
<td>(Goi et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human gliomas</td>
<td>(Xiao et al., 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantation failure</td>
<td>(Karaer et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-grade glioma</td>
<td>(Zhong et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric disorders</td>
<td>(Su et al., 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporomandibular joint disorders</td>
<td>(Herr et al., 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Prokineticin 2 (PK2)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer</td>
<td>(Lattanzi et al., 2019b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>(Noda et al., 2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical cancer</td>
<td>(Wu et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS autoimmune demyelinating disease</td>
<td>(Abou-Hamdan et al., 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal cancer</td>
<td>(Yoshida et al., 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>(Mortezx et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End stage cardiac failure</td>
<td>(Urayama et al., 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>(Monnier et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington’s disease</td>
<td>(Mastrokaïs et al., 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation-induced pain</td>
<td>(Jacobson et al., 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klinefelter’s syndrome</td>
<td>(Fiore et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-grade glioma</td>
<td>(Zhong et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung metastasis</td>
<td>(Kowanetz et al., 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroendocrine neoplasms</td>
<td>(Puliani et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2022b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson</td>
<td>(Schirinzi et al., 2021, 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>(Tu et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-COVID olfactory recovery</td>
<td>(Schirinzi et al., 2023)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy pathology</td>
<td>(Alfaidy et al., 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>(Zhong et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic choc Sepsis</td>
<td>(Yu et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin cancer</td>
<td>(Lauttia et al., 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since PK1 and PK2 can activate both receptors, not only the identification of expression and localization of the PKR subtypes but also the generation of corresponding knockout mice may help to further clarify the functional target. Indeed, some studies have been performed using conventional knockout mice that exhibit many pathologies, such as in the PKR1(−/−) mice, raising the question of whether the dysfunctional phenotype is a consequence of another pathology. Tissue-specific knockout can help dissect target organs/tissues in the disease pathology. Further studies are also needed to clarify how receptor-dependent signaling may modulate PK2 responses by analyzing PKR1(−/−) or PKR2(−/−) mice in disease models such as neopathies, toxicities, diabetes, and obesity. In addition, chemicals are often used as part of a research protocol to provoke pathology and establish a disease model in animals. These models should be verified with genetic disease models in rodents. Disease models from animals should also be verified using in vitro systems, such as human-derived 3D spheroids and organoids.

Mutations or dysfunctions of human PKs and their receptors are also associated with various diseases as summarized in Supplemental Table 1 in the supplemental data. Since some clinically observed mutations in mice should be verified by knockin mice, these may help to understand the specific pathways in the respective physiologic/pathologic functions. All naturally occurring mutations in the PK2 and PKR2 proteins described to date in human diseases and how this relates to mutational information may inform various antagonist molecules that are being developed. For example, PKR2 mutation imparts biased intracellular signaling deficits and they can be functionally rescued. Nevertheless, targeting PKRs may provide a therapeutic approach for certain diseases. One prospect for the development of new powerful ligands may be related to the experimental resolution of the crystallographic structures of PKR1 and PKR2, which could dramatically improve the accuracy of structure-based methods. In the absence of that, an improvement of homology-based modeling, which have already been successful in QSAR modeling could contribute new active lead compounds to treat diseases. Targeting PKRs can provoke some potential side effects. For example, in obesity or cardiovascular diseases targeting PKR1 may have beneficial effect but can increase the sensibility to pain. Further studies are also necessary for the development of more specific and bias ligands and to examine their effects on the efficacy of chronically used therapeutics in preclinical disease models and patient-derived organoids.
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Table S1. Mutations in the Prokineticin 1 and 2 (PK1 and PK2) and their receptors PKR1 and PKR2 may lead to several diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>PK1 DNA mutation (c.)</th>
<th>PK1 protein mutation (p.)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hirschsprung’s disease</td>
<td>-45G&gt;A</td>
<td>R48W 654G*</td>
<td>(Ruiz-Ferrer et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>142C&gt;T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>162G&gt;T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent miscarriage</td>
<td>V76I</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Su et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leber’s hereditary optic neuropathy</td>
<td>199G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Cheng et al., 2022)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>PK2 DNA mutation (c.)</th>
<th>PK2 protein mutation (p.)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4C&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Dodé et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70G&gt;C</td>
<td>A24P</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94G&gt;C</td>
<td>G32R</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Dodé et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101G&gt;A</td>
<td>C34Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122G&gt;T</td>
<td>G41D</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Quaynor et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137G&gt;A</td>
<td>C46Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Dodé and Rondard, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150C&gt;G</td>
<td>I50M</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>PKR1 DNA mutation (c.)</td>
<td>PKR1 protein mutation (p.)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirschsprung’s disease</td>
<td>387C&gt;T</td>
<td>S129S</td>
<td>(Ruiz-Ferrer et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1062A&gt;T</td>
<td>K354N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1121G&gt;A</td>
<td>L374L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent miscarriage</td>
<td>I379V</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Su et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>PKR2 DNA mutation (c.)</th>
<th>PKR2 protein mutation (p.)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hirschsprung’s disease</td>
<td>57delC</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Quaynor et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58delC</td>
<td>20fsX43</td>
<td>(Dodé et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20fsX24</td>
<td>(Sarfati et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125_126insTGAGGA</td>
<td>42insED</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>151G&gt;A</td>
<td>A51T</td>
<td>(Dodé and Rondard, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>163G&gt;A</td>
<td>V55I</td>
<td>(Quaynor et al., 2011; Sugisawa et al., 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>238C&gt;T</td>
<td>R80C</td>
<td>(Abreu et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>253C&gt;T</td>
<td>R85C</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>253C&gt;G</td>
<td>R85G</td>
<td>(Sarfati et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254G&gt;T</td>
<td>R85L</td>
<td>(Dodé and Hardelin, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254G&gt;A</td>
<td>R85H</td>
<td>(Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308C&gt;T</td>
<td>A103V</td>
<td>(Ma et al., 2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>337T&gt;C</td>
<td>Y113H</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>343G&gt;A</td>
<td>V115M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>349C&gt;T</td>
<td>R117W</td>
<td>(Dodé and Rondard, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>403C&gt;T</td>
<td>R135C</td>
<td>(Gach et al., 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>420C&gt;G</td>
<td>Y140X</td>
<td>(Abreu et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>472G&gt;A</td>
<td>V158I</td>
<td>(Libri et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491G&gt;A</td>
<td>R164Q</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008; Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518T&gt;G</td>
<td>L173R</td>
<td>(Abreu et al., 2008; Cole et al., 2008; Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533G&gt;C</td>
<td>W178S</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008; Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561_563dup</td>
<td>S188dup</td>
<td>(Danda et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563C&gt;T</td>
<td>S188L</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629A&gt;G</td>
<td>Q210R</td>
<td>(Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691G&gt;A</td>
<td>E231K</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701G&gt;A</td>
<td>G234D</td>
<td>(Dodé and Rondard, 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>728A&gt;G</td>
<td>T243C</td>
<td>(Gach et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>743G&gt;A</td>
<td>R248Q</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752G&gt;T</td>
<td>W251L</td>
<td>(Sarfati et al., 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779C&gt;T</td>
<td>T260M</td>
<td>(Libri et al., 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802C&gt;T</td>
<td>R268C</td>
<td>(Abreu et al., 2008; Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820T&gt;A</td>
<td>V274D</td>
<td>(Libri et al., 2014; Sinisi et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868C&gt;T</td>
<td>P290S</td>
<td>(Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>969G&gt;A</td>
<td>M323I</td>
<td>(Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>989delC</td>
<td>T330fsX5</td>
<td>(Sarfati et al., 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>991G&gt;A</td>
<td>V331M</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008; Dodé et al., 2006; Monnier et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1058G&gt;A</td>
<td>R353H</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1069C&gt;T</td>
<td>R357W</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43insACTTT</td>
<td>N15fsX30</td>
<td>(Libri et al., 2014) (Libri et al. 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56delC</td>
<td>H20MfsX23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253C&gt;T</td>
<td>R85C</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337T&gt;C</td>
<td>Y113H</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472G&gt;A</td>
<td>V158I</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491G&gt;A</td>
<td>R164Q</td>
<td>(Quaynor et al., 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518T&gt;G</td>
<td>L173R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533G&gt;C</td>
<td>W178S</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563C&gt;T</td>
<td>S188L</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614_616del</td>
<td>K205del</td>
<td>(Mkaouar et al., 2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>653T&gt;C</td>
<td>L218P</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685G&gt;C</td>
<td>G229R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>743G&gt;A</td>
<td>R248Q</td>
<td>(Cole et al., 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802C&gt;T</td>
<td>R268C</td>
<td>(Libri et al., 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>809G&gt;A</td>
<td>R270H</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>891_892insA</td>
<td>R296Ts*2</td>
<td>(Zhou et al., 2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000G&gt;A</td>
<td>V334M</td>
<td>(Libri et al., 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337T&gt;C</td>
<td>Y113H</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533G&gt;C</td>
<td>W178S</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563C&gt;T</td>
<td>S188L</td>
<td>(Ayers et al., 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Normosmic idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism**

- **G57C**
  - (Li et al., 2021)
  - (Zhang et al., 2021)

**Congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism**

- **43insACTTT 56delC**
  - N15fsX30 H20MfsX23 (Libri et al., 2014) (Libri et al. 2014)

- **614_616del**
  - K205del (Mkaouar et al., 2021)

- **653T>C 685G>C**
  - L218P G229R (Zhao et al., 2019)

- **743G>A**
  - R248Q (Cole et al., 2008)

- **802C>T**
  - R268C (Libri et al., 2014)

- **809G>A**
  - R270H (Zhao et al., 2019)

- **891_892insA**
  - R296Ts*2 (Zhou et al., 2022)

- **1000G>A**
  - V334M (Libri et al., 2014)

- **337T>C**
  - Y113H (Zhang et al., 2021)

- **533G>C**
  - W178S (Li et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021)

- **563C>T**
  - S188L (Ayers et al., 2017)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>SNP Site</th>
<th>Protein Change</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>685G&gt;C</td>
<td>725C&gt;T</td>
<td>G229R</td>
<td>Li et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790C&gt;T</td>
<td>852A&gt;T</td>
<td>P242L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>864G&gt;A</td>
<td>889G&gt;A</td>
<td>R264C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>991G&gt;A</td>
<td>1054T&gt;G</td>
<td>Y284C</td>
<td>Ayers et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1111G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
<td>W288X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308C&gt;T</td>
<td>320G&gt;A</td>
<td>V331M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458+62G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
<td>R340C</td>
<td>Xie et al., 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465C&gt;T</td>
<td>518T&gt;G</td>
<td>L173R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525C&gt;G</td>
<td>585G&gt;C</td>
<td>R268C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>724_727delTGCT</td>
<td>C242fsX305</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fukami et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56delC</td>
<td>151G&gt;A</td>
<td>A51T</td>
<td>McCabe et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253C&gt;T</td>
<td>254G&gt;A</td>
<td>R85C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253C&gt;G</td>
<td>254G&gt;A</td>
<td>R85G</td>
<td>Asakura et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254G&gt;T</td>
<td>254G&gt;A</td>
<td>R85H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>742C&gt;T</td>
<td>743G&gt;A</td>
<td>R85L</td>
<td>Bajuk Studen et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779C&gt;T</td>
<td>991G&gt;A</td>
<td>R248W</td>
<td>Asakura et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>803C&gt;T</td>
<td>805C&gt;A</td>
<td>R248E</td>
<td>Correa et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802C&gt;T</td>
<td>868C&gt;T</td>
<td>T260M</td>
<td>Libri et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>876C&gt;T</td>
<td>889G&gt;A</td>
<td>V331M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202G&gt;A</td>
<td>253C&gt;T</td>
<td>G68S</td>
<td>Cox et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254G&gt;A</td>
<td>802C&gt;T</td>
<td>R85H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868C&gt;T</td>
<td>876C&gt;T</td>
<td>P290S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>889G&gt;A</td>
<td>889G&gt;A</td>
<td>V297I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** The table lists various genetic changes and their corresponding protein changes associated with different conditions. Each change is referenced with the work of specific authors, providing a comprehensive overview of genetic variations linked to congenital hypopituitarism, central precocious puberty, combined pituitary hormone deficiency (CPHD), isolated GnRH deficiency (IGD), and Hirschsprung’s disease.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pituitary stalk interruption</td>
<td>151G&gt;A</td>
<td>A51T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syndrome</td>
<td>991G&gt;A</td>
<td>V331M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1057C&gt;T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent miscarriage</td>
<td></td>
<td>V331M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


